UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

	TRADE COM
In the Matter of	OCT 2 8 2005
BASIC RESEARCH, L.L.C.,	· ·
A.G. WATERHOUSE, L.L.C.,	SECHETARY
KLEIN-BECKER USA, L.L.C.,)
NUTRASPORT, L.L.C.,)
SOVAGE DERMALOGIC) Docket No. 9318
LABORATORIES, L.L.C.,)
BAN, L.L.C.,) PUBLIC DOCUMENT
DENNIS GAY,)
DANIEL B. MOWREY, and)
MITCHELL K. FRIEDLANDER,)
Respondents.)))

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PARTIES' OBJECTIONS AND COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS TO DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

Complaint Counsel hereby move to extend the time to file the parties' objections and counter-designations to designated deposition testimony, and in support, state as follows:

- 1. The Court's *Second Revised Scheduling Order* sets October 28, 2005, as the deadline for filing the parties' objections and counter-designations to designated deposition testimony.
- 2. Since the filing of the parties' deposition designations on October 14, 2005, over the past two weeks, Complaint Counsel and Respondents' counsel have participated in good faith negotiations concerning stipulations, and the parties have exchanged a large number of proposed stipulations. These negotiations have been productive; however, the production of the proposed stipulations and the subsequent review of other parties' proposals and the record has consumed

considerable time during the limited time period available for review of Respondents' numerous deposition designations.

- 3. Additionally, since the filing of the parties' deposition designations, the parties have conferred concerning Corporate Respondents' issuance of subpoenas on October 21, 2005, the responses to which are presently due November 11, 2005 or earlier, according to the terms of the subpoenas. The parties reached an impasse in negotiations concerning the issuance of these subpoenas, requiring Complaint Counsel to lodge a motion with the Court during the limited time period available for review of Respondents' numerous deposition designations.
- 4. Respondents have indicated, through Corporate Respondents' counsel, that they do not oppose our *Motion* for a brief extension of time to next Tuesday, November 1, 2005.
- 5. Under RULE OF PRACTICE 4.3(b), the Administrative Law Judge may extend any time limit prescribed or allowed by the Rules for good cause shown.
- 6. Good cause exists to justify this brief extension because Complaint Counsel's time to review the record and file its objections and counter-designations to designated deposition testimony fell within the same time period in which Complaint Counsel was responding to Respondents' subpoenas, negotiating toward joint stipulations that we anticipate will be filed shortly by agreement of the parties, if not today, and preparing for the hearing in this matter. The short extension requested will not impact other pretrial deadlines.
- 7. In the interests of fairness, we request that the time for Respondents to file its objections and counter-designations to designated deposition testimony be extended to Tuesday as well.

8. A proposed order is attached hereto for the Court's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Laureen Kapin (202) 326-3237 Lemuel Dowdy (202) 326-2981 Walter C. Gross, III (202) 326-3319 Joshua S. Millard (202) 326-2454 Edwin Rodriguez (202) 326-3147 Laura Schneider (202) 326-2604

Division of Enforcement Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

Dated: October 28, 2005

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

)
In the Matter of)
BASIC RESEARCH, L.L.C.,)
A.G. WATERHOUSE, L.L.C.,)
KLEIN-BECKER USA, L.L.C.,)
NUTRASPORT, L.L.C., SOVAGE DERMALOGIC) Docket No. 9318
LABORATORIES, L.L.C.,) Docket No. 3318
BAN, L.L.C.,) PUBLIC DOCUMENT
DENNIS GAY,)
DANIEL B. MOWREY, and)
MITCHELL K. FRIEDLANDER,)
)
Respondents.)
ORDER ON UNOPPOSED MOTIO	ON FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE PARTIES' OBJECTIONS A	
TO DEPOSITION	
THIS CAUSE came before the Chief Adm	inistrative Law Judge on Complaint Counsel's
unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File th	ne Parties' Objections and Counter-
Designations to Designated Deposition Testimony	Having reviewed the Motion, and good cause
having been shown for the short extension request	ed, it is ORDERED that Complaint Counsel's
Motion for Extension of Time is GRANTED. The	parties shall have up to and including
November 1, 2005, to file their objections and cou	inter-designations to designated deposition
testimony.	
ORDERED:	
Date:	
Date.	Stephen J. McGuire
Copies furnished to:	Chief Administrative Law Judge

All counsel of record

CERTIFICATION OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL

I certify that I have reviewed the attached public filing, Complaint Counsel's unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Parties' Objections and Counter-Designations to Designated Deposition Testimony, prior to its filing to ensure the proper use and redaction of materials subject to the Protective Order in this matter and protect against any violation of that Order or applicable RULE OF PRACTICE.

James A. Kohm

Associate Director, Division of Enforcement

Bureau of Consumer Protection

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of October, 2005, I caused Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File the Parties' Objections and Counter-Designations to Designated Deposition Testimony to be served and filed as follows:

(1) the original, two (2) paper copies filed by hand delivery and one (1) electronic copy via email to:

Donald S. Clark, SecretaryFederal Trade Commission
600 Penn. Ave., N.W., Room H-135
Washington, D.C. 20580

(2) two (2) paper copies served by hand delivery to:

The Honorable Stephen J. McGuire
Administrative Law Judge
600 Penn. Ave., N.W., Room H-104
Washington, D.C. 20580

one (1) electronic copy via email and one (1) paper copy by first class mail to:

Stephen E. Nagin

Nagin Gallop Figuerdo P.A. 3225 Aviation Ave.
Miami, FL 33133-4741
(305) 854-5353
(305) 854-5351 (fax)
snagin@ngf-law.com
For Respondents

Mitchell K. Friedlander

5742 West Harold Gatty Dr. Salt Lake City, UT 84116 (801) 517-7000 (801) 517-7108 (fax) mkf555@msn.com

Respondent *Pro Se*

Ronald F. Price

Peters Scofield Price 310 Broadway Centre 111 East Broadway Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 322-2002 (801) 322-2003 (fax) rfp@psplawyers.com For Respondent Mowrey

Richard D. Burbidge

Burbridge & Mitchell 215 S. State St., Suite 920 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 355-6677 (801) 355-2341 (fax) rburbidge@burbidgeandmitchell.com For Respondent Gay

Jonathan W. Emord

Emord & Associates, P.C. 1800 Alexander Bell Dr. #200 Reston, VA 20191 (202) 466-6937 (202) 466-6938 (fax) jemord@emord.com

For Respondents Klein-Becker USA, LLC, A.G. Waterhouse, LLC, Basic Research, LLC, Nutrasport, LLC, Sovage Dermalogic Laboratories, LLC, and BAN, LLC

> Laureen Kypin COMPLAINT COUNSEL