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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

The hypothesis that the competitive process eliminates all economic profits and losses rests on two assumptions: First, in industries where prices exceed marginal costs there is an incentive for firms to cut price to expand their market shares and profits at the expense of other firms. This incentive is usually assumed to be greater, the greater the number of firms in an industry. Thus, the first assumption of the competitive model is that positive profits do not exist in any industry in which the number of firms is sufficiently high, concentration sufficiently low.

Where concentration is not low enough to induce sufficient competition among sellers in a market, profits may appear. When they do, these profits are assumed to be a signal for other firms to enter the industry driving prices and profits down. The second assumption about the competitive process is that free entry and exit of factors and firms assures that profits (and losses) cannot persist, even when transitory market conditions sometimes allow them to exist.

A normative investigation of the competitive performance of a market economy would logically consist of two parts: a study of the existence of profits at any point in time due to existing market structures and other conditions, a study of the persistence of these profits over time. The present effort is of the second kind.

The author has already completed one investigation of this question, a study of 472 large U.S. corporations (1977). The study found that companies with higher than normal profits in 1949 were projected to have higher than normal profits at
time equals infinity based on 24 years of data. Casual examination of those firms that had persistently high profits suggested that many were dominant companies in their markets. Thus, the question whether profits persist above the norm, naturally leads to the question of whether market shares and structures persist over time.

In attempting to answer this and related questions, our focal point will be the 1000 largest companies as of 1950. This group of companies was the subject of an FTC investigation in the 1950's, which gathered data on shipments by company for each 5-digit census product definition for the year 1950 (FTC, 1972). It is the most detailed breakdown of company sales for a large sample of firms that has ever been published.

The FTC has undertaken a follow-up survey of the largest 1000 companies as of 1972. The study actually covers somewhat more than 1000 companies as an effort was made to include firms from the 1950 1000-largest that still existed but were no longer part of the 19721000 -largest. The existence of these two samples allows us to compute market shares for economically relevant definitions of a market for a large sample of companies. Their existence allows us to compute market shares for 1950 and 1972 and test for the persistence of relatively high market shares. The years 1950 and 1972 are the end points of our samples of company data.

Although the choice of these two years to define our data series was determined by necessity rather than convenience, they constitute not unreasonable end points for an investigation of the economic performance of the U.S. economy. Nineteenfifty is sufficiently far removed from the end of world War II, that it may be assumed to be free of influences of this great conflict and the immediate post-war transition. It is, however, the first year of the Korean War, and some companies'
performance may be atypical for this reason. More directly our study is affected by this war, because the War Department suppressed the data for those companies heavily involved in armaments production. Thus, our 1950 market share data are for somewhat fewer than 1000 companies.

In contrast, 1972 comes at the close of the Vietnam war. While the data for this and the immediately preceding years may be somewhat "tainted", limited war and heavy defense expenditures have become such a part of our daily life that a good argument can be made for treating them as normal. The year 1972 has the further advantage of coming just before the OPEC price rise and oil crisis of 1973. Thus, our sample covers the bulk of the post-World War II era of rapid economic growth and economic prosperity. Our study seeks to determine whether the forces. of competition in the U.S. were sufficiently strong over this stretch of time and in this economic environment to erode positions of economic profit and market power once they appeared.

But prior to determining whether profits and market power persist, a simpler and more basic question must be asked. To what extent did the companies themselves persist? How many of the 1000 largest firms of 1950 were still in existence as independent companies in 1972?

The FTC divided the 1000 largest companies of 1950 into the 200 largest, 201-500th largest, and the bottom 500 in its original study, and this division is a convenient format for examining the survival issue. Due to a misclassification of one company, there are actually only 299 firms in the second group and 501 in the third. In toto only 583 of the 1000 largest of 1950 could be identified as ongoing enterprises in 1972 (see Table 1.1.). These survivors included companies successfully reorganized under the bankruptcy act, and firms designated as surviving following a merger. In most

Table 1.1.

Disposition of the 1000 Largest Companies of 1950

|  | 1-200 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 201-500 \\ & (299 \text { Fimns }) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 501-1000 \\ & (501 \text { Fimus }) \end{aligned}$ |  | 1-1000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUHUER | PERCENJ | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| SURVIVED | 168 | 84.0 | 183 | 61.2 | 232 | 46.3 | 583 | 58.3 |
| ACQUI REE) | 31 | 15.5 | 110 | 36.8 | 243 | 48.5 | 384 | 38.4 |
| LIQUIDATED | 1 | 0.5 | 4 | 1.3 | 14 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 19 | 1.9 |
| NO TNEORMAITION |  |  | 2 | 0.7 | 12 | 2.4 | 14 | 1.4. |

cases, the latter classification was relatively easy as, for example, when Ford acquired Philco. Philco was classified as acquired, Ford as surviving. One might well argue that none of the constituent companies of Norton Simon survived as recognizable entities when this conglomerate was formed in 1968 (Hunt Foods, Canada Dry, and McCall). We have, however, classified Hunt Foods as surviving in the form of Norton Simon. Thus, if anything, our classification scheme exaggerates the number of survivors from the 1950 list. Our decision to treat firms like Hunt Foods as survivors was to maximize the number of companies in our sample. For the same reason a couple of firms that were acquired in late 1972 were classified as surviving, if data for fiscal 1972 were available. The 1000 largest companies are listed in appendix A-1 along with our classifications.

The bulk of the companies that did not survive until 1972 disappeared through mergers and acquisitions. Only 19 companies were liquidated. In determining whether a company survived we consulted Moody's Industrial Manual and the Standard and Poor's and Dun \& Bradstreet corporate directories. Fourteen companies simply disappeared from these references without our being able to determine what happened to them, they are classified as "no information". These were for the most part family controlled companies. Even if we assume that all of them were liquidated, only slightly more than 3 percent of the 1000 largest disappeared via this route, compared to over 38 percent via merger.

The likelihood of a company surviving is strongly related to its initial size. Eighty-four percent of the 200 largest companies survived, while less than half of the firms ranked 501 to 1000 did. The survival rate of the $200-500$ th largest falls squarely in between these two groups.

If we think of these 1000 largest companies as a sample, drawn from the population of all firms over all points in time, and lump being acquired, liquidated and no information together into not surviving, we can then consider surviving a binary event, and the probability of a firm in the 200 largest surviving is significantly greater than that of a firm ranked 201-500 ( $Z=5.95$ ), and the probability of one of the latter group surviving is in turn significantly greater than the survival chances of a member of the bottom 500 ( $z=4.15$ ). Taking into consideration that most of the nonsurviving firms were acquired, we can say that the probability of a firm's disappearing through a merger was significantly higher, the lower its size rank as of 1950 ${ }^{1)}$.

The percentage of the 1000 largest companies that disappeared between 1950 and 1972 should be kept in mind as we examine the persistence of profits and market power in the next two chapters. In these chapters we naturally focus upon the companies that survived during this time period. In this most fundamental way these companies were successful, and might be regarded as more successful than the other members of the top 1000 . The story we tell for this surviving subsample may not carry over to the full 1000 . A second and separate investigation of the non-survivors is required to obtain the full picture. With this caveat we turn to our first question, the profit performance of the survivors.

## Footnote:

1. This result accords with those of Singh (1971, 1975) for the UK and Schwartz (1982) for the US. In. a couple of cases it was not known which firm acquired which in a merger, and we designated the larger as the acquirer. In a couple of other cases a company in the 1000 largest was acquired by one outside of the 1000 largest, but we regarded the member of the 1000 largest as the survivor to keep it in the sample. These classifications bias our figures slightly in the direction of a positive size-survival relationship. There are only about $a$ half dozen of cases of this type, however, not enough to affect the statistical relationship.

## The Persistence of Profits Above the Norm

## A. The Hypothesis

As George Stigler once observed, the issue of whether profit rates have a tendency to converge on a single, competitive level is fundamental to a normative evaluation of the competitiveness of a market economy. ${ }^{1)}$ In an economy subject to uncertainty profits and losses signal the existence of excess demand or excess supply at long run competitive price. If resources are free to respond to market signals, they should move into areas where profits are being earned and out of areas suffering losses. This movement of resources continues unti.l returns are equalized across all markets (with appropriate adjustment for risk). Of course, each new period brings new uncertainties and new positions of profits and loss, so that a point in time when all firm or industry profit levels are equal never obtains. But if the market is capable of responding to the signals of profits and losses, the long run movement of individual firms' and industry profit rates should be toward a common competitive level. All observed profits and losses should be short run deviations around this trend.

Despite the central position the persistence of profits issue must have in any normative evaluation of a market economy, it has received surprisingly little attention from the profession. Yale Brozen has addressed the issue tangentially in his attack on the positive concentration-profit rate relationship found in much of the literature. Brozen presents evidence that the correlation between concentration and profits is unstable over time (1970, 1971a, b). But Brozen does not examine the issue of whether profits do converge completely to competitive levels for move only part
of the way), and if convergence is complete how quickly it occurs. Moreover by focussing on the profits-concentration relationship he leaves totally unanswered the question of whether profits due to factors unrelated to concentration disappear over time. ${ }^{2)}$

In this chapter we test the hypothesis that profits, whatever their cause, converge over time on a competitive level. In this chapter we do not take up the issue of what factors prohibit or slow down the convergence process. Nor do we allow for risk differences across firms. These will be taken up later. The results in this chapter should be interpreted as simply testing the hypothesis that all firm profit rates converge on a single competitive level independent of risk differences across firms.

The tests in this chapter are conducted using observations on individual firms. Although most studies of profit rate determinants have focused on industry profit levels, the competitive environment hypothesis of convergence on a single competitive level should be equally valid for firm level profits as for industry profits. For a homogeneous product all firms in an industry should charge the same price under competitive conditions. Free entry and exit should ensure that only the most efficient firms survive, that all firms have the same average costs as well as price. If all firms in the industry earn profits above the competitive level for long periods, then there must exist a barrier to entering the industry. If only some of the firms in an homogeneous product industry earn persistently supranormal profits they must have access to a resource, technology or special managerial talent that allows them to earn these higher profits. The competitive process would then appear to be thwarted in one or more of 3 possible ways: (1) other firms are banned from using the resource or technology that makes the more profitable firm have lower costs, (2) bidding for this special resource or talent is inhibited so that neither the assets of the firm
nor the factor payments rise to bring the return on capital into line with competitive levels, (3) the more profitable firms do not exploit their competitive advantage by lowering price and expanding output at the expense of the other seemingly less efficient companies in the industry.

With differentiated products both the definition of industries and the concept of entry barriers become more fuzzy, the use of firm-level profits more defensible. If a firm with a differentiated product can continually earn profits above the competitive level,other firms must be prevented from selling a sufficiently close substitute or adopting a sufficiently close technology to eliminate the price-cost margin advantage of the more successful firm. If other firms selling close substitutes in what we may typically refer to as the "same industry" are not able to earn returns at competitive levels or suffer losses, this does not offset the fact that the persistently successful firm has some special advantage that others cannot duplicate. Our tests are designed to isolate firms with these.special advantages, and determine how significant they are.
B. The Test

We shall throughout this study refer to the hypothesis that all firm and industry profit rates eventually converge on a single competitive level (risk questions aside) as the competitive environment hypothesis. Although several alternative tests of this hypotheses can be formulated, previous work indicates that they yield similar conclusions. We confine ourselves to one test.

If competition drives the return of every firm i toward the competitive profit rate $\pi_{c}$, then at any point in time t. the profit rate of the $i$ th firm should equal the competitive
rate plus a random disturbance term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{i t}=\Pi_{c}+v_{i t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The competitive return on capital. may itself change over time due to changes in the micro-economic environment or following macro-economic movements. Thus, we shall make $\Pi_{c^{\prime}}$ time dependent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i t}=\pi_{c t}+u_{i t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average return in the economy differs from the competitive return in proportion to the average amount of disequilibrium profits or monopoly rents each firm receives. We shall assume that these supra-competitive profits and rents remain a constant fraction of the competitive rate of return

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{c t}=\gamma \bar{\Pi}_{t^{\prime}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{n}_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i t} / n ; \quad 0<\gamma<1
$$

If (2) holds it is also reasonable to assume that deviations from the competitive return are larger in years when the average level of profits is large. We shall assume these deviations vary in the same proportions as $\bar{\Pi}_{t}$, i.e. for

$$
\mu_{i t}=\frac{u_{i t}}{\pi_{t}}, \quad \mu_{t}=0, \quad \sigma_{\mu t}=\text { constant }
$$

If we now subtract $\bar{\Pi}_{t}$ from both sides of (1) substitute from (2), and then divide both sides by $\bar{\pi}_{t}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Pi_{i t}-\bar{\Pi}_{t}}{\bar{\Pi}_{t}}=(\gamma-1)+\mu_{i t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i t}=(\gamma-1)+u_{i t}, \tag{3'}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\pi_{i t}=\frac{\pi_{i t}-\bar{\pi}_{t}}{\bar{\pi}_{t}}
$$

Since $\gamma<1$, (3') implies that the expected value for normalized profits is less than one.

Now consider Figure 1. Suppose we observe some company i's profits at time $t=O$ to be above the competitive profit rate (we ignore the time trend in $\Pi_{c}$ here). If the competitive environment hypothesis is valid, $\Pi_{f t}$ must fall to $\Pi_{c}$ as the stochastic component of i's profits is eroded. This return to normalcy need not be immediate, however. Whatever the temoorary market power or efficiency advantage $\dot{i}$ has at time $O$ is, this advantage may not disappear from imitation or entry for several time periods. Thus, a return to $\Pi_{C}$ along a path like $A$ is suggested.

The two most obvious alternative routes toward $\mathbb{K}_{C}$, linear path B and nonlinear $C$ both must be rejected, since each implies a continual decline in profits, even after the competitive rate of return has been reattained. Although other initial segments in the path toward $\pi_{c}$ can be envisaged, at some point in time i's profits must approximate $\Pi_{C}$, and thus line $A$, if the competititive environment hypothesis holds. Similarly, less than competitive returns at time 0 can be reasonably expected to return to $\pi_{c}$ along some path like D .

Pathes $\underline{A}$ and $\underline{D}$ can be approximated by the following equation, allowing for the possible time trend in $\pi_{C}$.

$$
\pi_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} / t+\mu_{i t}
$$



Figure 1. Possible Profit Paths

If the competitive environment hypothesis is valid each firm should have the same expected $\alpha_{i}$ and these $\alpha_{i}$ should be independent of the profit ranks of any firm at a given point in time. The $\beta_{i}$ measure the speed of convergence to the $\alpha_{i}$. Firms"with initial profits above the norm should exhibit $\beta s>0$; firms below the norm $\beta s<0$. The absolute size of the $\beta s$ indicate the speed of convergence. Thus, there are two parts to the competitive environment hypothesis: equal expected $\alpha^{\prime}$ s for each firm regardless of initial profit rank; large absolute values of $\beta$ for all companies.

We test the competitive environment hypothesis against the alternative that permanent, firm-specific positive and negative rents are earned by some companies. Even if this hypothesis is valid, we would expect to observe some stochastic elements in the profits observed at any point in time. Profits above the average would be more likely to contain positive stochastic elements, below the average profits to contain negative stochastic elements. Thus, we would still expect the profits of firms initially above the normal to fall, below average profits to rise. But under the alternative hypothesis it is not necessary that all of the companies with initially high profits experience a decline, nor is it necessary that all profit rates converge on the same value. It is possible that some companies with initially above average profits have nevertheless negative stochastic components in their returns and are below their long run expected returns including rents. Thus, some firms may follow a path like $\underline{D}$ in Figure 1, even though they start above the $\pi_{c}$ line. We expect the fraction of companies following a $\underline{D}$ path to increase, as we move down in the initial profit rankings, however. Similarly we expect the long run projected profits of each firm, the estimated
 the alternative hypothesis that some company profits contain permanent rent components. To establish these initial rankings we average the profit rates for each firm over the first 3 years of the sample period and rank the companies on the basis of these initial profit figures.

In the previous study two sets of profit rates were used, gross and net of taxes (1977). Analogous results were obtained for both sets. We shall, therefore, confine ourselves to an after-tax measure of profits. Net profits has a conceptual advantage over the gross measure, since net profits are presumably the appropriate signal for resource movement. We thus define profits as total corporate profits plus interest payments less income tax payments. The profit rate is this measure of profits divided by total assets. Interest payments are added to profits to make our measure of the profit rate independent of the source of funds from which new assets are financed. ${ }^{3)}$

## C. The Results

Our sample consists of 602 firms for which complete time series data are available from 1950 through 1972. The starting point for constructing this sample was the surviving list of companies from the 1950 largest sample group. To these were added those for which a full time series was readily available on the COMPUSTAT Tape. The bulk of these additional firms are in the 1972. 1000 largest sample.

Equation (3') was estimated for each of the 602. firms. The full sample was then divided into 6 subsamples on the basis of the average profit rates enjoyed during the first 3 years of the sample period: the 100 companies with the highest average profit rates over 1950-52 in sample 1 , the 100 firms with the next highest profit rates in sample 2 , and so on with the third and fifth subsamples picking up the 2 extra firms. Table 2.1 presents the mean as and $\beta s$ for each group. A distinct pattern is observed. Both coefficients are on average positive and significantly greater than zero in the subsample with highest initial profit rates, and fall uniformly as one moves to subsamples with successively lower average profit rates in the initial 3 years. In the 6th (lowest initial profit rate) subsample, both coefficients are on average significantly less than zero.

The mean values of $\bar{\beta}$ imply fairly rapid convergence to the long run projected values for the profit rates. The mean $B$ for the first subsample, for example, implies that while the profit rates for this group were on average 45.5 percent greater than their long run projected values in the first year of the sample period, they were only 4.55 percent higher after 10 years had elapsed (t being indexed 1,23). All other mean $\beta$ s in the table imply an even smaller deviation from long run projected values. Thus, the rapid convergence portion of the competitive environment hypothesis is satisfied.

But this rapid convergence is not to the same long run value for each subsample, and the competitive environment hypothesis must therefore be rejected. The mean $\alpha$ for the highest initial profit rate subsample is projected at time equals infinity to be 32.1 percent above the average profit rate for the sample. The mean projected profit rate of the second highest group is 9.3 percent above the average profits of the sample. For all other subsamples the average projected profit levels are below the mean profit levels for the full sample, and the differences are increasingly negative as we move to lower and lower initial profit groups. The lowest profit subsample is converging to profit rates that are on average 22.8 percent below the sample mean. Thus, while the tendency exists for above average profits to fall and below average profits to rise, the former do not fall far enough nor the latter rise enough to confirm the competitive environment hypothesis.

These results are in close accord with those of the earlier study (1977). The same positive to negative pattern was observed there, the mean $\alpha$ for the top $1 / 8$ th of the companies was 46 percent above the average profit level for the sample, using a gross of taxes definition of profits. This accordance is not too surprising, since the time periods of the studies are almost identical, and there is a large overlap in the two samples. Nevertheless, the present results when placed along-side those of the earlier study indicate that our rejection of the

Table 2.1: Mean $\alpha$ s and Bs for Persistence of Profit Test

$$
\pi_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} / t+\mu_{i t}
$$

| Sample | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\bar{\beta}$ | n |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .321 <br> $(.061)$ | .455 <br> $(.099)$ | 100 |
| 2 | .093 <br> $(.037)$ | .218 <br> $(.055)$ | 100 |
| 3 | $-.033)$ <br> $(032)$ | .086 <br> $(.051)$ | 101 |
| 4 | -.094 <br> $(.050)$ | 100 |  |
| 5 | $(.031)$ |  |  |

Standard errors in parentheses.
** Significantly different from zero, 1 percent level two-tail test. KX Significantly different from zero, 5 percent level two-tail test.

* Significantly different from zero, 10 percent level two-tail test.
competitive environment hypothesis during the quarter century following world war II is robust to fairly large changes in the sample composition (25\%), whether profits are defined gross or net of taxes, and the number of subsamples into which the full sample is divided when running the tests. ${ }^{4}$ )

The statistical comparisons of mean $\alpha$ s j.n Table 2.1 are with zero. Equation (3) implies convergence on a rate of return below the average, however, if average profits contain elements of monopoly rents. Our regressions provide two possible ways to estimate long run competitive profit rates. First, we might hypothesize that no company can survive indefinitely unless it earns the competitive return on capital. The lowest projected profit rate must then equal the competitive rate. Under this assumption the competitive rate of return on capital would be projected to be in the long run 22.8 percent below the average return earned by the 602 companies. The long run projected returns of the highest profit group would be 55 percent above the competitive return on capital. All other subsample averages would have to be adjusted accordingly.

The logic of our second method of estimating the competitive return on capital is as follows: The competitive return on capital is earned by those companies who receive zero rents. The profits of these companies differ from the competitive return by a random component assumed to be normally distributed around zero. Firms whose profits equal the normal return plus only a random component should be distributed normally about the competitive return, and should exhibit an equal tendency to rise or fall over time. Thus, the competitive return is the long run projected profits of that group of firms exhibiting equal tendencies for profits to rise and fall. Table 2.2 reports the number of positive as and $\beta s$ for each group, as well as the number which were statistically significant. Our interest is in the number of positive and negative $\beta$. There are almost exactly the same number of positive (49) and negative (51) Bs, in the fourth profit group. This group also contains the fewest statistically significant $B s, i$.e. the fewest equations in which there is a statistically significant tendency for profits to move up or down. Thus, by our second method of determining the competitive return, the average long run projected profits of
the fourth group equal the competitive return on capital. This average lies some five percent below the sample's mean profit rate. By our second method of computing the competitive profit rate, our highest profit group is projected to have a return on capital 37.4 percent above the competitive rate.

Note also, that one third of the $\beta^{\prime}$ s of the top group are negative. Thus, one third of the 100 companies ranked highest on the basis of initial profits exhibited a tendency for profits to rise with the passing of time, a tendency starkly inconsistent with the hypothesis that all of the profits initially observed above the norm were transitory. Similarly, seventeen of the lowest ranked profitability firms witnessed a long run tendency for their profits to fall still further. Although the general pattern of results in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 is consistent with an overriding tendency for profits to regress back onto some normal, competitive level, the regression is not complete either in the sense that all firms exhibit such a regression, or that those that do experience a complete return to the competitive level.

## D. Caveats and Conclusions

The results presented strongly reject the competitive environment hypothesis. Profits when once above the norm persist at above competitive levels into the indefinite future, and the difference is substantial. Several objections can be raised to this conclusion, however. We take them up now.

## 1. Risk

The most obvious explanation for why some firms have persistently higher profits than others is that they are riskier. This hypothesis was rejected in our earlier study using both variance and covariance measures of risk. The companies in the top quarter of that sample earning persistently higher profits did not have a significantly greater variance in profits over the sample period, nor did a regression of their profits on the mean profits of the sample indicate a significantly higher $\underline{\beta}$ (this being the capital asset pricing model $\beta$ ).

Table 2.2: Fractions of Positive as and $\beta s$ by Subsample

| Subsample | Number of <br> $\alpha s>0$ <br> of | Number of $\alpha \mathbf{s}$ <br> significantly <br> different from <br> zeroz | Number of <br> Bs > o | Number of $\beta s$ <br> significantly <br> different from <br> zero | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | 71 | 67 | 53 | 100 |
| 2 | 53 | 64 | 67 | 33 | 100 |
| 3 | 45 | 61 | 56 | 30 | 101 |
| 4 | 33 | 71 | 49 | 24 | 100 |
| 5 | 28 | 67 | 31 | 29 | 101 |
| 6 | 17 | 69 | 17 | 45 | 100 |

* Five percent level, two-tail test

One can argue that it is really ex ante risk that determines required profitability, and that none of the measures of risk based on achieved results truly captures the risks perceived by the market. Although I have some sympathy with this argument,

I do not see how to test its validity. The average profits of the 101 companies with the highest profit rates in 1950 - 52 did fall fairly substantially over the subsequent two decades. If the market's evaluation of the shares of these companies was based on an expectation that they would remain at early 1950 levels, holders of their shares would have suffered substantial losses. If, however, the market expected their profits to fall back to competitive levels, then holders of their shares would have done quite well, since their profit rates have continued substantially above competitive levels, and promised to continue to do so.

To the extent long run returns to investors are determined by the long run profits earned by companies, investors would have been better off in 1950 investing in the 101 most profitable companies, than in the second 100 most profitable, better off investing in the second 100 than in the third, and so on down the line. And no short run instability in these companies' profit performance exists to offset this.

## 2. The Choice of Sample or Time Period

Our sample includes all of the 1000 largest companies as of 1950 for which it was possible to obtain data over the 1950 1972 period plus those for which complete data were available on one of several recent COMPUSTAT tapes. The latter group are again relatively large. Thus, our sample includes the bulk of the most important manufacturing firms of the $50 s$ and 60 s for which data were available. Any alternative sample would have to be either a subsample of ours or heavily overlap with it. The only possible bias in the choice of our companies I see stems from our focussing on large surviving companies.

The competitive environment hypothesis postulates that all profits above and below the competitive rate of return are
disequilibrium phenomena. The profit ranking of a group of companies in any particular year should be random when viewed against the long run profit performances of these same companies. All .time periods are atypical as to which firms have above average profits. Thus, no time bias is conceptually possible.

One might object that 23 years is too short of a time span to measure long run tendencies. The $\beta s$ estimated in our equations imply fairly rapid convergence to long rung projected values, however, so that extending the time period does not seem likely to change the values of our $\alpha$ s very much. Furthermore, the $\underline{\alpha}$ measure the projected profit rates at time equals infinity. The argument that our time period is too short must assume that 23 years is not sufficient time even to estimate the eventual equilibrium values of our parameters, an argument that, even if correct, undermines the notion that above normal profits are temporary disequilibrium phenomena.

## 3. Superior Talent

Now let us turn to the hypothesis that firms with continually high profits possess superior managerial talent. First, it should be noted that, even if true, this hypothesis is inconsistent with the competitive environment hypothesis, at least in its most general form. The same is true of patent rights, locational advantages and other possible causes of above-normal returns. Over time the value of these talents or assets should be bid up either reducing the stated profits of the companies or raising the value of total assets, in both cases driving the return on assets toward the competitive level. That we have found strong evidence of a tendency for profits to persist at above normal levels without attempting to remove elements of monopoly rents from factor incomes or present values of monopoly rents from total assets further strengthens our confidence in the validity of our conclusions.'

In this regard, it should be noted that we have made no adjustments to our data to allow for mergers. The purchase price of any firm should include the present discounted value of any assets the firm holds that will yield monopoly rents in the future. Thus, any monopoly rents being earned by firms acquired between 1950 and 1972 are capitalized in the assets of the companies that acquired them. Given the number of mergers taking place between 1950 and 1972 , the amount of monopoly rents being disguised in this way in our sample could be substantial.

Mergers have a further tendency to bias our results in favor of the competitive environment hypothesis. The probability that a firm with an above normal return on total assets acquires a firm with a lower return must be greater than . 5. Similarly firms earning lower than normal returns will acquire firms earning higher returns on average. Thus, mergers should tend to drive the observed profit rates of companies toward the sample average by the simple arithmetic of averaging, even if the profit rates of all companies were totally unchanged.

## 4. Accounting Practices

It is of course possible that a firm possessed some assets. in 1950 whose market value was significantly below its book value, and that it still possesses these same assets in 1972 and they are still undervalued in the company's accounts. More generally, accounting practices do differ both with respect to the definition and valuation of assets, and the measurement of profits. A cynical interpretation of our results might be that we have established the existence of persistent differences in accounting practices across firms, and that we have not established anything about persistent differences in profits defined in an economically meaningful sense.

While $I$ feel there is more to my results than accounting differences, I also admit that some of the observed differences in profitability may be an artifact of accounting practices.

How to determine the magnitude of these differences is a difficult question. In part, I shall attempt to answer this question by examining the relationship between otner economic varịables that logically are related to market power and the projected profit values. If the profit projections are related to measures of market power, than all of the observed differences in profit rates cannot be due to the accountant's wizardry.

But first the more basic cuestions of whether positions of market power tend to persist must be answered.

## Footnotes:

1. (Stigler, 1963, p.1)
2. For additional discussion and critique of Brozen's work see (Wenders 1971a,b; MacAvoy, et al. 1971; Winn and Leabo, 1974; Qualls, 1974; McEnally, 1976).
3. Data were obtained from the Standard and Poor's COMFUSTAT Tape and conform to its definitions thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i}=(I N C O M E(18)+\operatorname{INTEREST}(15)) / T O T A L \text { ASSETS } \tag{б}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where COMPUSTAT data were not available but Moody's data were, the analogous definition based on Moody's data was used.
4. The same pattern was also observed in the present study when the full sample was divided into 10 subsamples.
5. The persistence of above-normal profits for sustained periods is consistent with a special talents rationale for traditional entrepreneurial firms, where the innovator-entrepreneur has the residual claim to profits and where, by definition, no market exists for his services. There was some evidence of a disproportionate representation of ownercontrolled companies in the persistently-high-profit subsample in the earlier study. But a full investigation of this question requires separate treatment.

## CHAPTER 3

## The Persistence of Market Power

Evidence of the persistence of profits above the norm naturally raises the question of the persistence of market power. A long literature has evolved linking industry profits above competitive levels to high industry concentration , and a more recent literature is emerging linking firm level market power, i.e. market shares, to company profits (see, Shepherd, 1972; Gale, 1972; Kwoka, 1979; Favenscraft,forthcoming; Kwoka and Ravenscraft, 1yo2). Since the focus of this stuăy is tile persistence of profits among firms, we snall concern ourselves with the persistence of market share issue.

There are two ways this issue can be addressed. First, we can look at firms and ask the question: to what extent have firms with high market shares in 1950 persisted in having high market shares in 1972, or, in analogy with the profits' test methodology, are projected to have high market shares into the indefinite future? Second, we can look at markets and ask the question: to what extent are individual markets as concentrated in 1972 as in 1950, and to what extent are the same firms dominating individual markets in 1972 as did so in 1950?

In trying to answer the first question several problems arise. Few firms, even in 1950, operated in only one market, and thus, we cannot speak of the market share of a company treated as a whole. We can speak either of a company's share within a given industry, or an average of the market shares across all of the markets in which it sells. Since our interest is in explaining firm level profits, an average of market shares across all markets is the logical measure of a firm's market power to use. But then there are two serious difficulties in making intertemporal comparisons. First, a firm's average market share may change over time, because the industries in which it operates grow at different rates. Thus, a company could maintain precisely the same market share in every industry, and exhibit a falling (rising) average market share if the industries in
which it has low (high) market shares grow more rapidly than the others. Second, a fịm may diversify into additional industries either internally or through merger causing its average market share to change. Particularly, when this diversification is by merger any changes in average market share that it causes may give a misleading impression of the volatility of company market shares over time. For these reasons, we supplement our investigation of the stability of average firm market shares, with an examination of leading firm dominance in individual markets. We take this up in the following section and then turn to a closer look at individual firm market share stability.
A. The Stability of Dominance by Individual Firms in Separate Markets

Three problems are encountered in attempting to determine the persistence of market dominance: (1) market definitions change, (2) the identity of firms change, and (3) our sample identifies only the top 1000 companies in 1950 and 1972.

The first problem is relatively serious. The Census definitions of industries have undergone a fairly massive transformation since 1950. To give but one example, ethical drugs for human use were to be found in 1950 largely in 3 categories:
a) drugs of animal origin, uncompounded bulk,
b) inorganic and organic medicinals (antibiotics, alkaloids, bulk vitamins), and c) ethical preparations for human use (products advertised or otherwise promoted to or prescribed by the medical profession). In 1972, a much finer classification scheme was used in which drugs are separated into
a) vaccines and antigens, b) antitoxins, toxoids and toxins for immunization, c) diagnostic substances, and a variety of pharmaceutical preparations separated by purpose for use. There is no way to reconcile these differences without being fairly arbitrary. Thus, many industries which existed in 1950 or in 1972, are not compared due to the incompatibility of their definitions.

An effort was made, however, to maintain as many industries for comparison as possible. Thus, several industries in one year could be combined to equal an industry in another, and this was done so. For example, in 1950, all farm machinery and equipment, except tractors, was grouped together in a single 5-digit industry. In 1972, farm machinery was spread over 9 5-digit industries. To compare identities of the dominant firms in this farm machinery "industry", we summed the sales of the leading companies in the 9 5-digit industries of 1972 and checked the indentities of the leading firms in the aggregate against the leaders in the single 5 -digit industry in 1950.

There were also numerous cases of slight changes in an industry's definition between the two points in time which we simply ignored. For example, industry 36615 in 1950 included recorders, amplifiers, audio equipment and recording magnetic tapes and wire. This industry was matched against 36514 for 1972 even though the latter did not include magnetic tapes. A list of the industries matched in the two years is contained in Appendix A-3. It should be stressed with respect to this first set of data problems that they all lead to an underestimation of the persistence of market dominance. To the extent that we are not actually comparing the same market at two points in time, it is possible that we will observe a change in the leading companies when no change in the identically defined markets had occurred.

The second difficulty in comparing dominant firm identities in 1950 and 1972, is that the nature of the firms changes through acquisitions and spin-offs. If company $\underline{A}$ acquires $\underline{B}$ between 1950 and 1972, and $B$ was an industry leader in 1950, we have classified the industry as having the same leader in 1972, if we find that $A$ is the leader in 1972. A more difficult problem is nresented by spin-offs, since they are harder to track down. Suppose $A$ led industry $\underline{x}$ in 1950 but then sold to $\underset{E}{E}$ the division producino all of $A^{\prime}$ s x-output. If $C$ was then the industry leader in $1 \dot{y} 72$, one mignt legitimately argue that the industry had the same leading firm in both years. If we had not recorded the sale of the division,
however, we would misclassify the industry as having a new industry leader. Again, this problem produces an exaggertion of the number of changes in industry leadership.

The, third data problem in this section arises because we have observations on only the 1000 largest companies in the two years. If the leading firm in either 1950 or 1972 , or both, was not a member of the 1000 largest of that year, it is possible that the industry has the same leading firm and we have misclassified it as having a new leader. This problem is more likely to occur, the smaller an industry's size. In the very biggest industries, the leading firms have sufficient sales in the given industry alone to place them in the 1000 largest. In the smaller industries, a company that specialized in the given industry could dominate it and still be too small to make the 1000 largest. Thus, while this data problem again biases our findings toward the conclusion that there has been more instability than there actually has been, the bias is less, the more important the industry. Nevertheless, it should be kept in mind in the following comparisons since we typically do not account for industry size.

Our determination of the stability of market leadership is based on the identities of the two leading companies. Theoretical-empirical support for focusing on but the two largest companies is found in Kwoki's study indicating that a two-firm concentration ratio exhibits the strongest association with profits (1979). A second reason for restricting consideration to the two largest companies is to maintain industries for which the comparison can be made. To compare the identities of the two largest companies at two points in time we obviously need a minimum of two firms in each industry at each point in time. If we wished to compare the 3 largest, we would need at least 3 at each point. As more firms are compared, more industries must be purged from the sample because of an insufficiency in the number of companies reporting in one year. Finally, as we increase the number of firms compared, the number of possible ways of defining stability
increases at a geometric rate. With 2 leading companies there are already seven possible categories of change in the leadership of the industry; both firms may be the same and in the same positions, both firms are the same but have exchanged positions, the leading firm is the same but the second is different, and so on. The seven possibilities are presented in Table 3.1 The column heading "None" signifies that neither of the two leading firms in 1972 was the same as in 1950. Arrows indicate direction of movement from 1950 to 1972.

The table presents the number of industries found in each category classified according to 2-digit SIC codes as defined for 1972. One hundred and twenty-five of the 350 industries for which a comparison could be made had two different leading firms in 1972 than they had in 1950. The next largest category is the one in which the leader is the same in both years, but the second firm is different. When we combine this with the category in which both leading firms have the same ranks in the two years, we find that there are 142 industries in which the same firm is the leader in 1972 as was in 1950 , some 40 percent of our 350 industries. If we add to these the 13 industries in which the two leading firms have remained the same, but switched places, there are 155 industries, 44 percent of the sample, that can be regarded as having a stable dominant firm structure, in the sense that either the same company is the industry leader in both years, or the same two companies are the leaders. In 125 industries we have instability in the top two positions, and the remaining 70 cases fall inbetween. Whether these numbers depict a situation of dominant firm stability or instability on average is a matter of taste, but this observer regards these numbers as suggesting fairly persistent stability in the identities of the industry leaders in those industries that could be identified as roughly the same in 1950 and 1972.

A look at the figures by 2-digit industry indicates sizeable differences in the stability tendencies from one 2-digit area

Table 3.1

Classification of Industry Leadership Positions Between 1950 and $1972^{*}$

| Industry <br> 1972 SIC <br> Classifi- <br> cation | None | $2 \rightarrow 2$ | $2 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | $1 \rightarrow 1$ | $2 \rightarrow 1$ | $2 \rightarrow 2$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 7 | 41 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 22 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 23 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 26 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 27 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 28 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 30 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 32 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 18 |
| 33 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 21 |
| 34 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 31 |
| 35 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 52 |
| 36 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 28 |
| 37 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 38 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| 39 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| TOTAL | 125 | 21 | 17 | 32 | 104 | 13 | 38 | 350 |

* A list of the 350 industries for which the comparison was made including SIC numbers is contained in Appendix A-3.
to another. In the food and drink industries (SIC 20), for example, $60 \%$ of the 41 industries had either the same leading firm or the same two leaders, in 1972 and 1950, while only $20 \%$ had two different companies leading in 1972. Similar stability was present in paper (SIC 26), stone, clay and glass (32), primary metals (33), electrical machinery and equipment (36), and transportion equipment (37). In contrast, over half of each of the following industries exhibited a complete turnover of the two leading firms: textiles and apparel (22 and 23), lumber, wood and furniture (24 and 25), printing (27), leather (31), and the miscellaneous category (39). These differences in dominant firm stability across industries are stark and invite speculation as to causality. We turn now to an examination of this question.


## B. The Determinants of Market Dominance

In this section we report briefly some results regarding the industry characteristics associated with stable leadership in individual markets.

Given the qualitative nature of the dominance categorizations used in the previous section, normal regression techniques are inappropriate. The most straightforward approach to the question would appear to be a binary classification of industries into those with stable dominance patterns, and those without such stability. The three most stable dominance patterns of the seven we employed are when the top two firms remain the same, whether they interchange positions or not, and when the same firm leads the industry in both 1950 and 1972 , i.e. the three categories farthest to the right in Table 3.1. Any industry appearing in one of these 3 columns was classified as having a stable firmdominance pattern from 1950 through 1972. All other industries were classified as having unstable dominance patterns.

As explanatory variables we chose the following industry characteristics: ${ }^{1)}$
$C_{4}$ concentration


S size, $\frac{\text { Sales }^{50}+\text { Sales }^{72}}{2}$
$\Delta C_{4}$ change in concentration $C_{4}^{72} / C_{4}^{50}$
$\Delta S \quad$ change in size, Sales ${ }^{72} /$ Sales $^{50}$

ADV advertising intensity, industry advertising to sales ratio
PAT patent intensity, industry patent to sales ratio.

In addition to the above specification of the concentration and size variables we tried including the sales and concentration indexes for 1950 and 1972 separately, and using first differences rather than ratios to measure changes. These alternative specifications were either inferior or no better than the ones reported.

We tested for a relationship between the above defined industry characteristics and dominant-firm stability using both the probit and logit maximum likelihood regression techniques ${ }^{2}$ ). These two techniques gave quite analogous results and so we report only those from the logit technique. DOM takes on a value of 1.0 if an industry has a stable pattern of firm dominance (i.e. is in the 3 categories farthest to the right in Table 3.1) and zero otherwise. Under the logit procedure the dependent variable is $D=\log \left(\frac{D O M}{1-D O M}\right)$.

The results are as follows using the 339 industries for which data for all variables are available

$$
-.047 \Delta S-3.16 \mathrm{ADV}-1.22 \mathrm{PAT}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2.23 & 0.55 & 0.47
\end{array}
$$

Likelihood Ratio 141.2 with 6 degrees of freedom (t-values under coefficients).

The likelihood ratio asymptotically approaches a $x^{2}$ distribution with deqrees of freedom equal to the number of exnianatory variables (6). The LR ratio of over 141 implies high significance and strong overall fit for the equation. The concentration variable takes on the highest t-value and suggests perhaps that stable dominant firm patterns are associated with scale economies, if we assume that scale economies explain industry concentration levels over the long run. The positive coefficient on industry size can be given two interpretations. First, to be an industry leader in an industry with large total sales, a firm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=-2.15+7.40 C_{4}+.76 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~S}-.37 \Delta C_{4} \\
& 4.62 \quad 7.41 \quad 4.51 \quad 2.46
\end{aligned}
$$

must, ceteris paribus, be of large absolute size. Being of large absolute size it may be better able to adopt more capital intensive production techniques, and have access to cheaper capitial in the capital market. These characteristics may make it more difficult for other firms to displace a leading firm in an industry with large sales. The second reason to expect a positive coefficient on industry size is due to our having observations on only the 1000 largest companies. The probability that an industry leader is not in the 1000 largest firms in 1950 or 1972 is greater the smaller the absolute size of the industry is. Thus, one reason we may observe greater instability in the top 2 ranks of small industries is that the firms we identify as being at the top in one or the other year were not in fact among the leading two firms in those years.

The negative coefficients on both the change in concentration and, the change in sales variables lend themselves to similar interpretations. Industries undergoing rapid structural change, as indicated by large increases in concentration and rapid growth, are more likely to experience turnover in leadership than slow growing industries with unchanging concentration levels.

One might anticipate that large declines in concentration would also be associated with more turnover at the top of the industry than when concentration is relatively constant, i.e. that the probability of a stable dominant-firm pattern is highest for a ratio of $C_{4} 72 / C_{4}^{0}$ of about 1.0 and low for both rapid increases and declines in concentration. This conjecture was not confirmed. Inclusion of a squared $C_{4}$ term in the equation did not change the sign or significance of the $C_{4}$ term; nor was the squared term itself significant.

Neither the advertising nor the patent intensity variables took on significant coefficients. This result is quite interesting in that it seems to refute the opposing views of these activities, that claim that nonprice competition destabilizes industry dominance patterns ${ }^{3)}$, and the opposing view that these activities
lead to entry barriers and thus protection against at least the destabilizing influence of entry ${ }^{4}$. Of course, both hypotheses might be partially true and thus offsetting. Heavy advertising and patent activity could protect industry leaders from displacement by new entrants, while at the same time leading to more reshuffling among the incumbent leaders. We have not pursued these additional possibilities. Our results indicate that a simple, direct linkage between these two forms of nonprice competition and the stability of industry leadership does not exist.

Given the nonlinear nature of the equation the marginal impact of a change in any of the independent variables on the probability that an industry has a stable dominance pattern varies with the probability itself. To get a feel for the impact of each independent variable on the probability of an industry having a stable dominant firm structure we make the following calculations: We compute the change in the probability of an industry being classified DOM at the mean for DOM, for changes in each of the significant independent variables from their mean values to a doubling of their mean value

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta \mathrm{DOM} /\left(2 \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{4}-\overline{\mathrm{C}}_{4}\right) & =.542 \\
\Delta \mathrm{DOM} /(2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}-\overline{\mathrm{S}}) & =.170 \\
\Delta \mathrm{DOM} /\left(2 \overline{\Delta \mathrm{C}_{4}}-\Delta \mathrm{C}_{4}\right) & =.188 \\
\Delta \mathrm{DOM} /(\overline{\mathrm{L} \Delta \overline{\mathrm{~S}}-\Delta \overline{\mathrm{S}})} \mathrm{l} & =.064
\end{array}
$$

| $\frac{\text { Mean }}{.297}$ | of |
| :--- | :--- |
| $9.08 \times 10^{5}$ | $\frac{\text { Variable }}{C_{4}}$ |
| 2.06 | $\Delta C_{4}$ |
| 5.56 | $\Delta \mathrm{~S}$ |
| .442 | DOM |

Thus, an industry with double the average four firm concentration ratio (. 594 instead of .297) would have a 54.2 percent greater chance of being classified as DOM if the other characteristics implied a DOM of . 442, which is to say it almost certainly would be classified as having a stable dominant firm structure. The other probabilities can be similarly interpreted.

[^0]
## C. The Stability of Market Shares

In this section we explore the question of whether the same companies that had relatively high market shares in 1950 continued to have high market shares in 1972. When asked at the level of the firm, this question must be answered in terms of weighted average market shares for each firm. We first calculated these weighted average market shares using sales as weights and the industry definitions of Appendix A-2. There are 425 firms which we could identify as common to both 1000 largest samples, and for which we had complete data to run the persistence of profits tests of the previous chapter. In determining which of the 19501000 largest were in the 1972 sample we employed the identity changes following mergers recorded in Appendix A-1.

The mean weighted average market share of a firm in 1950 was .098. The mean market share in 1972 was . 085. Other statistics of the two samples are presented in Table 3.2.The decline in mean market shares by firm was significant at the 5 percent level using a two-tail criterion.

The simple correlation between weighted average firm market shares in 1950 and 1972 is . 664 , statistically significant at all of the usual levels. We can also ask the question whether firms with high market shares in 1950 were projected to have persistently high market shares at time equals infinity. We do not have annual observations on firm market shares, so we cannot estimate a projected market share for each firm as we did for profits in Chapter 2. We can, however, assume that an analogous relation holds for market share as for firm profit rates

$$
M_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta / t+\mu_{i t}
$$

where $M_{i t}$ is the $i$ th company's weighted average share in period t. If we further assume that the observations for 1950 and 1972 fall exactly on the regression line, we can estimate the projected market share $M_{i p}$ of the $i$ th firm at
time equals infinity (i.e. $\alpha_{i}$ ) as

$$
M_{i p}=\frac{23}{22} M_{i 72}-\frac{1}{22} M_{i 50}
$$

Obviously, the estimate for $M_{i p}$ is dominated by the $i$ th firm"s market share in 1972. The mean $M_{i p}$ for the entire sample is . 084 and they correlate almost perfectly with $M_{i 72}$. Nevertheless, it is of interest to run the analogous tests for projected market shares as were run for projected profits.

To do so, we grouped the 425 companies into six subgroups based on their 1950 market shares. Group 1 consists of the 70 companies with the highest weighted average market shares, group 2 the 71 companies with the next highest 1950 market shares, and so on, with each of the other 4 groups having 71 firms. Table $3 \cdot 3$ presents the mean projected market shares for each group. The average projected market share for the group with highest initial market shares is almost double the mean of the full sample, a difference which is highly significant. Each successively lower initial market share group has lower projected market shares. The sixth group has mean projected market shares well under half the level for the full sample. Whatever causes the firms to have different market shares in 1950 would appear to continue to affect their relative market power in 1972, and into the foreseeable future.

These results are the more remarkable when it is recalled that no allowance has been made for differences in the relative growth rates of industries or for mergers. Were 1972 market shares weighted by 1950 sales weights, the tendency for market power to persist would most certainly be higher, just as the tendency for profits to persist would most certainly be greater if the effects of mergers, at least, could be netted out.

Table 3.2
Market Share Statistics for 425 Companies Common to the 1950 and 19721000 Largest Samples

|  | 1950 | 1972 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN | .098 | .085 |
| VARIANCE | .012 | .007 |
| MINIMUM | .005 | .003 |
| MAXIMUM | .709 | .567 |
| N | 425 | 425 |

Table 3.3

Mean Projected Market Shares for Companies Grouped According to Their 1950 Market Shares

| GROUP | N | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {ip }}$ | Difference from <br> full sample mean (.084). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | . 181 | . $097 \times 2$ |
| 2 | 71 | . 101 | .017 ${ }^{2}$ |
| 3 | 71 | . 081 | -. 003 |
| 4 | 71 | . 060 | -. $0244^{2 \%}$ |
| 5 | 71 | . 051 | -. $0333^{\text {\%2\% }}$ |
| 6 | 71 | . 032 | -. $052^{2 \%}$ |

[^1]
## Footnotes:

1. The $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ figures are Census values, with that for 1972 being adjusted by Leonard Weiss to account for regional and local market definitions and imports. The advertising intensity variable is the ratio of advertising. to sales for 1963 reported on a 3-digit level by the IRS. The patent figure is the average number of patents per year over the period 1966-68 reported by the NSF (1977), divided by 1967 Census of Manufacturing sales. The year 1963 was chosen as falling roughly in the middle of our sample period, the patent data are the earliest reported on an industry basis.
2. For a discussion of these techniques see R.S. Pindyck and D.L. Rubinfeld (1976, pp.237-54).
3. See, L.G. Telser (1964), P. Nelson (1970), H. Demsetz (1y79), and M. Hirschey (1981).
4. W.S. Comanor and T.W. Wilson (1967).

Persistent Profits and Persistent Market Power
A. The Static Relationship between Profits and Market Power

We are now ready to address the central question of the study. What is the relationship, if any, between persistent profits and persistent market power?

To begin to answer this question we review the conditions for profit maximization within the firm. Assume first a homogeneous product industry in which each firm i has access to the same production technology, and thus has the same cost function $C\left(X_{i}\right)$. Each firm charges the same price $P$ and the first order condition for profit maximization is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \pi_{i} / d x_{i}=P-x_{i} \frac{d P}{d x} \frac{d x}{d x_{i}}-c^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d x / d x_{i}$ is the change in industry output in response to a change in firm i's output. Defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d x}{d x_{i}} & =1+\lambda_{i}, \text { the degree of cooperation } \\
\eta & =-\frac{d x}{d P} \frac{p}{X}, \text { the industry elasticity of demand } \\
M_{i} & =X_{i} / X, \text { firm } i ' s \text { market share and substituting }
\end{aligned}
$$

into (1) and rearranging we get the now familiar condition (see, Cowling and Waterson, 1976)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P-c^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{P}=\frac{M_{i}\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)}{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The price-(marginal) cost margin for a firm in this industry should equal the product of its market share times the degree of cooperation in the industry divided by the industry elasticity of demand. There are two important observations to be made about eq. (2).

First, it is an equilibrium condition not a causal relationship. Firm i satisfies (2) by choosing an $X_{i}$ given its cost function, the industry demand elasticity, and the degree of cooperation. $X_{i}$ appears on both sides of (2). We could of course move $M_{i}$ to the left side, and then would have the two exogenous variables left on the right. But then market share would be explained along with the price-cost margin by two variables that are typically unobservable. The second point to be made about (2) is that it should hold for all firms in the industry. If each expects the same quantity response to a change in its output ( $1+\lambda_{i}$ ), then since each charges the same price, faces the same industry demand, and has the same cost function, each should choose the same, profit maximizing $X_{i}$. All firms in the industy should be of the same size. Since this condition does not even roughly hold for most manufacturing industries, one of our assumptions must be blatantly false. One could rationalize different firm sizes by different assumed degrees of cooperation ( $1+\lambda_{i}$ ), but why if all firms have identical cost functions and prices should some expect different quantity responses from their rivals? More plausible is an explanation of different market shares based on different cost functions and/or prices.

But before turning to this case let us suppose for a moment that all firms within the industry did choose the same $X_{i}$; in accordance with (2). $M_{i}$ is then the same for $a l l$ firms and is simply one over the number of firms in the industry, which in turn is the Herfindahl index of concentration, H. Thus, when all firms in an industry satisfy (2) for the same ( $1+\lambda_{i}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P-c^{\prime}\left(X_{i}\right)}{P}=\frac{H\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)}{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plausible range for $1+\lambda_{i}$ is from zero to $N$. If the other firms in an industry always offset any change in output by $\underset{\underline{i}}{ }$ we have the conditions of perfect competition. Firm $\underset{\text { i }}{ }$ is powerless in affecting industry output, $1+\lambda_{i}=0$. This is the assumption made by Fama and Laffer (1970) in their demonstration that the number of firms in an industry is irrelevant for determining industry profits.

Perfect collusion occurs when each identically sized firm matches a quantity change by i with exactly the same quantity change, $1+\lambda_{i}=N$. In the range between $O$ and $N$ come all of the other plausible quantity responses of other firms in an industry to a change in a given firm's output. Except for the perfect competition case, when the price-cost margin equals zero, $1+\lambda_{i}$ takes on positive values. $\eta$ is also positive, and the industry price cost margin is positively related to the level of concentration as measured by $\underline{H}$.

Equation (3) thus gives a rationale for anticipating a positive association across industries between concentration and profits, the latter proxying the price-cost margin. Such a positive association can be furtiner strengthened if one assumes that the average, anticipated degree of cooperation in an industry ( $\overline{1+\lambda_{i}}$ ) is an increasing function of concentration, an assumption often made in the industrial organization literature ${ }^{1)}$. This assumption leads to the expectation that the key market structure variable for explaining firm profit rates across industries is industry concentration levels, at least in a world of homogeneous products and ignoring demand elasticity differences. Virm market shares should be identical within industries, and thus perfectly correlated with concentration across industries.

The restrictive assumption that all firms have identical market shares within an industry can be relaxed in several ways that preserve the basic properties of equations (2) and (3). Firms might be assumed to be uncertain about some of the parameters in (2), and choose $X_{i}$ that deviate from the true profit maximizing $X_{i}$ by some random disturbance $\mu$. If $\mu$ is assumed to be normally distributed with mean 0 , then both profits and market shares within industries will be normally distributed with the firm with mean market share having maximum profits. Across industries the dominant relationship is still one between industry concentration levels and firm profit rates.

The assumption that firms choose quantities around the industry optimum subject to a normally distributed random disturbance leads to the prediction that the size distribution of firms within an industry is normal, a prediction seldom consistent with observed industry size distributions (Hart and Prais, 1956; Simon and Bonini, 1953). A closer approximation is often the lognormal distribution (Silberman, 1967). A lognormal distribution of firm sizes will arise if firm growth rates follow a Gibrat process, i.e. the growth rates of firms in a given period are normally distributed around the industry growth rate, and each firm's growth rate in a given period is independent of both its size and previous growth rates. Such a process of growth would emerge in an homogeneous product industry in which all firms had constant returns to scale production functions, and new customers were distributed among firms via some stochastic process following a normal distribution. Other assumptions about the stochastic process determining firm growth rates lead to other predictions about the size distribution of firms (Ijiri and Simon, 1977). Assuming that firm growth rates follow some such stochastic process allows us to entertain the assumption that all firms are on the same cost function, and charge the same price, without having to assume at the same time that they are all of the same size. In particular, if all firms in an industry charge the same price, have the same constant average costs, then all will have the same price cost margin. But, if ( $1+\lambda$ ) varies across industries with concentration, then the predicted structural relationship across firms from different industries is between firm profit rates and industry concentration.

The assumption of homogeneous products and uniform prices, although often convenient analytically, is unrealistic for empirical work. Studies of actual prices and price setting invariably reveal significant and sustained differences in prices across companies in what appear to be the most homogeneous product industries ${ }^{2)}$. One reason such price differences can exist is that information about price is not perfect. Both
buyers and sellers may be unaware of all of the prices being offered in the market. A second reason why price differences may exist is that even in a seemingly homogeneous product market, firms may differ in their speed, reliability and capacity to fill orders (see Shepherd, 1975, ch. 4 ). Once imperfect information and product heterogeneities of any kind are introduced we can allow each firm to have its own price, $P_{i}$, and abandon the restrictive prediction that price-cost margins are the same across all firms within an industry.
iNow let us return to the quantity setting decision of firm i, given that we now allow firms to be of different initial sizes and to have different information about the price or quantity decisions of other firms. Let us start from a situation in which all firms are in equilibrium with regard to their profit maximizing quantity decisions. Now suppose there is a shift in the industry demand schedule upsetting the equilibrium. Firms may learn of this shift in two ways: by gathering information directly from their own customers and other independent sources of information, or by observing the behavior of their competitors. These sources of information are complementary. A company's independent evidence that market demand has increased is reinforced by observing that its competitors are expanding production. Tc the extent firms within an industry are on average correct in recognizing demand shifts on the basis of information other than the behavior of their competitors, this behavior will be a reliable source of information about industry demand conditions for the individual firm. Now the likelihood that a given firm j observes the quantity or price action of another firm $i$ in a world of imperfect information should be higher the larger firm $i$ is. Thus, with imperfect information about demand changes and competitor quantity changes, if firms rely at least in part on the observed quantity changes of their competitors to predict industry demand shifts, the quantity response firm $\underset{i}{ }$ can expect to a change in its quantity will be greater, the greater its market share. In a world of imperfect information
and exogenously given market shares, market share ( $M_{i}$ ) and the degree of cooperation $\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)$ should be positively related. This linkage will be reinforced to the extent the larger firms in an industry are assumed to have more or better information about market conditions, a reasonable assumption given the economies of scale inherent in information gathering.

Large firms can also be expected to elicit greater, corresponding quantity changes within industries of truly heterogeneous products. A frequent way of depicting product heterogeneity is in a spatial context in which the spaces are defined over product characteristics (e.g. Lancaster, 1968; Schmalensee, 1973). In this realm, a large firm can be envisaged as taking up a larger "area" in the market than smaller companies. The large firm is "closer" to more companies in the market and its decisions impinge on more. Should it decide to reduce quantity (raise price), more firms are given the room to follow a similar strategy than if a small company makes the analogous response.

The introduction of imperfect information and product heterogeneity, thus, leads to the prediction that market share and the degree of cooperation are positively related. This relationship in turn leads to the prediction that price-cost margins and market shares will be correlated, both within and across industries, the latter prediction qualified as usual by the white noise differing industry elasticities introduce into an across-industry regression.

The assumption that market share and the degree of cooperation are positively related resembles the dominant-firm-priceleadership hypothesis of the traditional industrial organization literature. Whether smaller firms are following the large market share firms as part of a tacit conspiracy or because they regard the bigger companies as visible, perhaps more reliable, barometers of market conditions, however relevant for antitrust purposes ${ }^{4}$, is irrelevant as far as establishing a positive
association between market share and price-cost margins. The important thing is that the small firms follow the large ones, and that the large ones know it. Fogether these two coniditions lead to a relationship between $M_{i}$ and $\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)$, and thereby between market share and profits. We are thus led to two different, testable hypotheses about the degree of cooperation, the collusion theory predicts a relationship between concentration and profits across firms in different industries, the imperfect information market leader theory leads to a market share-profit relationship.

In addition to allowing firms to sell different products at different prices within the same heterogeneous product industry, we must allow firms to produce using different cost functions. Rewriting (3) in its most general form we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i}\right)}{P_{i}}=\frac{M_{i}\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)}{\eta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The price cost margin of $\underline{i}$ may be larger than for $\underline{j}$ because $i$ charges a higher price, has lower marginal costs or for both reasons combined. Allowing firms to produce differentiated products under different cost conditions provides us with two alternative explanations for different firm sizes than simply the stochastic evolution of a Gibrat process. Firms may have greater market shares because their products appeal to a larger subset of buyers, or because they can charge lower prices due to lower costs. Unraveling these two alternative explanations is an important problem, and one which will prove to be largely insoluble in the context of this study. It should be kept in mind, however, that both explanations refer to firm specific product or cost advantages. In particular, if lower costs are hypothesized to have led to larger market share and a positive relationship between profits and market share, then one cannot conclude that the industry is subject to economies of scale of the traditional kind. Traditional economies of scale imply lower costs as a result of larger quantities produced and should over
time bring about a concentration of industry output into a few, in the limit a single firm's hands. If all that differentiates firms is their chosen point on a cost curve then all firms must in the long run choose the same point unless there are constant returns to scale. Size and profits can be linked through cost differences only if cost advantages result in larger outputs, and these advantiages can be maintained over time only if they are unique to specific firms.
B. Profits and Market Power in the Long Run

Equations (1) through (4) describe equilibrium conditions that pertain to a single firm or industry at a given point in time, for a given set of firms. Any equilibrium attained that results in nonzero profits will be erased over time by the entry and exit of firms, in the absence of entry or exit barriers. These barriers must exist, tautologically, to explain any persistent deviation from zero profits. Since our major concern is persistent deviations from normal returns, we are forced to bring in entry barriers and indirectly entry and exit decisions.

The decision to enter or leave an industry is an investment decision and like all investment decisions must rest on the promised return on investment relative to alternative investment returns. The key decision variable is a return on capital. Thus, consideration of the long run equilibrium leads to a focus on the return on capital rather than on sales. Later we shall want to introduce proxies for entry barriers directly, but for now we shall focus upon the key market structure variables isolated above, market share and concentration.

In chapter 2 we estimated projected profit rates at time equals infinity for each firm on the basis of the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} / t+\mu_{i t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{i t}$ is firm i's relative deviation from the average profit level for the sample in year $t$. The $\hat{\alpha}$ s estimated from this equation will be used as the dependent variables in our subsequent equations. Eq. (5) assumes an initial deviation from some long run equilibrium profit rate and continuous convergence from above or below to the long run rate. Given the arbitrary nature of the starting point of our time series, imposing a common general pattern on all firms may seem restrictive. To allow for subsequent shocks in each company's profit history, we estimated the additional equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} / t+\gamma_{i} / t^{2}+\mu_{i t}  \tag{6}\\
& \pi_{i t}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} / t+\gamma_{i} / t^{2}+\delta_{i} / t^{3}+\mu_{i t} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (6) and (7) allow for respectively one and two changes in the path of profits over time while retaining the property that profits converge on some value, $\hat{\alpha}_{i}$, as time approaches infinity. While more polynomial terms could have been added, the constraints imposed by the number of degrees of freedom in the time series, the writer's time, and computer resources dictated stopping with a third degree polynomial. Moreover, allowing for many more twists and turns in the profit series would begin to stretch the notion of eventual convergence to a long run value.

As might be expected many, although less than half, of the equations estimating the $\hat{\alpha} s$ exhibited Durbin-Watson statistics suggesting the existence of autocorrelation. Each profit equation for which the D-W statistic fell in either the range requiring acceptance of the autocorrelation hypothesis or the undefined range was reestimated after transforming the data to remove first order autocorrelation using the Cochrane-Orcutt procedure (see Johnston, 1972, pp. 259-265). As econometric theory predicts,
the removal of autocorrelation expanded the standard errors of the estimated coefficients without apparently, systematically shifting the coefficients in one way or another.

Unlike in most empirical work, the dependent variables in our second stage equations are themselves parameters estimated from a first stage equation, given by (5), (6) or (7), as the case may be. Thus, our confidence in the accuracy of our estimates of long-run, projected profits varies from observation to observation. To take account of the varying accuracy of our estimates of $\hat{\alpha}$, we have estimated each second stage equation by a form of generalized least squares in which each observation in the second stage equation is weighted by one of the standard errors of the estimate of $\hat{\alpha}_{i}$, the observation for the dependent variable ${ }^{5)}$. This form of GLS estimation has the intuitively appealing feature of weightingeach observation in proportion to our confidence in the accuracy of the value of the dependent variable.

Table 4.1 presents the results from several equations for which the $\hat{\alpha}$ dependent variables have all been estimated using eq. (5), and the equations have been estimated via the CochraneOrcutt technique whenever the $D-W$ statistic did not allow acceptance of the zero-first-order autocorrelation hypothesis at the 5 percent level. Eq . 1 in Table 4.1 includes two variables. The first, $\mathrm{H}_{50}$, is the average return on total assets for the firm over the three years 1950-52 expressed as deviations from the sample averages. It was this variable that was used to rank the companies in Chapter 2 when testing for persistence. The $\Pi_{50}$ variable tests the persistence hypothesis in a continuous way. How much of the deviation of a firm's profit rate predicted at time equals infinity can be explained by the deviation of the firm's profit rate from the norm in the years 1950, 1951, 1952?

The second variable in equation (1) is a 4-firm concentration ratio. We were able to construct two concentration ratios for each firm, in most cases, one for 1950 and one for 1972. The concentration ratio for firm $i=$ in 1950, is, for example, the weighted sum of the 4 -firm concentration ratios for each of the m industries in which the firm operated in 1950, with the firm's sales in each industry serving as weights

where $C_{4 I}$ is the 4 -firm concentration ratio in industry $I$, and $S_{i I}$ is the ith firm's sales in industry I. For 1972 we used Leonard Weiss' $C_{4}$ indexes adjusted for regional and local markets and import competition.

Throughout the study we shall use industry variables for each firm that are weighted averages of the relevant industry statistics as with this concentration variable. This practice imposes a strict linearity on the assumed relationship between the industry variable and firm profits that may bias our results. While one could experiment with nonlinear weighting schemes, such experimentation would increase the number of estimations to be made prohibitively.

Using weighted averages across different industries for each firm introduces aggregation biases of unknown magnitude and direction. Although we are not able to test for these biases here, the reader should keep them in mind when judging the significance or nonsignificance of the results.

We experimented with two weighted sums of the 1950 and 1972 concentration ratios. One was a simple average

$$
c_{4 M}^{i}=\frac{c_{50}^{i}+c_{72}^{i}}{2}
$$

the other the projected $C_{4}^{i}$ for firm $\underset{\text { i }}{ }$ at $t=\infty$, assuming
a time path as in eq. (5). This is analogous to our method of projecting market shares in Chapter 3.

$$
c_{4 P}^{i}=(23 / 22) c_{72}^{i}-c_{50}^{i} / 22
$$

The projected concentration ratio performed much better than the simple average so we have employed it throughout the study. We also constructed analogous Herfindahl indexes using the market share data we have for 1950 and 1972. The $C_{4}$ and Herfindahl indexes performed almost identically, with the $C_{4}$ measure exhibiting a slight superiority, so we have reported only the results for the $C_{4}$ measures.) Given the heavy weight placed on the $1972 C_{4}$ by our projection formula, we simply used the $C_{4}$ for 1972 as the projected concentration ratio $C_{4 p}$ for those firms in the 1972 sample, but not in the 1950 sample. Companies

Table 4.1

Results for Basic Market Structure Variables using GL.S $\alpha_{i}$ estimated from Eq. (5) after removing autocorrelation where necessary. Dependent variable $a$.

Independent Variables

| E®. | Const. | '50 | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{p}}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $M_{p} / C_{4 p}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{4 \mathrm{p}}^{2}$ | N | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & -.335 \\ & 1.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .613 \\ & 19.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .080 \\ & 2.26 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 553 | . 397 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & -.592 \\ & 4.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .587 \\ & 19.09 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .715 \\ 7.26 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 553 | . 442 |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & .009 \\ & .04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .551 \\ & 17.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.224 \\ & 4.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.190 \\ & 8.32 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 553 | . 462 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & -.632 \\ & .519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .588 \\ & i 9.08 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .815 \\ 3.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.007 \\ .519 \end{array}$ |  |  | 553 | . 442 |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & -.977 \\ & 5.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .582 \\ & 18.99 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .466 \\ 3.62 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.49 \\ & 2.96 \end{aligned}$ |  | 553 | . 450 |
| 6. | $.206$ | $\begin{aligned} & .537 \\ & 17.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.460 \\ & 4.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.044 \\ & 6.81 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 446 2.64 | 553 | . 469 |

Notes: $C_{4 \underline{p}}=(23 / 22) C_{472}-C_{450} / 22, \quad M_{\mathrm{D}}=(23 / 22) M_{72}-M_{50} / 22$
not in the ' 72 sample were dropped, giving us 553 observations.

The coefficient on $\Pi_{50}$ is positive, large and highly significant, reconfirming the results of Chapter 2. Whatever factors led to firms having above or below normal returns during 1950-52 persist in generating above or below normal returns into the indefinite future. A company that earned double the average return on total assets in 1950-52 is projected to earn 61.3 percent more than the average into the indefinite future.

The coefficient on projected concentration is positive as expected under the collusion hypothesis, and significant at the 5 percent level, although the $t$-value is a bit disappointing given the number of observations.

Eq. 2 in Table 4.1 replaces projected concentration with projected market share for each firm. As with concentration, a firm's market share was calculated as a weighted average of the market shares it had in each of the industries in which it operated. Both a simple average of 1950 and 1972 market shares was tried, and the projected market share again outperformed the simple average.

The $\Pi_{50}$ variable performs similarly in eq. (2) as in eq. (1), but the market share variable has both a much larger coefficient and a higher t-value than did concentration in eq. (2). A rise in the average concentration level for i's industries from .10 to . 20 increases its projected profit rate by .8 of one percent of the average profit rate. A similar increase in i's average market share increases its projected profit rate by 7.15 percent of the mean of the sample.

Eq. 3 includes both projected concentration and projected market share as separate explanatory variables. Both are significant but the coefficient on concentration is negative. Concentration in eq. 1 appears to have received a positive coefficient because it was proxying for market share, the simple correlation between these two variables is .72. Once the positive impact of market share on projected profits is separate-
ly accounted for, concentration's effect is negative. Assuming concentration is not proxying for some other omitted variable, its negative role in explaining profits can be rationalized, given our discussion of the previous section, through the degree of coopération term $\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)$. While such a negative impact on cooperation seems implausible when one considers only price and quantity setting, such a relationship has often been hypothesized to exist for various types of nonprice competition. Advertising has been argued to increase with industry concentration, and could lead to rivalrous interactions driving profit rates down ${ }^{8)}$. Similar consequences from rivalrous $R$ and $D$ have been found to exist ${ }^{9}$. Here one must keep separate the effects of $R$ and $D$ and advertising on individual market shares and industry concentration. The results in Table 4.1, when linked to advertising and $R$ and $D$ suggest that a firm that successfully expands its market share through advertising or $R$ and $D$ will increase its profits, but that high concentration per se will reduce them. But further discussion of the possible role of advertising and $R$ and $D$ must await explicit introduction of these variables into the model.

Equations 4 and 5 explore the effects of concentration and market share further by means of interaction terms. Eq. 4 adds to $\Pi_{50}$ and $M_{p}$ an interaction term between concentration and market share. If high concentration reinforced the impact of market share on firm profits, this term would have a positive coefficient. Instead, the coefficient is virtually zero. In eq. 5 a term is added in which market share is divided by concentration. The higher market share is relative to concentration, the higher projected profits are. Eq. 5 presents in yet another way the fact that market share and industry concentration have opposing influences on a firm's profits. But the $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ for eq. 5 is lower than for eq. 3. The separate effects of market share and concentration are best captured through a simple linear specification. Adding either the product or quotient interaction terms of equations 4 and 5 to eq. 3, where concentration and market share are both included, resulted in no improvement in explanatory in either case.

John Kwoke (1979, 1981) has argued that the best measure of concentration for explaining industry profits is the two-firm concentration ratio, and that the higher the third leading firm's market share is the lower industry profits are.

Kwoka argues that high third firm market shares tend to increase rivalry breaking down cooperation, and thus lowering industryprofits. To test Kwoka's hypothesis we constructed a projected-third-firm's market share for each company in the same manner in which we constructed firm concentration and market share indexes, i.e. as a weighted sum of the market shares of the third largest firm in each of the industries in which a company operated. This projected-third firm market share performed almost exactly as projected concentration did. Indeed, the simple correlation between the two variables was .93. When added to eq. 3 the projected-third-firm market share led to no improvement in the explanatory power of the equation. Kwoka's speculation that the market share of the third leading. firm in an industry proxies for profit-eroding rivalrous interaction in the industry would appear to be correct. But in our equation for explaining projected firm profits the 4 firm concentration ratio itself would appear to capture this rivalry adequately, in the presence of firm market share.

Several nonlinear specifications of the 3 main variables were tried beyond the interaction terms. The only one that led to a significant improvement in the fit of the equation was the inclusion of the square of the projected concentration variable (eq. 6). The coefficient on this term is positive indicating that the negative impact of concentration on projected profits follows a concave upward, parabolic form. The coefficients on the two concentration terms imply that the bottom of the parabola is reached at a $C_{4}$ slightly in excess of $0 . v$, so tnat the net impact of projected concentration on projected profits remains negative throughout the feasible range for concentration figures.

Table 4.2 presents the results using $\alpha_{i}$ from the equation yielding the highest adjusted $R^{2}$ of the 3 specifications (5), (6), and (7) presented above. Of the 602 equations estimated for the full sample of firms, the first and third degree polynominals performed about the same, eq. (5) having the highest $\bar{R}^{2} 243$ times, eq. (7) 241 times. Eq. (6) had the highest $\bar{R}^{2}$ 118 times. In a couple of instances the $\overline{\mathrm{F}}^{2}$ for the second or third degree polynominal was higher than that of a lower order specification, but the $t$ values on the individual coefficients were much lower indicating severe multicollinearity. In these few cases we used the estimates from the lower order specification, since to use the estimates plagued by multicollinearity would be tantamount to throwing the observation away given our GLS procedure of weighting each observation in the second stage by the reciprocal of the standard error of the observation for the dependent variable.

One might hope that one of the reasons we observed autocorrelation, when we imposed eq. (5) on all firms, was that the proper specification was actually given by (6) or (7) and that a shift to one of these would both substantially improve the fit of the equation and eliminate autocorrelation ${ }^{10)}$. While this pattern did occur for several firms, most still exhibited autocorrelation in the higher order specifications if they exhibited it in the lower order specification. We therefore once again applied the CochraneOrcutt procedure where required. The results in Table 4.2 are again estimated by the GLS procedure described above.

Two differences stand out between Tables 4.1 and 4.2. The $\bar{R}^{2}$ s are considerably lower in Table 4.2 and the $\Pi_{50}$ variable has a much lower coefficient and $t$ value. Throughout this study the $R^{2}$ s are calculated as the percentages of the variation in the weighted dependent variable explained by the weighted independent variables. Since the weights differ between Table 4.1 and 4.2, that which must be explained differs between the two Tables and the $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ cannot be used to argue, say, that the choice of dependent variable in Table 4.1 (all estimated from eq.5) is better than the choice in Table 4.2. The coefficient on $\pi_{50}$ in Table 4.2
clearly suggests that allowing the return on capital to follow a more flexible time path, as given by either eq. (6) or (7), yields projections of long run profits that are less supportive of the persistence of profits hypothesis. Nevertheless, the coefficients on $\pi_{50}$ are still quite large, and all t-values are above 7.0.

Beyond these two differences, the pattern of results in Table 4.2 is identical to that observed in Table 4.1. Concentration takes on a small, positive, but now insignificant, coefficient, when included alone with $\Pi_{50}$ in the equation to explain projected profits. Market share again outperforms concentration as a single explanatory variable, and when both are included in the equation,

Table 4.2

Results for Basic Market Structure Variables using GLS $\alpha_{i}$ estimated from best fit of Eqs. (5), (6) and (7) after removing autocorrelation where necessary. Dependent variable a

Independent Variables

| Eq. | Const. | . 50 | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{p}$ | $M_{p}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{P}} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | $M_{p} / C_{4 p}$ | $c_{4 p}^{2}$ | N | $\bar{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{gathered} -.048 \\ .18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .400 \\ 8.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .054 \\ 9.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 553 | . 115 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & -.634 \\ & 3.24 \end{aligned}$ | .374 8.44 |  | 1.043 7.06 |  |  |  | 553 | . 188 |
| 3. | $\begin{array}{r} .406 \\ \mathbf{i} .55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .313 \\ 7.06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.419 \\ & 5.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.88 \\ & 9.24 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 553 | . 233 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & =.730 \\ & 3.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .378 \\ 8.50 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.309 \\ & 4.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.020 \\ & 1.02 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 553 | . 188 |
| 5. | $\begin{aligned} & -1.340 \\ & 5.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .364 \\ 8.32 \end{array}$ |  | .488 2.46 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.596 \\ & 4.72 \end{aligned}$ |  | 553 | . 210 |
| 6. | $\begin{array}{r} .453 \\ 1.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .309 \\ 6.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.475 \\ & 3.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.859 \\ & 8.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .097 \\ & .38 \end{aligned}$ | 553 | . 234 |

Notes: See Table 4.1
concentration again takes on a negative and significant coefficient. This equation (3) in Table 4.2, again yields the highest $\vec{R}^{2}$ of the 5 reported. The two interaction terms in equations (4) and (5) lead to the same conclusions as in Table 4.1, as does the inclusion of a quadratic concentration term (eq.6). The $C_{4 P}^{2}$ term is positive as in Table 4.1, but insignificant. The $\bar{R}^{2}{ }_{\text {r }}$ rises slightly when $C_{4 P}^{2}$ is included, however, and this plus the noticeable drop in the t-statistic on $C_{4 p}$ suggest that eq. (6) is plagued by multicollinearity. Unreported equations using simple averages of concentration and market share rather than projected values, the Herfindahl index in place of the $C_{4}$, and the projected market share of the third leading firm, did not result in improved statistical performance over the results reported in Table 4.2.

## C. Inferences

Before considering other possible determinants of firm profits, let us pause to consider the implications of theresults we have obtained so far.

The two variables performing the best in explaining a firm's long run projected return on assets are firm specific variables: its initial profit level at the start of the 1950s, and its projected market share. The one industry variable tried, concentration, was significant when included with the other two variables, but of opposite sign to what is usually predicted under a collusion thesis. Concentration would appear to be related to the degree of interaction among firms, as typically assumed, but in a negative way. The suggestion was made, to be explored further below, that nonprice rivalry advances with industry concentration, at the expense of firm profits.

Two possible explanations for the positive association between market share and profits emerged from our analysis in the opening section. First, market share and profits may be jointly determined by the characteristics of a firm's product or ofits production technique. Firms with high market shares may earn higher profits because they have superior products and/or production techniques. Alternatively, or in conjunction, firms with high market shares, whatever their cause, may anticipate more cooperative responses to their price and quantity changes,
and thus may be able to charge higher prices, and earn higher profits.

The initial profit rate variable, $\Pi_{50}$, captures those product characteristic or production efficiency advantages a firm possesses that do not translate into higher market shares. If the competitive environment hypothesis were valid, the deviations in profits from the competitive return observed in the early 1950 s would be entirely due to chance and would disappear. Our results, based on equation 5's projection of profits, indicate that over half of the deviation of a firm's profit rate from the sample mean observed in 1950-52 is projected to persist indefinitely, over and above the deviations accounted for by its market share or industry concentration.

The dominant importance of firm specific variables emerging from this first exploration of the data runs counter to much of the spirit of the industrial organization literature stemming from Edward Mason and Joe Bain. It is the characteristics of the firm itself, of its product or production technique, of its position in the market, that determine its profit rate. This observation is particularly important when one interprets above normal profits as arising from greater efficiency. The traditional way of taking into account efficiency differences across firms has been to assume that all firms within an industry have access to the same production technology. Efficiency differences across firms are then assumed to depend solely on the scale economy properties of the production function and the relative sizes of firms. This view of efficiency has led to the inclusion of estimates of minimum efficient plant or firm size in industry profit equations, and to the new-learning critique of the traditional concentration-collusion hypothesis ${ }^{11)}$. In the latter, it is argued that the usual positive association between profits and concentration is not due to more effective collusion in concentrated industries. Proof that this is so is claimed by observing that smaller firms in concentrated industries do not earn higher than normal returns as would be expected if they had the same average costs as the large companies, and benefitted by being under the same price umbrella collusion among the large raises.

But if high concentration were the result of scale economies available to all, we would anticipate a strong positive coefficient on our market share - concentration interaction term. It is the large firms in the concentrated markets that earn the higher profits. But this prediction was not supported. Rather it is the large firms in the unconcentrated markets that earn the highest long run returns.

It is, of course, possible that these conclusions will be altered as new variables are added to the equation. In particular, the firm effect measured by $\Pi_{50}$ may prove to be industry related. We first turn to examine the impacts of a series of firm specific variables.

## Footnotes:

1. A classic reference for this assumption is William Fellner (1949).
2. See, for example, Gardner Means (1972) discussion of the Stigler-Kindahl price data as well as the latter study itself (1970).
3. See, Jesse Markham's (1951) discussion of barometric price leadership, and the general discussion by F.M. Scherer (1980), pp. 176-84.
4. Ibid.
5. For a discussion of this form of generalized least squares see Gary R. Saxonhouse (1976).
6. The results when one uses OLS without either adjusting for autocorrelation or weighting by the reciprocal of the standard error of the dependent variable are analogous to those presented in Table 4.1. For example, the OLS estimates of eq. (3) are (t-values under coefficients).
$\hat{\alpha}=-\underset{2.70}{.144}+\underset{10.34}{.418 \pi_{50}}+\underset{0.48}{.063 C_{4 P}}+\underset{7.19}{1.357 M_{P}} \quad N=553, \bar{R}^{2}=.272$
7. Those who believe $H$ is superior to $C_{4}$ as an index of concentratior will be comforted to know that our calculated $H$ varied in its reliability as a measure of the true $H$. In large industries where many of the 1000 largest are represented, e.g. petroleum refining, we have probably all of the top 15 or 20 firms with their market shares. Our calculated $H$ based on the market shares we have in the 1000 largest sample is a good proxy for the true $H$ in this type of industry. In small industries we have but 1 or 2 firms, and maybe not even the largest companies. In contrast, our $C_{4}$ measures are based on the reported census $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ indexes.
o. See, Cable (1972) and Greer (1971). As one approaches monopoly levels of concentration, the level of intensity reverses; see Primeaux, Jr. (1981), Simon (1967), and Sutton (1974).
8. See, Grabowski and Baxter (1973), and Grabowski and Mueller (1978).
9. For a discussion of the methodology of using autocorrelation to determine the correct specification of a model, see Breusch and Godfrey (1981).
10. See, Harold Demsetz (1973, 1974), and John Carter (1978).

## CHAPTER 5

## The Impact of Size, Diversification, Growth, Capital Intensity and Risk on Projected Profits

The high explanatory power of the profit rate of firms in the early '50s suggests that there are firm-specific factors other than market share and concentration causing persistently high profits. In this chapter we examine several put forward in the literature. These additional factors have neither the same legree of theoretical support nor as much prior empirical evidence in their favor as do the market share and concentration variables. Nevertheless our data set is sufficiently unique that it behooves us to test the leading candidates to explain firm profitability others have put forward.

## A. Diversification

Several hypotheses exist in the literature predicting a positive association between diversification and firm profits. As usual these break down into those predicting greater market power for diversified firms, and those hypothesizing greater efficiency. Market power advantages might arise through the exploitation of an advantage in one market in some other market, e.g., if a firm with monopsony power were to force one of its suppliers to purchase one of its products as a condition for purchasing the supplier's product ${ }^{1)}$. Efficiency advantages can be claimed for diversified firms, because they are able to avoid some of the imperfections of the capital market. Promising investment opportunities in one market can be funded by drawing capital away from other markets, without jeopardizing the profitability of the investment by having to reveal its characteristics to raise capital ${ }^{2)}$. Some initial, empirical work has found a positive association between diversification and profits ${ }^{3)}$.

We employed two measures of diversification: DIV, a Herfindahl-type index of diversification ${ }^{4}$, and IND, a raw count of the number of industries in which the firm operated. If $S_{i I}$ is firm i's sales in industry $I, S_{i}$ its total sales over the $m$ markets in which it sells (i.e. $S_{i}=I \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i I}$ ), then

$$
\text { DIV }=\sum_{I=1}^{m}\left(\frac{S_{i I}}{S_{i}}\right)^{2}
$$

and $\quad$ IND $=\mathrm{m}$

We constructed DIV and IND indexes for both 1950 and 1972 and then computed a projected DIV and IND index in the same way that we projected market share and concentration. The results from adding each of these to our basic equation with $\Pi_{50}, M$ and $C_{4}$ are presented in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 using the two, alternative $\hat{\alpha}$ estimates as dependent variables. Neither variable is significant in either Table using a 5\% level, two-tail test for significance. In Table 5.2, however, both variables pick up t-values in excess of 1.O. Since increasing diversification produces lower values of DIV, the negative coefficient on this variable along with the positive coefficient on IND both lend modest support to the hypothesis that increasing diversification is related to higher profitability ${ }^{5)}$.
B. Growth

Many studies have included an industry's growth rate in equations to explain industry profit rates on the grounds that in rapidly growing industries demand growth often outstrips supply growth allowing incumbent firms to earn short run above normal profits. Growth's inclusion in an equation, thus rests on the assumption that potential entrants and incumbents on average tend to underestimate the growth rates of fast growing industries, or face bottlenecks that preclude capacity expansion to meet growing demand.

Whether a firm's growth rate can be hypothesized to explain its profits by the same sort of hypothesis is less certain, particularly when we are working with a long run projected profit rate. On the other hand, a firm's growth rate might proxy for various firm-specific characteristics like superior management talent, a

Table 5.1
Projected Profits, Basic Market Structure Variables and Various Additional Firm Characteristics $\alpha_{i}$ estimated from $\pi_{i t}=a_{i}+s_{i} / t$, after removing autocorrelation where necessary.)

Dependent Variable a
Independent Variables

| Eq. | Const. | "so | $\mathrm{C}_{4 p}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | DIV | $\underline{N D} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | G | $S_{72}$ | S/K | n | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | .035 .18 | $\begin{array}{r} .551 \\ 17.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.216 \\ & 4.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.206 \\ & 8.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ .67 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 552 | .463 |
| 2. | $.012$ | $\begin{array}{r} .552 \\ 17.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.229 \\ & 4.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.202 \\ & 8.40 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0002 \\ & .04 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 552 | .462 |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & .050 \\ & .25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .559 \\ 17.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.225 \\ & 4.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.223 \\ & 8.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ .68 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .24 \times 10^{-7} \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 552 | . 464 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & .022 \\ & .11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .548 \\ 17.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.220 \\ & 4.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.258 \\ & 8.65 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.89 \times 10^{-5} \\ & 1.82 \end{aligned}$ |  | 552 | . 466 |
| 5. | $\begin{aligned} & .055 \\ & .28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .545 \\ 17.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.201 \\ & 3.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.265 \\ & 8.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.034 \\ .88 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.94 \times 10^{-5} \\ & 1.91 \end{aligned}$ |  | 553 | . 465 |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & .093 \\ & .40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .559 \\ 17.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.226 \\ & 4.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.224 \\ & 8.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.025 \\ .66 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .24 \times 10^{-7} \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.028 \\ .34 \end{gathered}$ | 552 | . 464 |
| 7* | $\begin{aligned} & -.485 \\ & 2.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .293 \\ 13.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.069 \\ & 2.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .788 \\ 7.49 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 552 | . 402 |
| 8* | $\begin{aligned} & -.804 \\ & 4.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .312 \\ 14.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.127 \\ & 3.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .831 \\ & 7.99 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .446 \\ & 4.34 \end{aligned}$ | 552 | . 420 |

Notes: * $\pi_{50}, C_{4 p}$, and $M_{p}$ are all multiplied by $S / K$ in eqs. (7) and (8).

Table 5.2

Projected Profits, Basic Market Structure Variables and Various Additional Firm Characteristics ( $\hat{a}_{i}$ estimated from the best fit of equations (5), (6) and (7) of Chapter 4.)

Dependent Variable $*$
Independent Variables

| Eg. | Const. | "50 | $\mathrm{C}_{40}$ | M | DIV | IND | G | ${ }^{S_{72}}$ | S/K | n | $\bar{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{array}{r} .491 \\ 1.86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .316 \\ & 7.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.370 \\ & 4.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.899 \\ & 9.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.103 \\ 1.77 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 552 | . 238 |
| 2. | $\begin{array}{r} .415 \\ 1.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .324 \\ 7.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.474 \\ & 5.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.919 \\ & 9.42 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0009 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 552 | . 236 |
| 3. | $\begin{array}{r} .503 \\ 7.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .321 \\ 7.15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.376 \\ & 4.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.910 \\ & 9.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.103 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .12 \times 10^{-7} \\ .62 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 552 | . 237 |
| 4. | $\begin{array}{r} .406 \\ 1.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .309 \\ 6.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.401 \\ & 5.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.966 \\ & 9.56 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.14 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.03 \end{gathered}$ |  | 552 | . 239 |
| 5. | $\begin{aligned} & .487 \\ & 9.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .310 \\ & 7.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =.341 \\ & 4.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.974 \\ & 9.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.109 \\ & 1.88 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.14 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.14 \end{gathered}$ |  | 553 | . 243 |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & .240 \\ & .77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .319 \\ 7.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.361 \\ & 4.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.899 \\ & 9.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.107 \\ & 1.85 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .160 \\ & 1.50 \end{aligned}$ | 552 | . 239 |

superior product, and so on that are associated with persistently high (or low for inferior talent and products) profits. That is, growth may proxy for some of the firm-specific characteristics that $\Pi_{50}$ is capturing.

Equation (3) in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 adds the firm's growth rate ( 1972 sales divided by 1950 sales) to our basic linear equation including diversification. The coefficient of growth is positive in both, significant in neither. The coefficient would be significant using the $\alpha$ estimates of equation (5), if we employed a 10 percent significance level, two-tail test. But, with 552 observations I think we are justified in dismissing any variable that does not pass at least a 5 percent cut off for one or the other of our dependent variable specifications. The firm's growth rate does not appear to be a leading candidate for a firm characteristic that is associated with long run profitability. To the extent that firm growth rates are correlated to industry growth rates, $\pi_{50}$ would also not appear to proxy for industry characteristics.
C. Size

Theoretical support for including the absolute size of a company, as opposed to its relative size as measured by market share, is somewhat lacking. One might associate many of the market power advantages often mentioned in reference to conglomerate size with size per se. That is absolute size might be viewed as a sort of interaction term between market share and diversification. In particular, a corporation's ability to exert political pressure and win profitable favors from the national or local legislatures might be most closely related to its absolute size. On the negative side, larger firms might suffer from greater internal control and information loss, bureaucratic inefficiencies of other sorts, what typically goes under the heading of x -inefficiency.

The earliest testing for the effect of size on profitability in the United States found a positive effect (Hall and Weiss, 1967). Empirical work in Europe has more typically uncovered a negative coefficient ${ }^{6)}$. Our results conform to the latter pattern. The coefficient on 1972 sales is negative for both definitions of $\alpha$, significant at the 5 percent level for the best-fit $\alpha$, and almost so for $\alpha$ estimated from eq. (5) (see, eq. (4), Tables 5.1 and 5.2). Sales are measured in millions of 1972 dollars, so that the coefficient from Table 5.2, for example, implies that an increase in sales by $\$ 1$ billion causes a reduction in long run projected returns on assets of 1.4 percent of the average returns for all firms, not a dramatic efficiency loss, but a loss nonetheless.

Diversification and sales are likely to be positively correlated and a natural question is whether size may be picking up (or detracting from) diversification's effect, or vice versa. Eq. 5 in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 include both the Herfindahl measure of diversification and 1972 sales. Both perform about the way they do when included separately, or slightly better, in terms of t-statistic. Large absolute size appears to have a modest, negative impact on long run profitability over and above the separate influences of market share and diversification.

## D. Capital Intensity

The reader will recall that our basic equation predicting a positive relationship between profits and market share and/or concentration is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{i}-c_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{P_{i}}=\frac{M_{i}\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)}{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Should $c_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{i}\right)$ be constant, the lefthand side of this equation can be rewritten as the profit to sales ratio by multiplying numerator and denominator by $X_{i}$, and the profit to sales ratio has often been used as the dependent variable in structureperformance studies. Given the long run nature of our model we have chosen the profit to assets ratio as our dependent variable for reasons given above. If (1) is the correct specification of the short run price or quantity selection decision, one might wonder whether our use of total assets rather than sales results in some sort of bias in our estimates. Alternatively, capital intensity might be one of the characteristics of firms that is being captured by the $\Pi_{50}$ variable, as might occur if imperfections in the capital market raise barriers to entry into capital intensive industries. Thus, the firm's capital intensity might be related to its long run profitability through an industry effect provied by the firm specific variable.

As our index of capital intensity, we used a simple average of the sales/total assets ratios of the firm in 1950 and 1972. Where it was not possible to estimate capital intensity in one of the years, we used the estimate for the other. The impact of capital intensity was tested with three different models. Eq. 6 in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 simply adds capital intensity ( $S / K$ ) to one of our previously estimated equations. Its coefficients are of opposite sign and insignificant.

If one rewrites equation (1) above with $\Pi / S$ on the left side, then this equation can be transformed into an equation to explain $\pi / K$ by multiplying both the left and right sides by $S / K$. If (1) were a superior formulation of the model than one in which $\pi / K-$ appears as the dependent variable, an equation in which each right-hand-side variable is multiplied by $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{K}$ should give superior performance to the ones tested. Eq. $7^{7)}$ replaces each of the three basic variables in our linear model by the product of that variable and $S / K$, i.e. the coefficient in the $\pi_{50}$ column is for $\pi_{50}$. S/K. The same basic pattern of coefficients appears, with all coefficients closer to zero. The $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ is considerably below that for the analogous equation in which $S / K$ is not multiplied through.

In equation (8), $S / K$ is added to the 3 variables included in eq.(7). The coefficient on $S / K$ is highly significant in eq. (8), but even with its inclusion, the equations in which the key market structure variables appear as interaction terms with $S / K$, perform worse than when $S / K$ is omitted. We conclude that our original formulation of the long run profit equation in which profitability is measured relative to total assets is to be preferred, and that capital intensity adds no explanatory power to this equation.

## E. Risk


#### Abstract

Risk is an important, potential explanation for persistent differences across firms in realized returns on capital. Our empirical work until now has tested for persistence of profits as if there were but a single competitive return on capital common to all firms. If firms differ with regard to risk, however, a risk averse sapital market supplies capital to firms with higher risk characteristics at higher prices. The reason we observe some firms earning higher long run returns than others may be that they operate in an inherently riskier environment, and they must provide their owners with higher returns in the long run to compensate for the greater risks these owners bear.


Two types of risk measures are most frequently used in the empirical literature: the one based on the covariance of a firm's returns with those of other firms, the other based on the variance of its returns.

The logic behind the covariance-type measures is that if owners hold diversified portfolios of assets, it is the risk (variance) of the portfolio that matters to them, not the risk (variance) of the individual asset considered in isolation.

Furthermore, an asset's contribution to the riskiness of a portfolio is directly related to the covariance of its returns with that of the portfolio, the slope coefficient, $\vec{\beta}$, from a linear time series regression of the individual company's return on the return of the portfolio ${ }^{8}$. We calculated two $\vec{B}$-type measures of risk for each of our firms. The first is based on a regression of the annual return on total assets for each firm on the mean return for the sample in each year, using the 23 years for which our $\alpha$ 's are estimated 1950-1972. Eq. 1 in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 report the results from adding this variable to our basic equation. The results are spectacular. The t-value is enormous, the adjusted $\bar{R}^{2}$ for each equation is $2 / 3$ higher than without it. The sign of this variable, $\beta_{\pi}$, is opposite to what we expect, however. The firms with persistently higher profits are those whose return on capital does not move with that of other companies.

During at least the latter part of this time period there was a downward drift in the average return on assets for the companies in our sample. $\beta_{\pi}$ in equation 1 may be serving simply as a trend variable, and equation 1 may be stating no more than that the firms projected to earn persistently higher profits based on the profit history from 1950-1972, are those that swam against the downward trend in profits over the latter part of that period.

Our second measure of $\hat{B}$ captures more of the cyclic covariability of a firm's returns, and is much less, if at all, dependent on trend factors.

For each company, the monthly returns on its common shares were used to estimate $\beta s$ with respect to the market portfolio of all stocks, for five 5-year intervals spanning the years 1949-19739). These $\beta$ estimates will be dominated by the cyclical swings in stock prices that occur over short time spans. Moreover, the trend in market returns over much of this period was upward. $\bar{\beta}$ in equation 2 of Tables 5.3 and 5.4 is the arithmetic mean of the 5 ßs estimated for the 5 time intervals spanning 1949-73. Where data were missing so that the $\beta s$ for some time intervals could not be estimated, $\bar{B}$ was calculated from the estimates we could make. The coefficient on $\bar{\beta}$ in both Tables 5.3 and 5.4 is negative and statistically significant, although less spectacular-

Table 5.3

Projected Profits, Basic Market Structure Variables and Four Measures of Risk (a $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ estimated from $\pi_{i t}=$ $z_{i}+3_{i} / t$, after removing autocorrelation where necessary.)

Dependent Variable $a$
Independent Variables

| Eq. | Const. | ${ }^{1} 50$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{p}}$ | ${ }^{M}$ | $3 \pi$ | $\bar{B}$ | $\sigma_{\pi}$ | $\sigma_{c}$ | ก | $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & .152 \\ & 1.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.096 \\ & 32.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.142 \\ & 3.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .513 \\ 4.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.320 \\ 22.88 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 472 | . 741 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & .524 \\ & 2.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .499 \\ & 14.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.018 \\ & .23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .955 \\ 6.13 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.141 \\ & 4.57 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 472 | . 474 |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & 506 \\ & 1.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .536 \\ & 15.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.255 \\ & 4.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.208 \\ & 8.23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.452 \\ & 2.54 \end{aligned}$ |  | 472 | . 458 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & -.385 \\ & 1.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .551 \\ & 17.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.190 \\ & 3.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.176 \\ & 8.19 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.613 \\ & 1.37 \end{aligned}$ | 552 | . 464 |

## Table 5.4

Projected Profits, Basic Market Structure Variables, and Four Risk Measures for Best-fit as.

Dependent Variable $a$
Independent Variables

| Eq. | Const. | ${ }^{7} 50$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{D}}$ | ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\overline{3}$ | $\sigma_{\pi}$ | $\sigma_{\text {a }}$ | n | $\bar{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{array}{r} .655 \\ 2.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .950 \\ & 17.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.373 \\ & 6.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.134 \\ & 6.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.400 \\ & 17.11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 472 | . 526 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & .987 \\ & 3.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .243 \\ 4.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.180 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.621 \\ & 7.18 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.150 \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 472 | . 246 |
| 3. | $\begin{array}{r} .398 \\ 1.08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .287 \\ & 6.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.461 \\ & 5.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.903 \\ & 8.98 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .259 \\ & .91 \end{aligned}$ | . | 472 | . 230 |
| 4. | $\begin{aligned} & .095 \\ & .23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .312 \\ 7.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.390 \\ & 4.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.873 \\ & 9.18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.111 \\ & .97 \end{aligned}$ | 552 | . 235 |

ly so than $\beta_{\pi}$. What is spectacular, however, is the interaction between $\bar{B}$ and the $C_{4 p}$ variable. The simple correlation between these two variables is . 75 and inclusion of $\bar{\beta}$ in the equation completely wipes out the effect of $C_{4 p}$ in $T a b l e 5.3$, and reduces it below the 5 percent significance cut off in Table 5.4. The high correlation between $C_{4 p}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ raises the possibility that concentration's negative role in explaining projected profits is not due to high concentration leading to high rivalry, but rather that it is simply proxying for $\bar{\beta}$. This argument could be pushed if we had an explanation for why $\bar{\beta}$ and $C_{4 p}$ should be positively correlated, and why $\bar{\beta}$ should be negatively related to long run projected profits. But no theory of which $I$ am aware exists to explain the former, and the latter also runs counter to theory. Thus, for the moment $I$ am inclined to retain $C_{4 p}$ as a possible candidate for inclusion in the equation, and attribute its weak performance when $\bar{\beta}$ is included to multicollinearity and a chance positive association between $\bar{\beta}$ and $C_{4 p}$.

Some empirical work exists in which the current profitability of a firm (profits over total assets or equity) is used to explain its $\beta$ estimated from monthly stock market returns ${ }^{10)}$. This work has found that firm profitability is negatively related to a firm's systematic risk. Share prices and perhaps dividend payouts of more profitable companies would appear to be less volatilly related to movements in the stock market, than less profitable companies. This negative correlation between risk and return can only be a short run, disequilibrium phenomenon, if product and capital markets are competitive over the long run. Our findings of persistent profit differences reject the hypothesis of the long run competitiveness of at least some markets, however. Perhaps, then the negative correlation between profitability and risk, as measured by our $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ can be explained in the same way as in the single year cross-section studies. Perhaps, it is $\hat{\alpha}$ that is causing $\bar{\beta}$. If investors in the stock market can correctly identify companies with persistently high or low profitability, and if the volatility of the returns of firms with persistently high profits is less closely related to the market's volatility than is true for low profit firms, then the
negative association between long run projected profits and $\vec{\beta}$ is explained by a reverse causality to that assumed in equation. Given that our $\beta$ measure, $\bar{\beta}$, is an average over a 25 year period, and given that our profitability measure is a long run projection based on 23 years of data, if this reverse causality explanation for the negative association between projected profits and systematic risk is correct, then the negative coefficient on $\beta$ in equation (2) is further confirmation of the existence of recognizable, persistent profit differences, and of the existence of permanent impediments to competition and/or the flow of capital to account for these persistent differences in profits. The market's treatment of the shares of firms earning persistently higher returns on total assets was such that the shareholders of these companies were able to enjoy lower systematic risk on the shares they held on average over 25 years.

It is perhaps worth remarking that the negative relationship we have estimated is between the long run profitability of the real assets of the firm and the systematic risk associated with its common shares. Once the stock market recognizes that a firm is and will be earning persistently higher returns on real assets, capita should flow toward the firm in the stock market driving the price of its shares up, and the return on them down, until the long run positive relationship between risk and returns one expects in a competitive market is established. The negative relationship we have estimated between the riskiness of common shares, and the projected profitability on real assets indicates that the flow of capital toward a firm in the stock market is not matched, fully, by the flow of real capital into the markets in which the company sells.

Whether the proper specification of the relationship between $\alpha$ and $\bar{\beta}$ is as assumed in eq. (2) in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 , or one in which $\alpha$ explains $\bar{\beta}$ will not be resolved here. The results in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 more than suffice to reject the hypothesis that projected higher than normal profits can be accounted for in the context of a competitive market environment by the higher risks these companies experience.
$\sigma_{\pi}$ is the variance in a company's return on total assets over the

23 year period 1950-72. Its coefficient is negative and significant in Table 5.3 (eq. 3), positive and insignificant in Table 5.4. The persistently higher (lower) returns projected for some firms cannot be accounted for by higher (lower) estimates of risk based on the variability of its profit rate over time.

As with $\beta_{\pi}, \sigma_{\pi}$ is a combination of cyclical variability of profit rates, and long run trend: Companies that experience significant increases or declines in profit rates will exhibit high variances in profits, even though these trends may have been easily anticipated. As with our $B$ measure, one might prefer a measure of risk that captures only the cyclical or unpredictable variability of a company's returns.

If the capital market makes predictions about the long run profitability of a company using the same kinds of data, and the same kinds of equations that we have used to project the long run profitability of a firm, then our confidence intervals around our estimates of long run profitability should correlate with the market's confidence intervals around its estimates of future returns. The standard error of our estimate of $\alpha$ might proxy for the stock market's confidence in its ability to predict the future profitability of the firm.

All of the equations presented in Chapters 4 and 5 are for weighted regressions in which the reciprocal of the standard error of our estimate of $\alpha, \sigma_{\alpha}$, has been used to weight each observation. Thus, the intercepts of these equations capture the impact of $\sigma_{\alpha}$ based on an unweighted specification of the equation: ${ }^{1 \prime}$ An examination of the intercept terms in each of these tables indicates that virtually all of the intercepts for the equations in which $\alpha$ is estimated from a regression of $\Pi_{i t}$, on $1 / t$ are insignificant. The major exceptions here are when all terms in the equation are weighted by $S / K$, equations 7 and 8 in Table 5.1. The equations in which $\alpha$ is taken from the best-fit equation of the 3 first, second and third degree polynomials in $1 / t$ indicate a more pronounced tendency for the intercept terms to be positive, but most are still insignificant.

However, the estimates in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 for equations (1), (2) and (3) present a more consistent pattern of positive intercept terms, with 4 of the 6 being significant. Note here, however, that we have used a smaller sample to estimate these equations, due to our inability to estimate $\beta$ 's from stock market returns for some companies.

Equation 4 in Tables 5.3 and 5.4 is estimated from our full 553 observation sample and includes $\sigma_{\alpha}$ as a separate linear term. Given the presence of an intercept, this specification tests for a nonlinear relationship between $\alpha$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}$ Neither the intercept nor the coefficient on $\sigma_{\alpha}$ are significant in either equation. The coefficients of the other variables in the equation are not affected by the inclusion of $\sigma_{\alpha}$ as a separate term. Although there is some tendency for the standard errors of our estimates of long run profitability to be positively related to these estimates, as one expects if $\sigma_{\alpha}$ is regarded as a measure of risk, the relationship is fairly weak and does not in any way alter our earlier conclusions regarding the impact of market share, concentration and the firm specific factors represented by $\Pi_{50}$.

## F. Evaluation

The search for firm-specific characteristics that account for the persistence of profits has not uncovered many leading candidates, beyond the market share variable. The coefficient from the time series regression of the firm's annual profit rate on the sample mean profit rate, $\beta_{\pi}$, proved highly significant but suggested only that firms that exhibit persistently higher profits did not follow the downward trend in profit rates the average firm in the sample followed.

More intriguing was the negative and significant coefficient on the average $\beta$ over the 23 years of our sample period based on monthly stock market returns. That this variable's coefficient was negative indicated most convincingly that the higher than
normal returns projected for some companies could not be rationalized within the context of the long-run competitive environment hypothesis by these firms having greater risk. Instead, firms that earn persistently above normal profits are less risky investments, as one's intuition suggests. Causality more likely runs from persistent profits to lower risk, and the negative coefficient on $\bar{\beta}$ can be interpreted as further confirmation of the persistence of profits phenomenon. The market appears to be able to identify the same long-run projected profits we do, and to attach lower systematic risk to companies with higher projected long-run profits. Companies with high projected profits are doubly blessed.

Beyond the risk-related variables the only firm characteristic to exhibit a sufficiently strong relationship to projected profitability to warrant continued consideration as an important explanatory variable, was sales. Large size, as measured by 1972 sales, was moderately, but statistically significantly, associated with lower projected profitability. Big firms would appear to experience some X-inefficiencies.

Our quest for firm-specific characteristics to account for long run differences in profitability has not produced a very rich harvest. We turn now to consider whether industry characteristics are associated with long run profitability.

## Footnotes:

1. The classic discussion of the market power advantages stemming from diversification is by Corwin Edwards (1955).
2. Assuming M-form organizational structures now go hand and hand with diversification one can cite Oliver Williamson's (1970,1975) arguments for the efficiency advantages of the M-form as justification for expecting a positive impact of diversification on profits.

Somewhat more indirectly, diversification could lead to more basic research, higher pay offs from one's research effort, and thereby in the long run greater profitability. On this see, Richard R. Nelson (1959) and Henry. G. Grabowski (1968).
3. See, Stephen A. Rhoades (1973) and John R. Carter (1977). Subsequent work by Rhoades finds the reverse effect, however (Rhoades, 1977).
4. This measure of diversification was most exhaustively investigated by Charles H. Berry (1975), although he used 1.0 minus the index we use.
5. These results do not bear directly on the hypothesis that multi-market contact between diversified firms facilitates cooperation (greater $1+\lambda_{i}$ from the model of Chapter 4) and thereby higher prices 1 and profits, as put forward and tested recently by John Scott. We have not undertaken the effort to measure multi-market contact. See, John Scott(1981a, b).
6. See, A. Jacquemin and M. Cardon (1973), and A. Jacquemin and W. Saez (1976). Note also M. Marcus's (1969) response to Hall and Weiss.
7. Analogous results were obtained for both choices of $\alpha$, so we report only those in Table 5.1.
8. See, Sharpe (1964), and any finance textbook, e.g. Fama and Miller (1972).
9. My deep gratitude goes to Carl Schwinn for estimating theseßs.
10. See, U. Ben-Zion and S.S. Shalit (1975,1982).
11. Using eq. (1) of this chapter or the analogue equations of chapter 4 as our theoretical rationale for including market share and concentration in the equation leads to an equation for estimation purposes without an intercept. By allowing for an intercept in our GLS equations we have implicitly included $\sigma_{\alpha}$, our deflator, as a separate term in the undeflated equation that does not contain an intercept. The likelihood that this laxity in not forcing the GLs equations through the origin has not produced important biases is high, given the statistical insignificance of the intercept terms generally observed.

## CHAPTER 6

## Industry Level Determinants of Persistent Profits

The traditional explanation for why profits could persist over time is that some barrier to entry exists blocking other companies from entering the industry and driving profits down (Bain, 1956). Thus, the notion of an entry barrier is closely tied to the definition and characteristics of the industry. Bain discusses several types of entry barriers among which the most important are capital cost barriers, scale economies, product differentiation, control of key patents, control of key inputs like raw materials. Of these, considerable doubt has been cast on economies of scale as an explanation of persistent profits due to the weak performance of the market share - concentration interaction term. The lack of significance of the capital intensity variable may also detract from the capital barriers hypothesis, but it is a crude measure. Test for the existence of industry entry barriers must be made directly.

The main difficulty in testing for the presence of entry barriers is to measure them. What units does one use to quantify product differentiation, or control over a raw material? Moreover, various potential barriers may act in tandem to raise an impediment in one industry that they do not succeed in raising in another, e. g. certain product characteristics and patent protection. However one measures them and regardless of how one entry barrier interacts with another, the basic idea underlying the concept of an entry barrier ties it to the definition and characteristics of an industry. To be in industry $X$ is to be protected by whatever entry barriers surround it. All firms within $\underline{X}$ should have their profits raised in proportion to the height of the entry barriers surrounding it. Any firm having part of its sales in $\underline{X}$ will have its profits raised accordingly. The most direct way to test for the existence of industry entry barriers is obviously to include the sales of the firm in each industry as separate
explanatory variables, and this is the procedure we follow.

Recall that our profit rates for each firm are measured as deviations around the sample mean for each year: In the long run, no firm or industry can survive that does not earn the competitive return on capital. This return should provide a lower bound to our estimates of projected long run profits. For any given firm, random factors and unknown biases may lead to an estimate of projected long run profits below the competitive return. But for an entire industry it is far less likely that our projected profit estimates will lie below the competitive return.

While projected profits should not in general lie below the competitive return, they can obviously lie above it to the extent firms earn rents reported as profits. One expects the mean projected profit rate for our sample to lie above the competitive return, therefore, by an amount reflecting the average monopoly rent being earned. The long run projected profit rates of firms or industries could be negative, therefore, without being below the competitive rate of return. To the extent the competitive return is a lower bound to our projected profits estimates, one expects a positive skew to the projected profit estimates.

Richard Caves and Michael Porter (1977) have recently introduced the concept of exit barriers. Impediments to leaving an unprofitable industry might result in all firms earn, ing losses for some time, and a projection of an industry profit rate below the competitive return on capital. Nevertheless, exit barriers must be inherently more transitory than entry barriers, bankruptcy being the ultimate form of exit, and one still anticipates industry profit projections below the mean to be more closely bunched and perhaps fewer in number. Given the existence of exit barriers, we must allow, however, for some industry profit projections to lie below the long run competitive return on capital. These projections would presumably be for industries in which future exits,
i.e. beyond the $1950-72$ sample period, occur.

## A. Empirical Estimates: Industry Effects

In constructing the market share and concentration variables, we took advantage of the rich detail of the FTC Corporate Patterns data base to define industries as narrowly as at the 5-digit level when our knowledge of the industry suggested that this was the appropriate level of disaggregation. Our choice of industry definitions resulted in a division of the 20 2-digit Census of Manufacturing Industries in 1972 into 775 separate industries, obviously too many to be included in a regression equation with a maximum of 552 observations. We thus chose to aggregate up to the 3-digit level, giving us a total of 143 industries. We then proceeded as follows: we first regressed the projected profits variable on the set of industry vectors consisting of the percentage of each firm's sales falling in each of the respective industries in 1972. Since the SHAZAM regression package we used has a constraint of 99 independent variables in any one regression, this step had to be conducted in two stages. We next dropped all industries whose coefficients were insignificant at the 5 percent level (two-tail test), and combined all 3-digit industries within the same 2-digit industry having coefficients insignificantly different from one another.

Twenty-one industries had coefficients significantly different from zero (5 percent level), when only the industry vectors were included as independent variables. Of these, both the coefficient and standard error for industry 254 were so large as to be implausible. The results from including just the remaining 20 industries, combining the 4 with coefficients insignificantly different from one another, are presented in eq. 1, Table 6.1. Two of the 16 industries fail to take on statistically significant coefficients when only these 16 are introduced. Equation 2 adds the $\Pi_{50}$ variable. It performs as before, and robs two additional industries of their explanatory power (the two combined industries $201+202$ and $373+$ 374). The eight significant coefficients divide themselves into

Table 6.1: Projected Profits Regressed on Industry Vectors
Dependent Variable $a^{a}$

| Independent Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $-\quad .268$ | $-\quad .129$ <br> .96 | - 0.055 |  |
| $\pi_{50}$ |  | $17.245 \mathrm{xx}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .311 \\ 6.90^{\mathrm{xxx}} \end{array}$ |  |
| $201+202$ Meat and Dairy | $-{ }_{2}^{.266} \times x \times$ | -. .037 | -.049 <br> .46 |  |
| 204 Flour and Cereals | $\begin{aligned} & .404 \\ & 4.14^{x x x} \end{aligned}$ | $5.441 \mathrm{xx}$ |  |  |
| $207+208$ Cooking Oils, Beer, Distilled Spirits | $-\frac{.33}{3.23} \mathrm{xxx}$ | $-{ }_{2.87}^{.237 x x}$ | $-\quad .193$ |  |
| 251 Furniture | $\begin{aligned} & .201 \\ & 1.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .195 \\ & 1.27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 275 Books ' |  |  | .291 1.88 |  |
| 283 Pharmaceuticals | $4.928 \times x \times$ | $\begin{gathered} .593 \\ 3.89^{x x x} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.104 \\ & 4.42^{x \times x} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 284 Soaps and Detergents | $2.322 x$ | $2.255 x$ | $\begin{aligned} & .572 \mathrm{xx} \\ & 3.44^{2} \mathrm{xxx} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 331 Steel Mill Products | $-{ }_{3.36}^{.238} \mathrm{xx}$ | $-\quad .168 \mathrm{xx}$ | $-\frac{.340}{4.01^{x x x}}$ |  |
| 342 Cutlery, Razors, Handtools |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.492 \\ & 3.51 \mathrm{xxx} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $351+358$ Generators, Engines, Laundry and Refrigerator Equipment | $4.529^{.5 x}$ | $3.464 \times x$ | $2.883 \mathrm{x} \times$ |  |
| 352 Farm Machinery | $-.488$ | $-\quad .377$ |  |  |
| 354 Machine Tools | $4.748 \mathrm{xxx}$ | $\begin{gathered} .487 x \\ 3.79 \times x x \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .540 \\ 2.84^{\times x x} \end{array}$ |  |
| $373+374$ Ships and Railroad Equipment | $-\quad .532 \times x$ |  | $-{ }_{2.63} .4 \mathrm{gxx}$ |  |
| 381 Engineering and Scientific Instruments |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -1.516 \\ 1.51 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 384 Surgical and Medical Instruments | $\begin{aligned} & -\quad .632 \\ & -.99 \end{aligned}$ | $-\quad .497$ <br> .97 | $\begin{gathered} -1.244 \\ 1.59 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 386 Photograpic Equipment |  |  | .969 3.998 x |  |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2} / \mathrm{n}$ | $.181 / 552$ | $.472 / 552$ | . $248 / 552$ |  |

a) Equations 1 and 2 estimated from $n_{i t}=x_{i}+z_{i} / t$, after removing autocorrelation
where necessary. Equation 3 uses "best fit" $\alpha_{s}$.
xxx Significant 1 percent level, two-tailed test.
xx " 5 " " , " "
x " 10 " " , " "

5 positive and 3 negative. They imply fairly substantial deviations from the norm for presence in some industries. For example, the coefficient for the pharmaceutical industry (283) indic̣ates that full participation in this industry raises the projected profit rate of a company to 59.3 percent above the average. The representative steel mill (331) has projected profits 16 percent below the average.

Equation 3 presents the analogous results using the bestfit $\alpha$. A slightly different mix of industries took on statistically significant coefficients for the alternative choice of dependent variable, although the total number of industries with significant coefficients was about the same. The most important additions were cutlery and razors (342) in which the typical firm was projected to earn profits one and a half times the sample mean, and photographic equipment (386) where the representative firm was projected to earn almost double the average profit rate. Two industries (381 and 384) took on large negative coefficients when the best-fit $\alpha$ was used as dependent variable, but neither coefficient was significant at even the 10 percent level.

The signs on the industry vectors in equations $1-3$ are in general accord with one's priors based on casual observation of the manufacturing sector. The major surprise contained in Table 6.1 is the small number of industries with statistically significant coefficients. The basic thrust of the industrial organization literature for more than a generation has been to define profits at the industry level and account for them with industry characteristics. Market power explanations of profits have emphasized collusion among the members of an industry. Efficiency explanations have assumed the existence of an industry-wide technology leading to scale economies. The possibility that above normal profits could be sustained over the long run has been very closely tied to the idea of barriers to entry into an industry, as already mentioned. ${ }^{1)}$ Given this literature one expects more than the mere handful of industry vectors that emerge as
significantly related to long run profitability. Of course, by aggregating up to the 3 -digit level we may have lost some industry distinctions that would have proved significant had.it been possible to stay at the level of disaggregation used in defining market share and concentration. On the other hand, many of the characteristics associated with the idea of entry barriers are likely to be characteristics that one can apply at the 3 -digit level nearly as well as at the $4-5$ digit levels. Since the existence of entry barriers is a necessary condition for long run sustainable profits, if we assume profitability is caused by industry factors, our use of long run projected returns should to some extent justify the 3digit definition. In any event, a finer slicing of the industry definitions seemed infeasible.

## B. Industry Effects: Advertising and Patent Intensity

A favorite proxy for the entry barrier product differentiation has been industry advertising intensity ever since Comanor and Wilson (1967) first introduced this variable into an industry profits equation. By and large it has been one of the more consistent performers in structure-performance models ${ }^{2)}$. A conceptual problem arises in its use in crosssection equations, however, in that the variable must capture both the potential for product differentiation and the extent to which this potential is pursued on average by members of the industry. For example, beer and toothpaste are both consumer goods that can and are differentiated by means of advertising. Yet the potential for differentiating these two products from the products of other industries may be quite different, so that the amount of advertising a profit maximizing monopolist would undertake in each might be quite different. Julian Simon (1967) and John Cable (1972) have both shown, furthermore, that the amount of industry advertising that can be expected in an oligopolistic market structure exceeds the amount a profit maximizing monopolist would undertake. Thus, differences in advertising across industries are likely
to reflect differences in the inherent potential for profitably differentiating the products, market structure differences, and differences in the degree of rivalry or cooperation, that also in part are related to market structure differences. Differences in advertising across industries will reflect both differences in the potential for product differentiation through advertising and differences in the effective realization of this potential.

Exactly which effect is being captured by advertising in the various studies that have appeared in the literature is not clear. Comanor and Wilson (1967), for example, limit their analysis to consumer goods industries, obviously assuming a different relationship between advertising and profits for consumer goods industries than for producer goods. But what difference is being captured when one observes consumer goods industry $X$ devoting a larger fraction of its sales to advertising than industry $Y$ ? Does this mean that product $X$ is inherently more differentiable in the eyes of consumers than $Y$, and both industries are exploiting the potential for profitable differentiation optimally? or is industry $X$ exploiting to a greater extent the same potential for product differentiation that exists in $Y$. Under the latter construction the positive coefficient usually found on the advertising variable can be interpreted as is customary in regression work, i. e. if $Y$ were to increase its advertising to the level of $X$ its profits would rise to those of X . Under the former construction, however, $Y$ is already conducting the optimal level of advertising and any change in its advertising intensity will only lower its profits. Under this construction the level of profits and advertising intensity are both jointly determined by the underlying characteristics of the product and the resulting consumer preference function. If this is the proper interpretation of the Comanor and Wilson results, then it is not clear why the advertising variable cannot be included in producer goods equations, or in a cross-section of both consumer and producer goods. Of course, for some industries the potential for product differentiation through media
advertising may be very low, as might be the case for most producer goods industries. If advertising were included as a separate variable in a sample with only producer good industries, there might be so little variation in it across industries to rob it of any statistical explanatory power. But in a sample of producer and consumer good industries it would seem that advertising intensity may be able to play the same role explaining differences in profitability across consumer and producer goods industries due to inherent differences in the potential for product differentiation as it does within the consumer goods sector.

Similar considerations apply to the distinctions within the consumer goods area drawn by Boyer (1974), Nelson (1970, 1978), and Porter (1974). This is not to say that these writers are not correct in arguing that there exist important differences in the potential for increasing profits through advertising that go beyond the distinction between consumer and producer goods. Quite to the contrary it is to question whether any of the binary classifications these studies make, or that anyone else could make, are adequate to capture all the differences in potential differentiability through advertising that exist. We have chosen, therefore, not to try to classify products according to their potential for differentiation through advertising, and to include the level of industry media advertising as an explanatory variable for each firm, recognizing that it captures but one dimension of the potential for product differentiation, and that it combines both this potential and the extent of its realization.

An obvious second possible dimension of product differentiation is the physical characteristics of the product, perhaps protected by patents. We shall include the level of industry patent intensity. One possible objection to this variable is that the potential for physical product differentiation, and the potential to patent physical differences may vary from industry to industry and from product to product. Thus, in a cross-section an industry patent intensity variable
may capture both potentials, and differences in the propensity to patent may blur the sought after product differentiation potential ${ }^{3)}$.

We constructed two industry advertising intensity and two patent intensity variables for each firm based on their 1950 and 1972 sales. For advertising we took 1963 IRS industry advertising to sales figures and calculated a predicted advertising to sales ratio for each firm based on their 1950 and 1972 sales distribution. The year 1963 was chosen as being roughly in the middle of the sample period and thus typical of the entire period. For firm i with 1950 sales in industry j of $S_{i j}^{50}$, and the advertising to sales ratio in $j$ in 1963 given by $A_{j}$, we have

$$
A_{i}^{50}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{i j}^{50} \cdot A_{j} / s_{i}^{50}, \quad S_{i}^{50}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{i j}^{50}
$$

We then constructed an industry advertising intensity index for each firm as the simple average of the 1950 and 1972 figures ( $A_{i}=\frac{A_{i}^{50}+A_{i}^{72}}{2}$ ). If either 1950 or 1972 sales were unavailable the other year's figure was used. This variable appears as IADV/S in Table 6.2.

The IPAT/S variable was constructed analogously. The numerator was the average number of patents assigned to an industry over the period 1966 - 68, the denominator 1967 shipments from the Census of Manufacturing ${ }^{4)}$. Weighted average industry patent to sales ratios were constructed for each firm using both its 1950 and 1972 sales by industry as weights. The variable included in Table 6.2 is a simple average of the two numbers.

The first two equations in Table 6.2 include the advertising and patent intensity variables, $\pi_{50}$, the industry dummies and concentration and concentration squared. All variables are
industry level variables except $\Pi_{50}$. The advertising intensity variable is positive and significant in both equations. Patent intensity is significant in neither. The $\Pi_{50}$ variable performs as before. A U-shaped relationship between profitability and concentration is apparent in both equations.

The addition of advertising intensity has a noticeable effect on the size and significance of the coefficients on the flour and cereals industry, and on soaps and detergents. The former drops from .44 to .28 , soaps and detergents becomes insignificant for both choices of dependent variables.

The lack of significance of the patent intensity variable is somewhat unexpected given previous results suggesting high returns to $R$ and $D$ expenditures. One possible explanation is that the returns to patents are so variable across industries so as to make patent intensity a poor predictor of profitability, although Branch (1974) has used patents to explain profits. David Ravenscraft's (forthcoming) results using Line of Business data are more in line with those reported here. He too finds advertising expenditures and profitability positively related at the Line of Business level, but $R$ and $D$ expenditures and profits were not positively related.

The strong, positive performance of advertising intensity is consistent with a long list of previous empirical work. Firms operating in industries with high advertising intensity have higher long run projected returns. Under the explanation given above, these higher returns are supposed to arise from the greater potential for successful product differentiation in advertising intensive industries, an interpretation reinforced by the results reported in the following section.

[^2]that (1) high advertising intensity is associated with high values of intangible capital stocks, and (2) advertising stock depreciation rates are low so that advertising is not merely replacing depreciating capital ${ }^{6)}$. Unfortunately the extent of the bias, if any, depends crucially on the measures of depreciation rates and these have varied from as low as 5 \% per year (Bloch, 1974) ${ }^{7}$ ) to 100 percent (Clarke, 1976). Given this discordance of view I have decided not to try and correct for this problem, but merely note the possible upward bias in the estimate.

## C. Industry and Firm Effects Combined: Best Overall Fit Equations

In this section we report the results when both firmand industry-level variables are included in the same equation. All firm-level variables reported in Chapters 4 and 5 were tried except for the risk variables. The latter were omitted because they took on the "wrong" signs in the equations reported, and thus either did not lend themselves to a reasonable interpretation or suggested reverse causation. Since the focus of this stucy is not the iong run risk - return relationship, but the market power and efficiency related determinants, it suffices for our purposes that inclusion of risk factors does not overturn the major conclusions of the study.

In addition to the variables already discussed we tested for the effects for interaction terms between the concentration and market share variables on the one side, and advertising and patent intensity on the other. Of these, only the product of market share and industry advertising proved to be significant. Once the firm specific market share and industry specific concentration and advertising intensity were all included several of the previously significant industry effects and firm charcteristics were no longer significant. Equations 3 and 4 in Table 6.2 report our best-overall fit

| Independent Variables | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & .137 \\ & .70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .299 \\ & 1.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .060 \\ & .28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .044 \\ & .17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\pi_{50}$ | $15.79 \mathrm{xxx}$ | $6.382 \times x x$ | $\begin{array}{r} .497 \\ 16.83 \end{array}$ | $6.815 \mathrm{xx}$ |
| $201+202$ Meat and Dairy | - 0.022 | $-\quad .073$ |  |  |
| 204 Flour and Cereals | $3.53^{.28 x x}$ | * | $\underset{3.38}{.259 x x}$ |  |
| $207+208$ Cooking Oils, Beer, Distilled Spirits | $-\quad .175{ }_{2} .23^{x}$ | $-\underset{1.42}{.}$ | $-2.204 \mathrm{xx}$ | $1.936^{\mathrm{kx}}$ |
| 251 Furniture . | .279 1.93 |  | $\begin{aligned} & .217 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 275 Books |  | .250 1.67 |  | $\begin{aligned} & .212 \\ & 1.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| 283 Pharmaceuticals | $4.609 \mathrm{xxx}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.013 \\ & 4.26 \mathrm{xxx} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .275 \\ 1.65 \end{array}$ |
| 284 Soaps and Detergents | - $\quad .032$ | $\begin{aligned} & .108 \\ & .63 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 331 Steel Mill Products | $-1.060$ | $-\quad . \quad .179 \mathrm{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} .076 \\ 1.39 \end{gathered}$ | $-{ }_{2.34} .19 \mathrm{x}$ |
| 342 Cutlery, Razors, Handtools |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.396 \\ & 3.44^{\mathrm{xxx}} \end{aligned}$ | $2.833 \mathrm{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.221 \mathrm{xx} \\ & 3.13^{\mathrm{x} x \mathrm{x}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 351 + 358 Generators, Engines, Laundry and Refrigerator Equipment | $\begin{gathered} .252 \\ 2.82^{\prime} \mathrm{xx} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .348 \\ & 2.54^{\mathrm{Kx}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .266 \\ & 3.14^{2 x x} \end{aligned}$ | $2.753 \mathrm{xxx}$ |
| 352 Farm Machinery | $-\quad .593 \mathrm{xx}$ |  | $-2.425 \times \mathrm{xx}$ |  |
| 354 Machine Tools | $5.651^{.6 x x}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .715 \\ 3.84^{\mathrm{xxx}} \end{array}$ | $4.527^{.5 x x}$ | $2.468 \mathrm{xx}$ |
| $373+374$ Ships and Railroad Equipment | $\begin{array}{r} -\quad .113 \\ -.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\quad .249 \\ 1.55 \end{gathered}$ |  | $-\underset{1.34}{.209}$ |
| 381 Engineering and Scientific Instruments | - | -1.583 $1.66{ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1.814 \\ 2.02^{x x} \end{array}$ |
| 384 Surgical and Medical Instruments | $-\quad . .612$ | -1.291 1.74 | $\begin{aligned} & .580 \\ & 1.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 386 Photographic Equipment |  | $\begin{array}{r} .782 \\ 3.26 x x \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} .525 x \\ 2.28^{x} x \end{array}$ |
| IADV/S | $5.095 \times x$ | $\begin{gathered} .099 \mathrm{xx} \\ 6.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .041 \times x \\ 3.81 \times x x \end{gathered}$ | $4.064 \times x x$ |
| IPAT/S | $\begin{aligned} & .028 \\ & .90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} -\quad .012 \\ -.25 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $M_{p}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} .643 \\ 4.11 \times x \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.353 \\ & 6.12^{x x x} \end{aligned}$ |
| Mp - IADV/S |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.408 \\ & 2.29 \times x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.228 \\ & 2.37 \mathrm{xxx} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $-7.861 \times x x$ | $-\quad .961 \mathrm{xx}$ | $-5.634 \times x$ | $-5.448 \mathrm{xxx}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{p}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.265 \\ & 7.60^{x x x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.200 \mathrm{xx} \\ & 4.83 \mathrm{xx} \end{aligned}$ | $3.677 \times \mathrm{xx}$ |  |
| DIV |  |  |  | $-1.067$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{72}$ |  |  |  | $-1.778 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2} / \mathrm{n}$ | . $540 / 552$ | . $328 / 552$ | . $559 / 552$ | . $373 / 552$ |

Notes: Equations 1 and 3 estimated from $\bar{i}_{i c}=\alpha_{i}+s_{i} / t$, after removing autocorrelation where necessary. Equations 2 and 4 use "best fit" $\alpha_{s}$.

x
10 " $\quad$, "
equations for the two alternative choices of dependent variable after eliminating any variables with t-statistics less than 1.0.

The $\Pi_{50}$ variable has the highest t-statistic for both choices of dependent variable. Its performance indicates that there exist important attributes of individual firms unrelated to their market shares, the identities of the industries in which they sell, or the structural characteristics of these industries like advertising intensity and concentration. Anywhere from a quarter to one half of the divergence in profit rates observed at a given point in time, like 1950 - 52, persists indefinitely over and above the differences accounted for by market share and the other factors.

The second most important variable on average is market share. Indeed, in equation 4 market share is actually more strongly related to projected profits than $\pi_{50}$, once the $M_{p}$. IADV/S variable is taken into account. The performance of $\Pi_{50}$ and $M_{p}$ together in the two equations clearly highlight the importance of firm-specific factors in accounting for the persistent profitability phenomenon. When the dependent variable is estimated exclusively by a first order regression of profits on the reciprocal of time, eq. 3, firm-specific characteristics that do not translate into high market shares as well as high profits dominate, for the more eclectic choice of dependent variable, eq. 4, firm-specific advantages seem to be better captured by market share.

The association between market share and profitability is strongly affected by the level of industry advertising. Eq. 3 implies that an increase in market share of .10 for a firm in an industry with no media advertising is associated with 6.43 percent higher profits than the average firm. The same difference in market shares for firms in a relatively advertising intensive industry with, say an $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}=.05$, is associated with 76.8 percent higher returns than the average company. The estimates from eq. 4 imply that a 10 percentage
point market share difference in an industry with a 5 percent advertising sales ratio is associated with almost 125 percent higher long run projected profits than the sample average.

The importance of the industry advertising intensity variable and the market share - advertising interaction reinforce our conjecture that the advertising intensity variable captures the potiential for product differentiation in the industries in which the company operates. Firms in industries with high potential for product differentiation earn on average greater profits than other companies, and firms within these industries that appear successful at product differentiation as measured by market share earn still higher profits.

Concentration has a negative net effect on profitability in equation 3 up through a projected $C_{4}$ of .94 , and a negative average and marginal effect on projected profits in eq. 4. Inclusion of the market share variable knocks out C4 ${ }_{p}^{2}$ in eq. 4. In chapter 4 we saw that concentration enters an industry profit equation through the degree of cooperation or interaction effect. The negative coefficients on the concentration variable imply that this interaction is largely rivalrous. In considering this result it must be kept in mind that the effects of firm specific factors leading to large individual market shares are taken account of separately in the equation. The absence of the market share variable in most other structure performance studies may help explain why our results differ from theirs. While successful product differentiation can be expected to lead to a higher market share and greater profits, this success will be somewhat diminished in an industry in which other firms are also successful, so that several firms have high market shares leading to high concentration.

With the market share, concentration and advertising variables in the equations, only 9 industries in eq. 3 and 10 in eq. 4 have coefficients significantly different from zero at the 5 percent level. The most noticeable change
between equations 1 and 2 brought about by the addition of the market share variables is the diminution in size and significance of the coefficient for the pharmaceutical industry. For the eclectic choice of dependent variable it falls to $1 / 4$ th of its value in eq. 2 and is barely significant at the 10 percent level. For the alternative choice of dependent variable it does not sustain a $t$ of even 1.0. Pharmaceuticals is perhaps the industry of product differentiation par excellence. Moreover the profits from successful product differentiation can often be cemented by patents. But successful product differentiation leads to large market shares and all or nearly all of the overall success of firms in this industry can apparently be accounted for by the market share variables.

The identities of those industries with significant coefficients positive or negative is unsurprising except, perhaps, for the large, negative coefficient on engineering and scientific instruments (381) in equation 4. This industry would appear destined for extinction. None of the other negative industry coefficients are so far below the sample average that they could not be guessed to be near the competitive return assuming it too lies below the mean.

The industries with positive and significant coefficients are familiar faces. Flour and cereals (204), whose effect is probably dominated by cereals; cutlery, razors, and handtools (342), probably dominated by razors ${ }^{8)}$; generators, engines, laundry and refrigerator equipment (351 + 358); machine tools (354) and photographic equipment (386). The biggest surprise in the list of industries with significant coefficients positive or negative is in the identities of the industries not represented, and the shortness of the list in general. Given the dominant role industry entry barriers have played in the industrial organization literature on the determinants of profits, and given that the industry vectors are perfectly general, i. e. they should capture any and all industry characteristics that might lead to above or below
normal profits, one expects more industry definitions to emerge as important. Scale economies may be playing a role in some cases, e. g. laundry and refrigeration equipment, the barrier to exit notion may be supported in steel mills, railroads and ship buildings, and perhaps beer, and so on, but in total looking at characteristics of different industries does not appear a fruitful way of explaining the differences in long run projected profits of the firms that inhabit them.

This finding has important implications for future research in industrial organization as do some of the other results reported here. We shall review these results in the final chapter.

## Footnotes:

1. This generalization is also valid for the recent theoretical literature on strategic deterrence. Although the models are usually formulated in terms of a single firm's strategic behavior, it is almost always assumed that.this firm is a monopolist so that the boundaries between firm and industry collapse. Nevertheless, the potential for linking the empirical findings of this study to this theoretical literature is quite large, given the latter's focus on individual firm behavior. "Only" the definition of the "territory" into which "entry" occurs must be amended to allow for the existence of deterrence strategizing by firms within industries against other firms in their industry as well as outside. For an excellent survey of the deterrence literature see Encacna, Geroski and Jacquemin (1982).
2. See Comanor and Wilson's (1979) survey of this literature.
3. The obvious alternative measure of technological differentiation is $R$ and $D$ expenditures. Industry $R$ and $D$ expenditures are available only in the rather aggregate (mostly 2-digit) form the National Science Foundation publishes. In contrast the NSF's industry patent statistics are generally at the 3-digit level.
4. Although the NSF reported patent figures at the 3 -digit level for progressive industries it reported only at the 2-digit level for the unintensive industries and not at all for industries $21,23-27,31$ and 39. We put in 0 patent/sales ratios for these industries as opposed to figures of 1.0 per $\$$ million for progressive industries and . 04 - . 06 for other unprogressives.
5. See, reviews in Scherer (1980), pp. 285-8, and Comanor and Wilson (1979)
6. See, Bloch (1974), Ayanian (1975), and Weiss (1969).
7. Bloch's figure is almost certainly too low. He does a search for the depreciation rate that maximizes $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and thereby selects the value . O5. While he reports results for higher depreciation rates, he omits reference to lower ones. Grabowski and I (1978) found that this method of choosing a depreciation rate often led to $R^{2}$ maxima that lie outside of the $0-100 \%$ range, and that a slight change in specification could "tilt" the R ${ }^{2}$ plane leading an "optimal" depreciation rate to slide to the opposite extreme. These disturbing results led us to impose plausible depreciation rates and do a sensitivity analysis. I suspect that had Bloch searched further he would have found that a depreciation rate of zero or negative was even "better" than 5 percent. See, also the Comanor - Wilson (1979) discussion of Bloch.
8. Industry 342 did not pick up a significant coefficient for the first choice of dependent variable on the first pass, but did so once all other variables were added.

## CHAPTER 7

## Conclusions and Implications

This study differs from most research in industrial organization in two respects. First, it explores the determinants of profitability from a long run perspective. It asks not what causes profits to exist, but whether they persist, and if they do what are the causes. In accounting for the persistence of profits we have placed equal emphasis on the possiole role of firm- and industry-specific factors. This equal emphasis is the second important difference between our study and the existing literature. Indeed, our finding that firm-related factors tend to dominate industry factors is at even greater variance with the existing literature. In reviewing our results we shall focus on these two characteristics.
A. The Persistence of Profitability

Underlying much of the casual and some of the more formal normative literature regarding the market economy is a sort of dynamic invisible hand theorem. Although pockets of profit may appear here and there from time to time, the long run forces of a dynamic competitive process drive all supranormal returns to the competitive level, force all sub-normal performers to leave the field'). The Schumpeterian scenario of innovation, imitation and profit erosion is the presumed description of how the market works over time in many discussions of the long run costs and benefits of market competition.

The results presented here call this view into question. Profits that appear above and below the norm at one point in time are projected to persist at above or below normal levels indefinitely. Somewhere between 30 and 60 percent of the deviations in profit rates across firms observed in 195052 are projected to persist indefinitely.

The only explanation for persistent differences in profit rates fully consistent with a competitive environment hypoṭhesis emphasizes undiversifiable differences in risk across companies. Risks inherent in the activities of the companies could account for persistent profit differences in a fully competitive economy, but risk differences leading to from 30 to 60 percent. profit differences for large numbers of companies seem implausibly large. The risk variables we tried were either uncorrelated with projected profits or negatively related to them. Firms with persistently high profits are if anything less risky investments, firms with persistently low profits are more risky.

Our findings of permanent profit differences reject a strong form of the competitive environment hypothesis. One or more markets must not be perfectly competitive over the long run to account for persistent profit differences. The most obvious candidate is the capital market. Much has been written in recent years of the efficiency of the capital market in the United States. By this is meant that when new information about the return prospects of a given company reaches the market, the price of its shares adjusts quickly to bring the long run risk-return trade-off for this firm's shares in line with the rest of the market. There is good evidence that financial markets in the United States are efficient in this sense. ${ }^{2)}$ The results reported here imply that capital does not flow so quickly. Apparent differences in returns on real assets persist indefinitely.

The relative importance of firm-related factors suggests that imitation barriers rather than entry barriers account for profit differences. Some firms possess patents, trademarks, secret knowhow or some other nonimitable advantage that allows them to earn continually higher profits.

The importance of firm-specific factors may also imply that it is not the capital market that is not equilibrating
returns, but the market for managers. Perhaps it is not the product or production technique that cannot be imitated; but the talents of managers for continually coming up with new or improved products, for continually organizing their companies more efficiently. If managerial talent explains profit differences, then it is the market for managers, the human capital market, that is not functioning, for if it were, other companies would bid for talented managers either taking them away thereby destroying the advantage a firm once had, or forcing the firm to raise the managers' compensation until the profit differences across companies disappear. Some market, the capital market, the manager market or some other unspecifiedmarket must be out of equilibrium for companies to earn persistently different profit rates.

Consideration of imperfections in the market for managers may help explain the seemingly inexplicable existence of persistent profit projections below the norm. First note however, that because we have normalized profit rates around the sample mean, all negative profit projections need not be inconsistent with the competitive environment hypothesis. Assuming some firms earn permanent rents that are reported as part of profits, profit means lie above the competitive return, and some negative returns may be at or above the competitive return.

An interesting question arises as to how much below the sample average the competitive rate of return lies. Two approaches to this question were discussed in Chapter 2. One is to assume that no firm can earn a return on capital in the long run below the competitive return. The competitive return is the lowest observed long run projected return, all others are supra normal. While this approach has a compelling logic it cannot be applied to individual firms since our profit projections are estimates subject to standard errors. One might apply this logic to groups of companies, however, assuming prediction errors across companies cancel out. Using the groupings based on 1950 - 52 profit rankings we found
that the bottom ranked sixth of the sample was projected to earn a return on capital 22.8 percent below the sample average (see Table 2.1). An alternative grouping is by industry. Three industries in Table 6.2 have long run projected returns significantly below zero. Of these, the estimate for engineering and scientific instruments is unrealistically low. This is either a bad estimate or firms in this industry are on average destined for extinction. The other two industry estimates come quite close to the -22.8 percent figure, however, -19 percent for steel mills, -23 percent for cooking oils, beer and distilled spirits. A defensible projection of long run competitive returns is that they lie some 20 percent below the sample average.

The alternative approach to estimating the competitive return is to argue that it is that return toward which firms from both above and below tend to move. Applying this criterion we calculated a deviation from the average of some -5.3 percent in Chapter 2.

Whichever figure one chooses, there are some companies in our sample with projected returns below that figure. Imperfections in the market for managers is a possible explanation for the existence of companies which are predicted to earn returns below the competitive level in perpetuity. The managerial theories predict that managers pursue goals in conflict with stockholder interests. Once managerial practices are recognized and appraised by the finance markets, normal returns will of course be earned on these companies' stocks and bonds. But real returns may sink to below normal levels as managers divert corporate profits in the pursuit of their own goals. For this practice to go on indefinitely tirere must exist imperfections in the market for managers. The separation of ownership and control is one such imperfection, making it difficult for dissatisfied owners of capital claims to displace incumbent management. Transaction costs in the market for corporate control impede outsiders from taking over management controlled companies and displacing managers who
misallocate corporate funds ${ }^{3)}$.

In the very long run no firm can survive that does not earn and reinvest the competitive return on capital. In this context our projections of subcompetitive returns on capital into the indefinite future based on 23 year time series regressions merely underscore how very long the long run can be.

## B. Profitability: Firm and Industry Effects

In the course of the study we tested for an association between several industry and firm-specific variables and our projections of long run profitability. Of the firm specific variables, two stood out as being closely associated with long run profitability: the deviation of the firm's profits from the sample mean in 1950-52 ( $\pi_{50}$ ), and its projected market share. When the projected profit estimates were all made from time series regressions of a company's profits on the reciprocal of time, the initial profits variable dominated the regression. When the projected profits variable was taken from the best fit of the 3 time series approximations tried, the $\Pi_{50}$ variable had a reduced, but nevertheless a still highly significant association with projected profits, while the size and significance of the market share variable was enhanced. Both of these variables proxy firm-specific product or efficiency characteristics that lead some firms to be more or less efficient than others. $\Pi_{50}$ proxies characteristics that are unrelated to market share, the market share variable captures characteristics that do lead to both higher profits and higher market shares. The differences in the association of these two variables with the two alternative projections of profits are in emphasis: the one emphasizes firm characteristics unrelated to market share more, the other characteristics related to market share.

The differences in the association between the two projections of long run profits and market share dispel the possibility that all of the persistence of profits we observe is due simply to accounting practices differences. If accounting practices were all that led to persistent differences in profitability there would be no reason to expect projected profits to be positively correlated with market share, unless the size of market share determines the choice of accounting convention. Similarly, the closer association of the profit projections from the best fit equations with market shares suggests that the role of economic factors in explaining long run profitability would be still greater, if we were able to make even better long run projections of profitability. On the other hand, some or all of the strong positive effect of the $\mathrm{H}_{50}$ variable may be related to differing accounting conventions across firms.

The two industry characteristics most closely related to projected firm profitability are industry advertising intensity and concentration. The impact of concentration, however, is largely negative in contrast to the performance of this variable in numerous other studies. In our modeling of the firm profitability equations we noted that concentration enters as a determinant of profits through the degree of cooperation factor. The largely negative net effect of concentration on profitability must be interpreted as implying rivalrous interactions among firms increasing as concentration increases; at least up to some point. While rivalry could evidence itself through price competition, or various forms of nonprice competition, the latter seem the more plausible. Interaction terms between concentration and either patent or advertising intensity proved insignificant, however, although multicollinearity may have been a factor here.

We have interpreted advertising intensity as an industry characteristic representing the potential for differentiating a firm's product. The strong positive coefficient for this variable indicates that being in industries with high potential for product differentiation raises the profitability of the firm on average, and successful product differentiation as measured by high market shares is associated with still higher profits. The positive coefficient on the industry advertising-market share interaction variable strongly suggests that at least for some of the firms in the sample, the market share variable is picking up product characteristic advantages rather than efficiency advantages.

Being in a particular 3-digit industry was associated with significantly higher or lower profits for only 9 or 10 of the 143 industries spanning our sample. This is surprising in that these industry variables should capture the combined influence of all of the characteristics of the industry that can lead to above or below normal profits. If collusion exists in industry $X$ and this collusion is protected by tariffs, by other government policies, by $R$ and $D$ costs, by other capital costs or by any combination of these then our industry variables should pick up these effects. Yet for only 9 or 10 industries were they apparent.

The results reported here, along with parallel results emerging using other data and methodologies, ${ }^{4)}$ strongly suggest that a rethinking of the standard structure-conduct-performance paradigm is required. A refocusing of attention from the industry to the individual firm is required. Instead of assuming that industry-wide technologies exist implying in some cases that if a firm is bigger then it has lower costs, one should begin to assume that if a firm has lower costs then it will be bigger. Instead of collusion one must think of product differentiation advantages, instead of entry barriers, imitation barriers.

Two cautions must be voiced. First, the market share and profits variables are jointly determined. Higher market shares do not cause higher profits, but rather product and production efficiency advantages cause both higher market shares and"higher profits. Second, higher market shares come about either because of product differentiation advantages or efficiency advantages. The superior performance of market share does cast doubt on whether previous positive correlations between concentration and profitability were capturing collusion effects, or market share effects. But the market share effects might very well have been due to product differentiation advantages. One should not quickly jump to the conclusion that market share captures only efficiency effects. Indeed, there is more evidence for product differentiation driving the market share - profit relationship, but more work is needed to unravel exactly what causes the market share profit linkage.

## C. Implications for Future Research

Over a decade ago Henry Grabowski and I (1970) warned that the trend to increasing diversification of companies might make future econometric studies along the lines popular in the 196 Cs impossible. Firms could no longer be classified into industries, industries being composed of bits and pieces of firms. Shortly after this paper was published the data situation in the industrial organization area began to change dramatically. The FTC report covering the 1950 1,000 largest was published in 1972. The following year the FTC conducted the follow-up survey of the 1,000 largest of 1972. Around the same time was launched the Line of Business Program gathering not only sales, but profits, advertising and a whole set of data categories essential for meaningful industrial organization research. Somewhat similar, but by-and-large inferior data bases began to be assembled and sold to firms. By 1980 econometric research in industrial organization
using these new data sources was being conducted, that would have been impossible to contemplate doing a decade earlier.

Ironically and sadly the spectre for research in industrial organization Grabowski and I sketched in 1970 confronts the profession once again at the time of this writing. The market share data for the 1,000 largest of 1972 is bottled up and may never be generally available to researchers. The future of the Line of Business Program is in doubt. The door to more meaningful and reliable research on industrial organization issues that opened in the '70s may close in the 80 s.

The results reported here and similar findings reported using the LB data demand further testing and confirmation. Did the profit disparities observed and projected in this study persist through the economically troubled '70s? What impact did the oil crisis have? Were the same variables associated with persistent profits in the '70s as were projected to be, using the data of the '50s and '60s?

Should further research confirm the findings of this study, a new set of questions is raised. What lies behind the market share - profit relationship, efficiency or product characteristic advantages? Does industry advertising capture the potential for product differentiation or the realization of that potential? What forms of rivalry account for the negative relationship between concentration and profitability?

To answer these questions more diasaggregated data than are now available even in the Line of Business Program are required. In particular, firm-specific price and quantity data are needed to disentangle efficiency from product differentiation advantages. And one will need complete panels of both cross-section and time series observations.

Further down on the research agenda additional work on the welfare and policy implications of the kind of results reported here must be undertaken. To the extent persistent profit differences arise from efficiency differences, work
must proceed on understanding how companies that are persistently less efficient than the norm can survive and what, if any, positive economic role they play. The welfare literature on product"differentiation and nonprice competition paints a very black box. 5) Light must be shed on the likely welfare effects from increasing marlset shares and profits stemming from superior product differentiation before firm policy implications can be drawn. Does increased nonprice rivalry in more concentrated markets improve social welfare? Do profits persist because some firms are good innovators or because most are poor imitators? How important to a normative evaluation of the market system is the competitive hypothesis that long run competitive forces bring about a convergence of all rates of return to the competitive level?

Much of the thrust of antitrust policy is on promoting and preserving price competition within markets. Section 1 of the Sherman Act outlawing collusion is the one piece of antitrust legislation virtually all antitrust observers are willing to defend. Pending one's review of the normative implication of the empirical findings reported here, the latter could suggest either repeal of many of the other antitrust laws or greater emphasis upon them. To the extent the persistence of profits is judged an undesirable characteristic of the market's performance, the market share - profit association implies greater emphasis on dominant firm cases (Section 2, Sherman Act), and on the actions that can buttress a dominant firm's position covered by the clayton and Federal Trade Commission statutes. If profits persist due to product differentiation advantages, a reorientation of enforcement away from competition by price to nonprice competition is called for. Either a new look at existing statutes and enforcement policies, or new statutes themselves may be required. A fertile field for new research has been opened by the FTC's surveys of the 1,000 largest companies of 1950 and 1972 .

## Footnotes:

1. See, Clark (1961), Kirzner (1973), and Schumpeter (1934).
2. See, Fama (1970) and the special issue of the Journal of Financial Economics 6 (1978).
3. On these see Manne (1965) and for an empirical estimation of the magnitude of these costs Smiley (1976). Smiley's figures imply an estimate of some 50 percent of the potential market value of the firm. Grossmann and Hart (1980) argue that the only way a takeover raider can recoup his investment is by engaging in the same misallocations as the previous management team. If valid this theory implies persistent profits below the norm even in the presence of successful takeovers and management changes.
4. Shepherd (1972), Ravenscraft (forthcoming), and Kwoka and Ravenscraft (1982).
5. See Dixit and Stiglitz (1977), Koenker and Perry (1979), Lancaster (1975), Schmalensee (1978), and Spence (1976a, b).
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In this appendix are listed the companies that were a part of our study. The first 3 subsections list the 1,000 largest sample for 1950, divided into 3 groups, the 200 largest, $201-500$ largest, and 501-1,000 largest. Column 2 of these 3 subsections indicates the firms' "status as of 1972 , where $S R \equiv$ survived, $A Q \equiv$ acquired through merger, LQ $\equiv$ liquidated, $P I \equiv$ privately held, i.e., the firm survived, but due to private control of firm not enough information was available to include it in sample, ID $\equiv$ insufficient data to include in sample, NI $\equiv$ no information as to what happened to firm. Column 3 reports same information for firms as available in the 1980 Moody's Industrial Manual. If the firm was in our sample of 602 companies used to obtain original profit estimates, its rank in 1950-52 is presented in column 4: In column 5 either the companies current name is presented if it survived, or, in parentheses, the name of the firm that acquired it and the year of acquisition.

Subsection D lists names and $1950-52$ profit ranks of companies in 1972 1,000 largest sample, that were not in 1950 1,000 largest, included in the study. Subsection E lists firms and 1950-52 profit ranks that were included in our study and were in neither the 1950 nor the 1972 1,000 largest groups.
A. Largest 200 Companies

```
Admiral Corp.
Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corp.
Allied Chemical & Dye Corp.
Allis-Chalmers Manufacturing Co.
Aluminum Company of America
American Can Co.
American Cyanamid Co.
American Home Products Corp.
American Locomotive Co.
American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp.
American Smelting & Refining Co.
American Sugar Refining Co., The
American Tobacco Co., The
American Viscose Corp.
American Woolen Co.
Anaconda Copper Mining Co.
Anheuser Busch, Inc.
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co.
Armco Steel Corp.
Armour & CO.
Armstrong Cork Co.
Atlantic Refining Co., The (Pennsylvania)
Avco Mfg. Corp.
Babcock & Wilcox Co.
Baker & Co., Inc.
Baldwin Locomotive Works, The
Beatrice Foods Co. (Delaware)
Bemis Brothers Bag Co.
Bendix Aviation Corp.
Bethlehem Steel Corp.
Boeing Airplane Co.
Borden Co., The
Borg-Warner Corp.
Briggs Manufacturing Co.
Budd Co., The
```
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$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Burlington Mills Corp. } \\ \text { California Packing Corp. } \\ \text { Campbell Soup Co. } \\ \text { Cannon Mills Co. } \\ \text { Carnation Co. } \\ \text { Case (J.I.) Co. } \\ \text { Caterpillar Tractor Co. } \\ \text { Celanese Corporation of America } \\ \text { Champion Paper \& Fibre Co. } \\ \text { Chrysler Corp. } \\ \text { Cities Service Co. } \\ \text { Coca-Cola Co., The } \\ \text { Colgate-Palmolive-Peet Co. } \\ \text { Colorado Fuel \& Iron Corp. } \\ \text { Cone Mills Corp. } \\ \text { Consolidated-Vultee Aircraft Corp. } \\ \text { Container Corporation of America } \\ \text { Continental Baking Co. } \\ \text { Continental Can Co., Inc. } \\ \text { Continental Oil Co. } \\ \text { Corn Products Refining Co. } \\ \text { Corning Glass Works } \\ \text { Crown Zellerbach Corp. } \\ \text { Crucible Steel Company of America } \\ \text { Cudahy Packing Co., The } \\ \text { Curtis Publishing Co. } \\ \text { Curtiss-Wright Corp. } \\ \text { Dana Corp. } \\ \text { Deere \& Co. } \\ \text { Douglas Aircraft Co., Inc. } \\ \text { Dow Chemical Co. } \\ \text { Dupont (E.I.) deNemours \& Co. } \\ \text { Eastman Kodak Co. } \\ \text { Eaton Manufacturing Co. } \\ \text { Electric Auto-Lite Co. } \\ \text { Col }\end{array}\right.$


| Endicott Johnson Corp. Essex Wire Corp. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AQ} \\ & \mathrm{SR} \end{aligned}$ |  | ID | (MCDONOUGH 1971) ESSEX INTERNATIONAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firestone Tire \& Rubber Co. | SR | SR | 274 |  |
| Ford Motor Co. | SR | SR | 161 | $\because$ |
| General Electric Co. | SR | SR | 152 |  |
| General Foods Corp. | SR | SR | 337 | - |
| General Milis, Inc. | SR | SR | 416 |  |
| General Motors Corp. | SR | SR | 47 |  |
| General Tire \& Rubber Co., The | SR | SR | 270 |  |
| Glidden Co. | AQ |  |  | (SCM 1967) |
| Goodrich (B.F.) Co., The | SR | SR | 188 |  |
| Goodyear Tire \& Rubber Co. | SR | SR | 44.3 |  |
| Gulf Oil Corp. | SR | SR | 26.1 |  |
| Hearst Consolidated Publications, The | SR |  | ID |  |
| Hercules Powder Company | SR | SR | 151 |  |
| Hershey Chocolate Corp. | SR | SR | 35 |  |
| Hormel (Geo. A.) \& Co. | SR | SR | 438 |  |
| Hudson Motor Car Co. | AQ |  |  | (AMERICAN MOTORS. 1954 ) |
| Hygrade Food Products Corp. | SR | SR | 469 |  |
| Inland Steel Co. | SR | SR | 290 |  |
| International Business Machines Corp. | SR | SR | 272 |  |
| International Harvester Co. | SR | SR | 434 |  |
| International Paper Co. | SR | SR | 109 |  |
| International Shoe Co. | SR | SR | 371 | INTERCO |
| Johns-Manville Corp. | SR | SR | 48 |  |
| Johnson \& Johnson | SR | SR | 298 |  |
| Jones \& Laughlin Steel Corp. | SR | SR | 495 |  |
| Kaiser Aluminum \& Chemical Corp. | SR | SR | 37'8 | . |
| Kaiser-Frazer Corp. | SR | LQ | 140 | KAISER INDUSTRIES |
| Kaiser Steel Corp. | SR | SR | 42.5 |  |
| Kellogg (Spencer) \& Sons, Inc. | LQ |  |  | 1961 |
| Kennecott Copper Corp. | SR | SR | 54 |  |
| Kimierly-Clark Corp. | SR | SR | 396 |  |
| Kingan \& Co., Inc. | AQ |  |  | (HYGRADE FOOD 1952) |
| Koppers Company, Inc. | SR | SR | 413 |  |


| Lever Brothers Co. | SR | SR | 534 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Libbey-Owens-Ford Glass Co. | SR | SR | 37 |
| Liggett \& Myers Tobacco Co. | SR | SR | 481 |
| Lockheed Aircraft Corp. | SR | SR | 518 |
| Lorillard (P.) Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Mayer (Oscar). \& Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 30.3 |
| Mead Corp., The | SR | SR | 374 |
| Minnesota Mining \& Mfg. Co. | SR | SR | 84 |
| Monsanto Chemical Co. | SR | SR | 304 |
| Morrell (John) \& Co. | A? |  |  |
| Morris (Philip) \& Co. Ltd., Inc. | SR | SR | 461 |
| Motorola, Inc. | SR | SR | 38 |
| Murray Corporation of America, The | SR | SR | 144 |
| Nash-Kelvinator Corp. | SR | SR | 268 |
| National Biscuit Co. | SR | SR | 220 |
| National Dairy Products Corp. | SR | SR | 382 |
| National Distillers Products Corp. | SR | SR. | 458 |
| National Lead Co. (New Jersey) | SR | SR | 157 |
| National Steel Corporation | SR | SR | 244 |
| National Sugar Refining Co., The | SR | SR | 522 |
| North American Aviation, Inc. | SR | SR | 52 |
| Olin Industries, Inc. | SR | SR | 592 |
| Owens-Illinois Glass Co. | SR | SR | 271 |
| Paraffine Companies, Inc., (Pabco Products Inc.). | SR | AQ | 24.2 |
| Pabst Brewing Co. | SR | SR | 202 |
| Pacific Mills | AQ |  |  |
| Packard Motor Car Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Pet Milk Co. | SR | AQ | 494 |
| Phelps Dodge Corp. | SR | SR | 92 |
| Philco Corp. | AQ |  |  |
| Phillips Petroleum Co. | SR | SR | 264 |
| Pillsbury Mills, Inc. | SR | SR | 529 |
| Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. | SR | SR | 177 |
| Pittsburgh Steel Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Procter \& Gamble Co., The | SR | SR | 94 |
| Pullman, Inc. | SR | SR | 521 |

5

UNIIEVER

LIGGETT GROUP
LOCKHEED CORP.
(LOEW'S)
(AMK)

WALLACE-MURRAY
AMERICAN MOTORS
NABISCO
KRAFT

NL INDUSTRIES

ROCKWELI INTERNATIONAL
OLIN CORP.

FIBREBOARD
(BURLINGTON IND. 1953)
(STUDEBAKER)
(FORD MOTOR 1961)

PPG
(WHEELING 1968)

```
Pure Oil Co., The (Ohio)
Quaker Oats Co., The
Radio Corporation of America
Ralston Purina Co.
Rath Packing Co., The
Remington Rand, Inc.
Republic Steel Corp.
Revere Copper & Brass, Inc.
Reynolds Metals Co.
Reynolds (R.J.) Tobacco Co.
Richfield Oil Corp.
St. Regis Paper Co.
Schenley Industries, Inc.
Schlitz (Jos.) Brewing Co.
Scott Paper Co.
Scovill Manufacturing Co.
Seagram (Joseph E:) & Sons, Inc.
Sharon Steel Corp.
Shell Oil Corp.
Sherwin-Williams Co.
Simmons Co.
Sinclair Oil Corp.
Smith (A.O.) Corp.
Socony-Vaccum Oil Co., Inc.
Spencer Kellug & Sons
Sperry Corp., The
Staley (A.E.) Manufacturing Co.
Standard Brands, Inc.
Standard Oil Co. of California
Standard Oil Co. of Indiana
Standard Oil Company (N.J.)
Standard Oil Co., The (Ohio)
Stevens (J.P.) & Co., Inc.
Studebaker Corp., The
Sun Oil Co. (New Jersey)
Swift & Co. (Illinois)
```

| AQ |  |  | (UNION OIL . 1965) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR | SR | 288 |  |
| SR | SR | 226 | RCA |
| SR | SR | 260 |  |
| SR | SR | 528 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (SPERRY 1955) |
| SR | SR | 343 |  |
| SR | SR | 181 |  |
| SR | SR | 449 |  |
| SR | SR | 431 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (ATLANTIC. 1966) |
| SR | SR | 334 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (RAPID AMERICAN 1972) |
| SR | SR | ID |  |
| SR | SR | 12.0 |  |
| SR | SR | 497 |  |
| SR | SR | 179 | DISTILILERS CORP. |
| SR | SR | 222 |  |
| SR | SR | 67 |  |
| SR | SR | 344 |  |
| SR | AQ | 326 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (ATLANTIC-RICHFIELD 1969) |
| SR | SR | 387 |  |
| SR | SR | 273 | MOBIL OIL |
| AQ |  |  | (TEXTRON 1960) |
| SR | SR | 10. | SPERRY RAND |
| SR | SR | 515 |  |
| SR | SR | 422 |  |
| SR | SR | 70 |  |
| SR | SR | 381 |  |
| SR | SR | 145 | Exxon |
| SR | SR | 376 |  |
| SR | SR | 389 |  |
| SR |  | ID | STUDEBAKER-WORTHINGTON |
| SR | SR | 146 | Sun co. |
| SR | SR | 573 | ESMARK |

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. } \\ \text { Texas Co., The } \\ \text { Tide Water Associated Oil Co. } \\ \text { Time, Inc. } \\ \text { Timken-Detroit Axle Co., The } \\ \text { Timken Roller. Bearing Co. } \\ \text { Union Bag \& Paper Corp. } \\ \text { Union Carbide \& Carbon Corp. } \\ \text { Union Oil Co. of California } \\ \text { United Aircraft Corp. } \\ \text { United States Gypsum Co. (Illinois) } \\ \text { United States Rubber Co. } \\ \text { United States Steel Corp. } \\ \text { Wesson Oil \& Snowdrift Co., Inc. } \\ \text { West Point Manufacturing Co. } \\ \text { West Virginia Pulp \& Paper Co. } \\ \text { Western Electric Co., Inc. } \\ \text { Westinghouse Electric Corp. } \\ \text { Weyerhaeuser Timber Co. } \\ \text { Wheeling Steel Corp. } \\ \text { Willys-Overland Motors, Inc. } \\ \text { Wilson \& Co., Inc. } \\ \text { Youngstown Sheet \& Tube Co., The } \\ \text { Zenith Radio Corp. } \\ \end{array}\right.$
SYlvania Electric Products, Inc.
Texas Co., The
Tide Water Associated Oil Co.
Iime, Inc.
Timken-Detroit Axle Co., The
Timken Rollęr, Bearing Co.
Union Bag \& Paper Corp.
Union Carbide \& Carbon Corp.
Union Oil Co. of California
United Aircraft Corp.
United States Gypsum Co. (Illinois)
United States Rubber Co.
Wesson Oil \& Snowdrift Co., Inc.
West Point Manufacturing Co.
West Virginia Pulp \& Paper Co.
Western Electric Co., Inc.
Nestinghouse Electric Corp.
Weyerhaeuser Timber Co.
Wheeling Steel Corp.
Willys-Overland Motors, Inc.
Nilson \& Co., Inc
Zenith Radio Corp.


|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bohn Aluminum \& Brass Corp. Bridgeport Brass Co. | $A Q$ $A Q$ |  |  | (GULF \& WESTERN) <br> (NATIONAL DISTILLERS. 1961) |
| Bristol-Myers Co. | SR | SR | 307 |  |
| Brown Co. | SR | SR | 240 |  |
| Brown Shoe Company, Inc. | SR | SR | 306 | BROWN GROUP |
| Brown \& Williamson Tobacco Corp. | SR | SR | 100 | BRITISH AMER.TOBACCO |
| Bucyrus-Erie Co. | SR' | SR | 289 |  |
| Bulova Watch Co., Inc. (New York) | SR | SR | 421 |  |
| Bunker Hill \& Sullivan Mining \& Concentrat.Co | AQ |  |  | (GULF RESEARCH \& CHEMICAI) |
| Burroughs Adding Machine Co. | SR | SR | 547 | BURROUGHS CORP. |
| Callaway Mills Co. | LQ |  |  |  |
| Calumet \& Hecla Consolidated Copper Co. | AQ |  |  | (UNIVERSAL OIL) |
| Carborundum Co. (Delaware) | SR | SR | 174 |  |
| Carey (Philip) Manufacturing Co. | AQ | : |  | (GLEN ALDEN) |
| Carrier Corporation | SR | AQ | 453 |  |
| Celotex Corp., The | AQ |  |  | (WALTER, JIM) |
| Central Soya Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 126 |  |
| Certain-teed Products Corp. | SR | SR | 117 |  |
| Champion Spark Plug Co. | SR | SR | 30 |  |
| Chase Bag Co. | SR |  | NI |  |
| City Products Corp. | AQ |  |  | ( HFC ) |
| Clark Equipment Company | SR | SR | 263 |  |
| Clinton Foods, Inc. | AQ |  |  | (STANDARD BRANDS 1956) |
| Cluett, Peabody \& Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 350 |  |
| Collins \& Aikman Corp. | SR | SR | 508 |  |
| Colorado Milling \& Elevator Co. | $A Q$ |  |  | (GREAT WESTERN UNITED $196 \%$ |
| Combustion Engineering-Superheater,Inc. | SR | SR | 383 |  |
| Commercial Solvents Corp. | SR | AQ | 351 |  |
| Congoleum-Nairn, Inc. | AQ |  |  | (BATE INDUSTRIES 1968) |
| Consolidated Cigar Corp. | AQ |  |  | (GULF \& WESTERN) |
| Consolidated Paper Co. | SR | SR | 127 | CONSOLIDATED PACKAGING |
| Consolidated Water Power \& Paper Co. | SR | SR | 248 | CONSOLIDATED PAPERS |

A-1-9

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crane Company | SR | SR | 384 |  |
| Crowell-Collier Publishing Co. | AQ |  |  |  |
| Crown Cork \& Seal Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 582 |  |
| Cummins Engine Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 245 |  |
| Cuneo Press, Inc. | SR | SR | 581 |  |
| Curtiss Candy Co. | AQ |  |  | (STANDARD BRANDS 1964) |
| Cutler-Hammer,Inc. | SR | AQ | 105 |  |
| Dan River Mills,Inc. | SR | SR | 503 |  |
| Detroit Steel Corporation | AQ |  |  | (CYCLOPS 1971) |
| Devoe \& Raynolds Co., Inc. | AQ |  |  | (CELANESE. 1964) |
| Diamond Alkali Co. | SR | SR | 411 | DIAMOND SHAMROCK |
| Diamond Match Co., The | SR | SR | 320 | DIAMOND INTERNATIONAL |
| Doehler-Jarvis Corp. | AQ |  |  | (NATIONAL LEAD 1952) |
| Donnelley (R.R.) \& Soṇs Co. | SR | SR | 456 |  |
| Dresser Industries, Inc. | SR | SR | 354 |  |
| Dubuque Packing Co. | SR |  | NI |  |
| Du Mont (Allen B.) Laboratories, Inc. | $A Q$ |  |  | (FAIRCHILD CAMERA) |
| Eagle-Picher \& Co. | SR | SR | 342 |  |
| Eastern States Petroleum Co. Inc. | NI |  |  |  |
| Electric Storage Battery Co., The | SR | AQ | 533 | ESB INC. |
| Electrolux Corp. | AQ |  |  | (CONSOLIDATED FOODS) |
| Elgin National watch co. | SR | SR | 575 |  |
| Emerson Radio \& Phonograph Corp. | AQ |  |  | (NATIONAL UNION ELECTRIC) |
| Erwin Mills, Inc. | AQ |  |  | (BURLINGTON INDUSTRIES 19 |
| Fairbanks, Morse \& Co. | A2 |  |  | (COLT INDUSTRIES) |
| Fairchild Engine \& Airplane Corp. | SR | SR | 509 | FAIRCHILD HILLER |
| Fairmont Foods Co. | SR | SR | 594 |  |
| Field Enterprises, Inc. | SR |  | NI |  |
| Flintkote Co., The | SR | SR | 301 |  |
| Florsheim Shoe Co., The | AO |  |  | (INTERNATIONAL SHOE 1953) |
| Food Machinery \& Chemical Corp. | SR | SR | 450 | FMC |


| Forstmann Woolen Co. | AQ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fruehauf Trailer Co. | SR | SR | 40.2 |
| Fulton Bag and Cotton Mills | AQ |  |  |
| Gair (Robert) Co., Inc. | AQ |  |  |
| Gardner Board \& Carton Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Gates Rubber Co. | SR |  | NI |
| Gaylord Container Corp. | AQ | . |  |
| General American Transportation Corp. | SR | SR | 539 |
| General Aniline \& Film Corp. | SR | SR | 553 |
| General Baking Co. | SR | SR | 406 |
| General Cable Corp. | SR | SR | 36.1 |
| General Shoe Corp. | SR | SR | 190 |
| Gerber Products Co. | SR | SR | 172 |
| Gibson Refrigerator Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Gillette Safety Razor Co. (Delaware) | SR | SR | 3 |
| Globe Oil \& Refining Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Globe-Union, Inc. | SR | AQ | 24.3 |
| Godchaux Sugars, Inc. | AQ |  |  |
| Golden State Co., Ltd. | $A Q$ |  |  |
| Goodall-Sanford, Inc. | AQ |  |  |
| National Battery (Gould-National Batteries) | SR | SR | 297 |
| Granite City Steel Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Graniteville Co. | SR | SR | 341 |
| Great Northern Paper Co. | SR | SR | 368 |
| Great Western Sugar Co., The | SR |  | 459 |
| Greenwood Mills, Inc. | SR |  | NI |
| Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp. | SR | SR | 385 |
| Hall (W.F.) Printing Co. | SR | AQ | 340 |
| Handy \& Harmon | SR | SR | 462 |
| Harbison-Walker Refractories Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Harnischfeger Corp. | SR |  | ID |
| Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Heinz (H.J.) Co. | SR | SR | 538 |
| Hills Bros. Coffee Co. | AQ |  |  |
| Hinde \& Dauch Paper Co., The | $A Q$ |  |  |

Forstmann Woolen Co.
Fruehauf Trailer Co.
Fulton Bag and Cotton Mills
Gair (Robert) Co., Inc.
Gardner Board \& Carton Co.
Gates Rubber Co
Gaylord Container Corp.
General American Transportation Corp.
General Baking Co.
General Cable Corp.
eneral Shoe Corp.
Gibson Refrigerator Co.
Gillette Safety Razor Co. (Delaware)
Globe-Union, Inc.
Godchaux Sugars, Inc.
Golden State Co., Ltd.
Goodall-Sanford, Inc.
National Battery (Gould-National Batteries)
Granite City Steel Co.
Graniteville Co.
Great Northern Paper Co.
Great Western Sugar Co., The
Greenwood Mills, Inc.
Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corp.
Handy \& Harmon
Harbison-Walker Refractories Co.
Harnischfeger Corp.
Hazel-Atlas Glass Co.
Heinz (H.J.) Co.
Hinde \& Dauch Paper Co., The
(J.P. STEVENS 1957) FRUEHAUF CORP.
(ALLIED PRODUCTS)
(CONTINENTAL CAN.1956)
(DIAMOND MATCH 1957)
(CROWN ZEL工ERBACH. 1955)
GATX
GAF
GENERAL HOST

GENESCO
(WHITE CONSOLIDATED 1955)
GILIETTEE CO.
(MID-WEST REFINING)
(NATIONAL SUGAR 1956)
(FOREMOST-MCKESSON. 1953: (BURLINGTON INDUSTRIES : GOULD INC.
(NATIONAL STEEL 1971)

GREAT WESTERN UNITED

GRUMMAN CORP.
(DRESSER INDUSTRIES 1967
(CONTINENTAL CAN 1956)
(WESTVACO 1953)
Houdaille-Hershey Corp.
Hughes Tool Co.
Hunt Foods, Inc.
Industrial Rayon Corp.
Ingersoll-Rand Co.
Interchemical Corp.
Interlake Iron Corp.
International Milling Co.
International Silver Co.
Interstate Bakeries Corp.
Joanna Western Mills Co.
Joslyn Mfg. \& Supply Co.
Joy Manufacturing Co.
Juilliard (A.D.) \& Co., Inc.
Karagheusian (A.\&M.), Inc.
Kellogg Co.
Kelsey-Hayes Wheel Co.
Kendall Co., The
Keystone Steel \& Wire Co.
Kieckhefer Container Co.
Kohler Co.
Kroehler Mfg. Co.
Laclede Steel Co.
Lees (James) \& Sons Co.
Lehigh Portland Cement Co.
Libby, McNeill \& Libby
Liebmann Breweries, Inc.
Lilly (Eli) \& Co.
Link-Belt Co.
Lion Oil Co.
Lipton (Thomas J.), Inc.
Lone Star Cement Corp.
Long-Bell Lumber Co.
Longview Fibre Co.
Lowenstein (M.) \& Sons, Inc.

Luckens Steel Co.
Mack Trucks, Inc.
Magnavox Co., The
Mansfield Tire \& Rubber Co., The
Marathon Corp.
Martin (Glenn L.) Co., The
Masonite Corp.
Massey-Harris Co.
Mathieson Chemical Corp.
Maytag Co., The
McElwain (J.F.) Co. (major manufacturing subsidiary of Melville Shoe Corporation)
McGraw Electric Co.
McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Inc.
McLouth Steel Corp.
Mengel Co., The
Merck \& Co., Inc.
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corp.
Midland Steel Products Co., The
Miller Brewing Co.
Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Co.
Minneapolis-Moline Co.
Minnesota \& Ontario Paper Co.
Mohawk Carpet Mills, Inc. 1)
Moore Business Forms, Inc.
Motor Products Corp.
Motor Wheel Corp.
Mount Vernon-Woodberry Mills, Inc.
Mrs. Tucker's Foods, Inc.
Mullins Manufacturing Corp.
National Automotive Fibres, Inc.
National Cash Register Co., The
National Container Corp.
National Cylinder Gas Co. (Delaware)
National Gypsum Co.

(SIGNAL COMP.)
(AMERICAN CAN 1957)
MARTIN-MARIETTA

MASSEY-FERGUSON
(OLIN

MELVILTE SHOE
MCGRAW-EDISON
(MARCOR 1953)
(SUNRAY DX 1954)
MIDLAND ROSS
(PHILIP MORRIS 1969)
HONEYWELL INC.
DOLLY MADISON
(BOISE-CASCADE)
MOHASCO
MOORE CORP. LTD.
(WHITTAKER CORP.)
(GOODYEAR 1964)
(HOLLY)
(ANDERSON-CLAYTON 1951)
(AMERICAN STANDARD)
CHRIS CRAFT
NCR
(OWENS-ILIINOIS: 1955)
CHEMETRON

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National Malleable \& Steel Castings Co. National Supply Company, The | $A Q$ $A Q$ |  |  | (MIDLAND ROSS: 1964) <br> (ARMCO STEEL 1958) |
| Nestle Co. | SR | SR | ID |  |
| New Jersey Zinc Company | AQ |  |  | (GULF \& WESTERN) |
| Newport News Shipbuilding \& Dry Dock Co. | AQ |  |  | (TENNECO 1968) |
| Newport Steel Corp. | AQ |  |  | - (ACME SIEEL 1956) |
| Northern Paper Mills | AQ |  |  | (AMERICAN CAN 1952) |
| Norton Co. | SR |  | ID |  |
| Ohio Oil Co., The | AQ |  |  | (MARATHON OIL) |
| Oliver Corp., The | AQ | SR | ID | (WHITE MOTOR 1960) |
| Oneida, Lta. | SR | SR | 227 |  |
| Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp. | SR | SR | 237 |  |
| Oxford Paper Co. | AQ |  |  | (ETHYL 1967) |
| Pacolet Manufacturing Co. | AQ |  |  | (DEERING MILIIKEN) |
| Parke, Davis \& Co. | AQ |  |  | (WARNER-LAMBERT 1970) |
| Penick \& Ford, Ltd., Inc. | AQ |  |  | (R.J. REYNOLDS 1965) |
| Pepperell Mfg. Co. | AQ |  |  | (WEST POINT MFG. 1965) |
| Pepsi-Cola Co. | SR | SR | 353 | PEPSICO |
| Pfizer (Chas.) \& Co., Inc. | SR | SR | 110 |  |
| Pblicker Industries, Inc. | SR | SR | 574 |  |
| Purity Bakeries Corp. 2) | AQ |  |  | (AMERICAN BAKERIES 1953) |
| Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc. | SR | SR | 189 |  |
| Rayonier, Inc. | AQ |  |  | (ITT) |
| Raytheon Manufacturing Company | SR | SR | 577 |  |
| Reeves Brothers, Inc. | SR | SR | 433 |  |
| Reeves Steel \& Mfg. Co. | AQ |  |  | (UNIVERSAL CYCLOPS 1958) |
| Reichhold Chemicals, Inc. | SR | SR | 593 |  |
| Reliance Manufacturing Co. (Illinois) | LQ |  |  |  |
| Reo Motors, Inc. | AQ |  |  | (WHITE MOTOR 1957) |
| Republic Aviation Corp. | LQ |  |  |  |
| Rexall Drug, Inc. | SR | SR | 587 | DART IND. |
| Rheem Manufacturing Co. | AQ |  |  | (CITY INVESTING) |
| Riegel Textile Corp. (Delaware) | SR | SR | 478 |  |
| Robertshaw-Fulton Controls Co. | SR | SR | 112 | ROBERTSHAW CONTROLS |
| Rockwell Mfg. Co. | SR | AQ | 104 |  |

Roebling's (John A.) Sons Co.
Rohm \& Haas Co.
Royal Typewriter Co., Inc.
Ruberoid Company, The
Russell-Miller Milling Co.
St. Joseph Lead Co. (New York)
Savannah Sugar Refining Corp.
Schaefer (F.M.) Brewing Co.
Seeger Refrigerator Co.
Servel, Inc.
Sheller Manufacturing Corp.
Singer Manufacturing Co., The
Skelly Oil Co.
Smith (Alexander) \& Sons Carpet Co. ${ }^{1)}$
Spartan Mills
Springs Cotton Mill
Square D Co.
Squibb (E.R.) \& Sons
Standard Steel Spring Co.
Stanley Works
Stauffer Chemical Co.
Sterling Drug, Inc.
Stewart-Warner Corp.
Stokely-Van Camp, Inc.
Sunbeam Corp.
Sunray Oil Corp.
Sunshine Biscuits, Inc.
Sutherland Paper Co.
Swanson (C.A.) \& Sons
Tecumseh Products Co.
Tennessee Corp.
Textron, Inc.
Thompson Products, Inc.
Times-Mirror Co.
Tobin Packing Co., Inc.

(CF \& I 1951)
(LITTON INDUSTRIES)
(GAF 1967)
(PEAVEY)
ST. JOSEPH MINERAL
SAVANNAH FOODS
(WHIRLPOOL 1955)
(GOULD 1966)
SHELLER-GLOBE
(MOHASCO 1955)

SPRINGS MILLS
(MATHIESON 1952)
(ROCKWELL SPRING \& AXLE
(SUN OIL 1968)
(AMERICAN BRANUS 1966)
KALAMAZOO VEG. PARCH. (19
(CAMPBELL SOUP 1954)
(CITIES SERVICE 1963)

TRW

Todd Shipyards Corp.
United Biscuit Co. of America
United Engineering \& Foundry Co.
United Merchants \& Manufacturers, Inc.
United Shoe Machinery Corp.
U.S. Industrial Chemicals, Inc.

United States Pipe \& Foundry Co.
United States Plywood Corp.
Van Raalte Co., Inc.
Virginia-Carolina Chemical Corp.
Wagner Electric Corp.
Walker (Hiram) \& Sons, Inc.
Ward Baking Co.
Westinghouse Air Brake Co.
Nineteen Hundred Corp. (Whirlpool Corp.)
White Motor Co., The
Wood (Alan) Steel Co.
Worthington Pump \& Machinery Corp.
Wrigiey (Wm.), Jr. Co. (Delaware)
Wyandotte Chemical Corp.
Wyman-Gordon Co.
Yale \& Towne Manufacturing Co., The Young (L.A.) Spring \& Wire Corp.


KEEBLER
(WEAN UNITED 1970)

USM
(NATIONAL DISTILIERS. 1962
(JIM WALTER 1969)
CHAMPION INTERNATIONAJ
(CLUETT PEABODY. 1968)
(MOBIY OIL 1963)

WARD FOOD
(AMERICAN STANDARD 1968)

STUDEBAKER-WORTHINGTON
(BADISCHE-ANIL)
(EATON MFG. 1963)
P. HARDEMAN INC.
C. Companies Ranked 501 to 1,000

Addressograph-Multigraph Corp. Affiliated Gas Equip., Inc.

Alabama Mills, Inc.
Allen-Bradley Co.
Alpha Portland Cement Co.
Alton Box. Board Co.
Aluminum Goods Mfg. Co.
Amalgamated Sugar Co.
American Bakeries Company 2)
American Bosch Corp.
American Cast Iron Pipe Co.
American Chicle Co.
American Colortype Co. (New Jersey)
American Crystal Sugar Co.
American Distilling Co., The
American Hard Rubber Co.3)
American Hardware Corp., The,
American Hide \& Leather Co.
American Laundry Machinery Co.
American Liberty Oil Co.
American Machine \& Foundry Co.
American Maize Products Co.
American Manufacturing Co.
American-Marietta Co.
American Meter Co., Inc.
American Potash \& Chemical Corp.
American Safety Razor Corp.
American Seating Company
American Ship Building Co., The
American Snuff Co.
American Stove Co.
American Window Glass Co.
American Yarn \& Processing Co.
Ames Worsted Co.
Anderson-Prichard Oil Corp.


Apex Electrical Mfg. Co., The Arrow-Hart \& Hegeman Electric Co. Art Metal Construction Co. Artistic Foundations, Ind.

Arvey Corp.
Aspinook Corp.
Associated Spring Corp.
Associated Plywood Mills, Inc.
ATF, Inc.
Atlas Plywood Corp.
Atlas Powder Co.
Autocar Co.
Ball Brothers Co.
Bancroft (Joseph) \& Sons Co.
Barber-Colmon Co.
Bassett Furniture Industries, Inc.
Bath Iron Works Corp.
Bausch \& Lomb Optical Co.
Bay Petroleum Corp.
Bayuk Cigars, Inc.
Beacon Manufacturing Co.
Beech Aircraft Corp.
Bell Co., The
Bell \& Howell Co.
Beloit Iron Works
Berkshire Knitting Mills
Bird \& Son, Inc.
Black \& Decker Mfg. Co., The
Black, Sivalls \& Bryson, Inc.
Blackstone Corp.
Bliss, E.W., Co.
Blockson Chemical Co.
Blumenthal (Sidney) \& Co., Inc.
Boston Woven Hose \& Rubber Co.
Botany Mills, Inc.


Bower Roller Bearing Co.
Bowser Inc.
Brach (E.J.) \& Sons
Brown \& Bigelow
Brown-Forman Distillers Corp.
Brown Paper, Mill Co., Inc.
Brown \& Sharpe Mfg. Co.
Bruce, E.L., Co.
Brunswick-Balke-Collender Co., The Buda Co.

Buffalo Bolt Co.
Buffalo Forge Co.
Tex-O-Kan Flour Mills (Burrus Mills)
Butler Manufacturing Co.
Byers (A.M.) Co.
Cabot Carbon Co.
Camp Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Campbell, Wyant \& Cannon Foundry Co.
Canada Dry Ginger Ale, Inc.
Carpenter Steel Co.
Ceco Steel Products Corp.
Centennial Flouring Mills Co.
Central Fibre Products Co., Inc.
Century Electric Co.
Chain Belt Co.
Champlin Refining Co.
Chatham Mfg. Co.
Cheney Brothers
Chesapeake Corp. of Virginia
Chicago Daily News, Inc., The
Chicago Mill \& Lumber Co.
Chicago Pneumatic Tool Co. (New Jersey)
Ciba Pharmaceutical
Cincinnati Milling Machine Co.
Clark Thread Co.
(ALLIS-CHALMERS 1953)
(HOUDAILIE IND. 1957
(CARGILL 1971)
BUTLER INTERNATIONAL
(GENERAL TIRE 1956)
(UNION BAG 1955)
(TEXTRON 1956)
(NORTON SIMON 1968)
CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY
CECO CORP.
(UNITED PACIFIC)
(TENNECO)
(GOULD 1972)
REXNORD
(CELANESE 1964)
(J.P. STEVENS 1955)
(FIELD ENIERPRISES)

CIBA GEIGY
CINCINNATI MILACRON

Cleveland Graphite Bronze Co., The Cleveland Twist Drill Co.

Cleveland Worsted Mills Co.
Climax Molybdenum Co.
Clow (James B.) \& Sons
Coleman Company, Ind. (Kansas)
Columbia Broadcasting System, Ind.
Columbia River Paper Co.
Columbian Carbon Co.
Conde Nast Publications, Inc.
Consolidated Chemical Industries, Inc.
Continental-Diamond Fibre Co.
Continental Foundry \& Machine Co.
Continental steel Corp.
Cook Paint \& Varnish Co.
Cooper-Bessemer Corp., The
Coors (Adolph) Co.
Coos Bay Lumber Co.
Cornell Wood Products Co.
Cosden Petroleum Corp.
Creameries of America, Inc.
Crocker Burbank \& Co., Assn.
Crompton \& Knowles Loom Works
Crossett Lumber Co.
Crown Central Petroleum Corp.
Cuban-American Sugar Co., The
Darling \& Co.
Davison Chemical Corp.
Dayton Malleable Iron Co.
Dayton Rubber Co., The
De Laval Separator Co.
Decca Records, Inc.
Deep Rock Oil Corp.
Dennison Manufacturing Co.
Detroit Harvester Co.

(GEORGIA PACIFIC 1956)
(ST. REGIS PAPER.1959)
(AMERICAN PETROFINA 1963)
(BEATRICE FOODS 1952)
(WEYERHAEUSER 1962)
(GEORGIA PACIFIC 1962)
(W.R. GRACE)

DAYCO
(M.C.A. 1966)
(NATIONAL INDUSTRIES)
(WALTER KIDDE)
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Detroit Steel Products Co.
Dewey & Almy Chemical Co.
Diamond T Motor Car Co.
Dierks Lumber & Coal Co.
Disston (Henry) & Sons
Dixie Cup Co.
Dixie Mercerizing Co.
Doniger (David D.) & Co. Inc.
Doubleday & Co., Inc.
Doughnut Corp. of America
Draper Corp.
Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corp.
Duplan Corp.
Duquesne Brewing Co. of Pittsburgh
Durez Plastics & Chemicals, Inc.
Dwight Manufacturing Co.
Eastern Corp.
Easy Washing Machine Corp.
Eddy Paper Corp.
Edison (Thomas A.), Inc.
Ekco Products Co. (Illinois)
El Dorado Oil Works
Elliott Co.
Emerson Electric Manufacturing Co.
Emhart Manufacturing Co.
Emsco Derrick & Equipment Co.
B.V.D. Corp., The (Erlanger Mills Corp.)
Evans Products Company
Eversharp, Inc.
Ex-Cell-O Corp.
Fafnir Bearing Co.
Falstaff Brewing Corp.
Falk Corp.
Farrel-Birmingham C.., Inc.
Federal-Mogul Corp.
```



| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SR} \\ & \mathrm{AQ} \end{aligned}$ | SR | 332 | (MASSEY-EARRIS CO. 1953) |
| SR | SR | 356 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (MOHASCO 1962) |
| SR |  | NI |  |
| SR | SR | 440 | -ROPER GEO. |
| AQ |  |  | (OGDEN) |
| SR |  | NI. |  |
| AQ |  |  | (UNION CHEM. \& MAT.) |
| SR | SR | 336 | FOREMOST-MCKESSON |
| AQ |  |  | (CONTINENTAL CAN 1959) |
| NI |  |  |  |
| NI |  |  |  |
| SR | AQ | 230 | SOLA BASIC - |
| SR |  | NI |  |
| SR |  | NI | $\cdots$ |
| AQ |  |  | (CONSOLIDATED FOODS) |
| AQ |  |  | (NORTON SIMON) |
| SR | AQ | 125 |  |
| SR |  | ID | GARLOCK INC. |
| SR | SR | 590 |  |
| SR | SR | 56 |  |
| SR | SR | 21 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (SINGER 1968) |
| SR | SR | 19.6 |  |
| SR | SR | 482 | GENERAL STEEL INDUSTRIES |
| AQ |  |  | (TALLY INDUSTRIES) |
| SR | SR | 194 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (INTERPACE 1962) |
| SR | SR | 409 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (STROH's BREW.) |
| $A Q$ |  |  | (AMERICAN BRANDS 1956) |
| AQ |  |  | ( TEXTRON 1967) |
| AQ |  |  | (KENNECOTT COPPER) |
| NI |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Green Giant Co.
Greif Brothers Cooperage Corp.
Griesedieck Western Brewery Co. $\frac{H}{\pi}$ Grinnell Corp.

Gruen Watch Co.
Gulf States Paper Corp.
Hall Brothers, Inc.
Hamilton Watch Co.
Hamm (Theo.) Brewing Co.
Hammermill Paper Co.
Hammond Lumber Co.
Hanes (P.H.) Knitting Co.
Harbor Plywood Corp.
Harley Davidson Motor Co.
Earris-Seybold-Potter Co.
Harshaw Chemical Co., The
Hart, Schaffner \& Marx
Hathaway Manufacturing Co.
Heil Co.
Heintz Mfg. Co.
Hercules Motors Corp.
Hewitt-Robins, Inc.
Heyden Chemical Corp.
Heywood-Wakefield Co.
Hines (Edward) Lumber Co.
Hobart Manufacturing Co., The
Hंoe (R.) \& Co., Inc.
Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc.
Holeproof Hosiery Co.
Hollingsworth \& Whitney Co.
Holly Sugar Corp.
Hooker Electrochemical Co.
Hoover Co., The (Ohio)
Howes Leather Co., Inc.
Hubbard \& Co.


Huber (J.M.) Corp.
Hudson Pulp \& Paper Corp.
Huron Portland Cement Co.
Ideal Cement Co.
Imperial Paper \& Color Corp.
Imperial Sugar Co.
Ingalls Iron Works Co., The
Inland Container Corp.
Inspiration Consolidated Copper Co.
International Latex Corp.
International Minerals \& Chemical Corp.
International Salt Co.
I-T-E Circuit Breaker Co.
Jack \& Heintz Precision Industries, Inc.
Jacobs (F.L.) Co.
Jeffrey Mfg. Co.
Jergens (Andrew) Co.
Johnson (S.C.) \& Son
Kalamazoo Vegetable Parchment Co.
Kayser (Julius) \& Co.
Keasbey \& Mattison Co.
Kendall Refining Company
King-Seeley Corp.
Koehring Co.
Ladish Co.
Lambert Co., The
Lamson \& Sessions Co.
Landers, Frary and Clark
Lavino (E.J.) \& Co.
Le Tourneau (R.G.), Inc.
Lee (H.D.) Co., Inc.
Lee Rubber \& Tire Corp.
Lennox Furnace Co., The
Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Lewin-Mathes Co.
(A-T-O INC)
(NATIONAL GYPSUM 1959)
IDEAL BASIC INDUSTRIES (HERCULES 1960)
(GIEN ALDEN)
(AKZONA 1970)
I-T-E IMPERIAL
(LEAR-SIEGLER)
(AMERICAN BRANDS 1970)
(GEORGIA PACIFIC 1967)
KAYSER ROTH
(CERTAIN-TEED 1961)
(WITCO CHEMICAL 1966)
( HFC )

WARNER-LAMBERT
(J.B. WIIIIAMS)
(INT. MIN. \& CHEM. 1965)
NI
(V.F. CORP. i969)

LEE NATIONAL
(CERRO-deFASCO 1956)

Life Savers Corp.
Lily-Tulip Cup Corp.
Linen Thread Co., Inc.
Lincoln Electric Co.
Liquid Carbonic Corp., The
Lock Joint Pipe Co.
Lone Star Steel Co.
Lorraine Mfg. Co.
Lowe (Joe) Corp.
Lucky Lager Brewing Co.
Ludlow Manufacturing \& Sales Co.
M \& M Wood Working Co.
Magee Carpet Co.
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works
Mallory (P.R.) \& Co., Inc.
Manhattan Shirt Co., The
Manitowoc Shipbuilding Co.
Manning, Maxwell \& Moore, Inc.
Marion Power Shovel Co.
Marlin-Rockwell Corp.
Marquette Cement Mfg. Co.
Mars, Inc.
Masland (C.H.) \& Sons
Matthiessen \& Eegeler Zinc Co.
McCall Corp.
McCord Corp.
McCormick \& Co.
Mead Johnson \& Co.
Medusa Portland Cement Co.
Meredith Publishing Co.
Mergenthaler Linotype Co.
Mesta Machine Co.
Metal \& Thermit Corp.
Miehle Printing Press \& Mfg. Co. Milprint, Inc.


Mississippi Cottonseed Products Co. Moloney Electric Co.

Monarch Mills
Moore (Benjamin) \& Co.
Mooresville Mills
Morton Salt Co.
Mueller Brass Co.
Munsingwear, Inc.
Murray Company of Texas, Inc.
National Acme Co., The
National Can Corp.
National Coop. Refinery Association
National Electric Products Corp.
National Pressure Cooker Co.
National Screw \& Manufacturing Co.
National-Standard Company
Naumkeag Steam Cotton Co.
Nekoosa-Edwards Paper Company
Neptune Meter Company
Nesco, Inc.
New York Air Brake Company, The
New York Shipbuilding Corporation
Newport Industries, Inc.
Nicholson File Co.
Niles-Bement-Pond Co.
Noma Electric Corp.
Nopco Chemical Company, Inc.
Nordberg Mfg. Co.
Northwest Engineering Co.
Northwest Paper Co., The
Northwestern Steel \& Wire Co.
Ohio Boxboard Co.
Ohio Brass Co., The
Ohio Cranksinaft Co.
Ohio Match Company

| SR |  | NI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AQ |  |  | (COLT INDUSTRIES) |
| AQ |  |  | (DEERING MILLIKAN) |
| SR |  | NI |  |
| AQ |  |  | (BURLINGTON IND. 1954) |
| $A Q$ |  |  | -(NORWICH 1969) |
| SR | AQ | 171 | UV INDUSTRIES |
| SR | SR | 414 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (NORTH AM. AVIATION) |
| SR | SR | 232 | ACME CLEVELAND |
| SR | SR | 557 |  |
| SR | ID |  | (ASHLAND OIL) |
| AQ |  |  | (MCGRAW-EDISON 1958) |
| SR | SR | 331 | NATIONAL PRESTO |
| AQ |  |  | (MONOGRAM INDUSTRIES) |
| SR | SR | 14.3 |  |
| SR | AQ | 596 | INDIAN HEAD |
| AQ |  |  | (GREAT NORTHERN PAPER 197C |
| SR | AQ | 8.1 | NEPTUNE INTERNATIONAL |
| AQ |  |  | (N.Y. SHIPBUILDING 1954) |
| AQ |  |  | (GENERAL SIGNAL) |
| AQ |  |  | (MERRITT, CHAPMAN 1970) |
| AQ |  |  | (TENN. GAS TRANS.) |
| AQ |  |  | (COOPER INDUSTRIES 1971) |
| AQ |  |  | (COLT INDUSTRIES) |
| AQ |  |  | (SIRNAL CORP.) |
| AQ |  |  | (DIAMOND-SHAMROCK 1967) |
| AO |  |  | (REXNORD 1970) |
| SR | SR | 223 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (POTLATCH FORESTS 1964) |
| SR | SR | 106 |  |
| AQ |  |  | (CENTRAL FIBRE PROD.) |
| SR | AQ | 14 |  |
| SR | AQ | 487 | PARK-OHIO |
| AQ |  |  | (NORTON SIMON) |
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Reliance Electric \＆Engineering Co．，The Rhinelander Paper Co．

Rice－Stix，Inc．
Ricnardson Co．
Richman Brothers Co．
Riegel Paper Corp．
Robbins Mills，Inc．
Robertson（H．H．）Co．
Rome Cable Corp．
Ronson Art Metal Works，Inc．
Royster（F．S．）Guano Co．
Ruppert（Jacob）
Russell，Burdsall \＆Ward Bolt \＆Nut Co．
Saco－Lowell Shops（Maine）
Sangamo Electric Co．
Savage Arms Corp．
Sayles Finishing Plants，Inc．
Schweitzer（Peter J．），Inc．
Scullin Steel Company
Seabrook Farms Co．（N．J．）
Seiberling Rubber Co．
Shamrock Oil \＆Gas Corp．，The
Sharp \＆Dohme，Inc．（Maryland）
Sheaffer（W．A．）Pen Co．
Shellmar Products Corp．
Shenango Furnace Co．
Shuford Mills，Inc．
Shwayder Bros．，Inc．
Simonds Saw \＆Steel Co．
Simpson Logging Co．
S．K．F．Industries，Inc．
Smitin（I．C．）\＆Corona Typewriters，Inc．
Smith－Douglass Co．，Inc．
Smith，Kline \＆French Laboratories
Sonoco Products Co．

| $n$ $N$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{N}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { O }}$ |  | H |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | 号 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ -1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | H | H |  |  | H | H | O $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ m |  | $\xrightarrow{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{6}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  | O | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ |
| ${ }_{0}^{6}$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}{\infty}$ | 内 | 呪 | 㐭 | $\begin{gathered} \text { R4 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | প্র | $\underset{\infty}{\alpha_{1}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | O1 | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | OI | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | O | に | Ơ | 업 | $\underset{\Omega}{\alpha}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ | O | OI | 区 | O | $$ | 恣 | 吕 | O1 | $\stackrel{\alpha}{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岁 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |

（WOOLWORTH）
（EEDERAL PAPERBOARD 197．2）
（TEXTRON 1954）
（ALCOA 1959）
RONSON CORP．
（KRATTER CORP．1962）
（MAREMONT）
（EMHART 1957）
（KIMBERLY－CLARK 1957）

SEILON
（DIAMOND AIKALI 1967）
（MERK 1953）
（TEXTRON 1966）
（DIAMOND GARNER）
（WALIACE－MURRAY：1966）

SCM
（BORDEN 1964 ）
SMITHKLINE

Sorg Paper Company
Soundview Paper Company
South Penn Oil Co.
Southern Advance Bag \& Paper Co., Inc.
Southland Paper Mills, Inc.
Southwestern Portland Cement Co.
Spalding (A.G.) \& Brothers, Inc.
Standard-Coosa-Thatcher Co.
Standard Lime \& Stone Co.
Standard Railway Equipment Mfg. Co.
Standard Screw Co.
Stanley Home Products, Inc.
St. Joe Paper Co.
St. Paul \& Tacoma Lumber Co.
Stetson (John B.) Co.
Stromberg-Carlson Co.
Sun Chemical Corp.
Superior Steel Corp.
Surface Combustion Corp.
Swisher (Jno. H.) \& Son, Inc.
Talon, Inc.
Taylor Forge \& Pipe Works
Tennessee Products \& Chemical Corp.
Textile Machine Works
Textiles-Incorporated
Thatcher Glass Manufacturing Co.
Thermoid Co.
Thew Shovel Co.
Thomaston Mills
Thor Corp.
Toledo Scale Co.
Torrington Co., The
Trailmobile Co.
Trane Company
Triangle Conduit \& Cable Co.

| $\begin{aligned} & S R \\ & A Q \end{aligned}$ | SR | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| SR | SR | 7.8 |
| AQ |  |  |
| SR | AQ | 50 |
| AQ |  |  |
| $A Q$ |  |  |
| SR | SR | 313 |
| NI |  |  |
| SR | AQ | 3.3 |
| SR | SR | 269 |
| SR | SR | 32 |
| SR |  | NI |
| AQ |  |  |
| SR | AQ | 500 |
| AQ |  |  |
| SR | SR | 372 |
| AQ |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| $A \mathrm{Q}$ |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| NI |  |  |
| SR | SR | 73 |
| AQ |  |  |
| A |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| SR | SR | 19 |
| AQ |  |  |
| $A Q$ |  |  |
| A0 |  |  |
| AQ |  |  |
| Sk | SR | 116 |
| SR |  | ID |
|  |  |  |

(SCOTT PAPER1950)
PENNZOIL
(CONTINENTAL CAN 1954)
(SOUTHDOWN)
(QUESTOR 1969)

STANRAY
STANADYNE
(ST. REGIS PAPER '56)
(GENERAL DYNAMICS)
(COPPERWELD STEEL 1956)
(MIDLAND-ROSS 1956)
(AMERICAN MAIZE 1966)
(TEXTRON 1968)
(GULF \& WESTERN)
(MERRITT, CHAPMAN)
(REXALI DRUG 1966)
(H.K. PORTER)
(KOEHRING 1964)
(SCM 1967)
(RELIANCE ELECTRIC 1967)
(Ingersoll-Rand 1969)
(PULIMAN 1951)

TRIAIVGLE INDUSTRIES

```
Trico Products Corp.
    True Temper Corp.
    Twin Coach Co.
    Underwood Corp.
    United Carbon Co.
    United Carr Fastener Corp.
    United Drill \& Tool Corp.
    United Elastic Corp.
    United States Envelope Co.
    United States Hoffman Machinery Corp.
    United States Playing Card Co.
    U.S. Printing \& Lithograph Co., The
    United States Radiator Corp.
    United States Tobacco Co.
    Universal-Cyclops Steel Corp.
    Upjohn Co., The
    Utah-Idaho Sugar Co.
    Utica \& Mohawk Cotton Mills, Inc.
    Van Norman Co.
    Vanadium Corp. of America
Verney Corp.
Vick Chemical Co.
Victor Chemical Works
Visking Corp.
Waldorf Paper Products Co.
Walworth Co.
Wanskuck Co.
Warner \& Swasey Co., The
Warren (S.D.) Co.
Washburn Wire Co.
Waukesha Motor Co.
Weatherhead Co., The
Welch Grape Juice Co.
Werthan Bag Corp.
Western Printing \& Lithographing Co.
```

White Sewing Machine Corp.
Whitin Machine Works
Whitman (William) Co., Inc.
Wilshire Oil Co.
Wiscassett Mills Co.
Wood (Gar)..Industries, Inc.
Wood (John) Mfg. Co., Inc.
Woodside Mills
Woodward Iron Co.
Wurlitzer (Rudolph) Co.
York Corp.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l}\text { SR } & \text { SR } & 507 & \begin{array}{l}\text { WHITE CONSOLIDAIED } \\ \text { (WQ } \\ \text { LQ }\end{array} \\ \text { (WHITE CONSOL. 1965) }\end{array}\right)$

* Through an error in the company's report General Cigar Co. was considered to have manufacturing shipments too small to be included among the 1,000 largest manufacturing companies. Subsequently the tabulations on the cigar industry were amended to include it.
\# Through an error in the company's report Griesedieck Western Brewery Co. was considered to be among the companies ranked 201 to 500. The tabulations on the beer industry are based on the company's amended report.

1) Smith acquired Mohawk Carpet in 1955, but Mohawk is regarded as surviving to avoid dropping observation.
2) American Bakeries acquired by Purity in 1953, but American regarded as surviving to avoid losing observation.
3) Bachmann Uxbridge acquired American Hard Rubber in 1957. Name changed to Amerace, Amerace sold Bachman Uxbridge in 1960.
D. Companies in 1000 largest of 1972 but not in 1000 largest 1950, and in sample of 603.


| Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Profit Rank } \\ & \text { 1950-1952 } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Profit Rank $1950-1952$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maremont Corp. | 241 | Stone Container Corp. | 43 |
| Martin Marietta | 6 C 1 | Sucrest Corporation | 54.5 |
| Maseo Corp. . | 34 | Sundstrand Corp. | 25.4 |
| McDonnell Douglas Corp. | 155 |  |  |
| Miles Laboratories | 96 | Tenneco | 224 |
| Mohawk Rubber Co. | 201 | Thiokol Corp. | 51.1 |
| Monroe Auto Equipment Co. | 44.6 | Thomas \& Betts Corp. | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| Morton-Norwich Products | 132 | Trans Union Corp. | $47 \%$ |
| Nalco Chemical Co. | 55 | UMC Inđustries | 39:7 |
| National Union Electric Corp. | 367 | United Technologies Corp. | 429 |
| Northrop Corp. | 323 | United Brands Co. | 57 |
| Noxell Corp. | 7 |  |  |
|  |  | V.F. Corp. | 312 |
| Ogden Corp. | 59.5 | Victor Comptometer Corp. | 124 |
| Oxford Industries | 479 |  |  |
|  |  | Walter, Jim Corp. | 444 |
| Parker-Hannifin Corp. | 5.13 | Whittaker Corp. | 1 |
| Pitney-Bowes, Inc. | 357 | Wickes Corp. | 165 |
| Polaroid | $6 \cdot 5$ | Witco Chemical Corp. | 349 |
| Porter, H.K. Co. | 355 |  |  |
| Purex Corp. | 3 C 8 | Xerox Corp. | 464 |
| Purolator, Inc. | 79 |  |  |
| Ranco, Inc. | 25 |  |  |
| Rapid-American Corp. | 517 |  |  |
| Rockwell International Corp. | 52 |  |  |
| Rohr Industries | 167 |  |  |
| Royal Crown Cola | 36 |  |  |
| Rubbermaid, Inc. | 5 |  |  |
| Schering-Plough Corp. | 29.6 |  |  |
| Searle, G.D.: \& Co. | 4 |  |  |
| Signal Companies | 589 |  |  |
| Signode Corp. | 236 |  |  |
| Skil Corp. | 46 |  |  |
| Sprague Electric Co. | 23 |  |  |
| Standard Pressed Steel | 86 |  |  |

E. Companies in 603 firm sample but in neither the 1,000 largest sample of 1950 nor the 1,000 largest sample for 1972.

| Name | Profit Rank 1950-1952 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams-Mills | 415 |  |
| Bond Clothing | 523 |  |
| British Petroleum | 26.7 |  |
| Baldwin | 492 |  |
| Freepont Minerals | 42 |  |
| Foote | 195 |  |
| Giant Portland Cement | 95 |  |
| Giddings Lewis | 490 |  |
| Hazeltine | 294 | $\cdots$ |
| Helene Curtis | 466 |  |
| Howmet | 168 |  |
| Leesona | 579 |  |
| Mississippi Portland Cement | 280 |  |
| Moly Corp. | 315 |  |
| Monarch Machine | 286 |  |
| Pittston | 583 |  |
| Tootsie Roll | 98 |  |
| Standard Kollsman | 6 |  |
| Starrett | 432 |  |

A-1-34

APPENDIX A-2
What follows is a list of the names of the industry categories used in this study to determine market shares, and the weights used to define industry variables like advertising intensity and concentration. First come the 1950 industry names. New SIC is the number Carl Schwinn assigned to the industry or industries listed to the right with their appropriate SIC (OLD SIC) numbers. Following these comes the 1972 industry list with the Weiss C4 where available. Where not available we constructed a C4 from the Census (national level) figures.
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| NEW SIC | census valu OF SHIPMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23210 | 573858 |
| 23220 | 202541 |
| 23230 | 107876 |
| 23250 | 3524980 |
| 23270 | 294458 |
| 23280 | 110893 |
| 23290 | 847346 |
| 23310 | 257737 |
| 23340 | 359741 |
| 23350 | 815510 |
| 23360 | 150028 |
| 23390 | 121094 |
| 23410 | 377148 |
| 23420 | 331165 |
| 23690 | 254210 |
| 23820 | 68761 |

## DESCRIPTION

23211 SHIRTS EXCEPT WORK SHIRTS (23280) AND NIGHTWEAR MADE OF WOVEN FABRIC -- MENS AND BOYS
23221 KNIT UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR MADE FROM FABRIC KNIT ELSEWHERE AND WOVEN UNDERWEAR--MENS AND BOYS

23230 NECKWEAR - MENS AND BDYS
23250 CLOTH HATS AND CAPS - MENS AND BOYS
23270 SEPARATE TROUSERS - MENS AND BOYS
23280 WORK SHIRTS
23291 MENS AND BOYS WORK, SPORT, AND OTHER APPAREL NOT LISTED ABOVE (INCLUDE KNIT SHIRTS, SWEATERS, BATHINGSUITS AND TRUNKS MADE FROM FABRIC KNIT ELSEWHERE: WORK PANTS: OVERALLS: ONE-PIECE WORK SUITS; JACKETS, AND DTHER Heavy outerwear; oiled fabric garments: washable service apparel BOYS' WASH SUITS; ETC.)

23310 bLOUSES AND WAISTS - WOMENS AND MISSE'S
23341 DRESSES SOLD AT A DOZEN-PRICE APRONS, UNIFORMS AND OTHER WASHABLE SERVICE APPAREL - WOMENS AND MISSES

23351 SUITS. JACKETS AND COATS EXCEPT FUR COATS--WOMENS AND MISSES
23364 SKIRTS - WOMENS AND MISSES
2339 WOMENS AND MISSES OUTERWEAR NOT LISTED ABOVE (INCLUDE KNIT JACKETS, SWEATERS, SHIRTS, PULLOVERS. AND BATHING SUITS MADE FROM FABRIC KNIT elSEWHERE; OVERALLS AND COVERALLS; PLAYSUITS AND SHORT; SLACKS aND SLACK SUITS: WOVEN BATHING SUITS: ETC.)

23411 WOMENS. CHILDRENS AND INFANTS WOVEN UNDEWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR AND KNIT UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR MADE FROM FABRIC KNIT ELSEWHERE, INCLUDING NEGLIGEES AND BEDJACKETS

23422 CORSETS, GIRDLES. ROLL-ONS AND GARTER BELTS
23691 CHILDREN AND INFANTS OUTERWEAR NOT LISTED ABOVE (INCLUDING KNIT SHIRTS, SWEATERS, JERSEYS. AND BATHING SUITS MADE FROM FABRIC KNIT ELSEWHERE: BUNTINGS: CREEPERS. ROMPERS, AND BABY BOYS WASH SUITS; OVERALLS AND COVERALLS; SUNSUITS AND SHORTS: WOVEN BATHING SUITS: ETC

23821 WORK GLOVES AND MITTENS. FABRIC AND COMBINATION FABRIC-AND-LEATHER. FROM FABRIC MADE ELSEWHERE



| NEW SIC | CENSUS Value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - |
| 24999 | 198209 | 24999 | UNKNOWN |
| 25110 | 2072999 | 25110 | WOOD HOUSEHOLO FURNITURE EXCEPT UPHOLSTERED. INCLUDE LIVING ROOM. DINING, BEDRODM (EXCLUDE MATTRESSES AND BEDSPRING |
|  |  | 25120 | householo furniture. upholstered - include living room suites. sofas. davenports. settees. LDVE SEATS, CHAIRS, ROCKERS, OTTOMANS, ETC. |
|  |  | 25140 | METAL HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE. EXCEPT UPHOLSTERED - INCLUDE LIVING ROOM, DINING RODM. BEDRODM. KITCHEN INFANTS, CHILDRENS. PORCH AND LAWN FURNITURE, ETC. |
| 25150 | 300867 | 25150 | MATTRESSES AND BEDSPRINGS-INCLUDE HOLLYWOOD BEDS-BED SPRINGS (BOX. COIL AND FLAT) |
| 25210 | 209166 | 25212 | DESKS - WOODEN Office furniture |
|  |  | 25213 | CABINETS AND CASES - WOODEN OFFICE FURNITURE |
|  |  | 25219 | OFFICE FURNITURE - INCLUDE TABLES AND STANDS ETC.. NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIfIED - WOODEN OFFICE FURNI TURE |
|  |  | 25221 | CHAIRS. StOOLS, COUCHES ETC. - METAL Office furniture |
|  |  | 25222 | desks - Metal office furniture |
|  |  | 25223 | Cabinets and cases - metal office furniture |
|  |  | 25229 | METAL OFFICE FURNITURE N.E.C. |
| 25310 | 9090 | 25310 | PUBLIC-BUILDING FURNITURE - SCHOOLS, CHURCHES, THEATERS. AUDITORIUMS (DESKS. PEWS. GANGED CHAIRS. SEATS FOR PUBLIC CONVEYANCES |
| 25320 | 58681 | 25320 | REPORT THE TOTAL DF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES: PROFESSIONAL FURNITURE - INCLUDE BEDS, CABINETS, DESKS, CASES. ETC. FOR USE IN HOSPITALS. LABDRATORIES. DOCTORS' AND DENTISTS' OFFICES; BEAUTY AND BARBER SHOP FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT. DOCTORS-DENTISTS OFFICICES; BEAUTY AND BARBER SHOP FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT |
| 25110 | 200703 | $\begin{aligned} & 25411 \\ & 25412 \end{aligned}$ | PARTITIONS, SHELVING AND LOCKERS CASES. CABINETS. COUNTERS AND OTHER fIXTURES |
| 25610 | 151755 |  |  |
|  | 151755 | $\begin{aligned} & 25611 \\ & 25612 \end{aligned}$ | METAL SCREEN DDORS AND WINDOW SCREENS |
|  |  | 25613 | WOOD STORM SASH AND WOOD COMBINATION SCREEN AND STORM SASH AND DOORS |
|  |  | 25614 | METAL STORM SASH AND METAL COMBINATION SCREEN AND STORM SASH AND OODRS |
| 25620 | 59138 | 25620 | WINDOW SHADES AND ACCESSORIES |
| 25910 | 33087 | 25910 | restaurant furniture - include chairs and stools, tables. booths, etc. |
| 25990 | 12215 | 25990 | FURNITURE AND FIXTURES. N.E.C. |
| 26110 | 1027476 | 26111 | BLEACHED SULPHITE WOOD PULP |
|  |  | 26112 | UNBLEACHED SULPHITE WOOD PULP |
|  |  | 26113 | BLEACHED SULPHATE WOOD PULP. INCLUDE SEMIBLEACHED |
|  |  | 26114 | UNBLEACHEO SULPHATE WOOD PULP |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 26115 | SODA WDOD PULP |
|  |  | 26116 | GRDUND-WOOD PULP |
|  |  | 26117 | MISCELLANEDUS WOOD PULP - INGLUDE SEMICHEMICAL, CHEMFIBER, DEFIBRATED. EXPLODED ASPLUND FIBER AND SCREENINGS |
|  |  | 26118 | PULP OTHER THAN WODD - INCLUDE ONLY PULP MADE FOR SALE, - MADE OF COTTON, COTTON LINTERS, RA STRAW. AND SIMILAR FIBERS |
|  |  | 26119 | miscellaneous pulp products-include tall oil (crude and refined) road-binding material etc. |
| 26120 | 2924927 | 26120 | NEWSPRINT AND GROUND-WOOD PAPER |
|  |  | 26121 | BODK AND FINE PAPER |
|  |  | 26122 | COARSE PAPER |
|  |  | 26123 | SPECIAL industrial and absorbent paper |
|  |  | 26124 | SANITARY AND TISSUE PAPER |
|  |  | 26125 | CONTAINER BOARD |
|  |  | 26126 | EENDING BOARD |
|  |  | 26127 | NONBENDING BOARD |
|  |  | 26129 | OTHER PAPER AND PAPERBOARD MILL PRODUCTS - INCLUDE TUDE STOCK, MATCH SPLINT STOCK LINER fOR gYPSUM AND PLASTERBOARD, STOCK FOR LAMINATED WALLBOARD. ETC. |
| 26130 | 275397 | $26131$ |  |
|  |  | $26132$ | building paper and building board mill products - including flexible fiber insulation, etc. N.E.C. |
| 26411 | 85672 | 26411 | COATED PAPER FOR PRINTING (OFF PAPER MACHINE) |
| 26412 | 179660 | 26412 | Waxeo and wax laminated paper |
| 26413 | 57414 | 26413 | glazed and fancy papers - include casein and similarly coated papers, special metallic and PYROXYL in COATED EMBOSSED LEATHERETTE. PLAIN AND COATED |
| 26414 | 87134 | 26414 | GUMMED PAPER - INCLUDE ROLLS. FLATS AND Cloth back paper |
| 26415 | 43307 | 26415 | Other coated paper n.e.c. |
| 26510 | 145303 | 26510 | ENVELOPES, ALL TYPES - EXCEPT BOXEO STATIONERY (26991) |
| 26610 | 487422 | 26611 | grocery and variety bags |
|  |  | 26612 | SPECIALTY PAPER BAGS (GLASSINE, CELLOPHANE, GREASE. PROOFED. WAXED AND foild-backed) |
|  |  | 26613 | PAPER BAGS - INCLUDE WARDROBE, MOTHPRODF, SHopping and twisted paper n.e.c. |
|  |  | 26614 | SHIPPING SACKS. SINGLE. DOUBLE AND MULTIWALL |
| 26710 | 1640418 | 26711 |  |
|  |  | $26712$ | FOLDING BOXES AND CARTONS |
|  |  | 26713 | SET-UP BOXES |
|  |  | 26714 | PAPERBOARD BOXES - INCLUDE VULCANIZEO. TOTE BOXES. ETC. N.E.C. |
| 26740 | 97946 | 26740 | FIber Cans, tubes, drums, etc. |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26910 | 166117 | 26911 | filing accessories (file folders, guide cards-etc.) |
|  |  | 26912 | CARDS, die-cut and designed (not printed) |
|  |  | 26913 | miscellaneous die-cut products n.e.c. |
| 26930 | 41815 | 26930 | WALLPAPER - INClUde designing, PRINTING AND Embossing |
| 26940 | 31622 | 26940 | PULP GOODS - PRESSED AND MOLDED. EXCEPT STATUARY (3298i) |
| 26991 | 72480 | 26991 | Stationery-tablets and related products |
| 26992 | 187093 | 26992 | WRAPPING PRODUCTS - EXCEPT COATED, OILED AND WAXED. INCLUDE CORRUGATED PAPER IN ROLLS. WATER-PRODF CREPED AND LINED |
| 26993 | 231232 | 26993 | SANITARY food containers - include milk bottles. Cups, ice-cream pails . etc. |
| 26994 | 293584 | 26994 | SANITARY HEALTH PRDDUCTS - INCLUDE TOILET PAPER. DIAPERS. FACIAL TISSUES. TABLE NAPKINS. TOILET SEAT COVERS. ETC. |
| 26996 | 311951 | 26996 | CONVERTED PAPER AND BOARD PRODUCTS - INCLUDE GAMES. TOYS, NOVELTIES. PLAYING CARDS. LAMINATED WALL BOARD. ETC. . N.E.C. |
| 27110 | 2375109 | $\begin{aligned} & 27111 \\ & 27112 \end{aligned}$ | RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS AND SALES <br> RECEIPTS FROM ADVERTISING (NET AFTER DEDUCTING AD AGENCY COMMISSION AND CASH DISCOUNT) |
| 27210 | 1118546 | 27211 | RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS ANO SALES |
|  |  | 27212 | RECEIPTS FROM ADVERTISING (NET AFTER DEDUCTING AD AGENCY COMMISIDN AND CASH DISCOUNT) |
| 27310 | 619369 | 27311 | books and pamphlets (report total sales of all original and reprint books and pamphlets PUBLISHED BY YOU) |
| 27320 | 131792 | 27321 | BOOK AND PAMPHLET PRINTING AND COMPLETE BOOK MANUFACTURING (REPORT TOTAL RECEIPTS FROM PRINTING AND LITHOGRAPHING BOOKS AND PAMPHLETS. INCLUDING COMPLETE BOOK MANUFACTURING) |
| 27410 | 169617 | 27410 | MISCELLANEOUS PUBLISHING ( INCLUDE RECEIPTS FROM PUBLISHING SUCH PRODUCTS AS MAPS. ATLASES. SHEET MUSIC, DIRECTORIES, AND OTHER MISCELLANEDUS PUBLICATIDNS NOT LISTED ABOVE) |
| 27510 | 2299235 | 27511 | LETTERPRESS AND GRAVURE PRINTING-INCLUDE RECEIPTS FOR GENERAL COMMERCIAL AND SPECIALIZED PRINTING EXCEPT BOOK PRINTING (27321) AND PRINTING GREETING CARDS (27711) |
|  |  | 27611 | LITHOGRAPHING EXCEPT RECEIPTS FROM LITDGRAPHING BOOKS AND PAMPHLETS (27321) AND GREETING CARDS (27711) |
| 27710 | 126303 | 27711 | GREETING CARDS - INCLUDE SALES OF GREETING CARDS AS WELL AS RECEIPTS FROM PRINTING OR LITHOGRAPHING GREETING CARDS |
| 27810 | 113109 | 27811 | BOOKBINDING - INCLUDE RECEIPTS FOR EDITION. TRADE, JOB. LIBRARY BOOKBINDING |
| 27820 | 82539 | 27821 | BLANKBOOKS AND PAPER RULING - INCLUDE SALES BOOKS. ACCOUNT BOOKS, COMPOSITION BDOKS. ALBUMS. CHECK BOOKS. INVENTORY AND SIMILAR BODKS. AND RECEIPTS FROM PAPER RULING |
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| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 28332 | INORGANIC AND ORGANIC MEDICINALS (BULK) INCLUDE ANTIBIOTICS. ALKALOIDS. BULK VItamins |
| 2834.1 | 1196987 | 28341 | ETHICAL PREPARATIONS FOR HUMAN USE (PRODUCTS ADVERTISED OR OTHERWISE PROMOTED TO OR prescribed by the medical profession) |
| 28342 | 24394 | 28342 | ETHICAL PREPARATIONS FOR VETERINARY USE (PRODUCTS ADVERTISED OR OTHERWISE PROMOTED TO OR PRESCRIBEO BY THE MEOICAL PROFESSION) |
| 28344 | 28513 | 28344 | PRDPRIETARY PREPARATIONS FOR VETERINARY USE (PRODUCTS ADVERTISED OR OTHERWISE PROMOTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC) |
| 28413 | 69714 | 28413 | GLYCERIN |
| 28415 | 619717 | 28415 | SOAPS. EXCEPT SPECIALTY SOAPS - INCLUDE CLEANSERS CONTAINING ABRASIVES AND WASHING POWDERS |
| 28416 | 35939 | 28416 | SPECIALTY SOAPS - INCLUDE MECHANICS HAND SOAPS, MEDICATED SOAPS. SHAVING SOAPS |
| 28421 | 19556 | 28421 | SYNTHETIC ORGANIC DETERGENTS - INCLUDE COMBINATIONS OF SYSTHETIC ORGANIC DETERGENTS WITH SOAP OR WITH ALKALINE DETERGENTS |
| 28422 | 273657 | 28422 | ALkaline detergents |
| 28423 | 64046 | 28423 | SPECIALTY DETERGENTS - INCLUDE WINDOW GLASS CLEANERS. WALL PAPER. WINDOW SHADE. PAINT CLEANERS ETC. |
| 28424 | 159608 | 28424 | POLISHING PREPARATIONS AND RELATED PRODUCTS - INCLUDE BLACKINGS, STAINS, DRESSINGS, POLISHING CLOTHS. ETC. |
| 28430 | 55381 | 28430 | SULFONATE OILS AND FATS ANO ASSISTANTS |
| 28510 | 1289930 | $\begin{aligned} & 28511 \\ & 28512 \\ & 28513 \end{aligned}$ | oIl and water paints and stains <br> VARNISHES. LACQUERS. ENAMELS, JAPANS, DOPES AND THINNERS - EXCLUDE TURPENTINE (28620 28630) PAINT PRODUCT N.E.C. (INCLUDE VINYL COATINGS-P.IGMENT DISPERSONS-BLEACHED SHELLAC ETC.). |
| 28520 | 346892 | 28520 | INORGANIC COLOR PIGMENTS |
| 28530 | 36046 | 28530 | WHITING, PUTTY, WOOD fillers. And allied paint products |
| 28610 | 13882 | 28610 | HARDWOOD DISTILLATION PRODUCTS |
| 28620 | 61237 | 28620 | SOFTWOOD DISTILLATION PRODUCTS |
| 28630 | 29277 | 28630 | gUM Naval store |
| 28650 | 15201 | 28650 | REPORT TOTAL OF - NATURAL dYEING MATERIALS; NATURAL TANNING MATERIALS |
| 28710 | 658776 | $\begin{aligned} & 28711 \\ & 28712 \end{aligned}$ | MIXED FERTILIZERS-COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE FERTILIZER MATERIALS OF ORGANIC ORIGIN |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS Value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 28713 | SUPERPHOSPHATE |
| 28810 | 465669 | 28810 | Cotton oil mill products |
| 28820 | 1116515 | 28820 | LINSEED OIL MILL PRODUCTS |
|  |  | 28830 | SOYBEAN OIL. MILL PRODUCTS |
|  |  | $28940$ | VEgetable dil mill products, other than specified above - include peanut, cocionut, castor. hydrogenated vegetable |
|  |  | 28850 | MARINE ANIMAL OIL MILL PRODUCTS - EXCLUDE VITAMIN OILS (28332) |
| 28860 | 365944 | 28861 | grease and tallow |
|  |  | $28862$ | FEED AND FERTILIZER BYPRODUCTS - INCLUDE TANKAGE. MEAT SCRAPS AND BONEMEAL |
| 28870 | 51628 | 28870 | fatty acios |
| 28890 | 28447 | 28891 | RAW AND ACIDULATED SOAP STOCK AND FODTS (ALL TYPES) |
|  |  | 28892 | Stearin and other animal oil mill products other than fatty acids |
| 28910 | 145868 | 28910 | PRINTING INK |
| 28920 | 26550 | 28920 | ESSENTIAL OILS |
| 28931 | 50600 | 28931 | Perfumes-toilet waters and colognes--Include compound perfume bases and concretes |
| 28932 | 128707 | 28932 | hair preparations - include shampoos. tonics, permanent wave solutions and kits |
| 28933 | 87330 | 28933 | DENTIFRICES |
| 28934 | 263741 | 28934 | COSMETICS AND TOILET PREPARATIONS - EXCLUDE PERFUMES. TOILET WATERS, COLOGNES, HAIR PREPARATIONS AND DENTIFRICES |
| 28941 | 55953 | 28941 | glue (VEgetable and animal only) |
| 28942 | 32300 | 28942 | GELATIN - EXCLUDE READY-TO-MIX DESSERTS (20991) |
| 28950 | 89385 | $\begin{aligned} & 28951 \\ & 28952 \end{aligned}$ | CARBON BLACK - CHANNEL (CONTACT) BLACK AND fURNACE BLACK INCLUDING THERMAL BLACKS-LAMP AND BONE ONLY |
| 28960 | 142410 | 28960 | COMPRESSED AND LIQUEFIED GASES (ACETYLENE-CARBON DIOXIDE-ELEMENTAL ETC.) |
| 28970 | 185468 | 28970 | AGRICULTURAL INSECTICIDE AND FUNGICIDE PREPARATIONS. |
| 28980 | 57313 | 28980 | SALT (SODIUM CHLORIDE--EDIBLE) |
| 28991 | 41780 | 28991 | household insecticides and repellents - include livestock sprays, animal dips, rodent poisons AND MOTH CONTROL AGENTS |
| 28992 | 20104 | 28992 | WEED KILLERS |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 28993 | 385166 | 28993 | REPORT TOTAL OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES: ADHESIVES OR CEMENT (IF RUBBER OR ASBESTOS, SPECIFY): STAMP PAD INKS ANO WRITING INKS; SIZES; CHEMICAL SPECIALTIES. AUTOMOTIVE CHEMICALS. NON-PERSONAL DEODORANTS, DISINFECTANTS. CHEMICAL FOUNDRY SUPPLIES, METAL TREATING COMPOUNDS. ROSIN AND OTHER SIZES, FRIT. ETC.: CHEMICAL SPECIALTIES, N.E.C. INCLUDE AUTOMOTIVE CHEMICAL FOUNDRY SUPPLIES, CATALYTIC AGENTS EXCLUDE THOSE PRODUCTS REPORTED AS OTHER FURNISHED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS. |
| 29110 | 4732042 | 29110 | GASOLINE - INCLUDE AVIATION, AUTOMOTIVE. AND ALL OTHER FINISHED GASOLINES |
| 29111 | 433324 | 29111 | KEROSENE |
| 29112 | 1410129 | 29112 | distillate fuel oil |
| 29113 | 758381 | 29113 | RESIDUAL FUEL OIL |
| 29115 | 65722 | 29115 | LUBRICATING-OIL base stocks - include light, medium. heavy neutral and residual stocks |
| 29116 | 121947 | 29116 | lubricating greases made in petroleum refineries |
| 29117 | 148068 | 29117 | ASPHALT |
| 29118 | 98134 | 29118 | UNFINISHED OILS - INCLUDE CRACKING STOCK. UNFINISHED PETROLEUM DILS. EXCLUDE LUBRICATING-OIL base stocks natural gas and cycle condensates |
| 29119 | 200478 | 29119 | PETROLATUM. PETROLEUM COKE. ROAD OIL. Still gas Sold. AND Other finished petroleum products |
| 29320 | 1278958 | $\begin{aligned} & 29321 \\ & 29322 \\ & 29323 \end{aligned}$ | COKE. SCREENING AND BREEZE-MADE IN BYPRODUCT OVENS COKE-OVEN GAS <br> OTHER COKE-OVEN PRODUCTS |
| 29510 | 84345 | 29510 | PAVING MIXTURES AND BLOCKS |
| 29520 | 423995 | $\begin{aligned} & 29521 \\ & 29522 \end{aligned}$ | ASPHALT AND TAR ROOFING-SIDINGS AND FELTS ASPHALT AND TAR ROOF COATINGS-CEMENTS AND PITCHES |
| 29910 | 34470 | 29911 | FUEL SRIDUETS |
| 29920 | 787594 | 29924 | LUBRICATING OILS |
|  |  | 29925 | lubricating dil base stocks |
|  |  | 29926 | lubricating greases |
|  |  | 29927 | blended and compounded petroleum products other than lubricating oils and greases |
| 29990 | 15710 | 29990 | Products of petroleum and coal n.e.c. |
| 30110 | 1602269 | 30110 | tires and inner tubes |


| 30210 | 137418 | 30210 | RUBBER FOOTWEAR - INCLUDE BOOTS. ARCTICS, GAITERS, RUBBERS. ETC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30310 | 53269 | 30310 | RECLAIMED RUBBER |
| 30991 | 67052 | 30991 | CAMELBACK AND TIRE REPAIR MATERIALS |
| 30992 | 123084 | 30992 | RUBBER and plastic heels and soles - include soling slabs and toplift sheets |
| 30993 | 894113 | 30993 | MECHANICAL RUBBER OR PLASTIC gODDS |
| 30994 | 48021 | 30994 | druggist and medical sundries - include water bottles. ice bages and caps. etc. |
| 30995 | 264869 | 30995 | RUBBER PRODUCTS N.E.C. |
| 31110 | 879549 | $\begin{array}{lllll} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{array}$ | Cattle hide and kip side leathers <br> CALF AND WHLE KIP LEATHERS <br> SHEEP AND LAMB LEATHERS <br> LEATHERS OTHER THAN CATTLE, CALF AND SHEEP |
| 31210 | 57738 | $\begin{aligned} & 31211 \\ & 31212 \\ & 31213 \end{aligned}$ | INDUSTRIAL LEATHER BELTING <br> LEATHER PACKINGS, OIL AND GREASE RETAINERS, AND WASHERS textile leathers. and other industrial leather products |
| 31310 | 248640 | $\begin{aligned} & 313111 \\ & 31312 \end{aligned}$ | boot and shoe cut stock <br> PLATFORMS. HEELS. HEEL BLOCKS. AND OTHER BOOT AND SHOE FINDINGS - EXCEPT CUT STOCK |
| 31410 | 1680435 | $\begin{aligned} & 31411 \\ & 31412 \\ & 31413 \\ & 31414 \\ & 31415 \\ & 31416 \end{aligned}$ | MEN'S YOUTH'S, AND BOYS' SHOES. EXCEPT ATHLETIC SHOES. PLAYSHOES AND MEN'S WORK SHOES MEN'S WORK SHOES <br> WOMEN'S MISSES', AND CHILDREN'S SHOES - EXCEPT ATHLETIC SHOES <br> INFANTS' AND BABIES' SHOES <br> ATHLETIC SHOES <br> Playshoes |
| 31420 | 82612 | 31420 | SLIPPERS FOR HOUSEWEAR |
| 31610 | 129447 | 31610 | SUItCASES. BRIEfCASES. BAGS trunks. And other luggage - include non-leather |
| 31710 | 169321 | 31710 | WOMEN'S handgags and purses - include non-leather |
| 31720 | 46040 | 31720 | leather billffolds, wallets, key cases, and other smaller leather goods |
| 31990 | 44195 | 31990 | miscellaneous leather goods others than saddlery. harness. and whips |
| 32110 | 235119 | $\begin{aligned} & 32112 \\ & 32113 \\ & 32114 \end{aligned}$ | ```SHEET (WINDOW) GLASS PLATE GLASS flat glasS Other than laminated, sheet and plate``` |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32210 | 472418 | 32210 | glass containers |
| 32290 | 324696 | 32290 | pressed and blown glass and glassware - except glass containers |
| 32311 | 189242 | 32311 | laminated glass |
| 32312 | 60872 | 32312 | mirrors |
| 32313 | 122501 | 32313 | glass products other than laminateo glass and mirrors |
| 32410 | 604011 | 32413 | hydraulic cement - include cost of shipping containers |
| 32510 | 201804 | 32510 | clay brick and hollow tile |
| 32530 | 61579 | 32530 | clay floor and wall tile - except quarry tile |
| 32540 | 53402 | 32540 | clay sewer pipe |
| 32550 | 126686 | 32550 | clay refactories |
| 32590 | 38185 | 32590 | structural glay products other than brick, hollow tile. floor and wall tile. sewer pipe and clay refractories - include drain tile, guarry tile, etc. (specify kind) |
| 32610 | 532931 | 32610 34311 34312 | vitreous and semivitreous plumbing fixtures metal plumbing fixtures plumbing fixture fittings and trin (brass goods) |
| 32620 | 113569 | $\begin{aligned} & 32620 \\ & 32630 \end{aligned}$ | vitreous-china table and kitchen articles <br> fine earthenware (whiteware) table and kitchen articles |
| 32640 | 74640 | 32640 | porcelain and steatite electrical supplies |
| 32691 | 30711 | 32691 | art. decorative. and novelty pottery ware |
| 32692 | 20482 | 32692 | potiery products n.e.c. |
| 32710 | 563470 | 32711 <br> 32712 <br> 32713 | concrete block and brick <br> concrete pipe <br> precast concrete products other than concrete block, brick ano pipe |
| 32720 | 206390 | 32720 | grpsum Products |
| 32740 | 84613 | 32741 | lime - include cost of shipping containers |
| 32750 | 115664 | 32750 | mineral wool (from rock, slag, and glass) |
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| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33126 | 993157 | 33126 | Hot-rolled bars and bar shapes - include concrete reinforcing bars tool steel bars etc. |
| 33128 | 2125770 | 33128 | Value of all steel mill products transferred to other plants of your company include value INGOTS. BARS PLATES ETC. |
| 33129 | 324533 | 33129 | Steel mill shapes and forms. N.E.C. |
| 33130 | 345956 | 33131 | ELECTRIC FURNACE FERROALLOYS AND OTHER ADDItives |
| 33210 | 1422520 | 33210 | grar iron castings |
| 33220 | 213420 | 33220 | MALLEABLE IRON CASTINGS |
| 33230 | 474610 | 33230 | Steel castings (Carbon. Alloy and stainless) |
| 33310 | 690963 | $\begin{aligned} & 33311 \\ & 33312 \end{aligned}$ | REFINED UNALLOYED COPPER PRODUCED FROM ORE COPPER SMELTER PRODUCTS -INCLUDE BLISTER AND ANODE COPPER. MATTE, SPEISS, FLUE DUST. RESIDUES ETC. |
| 33320 | 213773 | 33321 | lead smelter products -include base bullion, matte. speiss etc. |
| 33330 | 259667 | 33331 | ZINC RESIDUES AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ZINC SMELTER PRODUCTS |
| 33411 | 128350 | 33411 | COPPER base alloy ingots produced for sale or interplant transfer |
| 33412 | 160098 | 33412 | lead and tin-base alloy ingots produced for sales or interplant transfer |
| 33413 | 40026 | 33413 | ZINC-BASE AlLOY ingots produced for sale or interplant transfer |
| 33414 | 247143 | 33414 | REFINED UNALLOYED ALUMINUM AND ALUMINUM BASE ALLOYS PRODUCED FROM SCRAP, AND ALUMINUM-BASED ALLOY INGOTS |
| 33415 | 123238 | 33415 | Precious metal base alloy ingots produced for sale or interplant transfer |
| 33418 | 999999 | 33418 | NONFERROUS METALS (OTHER THAN COPPER, LEAD. ZINC. ALUMINUM AND PRECIOUS METALS) PRODUCE FROM SCRAP |
| 33440 | 999999 | 33448 | UNKNOWN, ONE FIRM: PHELPS DODGE. VALUE DF SHIP. 27694 |
| 33517 | 1044288 | 33517 | ROLLED, DRAWN. AND EXTRUDED COPPER AND CDPPER BASE ALLOY MILL PRODUCTS OTHER THAN COPPER BASE ALLOY INGOTS |
| 33526 | 329634 | 33526 | aluminum plate. Sheet, and sirip |
| 33527 | 193780 | 33527 | rolled, drawn and extruded aluminum mill products other than aluminum base alloy ingots etc. |

$$
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| NEW SIC | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33597 | 10716 | 33597 | ROLLED. DRAWN AND EXtruded magnesium mill products |
| 33598 | 105750 | 33598 | rolled, drawn and extruded nonferrous metal mill products other than lead. tin, zinc and precious metal base alloy ingots; and rolled. drawn, and extruded magnesium mill products |
| 33610 | 726810 | 33610 | NONFERROUS CASTINGS (INCLUDING die castings) |
| 33910 | 666925 | 33911 | drop, upSet and press steel forging ( Only closed die) |
|  |  | 33912 | PRESS AND HAMMER STEEL FORGINGS (ONLY OPEN DIE) |
|  |  | 33913 | WROUGHT IRON FORGINGS |
| 33920 | 683579 | 33921 | NAILS. SPIKES, AND BRADS PRODUCED FROM WIRE DRAWN IN THIS ESTABLISHMEWT |
|  |  | 33925 | ALUMINUM WIRE DRAWN FROM PURCHASED RODS OR BARS |
|  |  | 33926 | COPPER WIRE DRAWN FROM PURCHASED RODS OR BARS |
|  |  | 33927 | STEEL WIRE DRAWN FROM PURCHASED RODS OR BARS - SHIPPED TO OTHER COMPANIES |
|  |  | 33928 | INTERPLANT TRANSFERS OF STEEL WIRE DRAWN FROM PURCHASED RODS OR BARS |
|  |  | 33929 | WIRE OTHER THAN ALUMINUM, COPPER. AND STEEL. DRAWN FROM PURCHASED RODS OR BARS |
| 33930 | 1303083 | 33937 | Steel pipe and tubes made from purchased materials |
|  |  | 33938 | INTERPLANT TRANSFERS OF StEEL PIPE MADE from purchased material |
| 33993 | 1286098 | 33993 | COLD-ROLLED SHEET AND STRIP MADE FROM PURCHASED HOT-ROLLED MATERIAL |
|  |  | 33997 | COLO-ROLLED AND COLD-FINISHED STEEL. BARS AND BAR SHAPES MADE FRDM PURCHASED HOT-ROLLED MATERIALS |
| 33994 | 52928 | 33994 | NONFERROUS FORGINGS |
| 33995 | 38260 | 33995 | metal powders |
| 33996 | 265272 | 33996 | COLD-FINISHED BARS AND BARS Shapes made from purchased hot-rolled materials |
| 34110 | 1061419 | 34111 | metal cans |
|  |  | 34113 | FLUID MILK SHIPPING CONTAINERS |
|  |  | 34114 | tinware other than metal cans and fluid mill shipping containers |
| 34211 | 111328 | 34211 | CUILERY. SCISSORS. SHEARS. TRIMMERS, AND SNIPS |
| 34212 | 69895 | 34212 | Safety razors and blades |
| 34220 | 63321 | 34220 | EDGE TOOLS |
| 34230 | 254479 | 34231 | MECHANICS' HAND SERVICE TOOLS |
|  |  | 34232 | HAND TOOLS OTHER THAN CUTLERY, SCISSORS, SHEARS. TRIMMERS, AND SNIPS, EDGE TOOLS. Etc. |
| 34240 | 27110 | 34240 | Files, rasps and file accessories |
| 34250 | 66241 | 34250 | hand saws, Saw blades and saw accessories |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | OESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34291 | 384912 | 34291 | transportation equipment hardware |
| 34292 | 52271 | 34292 | Furniture ano cabinet hardware |
| 34293 | 19199 | 34293 | vacuum bottles and jugs |
| 34295 | 243324 | 34295 | builders' hardware |
| 34296 | 154427 | 34296 | hardware n.e.c. |
| 34320 | 64433 | 34320 | oil. burners |
| 34390 | 205484 | 34390 | warm air furnaces and parts |
| 34391 | 81112 | $\begin{aligned} & 34391 \\ & 34392 \end{aligned}$ | Cast iron heating boilers <br> CAST IRON RADIATORS AND CONVECTORS |
| 34393 | 21729 | 34393 | steel and nonferrous convectors |
| 34394 | 125687 | 34394 | water heaters. .except electric |
| 34395 | 88248 | 34395 | domestic heating stoves (space heaters) |
| 34396 | 276934 | 34396 | domestic cooking stoves. ranges and appliances - except electric |
| 34397 | 33486 | 34397 | commercial cooking and fodd warming eouipment, except electric |
| 34398 | 55794 | 343998 | steel heating boilers ( 15 PSI and under or equivalent) |
| 34399 | 53790 | 34399 | cooking and heating equip. N.e.c. - except electric (35671.36191.36212,36214.36215) |
| 34410 | 1104104 | 34413 <br> 34414 <br> 34415 <br> 34416 | orNamental metal work - include stairs, railings, fire escapes, steel gratings, etc. prefabricated and portable metal buildings and parts <br> miscellanedus metal builoing materials - include expandeo metal lath, corner beads, <br> FABRICATED CONCRETE REINFORCING GARS. ETC. <br> fabricated structural iron and steel - exclude receipts from erection or installation |
| 34420 | 390088 | $\begin{aligned} & 34421 \\ & 34422 \\ & 34423 \end{aligned}$ | metal doors and metal frames metal window sash and frames metal molding and trim, and store fronts |
| 34431 | 243620 | 34431 | metal tanks. complete at factory |
| 34432 | 173926 | 34432 | fabricated steel plate (cut, punched, or shaped for assembly on job) |
| 34433 | 140451 | 34433 | power boilers. Parts and attachments (over 15 PSi steam working pressure) |
| 31434 | 85419 | 34434 | gas cylinders, smoke stacks and other stacks (iron and steel) and other plate steel |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS |  | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OLO Sic |  |
| FABRICATING |  |  |  |
| 34440 | 561380 | 34440 | SHEET-METAL PRODUCTS |
| 34610 | 77868 | 34611 | VITREOUS-ENAMELED COOKING AND KITCHEN UTENSILS - INCLUDE HOUSEHOLD. HOSPITAL. AND COMMERCIAL |
|  |  | 34612 | vitreous-enameled products other than vitredus-enameled hospital and commercial cooking and kitchen utensils |
| 34630 | 1752129 | 34631 | JOB STAMPINGS - EXCEPT AUTOMOTIVE |
|  |  | 34633 | PAILS (EXCEPT SHIPPING CONTAINERS). ASH CANS AND GARBAGE CANS |
|  |  | 34634 | METAL HOME CANNING Closures |
|  |  | 34635 | Metal Commercial closures - EXCEPT CROWNS |
|  |  | 34636 | CROWNS |
|  |  | 34637 | PERFORATED METAL END PRODUCTS AND OTHER STAMPED AND PRESSED METAL END PRODUCTS |
|  |  | 34638 | JOB STAMPINGS - AUTOMOTIVE |
|  |  | 34639 | STAMPED AND SPUN COOKING AND KITCHEN UTENSILS (HOUSEHOLD. HOSPITAL AND COMMERCIAL) EXCLUDE VITREOUS ENAMELED |
| 34650 | 32963 | 34650 | ENAMELING. JAPANNING. AND LACQUERING |
| 34660 | 28472 | 34660 | galvanizing and other hot-dip coating |
| 34670 | 23657 | 34670 | ENGRAVING ON METAL |
| 34680 | 235021 | 34680 | electroplating. Plating. and polishing |
| 34710 | 589319 | 34711 | incandescent lighting fixtures - except specialites listed separately below |
|  |  | 34712 | INCANDESCENT PORTABLE LAMPS |
|  |  | 34713 | INCANDESCENT VEHICULAR LIGHTING EQUIPMENT |
|  |  | 34714 | incandescent hand portable lighting equipment |
|  |  | 34715 | FLUORESCENT LIGHTING EQUIPMENT (FIXTURES AND PORTABLE LAMPS) AND PARTS |
|  |  | 34716 | NONELECTRIC LIGHTING EOUIPMENT |
|  |  | 34718 | INCANDESCENT STREET AND HIGHWAY LIGHTING EQUIPMENT |
|  |  | 34719 | SPECIALIZED INCANDESCENT LIGHTING EQUIPMENT SUCH AS SEARCHLIGHTS. FLOODLIGHTS, MARINE |
|  |  |  | CHANNEL. AVIATION GROUND TYPE, RAILWAY ROUTE ETC. (OTHER THAN PORTABLE LAMPS, VEHICULAR |
|  |  |  | LIGHTING EQUIPMENT, AND Street and highway lighting lighting equipment, incandescent |
| 34892 | 190236 | 34892 | WIRE ROPE, AND Cable - except insulated made from purchased wire |
| 34893 | 111246 | 34893 | fencing and fence gates - include chain link, field. etc. made from purchased wire |
| 34894 | 135072 | 34894 | WIRE CLOTH AND WOVEN WIRE PRODUCTS Made from purchased wire |
| 34895 | 321254 | 34895 | WIRE SPRINGS MADE FROM PURCHASED WIRE |
| 34896 | 252628 | 34896 | WIre products n.e.c.. SUCH AS barbed wire, Welded wire fabric, garment hangers. paper clips |
|  |  |  | MADE FROM PURCHASED |
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| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34911 | 218011 | 34911 | METAL AMMUNITION BOXES AND CHESTS |
| 34912 | 43818 | 34912 | Steel shipping packages. Kegs. and pails (i to 12 gallons)-EXCLUDE beer barrels |
| 34913 | 7501 | 34913 | Steel and aluminum beer barrels |
| 34914 | 135661 | 34914 | STEEL SHIPPING BARRELS AND DRUMS (OVER 12 gallon cap.) ExClude beer barrels |
| 34930 | 105608 | 34930 | Steel springs, except wire |
| 34940 | 643688 | $\begin{aligned} & 34941 \\ & 34942 \end{aligned}$ | BOLTS. NUTS, SCREWS. WASHERS, RIVETS, AND OTHER INDUSTRIAL FASTENERS - STANDARD TYPE ONLY SPECIALS - PRODUCTS OTHER THAN STANDARD TYPE FASTENERS - MADE ON THE SAME TYPE OF MACHINES (HEADERS, THREADERS ETC.) |
| 34950 | 324124 | 34950 | SCREW-MACHINE PRODUCTS |
| 34960 | 37968 | 34960 | COLLAPSIBLE TUBES |
| 31970 | 99454 | 34970 | FOIL AND LEAF |
| 34990 | 117848 | 34990 | fabricated metal products n.e.c. |
| 35110 | 266195 | 35111 35112 | Steam and hydraulic turbines; steam engines; parts for steam engines. turbines and hydraulic TURBINES <br> steam and hydraulic turbine generator-set units |
| 35191 | 147449 | 35191 | gasol.ine engines - exclude outboard, alrcraft. Automobile, truck and bus |
| 35192 | 167386 | 35192 | diesel engines - except truck and bus |
| 35193 | 33943 | 35193 | gas engines |
| 35194 | 164557 | 35194 | PARTS AND ATtACHMENTS FOR INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES - EXCEPT AIRCRAFT. AUTOMOBILE. TRUCK. AND BUS |
| 35195 | 41037 | 35195 | OUTBEARD MOTORS |
| 35199 | 48777 | 35199 | INTERNAL Combustion engines N.E.C. |
| 35211 | 367507 | 35211 | Wheel-trpe tractors - include parts and attachments for replacement and repalr |
| 35212 | 190756 | 35212 | track-laying type tractors - include parts and 'attachments for replacement and repair |
| 352 13 | 28404 | 35213 | garden tractors - include parts and attachments for replacement and repair |
| 35214 | 59798 | 35214 | tractor parts and attachments, shippeo to other plants producing tractors |


| 35221 | 663581 | 35221 | FARM MACHINES AND EQUIPMENT (EXCEPT TRACTORS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35222 | 173439 | 35222 | parts and attachments for farm machines and equipment. shipped to other plants producing FARM EOUIPMENT. |
| 35227 | 99924 | 35227 | LAWN MOWERS |
| 35311 | 113548 | 35311 | CRANES. HOISTS, WINCHES. AND DERRICKS - EXCEPT (35631.35312.35320) |
| 35312 | 222580 | 35312 | POWER CRANES. DRAGLINES. AND SHOVELS: PARTS AND FRONT END ATTACHMENTS FOR POWER CRANES, DRAG lines and shovels |
| 35313 | 53840 | 35313 | MIXERS. PAVERS. AND RELATED EQUIPMENT |
| 35314 | 102545 | 35314 | SPECIALIZED MINING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT |
| 35315 | 71120 | 35315 | CRUSHING. PULVERIZING, AND SCREENING MACHINERY |
| 35317 | 216422 | 35317 | EXCAVATING AND ROAD-CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE MACHINERY-EXCEPT POWER CRANES, DRAGLINES and SHOVELS |
| 35319 | 131536 | 35319 | Construction. Mining. And Similar machinery n.e.c |
| 35320 | 333048 | 35320 | DIL-FIELD MACHINERY AND TOOLS |
| 35411 | 315743 | 35411 | machine tools. exclude homeshop type |
| 35418 | 18539 | 35418 | MACHiNe tools designed primarily for home workshops, gatrages and service shops. except POWER-DRIVEN HAND TOOLS |
| 35419 | 12594 | 35419 | Rebuilt machine tools |
| 35421 | 142731 | 35421 | rolling mill machinery |
| 35422 | 91050 | 35422 | METALWORKING PRESSES - EXCEPT FORGING |
| 35423 | 112668 | 35423 | POWER-DRIVEN HAND TOOLS - INCLUDE PARTS. Attachments and accessories |
| 35425 | 24319 | 35425 | acetylene weloing and cutting apparatus |
| 35426 | 144097 | 35426 | METALWORKING MACHINERY N.E.C |
| 35431 | 454632 | 35431 | JIGS', FIXTURES, forming, Stamping. And PIERCING PUNCHES and dies, die sets and subpresses |
| 35432 | 262629 | 35432 | SMALL CUTTING tools for machine tools and metal-working machinery |


| NEW SIC | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35433 | 43333 | 35433 | precision measuring tools |
| 35434 | 75229 | 35434 | metalworking accessories n.e.c. |
| 35511 | 70614 | 35511 | dairy and milk products plant machinery and equipment |
| 35512 | 48765 | 35512 | bakery machinery and equipment |
| 35513 | 42683 | 35513 | bottling machinery - except dairy |
| 35514 | 124041 | 35514 | fooo-productis machinery n.e.c. |
| 35520 | 428880 | 35520 | textile machinery |
| 35530 | 137347 | 35531 | WOODWORKING MACHINERY-OTHER THAN THAT DESIGNED PRIMARILY FOR HOME WORKSHOPS, GARAGES. AND SERVICE SHOPS WOODWORKING MACHINERY DESIGNED PRIMARILY FOR HOME WORKSHOPS. GARAGES AND SERVICE SHOPS-EXCEPT POWER-DRIVEN HAND TOOLS (35423) |
| 35540 | 114994 | 35540 | paper-industries machinery |
| 35550 | 200682 | 35550 | printing-trades machinery and equipment |
| 35591 | 64131 | 3559 ! | chemical manufacturing industries machinery and equipment |
| 35592 | 117844 | 35592 | foundry machinery and equipment-include foundry patterns and molos |
| 35593 | 52740 | 35593 | PLASTICS-WORKING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT |
| 35594 | 45649 | 35594 | rubber-working machinery and equipment |
| 35595 | 25698 | 35595 | petroleum refinery machinery and equipment |
| 35599 | 254010 | 35599 | special industry machinery and equipment n.e.c. |
| 35611 | 257252 | 35611 | industrial pumps-include rotary. centrifugal, reciprocating. turbine etc. |
| 35612 | 60204 | 35612 | domestic water systems |
| 35613 | 113129 | 35613 | air compressors |
| 35614 | 140199 | 35614 | PUMPS, gas COMPRESSORS. AND PUMPING EQUIPMENT N.E.C.- INCLUDE PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR PUMPS AND COMPRESSORS |
| 35620 | 102195 | 35620 | elevators and moving stairways |
| 35630 | 240696 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 5 6 3 1} \\ & \mathbf{3 5 6 3 5} \end{aligned}$ | overtead traveling cranes and monorail systems <br> CONVEYORS AND CONVEYING EOUIPMENT - INCLUDE UNDERGROUND MINE CONVEYORS |
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| 35640 | 120785 | 35640 | INDUSTRIAL FANS AND BLOWERS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35650 | 166259 | 35650 | INDUSTRIAL TRUCKS. TRACTORS. TRAILERS, AND STACKERS |
| 35660 | 463833 | 35661 | plain bearings and bushings |
|  |  | 35662 | SPEED REDUCERS, GEARS, AND INDUSTRIAL HIGH SPEED DRIVES |
|  |  | 35663 | MECHANICAL POWER-TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT N.E.C. |
| 35671 | 19779 | 35671 | ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL FURNACES AND OVENS |
| 35672 | 33717 | 35672 | FUEL-FIRES INDUSTRIAL FURNACES AND OVENS |
| 35673 | 18410 | 35673 | PARTS AND ATtACHMENTS FOR INDUSTRIAL FURNACES AND OVENS |
| 35680 | 19153 | 35680 | MECHANICAL STOKERS |
| 35690 | 327745 | $35691$ | HEAT EXCHANGERS |
|  |  | $35692$ | UNKNOWN |
| 35710 | 349670 | 35710 | COMPUTING MACHINES |
| 35720 | 130410 | 35720 | TYPEWRITERS |
| 35760 | 53274 | 35760 | Scales and balainces |
| 35791 | 23586 | 35791 | aUtomatic merchandising machines-exclude refrigerated |
| 35792 | 49355 | 35792 | AMUSEMENT AND OTHER COIN-OPERATED MACHINES |
| 35793 | 133111 | 35793 | office and store machines. N.e.c. - include time-recording clocks |
| 35810 | 532084 | 35811 | household mechanical washing machines |
|  |  | 35812 | HOUSEHOLD LAUNDRY EQUIPMENT. N.E.C. SUCH AS WRINGERS DRIERS AND IRONERS |
| 35820 | 77441 | 35820 | COMMERCIAL LAUNDRY. DRY-CLEANING AND PRESSING MACHINES |
| 35830 | 170636 | 35830 | SEWING MACHINES |
| 35840 | 167260 | 35841 | household vacuum cleaners - include attachments and cleaning tools |
| 35851 | 925131 | 35851 | HOUSEHOLD MECHANICAL REFRIGERAATORS - INCLUDE ELECTRIC AND GAS |
| 35852 | 132469 | 35852 | HOME AND FARM FREEZERS |
| 35853 | 231034 | 35853 | UNITARY COMMERICAL REFRIGERATIDN EQUIPMENT |

$$
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| NEW SIC | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35854 | 80886 | 35854 | Compressors and compressor units |
| 35855 | 106189 | 35855 | CONDENSING UNITS |
| 35856 | 425589 | 35856 | refrigeration machinery and air conditioning equipment n.e.c. |
| 35860 | 121793 | 35860 | measuring and dispensing pumps |
| 35890 | 151852 | 35890 | Service-industry and household machines. n.e.c. |
| 35910 | 711778 | 35911 35912 35913 | valves and fittings for piping systems - exclude plumbing and.heating valves PLUMBING and heating valves and specialties - exclude plumbing fixture fittings and trim (34312) Valves and fittings - exclude plumbing fixture fittings and trim (34312), N.e.C. |
| 35920 | 154623 | 35920 | fabricated pipe made from purchased pipe |
| 35930 | 450495 | 35930 | ball and roller bearings and components |
| 35990 | 627114 | $\begin{aligned} & 35990 \\ & 35994 \end{aligned}$ | machine shop products UNKNOWN |
| 36110 | 491959 | 3611 <br> 36112 <br> 36113 | CURRENT-CARRYING WIRING DEVICES NONCURRENT-CARRYING WIRING DEVICES AND SUPPLIES pole line and transmission hardware |
| 36120 | 100554 | 36120 | carbon and graphite products |
| 36130 | 149800 | 36131 36132 36133 | INIEGRATING INSTRUMENTS, ELECTRICAL <br> TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TESTING ELECTRICAL. RADIO AND COMMUNICATION CIRCUITS AND MOTORS electrical measuring instrument n.e.c. |
| 36140 | 888136 | 36141 | fractional horsepower motors |
|  |  | 36142 | integral horsepower motors and generators-other than for land transportation eguipment |
|  |  | 36143 | Prime mover generator sets-other than steam or hydraulic turbine |
|  |  | 36144 | motor-generator sets and other rotating equipment |
|  |  | 36145 | MOTORS. GENERATORS. AND CONTROL APPARATUS (INCLUDING PARTS) FOR TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT |
|  |  | 36146 | parts and supplies for motors. generators, and motor-generator sets-exclude those for land transportation equipment |
| 36151 | 83891 | 36151 | specialty tranformers |
| 36152 | 278041 | 36152 | power and distribution transformers |
| 36153 | 43548 | 36153 | transformer parts and supplies. power regulators. boosters. and reactors |
| 36161 | 331736 | 36161 | Switthgear and switchboard apparatus |
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| NEW SIC | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36162 | 200489 | 36162 | INDUSTRIAL ELECTRICAL CONTROL EQUIPMENT-EXCEPT RAILWAY AND MOTOR VEHICLE CONTROLLERS(36145) |
| 36163 | 168795 | 36163 | DOMESTIC AUTOMATIC CONTROLS |
| 36170 | 109825 | $\begin{aligned} & 36171 \\ & 36172 \\ & 36173 \end{aligned}$ | ARC WELDING MACHINES, COMPONENTS, AND ACCESSORIES-EXCEPT ELECTRODES ARC WELDING ELECTRODES <br> RESISTANCE WELOERS. PARTS. COMPONENTS. ACCESSORIES AND ELECTRODES |
| 36191 | 11337 | 36191 | HIGH frequency induction and di-Electric heating apparatus |
| 36192 | 33101 | 36192 | CAPACITORS FOR INDUSTRIAL USE-POWER CAPACITORS, FLUORESCENT LAMP BALAST CAPACITORS, ETC. EXCLUDE (36614) |
| 36193 | 57868 | 36193 | ELECTRICAL EOUIPMENT FOR INDUSTRIAL USE. N.E.C. |
| 36211 | 60402 | 36211 | ELECTRIC fans, EXCEPT INDUSTRIAL type-include desk, Wall bracket. high pedestal etc. |
| 36212 | 63522 | 36212 | HOUSEHOLD WATER HEATERS, ELECTRIC (PERMANENT INSTALLATION TYPES ONLY) |
| 36213 | 306004 | 36213 | TOTAL OF DRY SHAVERS; SMALL HOUSEHOLD ELECTRIC APPLIANCES, INCLUDE IRONS, TOASTERS. FODD MIXERS. ETC. - EXCLUDE |
| 36214 | 218478 | 36214 | Household ranges. ELECTRIC |
| 36215 | 16639 | 36215 | COMMERCIAL COOKING AND FOOD WARMING EQUIPMENT, ELECTRIC |
| 36217 | 85000 | 36217 | PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR ELECTRIC APPLIANCES |
| 36310 | 879915 | 36317 | INSULATED WIRE AND CABLE MADE from purchased WIRE (PURCHASED WIRE INCLUDES WIRE TRANSFERRED FROM OTHER ESTABLISHMENTS OF SAME COMPANY AS WELL AS WERE PURCHASED FROM OTHER COMPANIES) |
| 36410 | 486964 | 36410 | ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT FOR INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES INCLUDING BATTERY-CHARGING GENERATORS, CRANKING MOTORS.ETC. |
| 36510 | 243157 | 36511 | ELECTRIC LAMPS (BULBS) |
| 36612 | 1687073 | 36612 | HOUSEHOLD RADIO RECEIVERS. TELEVISION SETS. RADIO-PHONOGRAPHS. PHONOGRAPHS. AND RECORD Players |
| 36613 | 268611 | 36613 | COMMERCIAL RADIO COMMUNICATIONS. RADIO NAVIGATION AIOS. AND RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCAST EqUIPMENT |
| 36614 | 23309 | $\begin{aligned} & 36164 \\ & 36614 \end{aligned}$ | FUSES AND FUSE EOUIPMENT, UNDER 2300 VOLTS <br> ELECTRONIC TYPE COMPONENTS FOR WIRE. RADIO. TELEVISION. AND PHONOGRAPH EQUIPMENT. INDUST. CONTROLS AND SPECIAL ELECTRONIC APPLICATIONS SUCH AS RADAR, INDUSTRIAL HEATING, ETC. |
| 36615 | 46597 | 36615 | RECORDERS. AMPLIfIERS, AUOIO EqUIPMENT AND RECORDING MAGNETIC tapes and wire |


| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36622 | 85172 | 36622 | total of transmitting type tubes - exclude x-ray and industrial type tubes; 'x-ray equipment and X-ray and industrial type tubes |
| 36623 | 197732 | 36623 | Radio receiving-type tubes-exclude cathode ray |
| 36624 | 102870 | 36624 | Cathode ray tuees (television receiver trpe) |
| 36630 | 93852 | $\begin{array}{r} 36631 \\ 36632 \end{array}$ | PHONOGRAPH RECORDS <br> RECORDING BLANKS (DISC AND CYLINDER) |
| 36640 | 449546 | 36640 | telephone and telegraph equipment |
| 36690 | 86296 | 36690 | COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT N.E.C. |
| 36910 | 318546 | 36911 36912 36913 | STORAGE BATTERIES. S.L.I. TYPE <br> STORAGE BATTERIES. OTHER THAN S.L.I. TYPE <br> PARTS AND SUPPLIES FOR STORAGE BATTERIES |
| 36920 | 95491 | 36920 | PRIMARY BATTERIES (DRY AND WET) |
| 36932 | 48244 | 36932 | ELECTRO-THERAPEUTIC APPARATUS |
| 36990 | 114905 | $\begin{aligned} & 36991 \\ & 36992 \end{aligned}$ | ELECTRIC LAMP COMPONENTS. INCANDESCENT PRODUCTS AND COILS ELECTRICAL HEARING AIDS |
| 37150 | 229685 | 37150 | truck trailers and parts-include full and semitrailers |
| 37160 | 176853 | 37160 | AUTOMOBILE TRAILERS AND PARTS |
| 37171 | 9415476 | 37171 | PASSENGER CARS, KNOCKED DOWN OR ASSEMBLED |
| 37172 | 1843489 | 37172 | truck tractors, truck chassis, and trucks produced from chassis made in this establishment KNOCKED DOWN OR ASSEMBLED |
| 37173 | 72632 | 37173 | MOTOR COACHES (EXCEPT 37423) PRODUCED FROM CHASSIS MADE IN THIS ESTABLISHMENT |
| 37174 | 999999 | 37174 | TOTAL OF 37120 AND 37122 |
| 37175 | 999999 | 37175 | TOTAL OF 37140 AND 37141 |
| 37176 | 18541 | 37176 | FIRE-DEPARTMENT VEHICLES PRODUCED FROM CHASSIS MADE IN THIS EStablishment |
| 37177 | 999999 | 37177 | AMPHIBIAN COMBAT VEHICLE OR CARRIER ASSEMBLY |
| 37178 | 999999 | 37178 | MOTOR CARRIAGE (FOR ARTILLERY PIECES) ASSEmbly |
| 37211 | 1813927 | 37211 | COMPLETE AIRCRAFT. MILITARY TYPE |
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| NEW SIC | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37214 | 88043 | 37214 | Modifications conversions and overhaul of previousty delivered aircraft |
| 37220 | 447211 | 37221 | alrcraft engines - except parts |
|  |  | 37222 | AIRCRAFT ENGINE PARTS |
|  |  |  | RECEIPTS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WORK ON AIRCRAFT ENGINES |
| 37230 | 50468 | $\begin{aligned} & 37231 \\ & 37232 \end{aligned}$ | RECEIPTS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WORK ON AIRCRAFT PROPELLERS AIRCRAFT PROPELLERS AND PARTS |
| 37290 | 1351635 | 37291 | AIRCRAFT PARTS AND AUXILIARY EQUIPMENT N.E.C. |
|  |  | 37292 | AIRCRAFT PARTS AND AUXILIARY EQUIPMENT N.E.C. |
| 37310 | 566349 | 37315 | SELF-PROPELLED SHIPS (VESSELS OVER 65 FT. IN LENGHT) |
|  |  | 37316 | SHIP REPAIR (ON VESSELS OVER 65 FT. IN LENGTH) |
|  |  | 37317 | NON-PROPELLED SHIPS (VESSELS OVER 65 FT. IN LENGTH) NON-MILITARY |
|  |  | $37318$ | SELF-PROPELLED SHIPS (VESSELS OVER 65 FT. IN LENGTH) NON-MILITARY |
|  |  | 37319 | SHIP REPAIR (ON VESSELS OVER 65 FT. IN LENGTH) NON-MILITARY |
| 37320 | 130371 | 37323 | BOATS (VESSELS 65 FT. IN LENGTH AND LESS) |
|  |  | 37324 | BOAT REPAIR (ON VESSELS 65 FT. IN LENGTH AND LESS) |
|  |  | 37325 | BOATS (VESSELS 65 FT. IN LENGTH AND LESS) NON-MILITARY |
|  |  | 37326 | BDAI REPAIR (ON VESSELS 65 FT IN LENGTH AND-LESS) NON-MILITARY |
| 37410 | 731000 | 37411 | locomotives (NEW)-RAILROAD RDAD SERVICE TYPE include combination line and switching |
|  |  | 37412 | LOCOMOTIVES (NEW) SWITCHING TYPE |
|  |  | 37413 | LOCOMOTIVES (NEW) INDUSTRIAL AND MINING TYPE |
|  |  | $37414$ | PARTS FOR LOCOMOTIVES- INCLUDE TENDERS, FOR SALE SEPARATELY |
|  |  | $37415$ | REBUILT LOCOMOTIVES |
| 37420 | 483447 | 37421 | PASSENGER TRAIN CARS (NEW) |
|  |  | 37422 | FREIGHT TRAIN CARS (NEW) |
|  |  | 37423 | Street, Rapid transit. And inter-urban cars ; trolley busses: SElf-propelled cars |
|  |  | 37424 | PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR RAILROAD AND STREET CARS |
|  |  | 37425 | REBUILD PASSENGER AND FREIGHT TRAIN CARS |
| 37511 | 20509 | 37511 | MOTORCYCLES. MOTORBIKES. MOTOR-SCOOTERS AND PARTS |
| 37512 | 85704 | 37512 | BICYCLES AND PARTS |
| 37990 | 33821 | 37990 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT N.E.C. |
| 38111 | 180570 | 38111 | AIRCRAFT FLIGHT instruments and automatic pilots |
| 38113 | 76716 | 38113 | LABORATORY AND SCIENTIFIC INSTRUMENTS EXCLUDE SURGICAL, MEDICAL. AND dental |
| 38211 | 37333 | 38211 | AIRCRAFT AND NAUTICAL INSTRUMENTS-EXCLUDE FLIGHT INSTRUMENTS (38111) |
| 38212 | 92147 | 38212 | integrating meters, nonelectrical type |
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| NEW SIC | CENSUS VaLue DF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38213 | 216567 | 38213 | INDUSTRIAL PROCESS INSTRUMENTS INCLUDE INDICATING, RECORDING AND CONTROLLING INSTRUMENTS |
| 38214 | 80145 | 38214 | MOTOR-VEHIGLE INSTRUMENTS |
| 38310 | 51254 | $\begin{aligned} & 38311 \\ & 38312 \end{aligned}$ | OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS AND LENSES GUN FIRE-CONTROL EQUIPMENT |
| 38410 | 44042 | 38410 | SURGICAL AND MEDICAL INSTRUMENTS |
| 38423 | 62375 | 38423 | SANITARY NAPKINS AND TAMPONS |
| 38424 | 216688 | 38424 | SURGICAL AND ORfHOPEDIC APPLIANCES AND SUPPLIES |
| 38430 | 70239 | 38430 | DENTAL INSTRUMENTS. EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES |
| 38510 | 140843 | 38510 | dphthalmic goods including eyeglass frames and fittings and sun or glare glasses |
| 38612 | 102769 | 38612 | Still Picture eduipment except film |
| 38613 | 195586 | 38613 | FILM |
| 38614 | 82308 | 38614 | PHOTOGRAPHIC (SENSITIZED) PAPER |
| 38615 | 12951 | 38615 | PREPARED PHOTOGRAPHIC CHEMICALS INCLUDE DEVELOPERS. FIXERS AND TONERS |
| 38616 | 44797 | 38616 | 35 MM MOTION PICTURE CAMERAS AND PROJECTORS |
| 38617 | 44079 | 38617 | MOTION PICTURE EOUIPMENT N.E.C. EXCEPT FILM |
| 38710 | 307488 | 38711 | clocks include electric clocks, clock movements. and timing mechanisms. not for timepiece USE, but exclude time |
|  |  | 38712 | WATCHES WITH DOMESTIC MOVEMENTS JEWELED Lever escapement trpe |
|  |  | 38713 | WATCHES WITH DOMESTIC MOVEMENTS PIN LEVEL ESCAPEMENT TYPE |
|  |  | 38714 | WATCHES WITH IMPORTED MOVEMENTS |
|  |  | $38715$ | CLOCK AND WATCH PARTS EXCEPT WATCH CASES SOLD TO OTHER COMPANIES |
|  |  | 38716 | interplant transfers of watch and clock movements and parts |
| 39110 | 234484 | 39110 | Jewelry. made of precious metal |
| 39120 | 77838 | 39120 | JEWELERS' FINDINGS AND MATERIALS |
| 39140 | 239289 | 39142 | SILVERWARE AND PLATED Ware |
| 39310 | 63953 | 39310 | PIANOS |
| 39320 | 25613 | 39320 | ORGANS |

$$
A-2-32
$$

| 39390 | 33670 | 39390 | MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS AND PARTS - EXCLUDE PIANOS AND DRGANS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39410 | 277835 | 39410 | games and tors. except dolls and children's vehicles |
| 39420 | 92656 | 39420 | DOLLS AND STUFFED TOY ANIMALS |
| 39430 | 73937 | 39430 | CHILDREN'S VEHICLES (BABY CARRIAGES, WALKERS. STROLLERS, ETC.) |
| 39490 | 240916 | 39490 | SPORTING AND ATHLETIC GOODS |
| 39510 | 116520 | 39510 | pens. mechanical pencils. and pen points |
| 39520 | 49212 | 39520 | Lead pencials and crayons |
| 39530 | 27274 | 39530 | HAND STTAMPS, STENCILS. AND BRANDS |
| 39540 | 17713 | 39540 | ARTISTS' MATERIALS |
| 39550 | 57500 | 39550 | CARBON PAPER and inked ribbons |
| 39630 | 61777 | 39630 | BUTTONS AND PARTS |
| 39640 | 189550 | 39640 | NeEDLES. PINS, HOOKS and eyes, and similar notions |
| 39711 | 468334 | 39711 | MOLDED PLASTIC PRODUCTS |
| 39712 | 85438 | 39712 | laminateo plastic sheets-rods-tubes |
| 39713 | 251644 | 39713 | fabricated plastic products, other than molded products including semimanufactured forms |
| 39811 | 31665 | 39811 | brooms |
| 39812 | 55522 | 39812 | PAINT BRUSHES |
| 39813 | 98260 | 39813 | BRUSHES N.E.C. SUCH AS TOILET. INDUSTRIAL, MAINTENANCE |
| 39820 | 37193 | 39820 | CORK PRODUCTS |
| 39830 | 58597 | 39830 | MATCHES |
| 39840 | 19715 | 39840 | candles |
| 39850 | 12562 | 39850 | FIREWORKS AND PYROTECHNICS-INCLUDE FIREWORKS. FLARES, RAILROAD TORPEDOES, AND FUSES |
| 39860 | 35086 | 39860 | JEWELRY CASES AND INSTRUMENT CASES |


| NEW SIC | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39930 | 229764 | 39930 | Signs and advertising displays |
| 39960 | 14179 | 39960 | tobacco pipes and cigarette holders |
| 39970 | 26855 | 39970 | Soda-fountain and beer-dispensing equipment |
| 39990 | 199168 | $\begin{aligned} & 39992 \\ & 39999 \end{aligned}$ | hand fire extinguishers and parts UNKNOWN |

DESCRIPTION
SPECIALTIES. N.S.K. (INCLUDES 20324 AND 20320)

| 20323 | 0.500 | 3058 | 20323 | CANNED DRY BEANS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20330 | 0.171 | 39229 | 20330 | Canned fruits and vegetables. N. S.K. |
|  |  |  | 20331 | CANNED FRUITS (EXCEPT BABY FOODS) |
|  |  |  | 20332 | CANNED VEGETABLES (EXCEPT HOMINY AND MUSHROOMS) |
|  |  |  | 20333 | CANNED HOMINY AND MUSHROOMS |
|  |  |  | 20334 | CANNED FRUITS JUICES, NECTARS, AND CONCENTRATES |
|  |  |  | 20335 | CANNED VEGETABLE JUICES |
|  |  |  | 20336 | CATSUP AND OTHER TOMATO SAUCES |
|  |  |  | 20338 | JAMS. JELLIES. AND PRESERVES |
| 20340 | 0.295 | 6256 | 20340 | DEHYDRATED FRUITS, VEGETABLES AND SOUP. MIXES, N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 20341 | dried fruits and vegetables. except soup mixes |
|  |  |  | 20342 | SOUP MIXES. DRIED |
| 20350 | 0.000 | 753 | 20350 | PICKLES, SAUCES, and salad dressings. N.S.K. |
| 20352 | 0.380 | 3625 | 20352 | PICKLES AND OTHER PICKLED PRODUCTS |
| 20353 | 0.500 | 1513 | 20353 | meat sauces (except tomato) |
| 20354 | 0.520 | 5760 | 20354 | MAYONNAISE, SALAD DRESSINGS. AND SANDWICH SPREADS |
| 20370 | 0.263 | 16487 | $\begin{aligned} & 20371 \\ & 20372 \end{aligned}$ | FROZEN FRUITS. JUICES, AND ADES FRO2EN VEGETABLES |
| 20380 | 0.357 | 17426 | 20380 | OTher frozen specialties. n.s.k. (includes 20370, frozen fruits and VEGETABLES, N.S.K.) |
|  |  |  | 20381 | FROZEN PIES AND OTHER FROZEN GAKED GOODS |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20382 \\ & 20383 \end{aligned}$ | frozen dinners, beef, pork, poultry pies, and nationality food, etc. OTHER FROZEN SPECIALTIES |
| 20410 | 0.000 | 30267 | 20411 | WHEAT FLOUR. EXCEPT FLOUR MIXES |
|  |  |  | 20412 | WHEAT MILL PRODUCTS DTHER THAN flour |
|  |  |  | 20413 | CORN MILL PRODUCTS |
|  |  |  | 20415 | Flour mixes and refrigerated doughs made in flour mills |
|  |  |  | 20416 | OTHER GRAIN MILL PRDDUCTS |
|  |  |  | 20455 | Flour mixes and refrigerated doughs not made in mills |
| 20430 | 0.836 | 9346 | 20430 | CEREAL BREAKFAST FOODS |
| 20440 | 0.417 | 6713 | 20440 | MILLED RICE AND BYPRODUCTS |
| 20460 | 0.617 | 7866 | 20460 | WET CORN MILLING |




| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20740 | 0.370 | 43033 | 20741 | cottonseed oil, crude |
|  |  |  | 20742 | COTTONSEED OIL, ONCE REFINED |
|  |  |  | 20743 | cotton linters |
|  |  |  | 20744 | COTTONSEED CAKE. MEAL. AND OTHER BYPRDDUCTS |
|  |  |  | 20751 | SOYBEAN OIL |
|  |  |  | 20752 | SOYBEAN CAKE. MEAL. ANO OTHER BYPRODUCTS |
|  |  |  | 20760 | vegetable oil mill products, n.e.c., N.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 20761 | LINSEED OIL |
|  |  |  | 20762 | VEGETABLE OILS (OTHER THAN COTTONSEED, SOYBEAN. ANO LINSEED |
|  |  |  | 20763 | OTHER VEGETABLE OIL MILL PRODUCTS. EXCEPT COTTONSEED AND SOYBEAN |
|  |  |  | 20770 | ANIMAL AND MARINE FATS AND OILS. N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 20771 | grease and inedible tallow |
|  |  |  | 20772 | meat meal ano tankage |
|  |  |  | 20773 | animal and marine oil products, including foots |
| 20790 | 0.373 | 22745 | 20791 | Shortening and cooking oils |
|  |  |  | 20792 | margarine |
| 20820 | 0.662 | 40387 | 20821 | CANNED BEER AND ALE |
|  |  |  | 20822 | BOTTLED BEER ANO ALE |
|  |  |  | 20823 | BEER AND ALE IN BARRELS AND KEGS |
|  |  |  | 20824 | all other malt beverages and brewing byproducts |
| 20830 | 0.480 | 2128 | 20830 | malt and malt byproducts |
| 20840 | 0.388 | 8510 | 20840 | Wines. brandy. and branoy spirits |
| 20850 | 0.358 | 15572 | 20851 | OISTILLED LIOUORS. EXCEPT GRANOY |
|  |  |  | 20853 | BOTTLEO LIOUORS. EXCEPT BRANDY |
| 20860 | 0.650 | 48072 | 20860 | bottled and canned soft drinks |
| 20870 | 0.614 | 14529 | 20870 | flavoring extracts and sirups. n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 20871 | flavoring extracts. Emulsions. and other liouid flavors |
|  |  |  | 20872 | liouid beverage bases. not for use by soft drink bottlers |
|  |  |  | 20873 | FLAVORING SIRUPS FOR USE BY SOFT ORINK BOTTLERS |
|  |  |  | 20874 | other flavoring agents (except chocolate sirups) |
| 20910 | 0.260 | 5183 | 20910 | canned and cured seafood. including soup (except frozen) |
| 20920 | 0. 134 | 10168 | 20922 | fresh packageo fish and other seafood |
|  |  |  | 20923 | Frozen packaged fish, excluding shellfish |
|  |  |  | 20924 | frozen packaged shellfish and other seafood. including soup |
| 20950 | 0.612 | 21634 | 20951 | roasted coffee, whole bean or ground |
|  |  |  | 20952 | CONCENTRATED COFFEE |
| 20970 | 0.860 | 1057 | 20970 | manufactured ice |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20980 | 0.331 | 3552 | 20980 | MACARONI. SPAGHETTI. AND NOODLES |
| 20990 | 0.000 | 3477 | 20990 | MISCELLANEOUS FOODS, N.S.K. |
| 20991 | 0.800 | 2672 | 20991 | DESSERTS (READY-TO-mix) |
| 20992 | 0.490 | 10421 | 20992 | CHIPS. (POTATO. CORN, ETC.) |
| 20993 | 0.530 | 1673 | 20993 | SWEETENING SIRUPS and molasses |
| 20994 | 0.890 | 826 | 20994 | BAKING POWDER AND YEAST |
| 20995 | 0.790 | 3598 | 20995 | tea in consumer packages |
| 20996 | 0.480 | 806 | 20996 | VINEGAR AND CIDER |
| 20999 | 0.240 | 13589 | 20999 | OTHER FOOD PREPARATIONS, N.E.C. |
| 21110 | 0.840 | 35894 | 21110 | cigarettes |
| 21210 | 0.535 | 3573 | 21210 | CIGARS |
| 21310 | 0.551 | 2575 | 21310 | CHEWING ANO SmOKING TOBACCO AND SNUFF |
| 21410 | 0.556 | 13358 | $\begin{aligned} & 21411 \\ & 21412 \end{aligned}$ | tobacco, REDRIED <br> TOBACCO. STEMWED |
| 22110 | 0.233 | 62888 | $\begin{array}{ll} 22 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} 10$ | OTHER FABRICATED COTTON TEXTILE PRODUCTS <br> GRAY GOODS: COTTON DUCK AND ALLIED FABRICS <br> gRay goods: cotton sheeting ano allied fabrics <br> gray goods: COTTON PRINT CLOTH YARN FABRICS <br> GRAY GOODS: COTTON COLORED YARN FABRICS. TOWELING AND DISHCLOTH FABRICS and Napped cotton fabrics. Including blanketing |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2211 & 15 \\ 22 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 17 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} 18$ | gRAY GOODS: FINE COTTON GOODS <br> GRAY GOODS: OTHER BROADWOVEN COTTON FABRICS AND SPECIALTIES <br> FINISHED COTTON BROADWOVEN FABRICS MADE IN WEAVING MILLS <br> COTTON SHEETS AND PILLOWCASES made in WEAVING mills <br> cotton sheets and pillowcases made in weaving mills. manmade |
| 22210 | 0.249 | 62888 | $\begin{aligned} & 22210 \\ & 22211 \end{aligned}$ | WEAVING mills. manmade fiber and Silk, N.S.K. gray goods: 100 PERCENT filament rayon and/or acetate fabrics. INCLUDING COMBINATIONS CHIEFLY RAYON AND/OR ACETATE |
|  |  |  | 22212 | gray goods: 100 PERCENT FILAMENT FABRICS. EXCEPT RAYON ando/Or acetate |
|  |  |  | 22213 | GRAY GODDS: 100 PERCENT SPUN RAYON AND/OR ACETATE FABRICS INCLUDING BLENDS |
|  |  |  | $22214$ | GRay goods: 100 PERCENT SPUN POLYESTER BLENDS WITH COTTON |
|  |  |  |  |  |



$$
A-2-40
$$

```
        4-FIRM CENSUS VALUE
NEW SIC CR WEISS OF SHIPMENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 22580 & 0.250 & 9286 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22580 \\
& 22581 \\
& 22582 \\
& 22583 \\
& 22584 \\
& 22589
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WARP KNIT FABRIC MILLS. N.S.K. \\
GREIGE GOODS, EXCEPT HOSIERY \\
UNDERWEAR ANO NIGHTWEAR FINISHED FABRIC \\
OUTERWEAR FINISHED FABRIC \\
all other finished warp knit fabric \\
CONTRACT AND COMMISSION RECEIPTS FOR KNITTING AND/OR \\
DYEING WARP KNIT FABRIC
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22590 & 0.400 & 850 & 22590 & KNIT GLOVES AND KNIT PRODUCTS. N.E.C.. MADE FROM YARNS OR FABRICS KNIT IN THE SAME ESTABLISHMENT. (SEE ALSO 23811 AND 23812). \\
\hline 22610 & 0.388 & 11513 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22617 \\
& 22619
\end{aligned}
\] & FINISHED COTTON BROADWOVEN FABRICS NOT MADE IN WEAVING MILLS COMmISSION FINISHING OF COTTON BROADWOVEN FABRICS \\
\hline 22620 & 0.352 & 17907 & 22628
22629 & \begin{tabular}{l}
manmade fiber and silk broadwoven fabric finishing. not finished in weaving MILLS \\
COMMISSION FINISHING OF MANHADE FIBER ANO SILK BROADWOVEN FABRICS
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22690 & 0.340 & 5228 & 22690 & FINISHED YARN, RAW STOCK AND NARROW FABRICS, EXCEPT KNIT AND WOOL (NOT SPUN. THROWN. WOVEN. OR BRAIDED IN SAME ESTABLISHMENT). (SEE ALSO 22811. 22812. AND 22814.) \\
\hline 22710 & 0.540 & 2025 & 22710 & WOVEN CARPETS AND RUGS \\
\hline 22720 & 0.210 & 26258 & 22720 & tufted carpets and rugs \\
\hline 22790 & 0.318 & 1165 & 22790 & CARPETS. RUGS. AND MATS. N.E.C. \\
\hline 22810 & 0.197 & 28593 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22810 \\
& 22811 \\
& 22812 \\
& 22813 \\
& 22814
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
YARN MILLS, EXCEPT WOOL, N.S.K. \\
CARDED COTTON YARNS. (SEE ALSO PRODUCT CLASS 22690.) \\
COMBED COTTON YARNS. (SEE ALSO PRODUCT CLASS 22690.) \\
RAYON ANO/OR ACETATE SPUN YARNS. (SEE ALSO PRODUCT CLASS 22690). \\
SPUN NONCELLULOSIC FIBER AND SILK YARNS. (SEE ALSO PRODUCT CLASS 22690.)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22820 & 0.318 & 17488 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22822 \\
& 22823 \\
& 22824 \\
& 22829
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
REWOUND. PLIED. ETC. . YARNS. OTHER THAN WOOL (NOT SPUN DR THROWN at SAME ESTABLISHMENT) \\
THROWN FILAMENT YARNS. EXCEPT TEXTURED \\
TEXTURED, CRIMPED, DR BULKED FILAMENT YARNS COMMISSION THROWING. PLYING. ETC. OF YARNS
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22830 & 0.294 & 2227 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22831 \\
& 22832
\end{aligned}
\] & WOOL YARNS. EXCEPT YARNS. INCLUDING YARNS SPUN AND FINISHED AT SAME ESTABLISHMENT WOOL YARNS. CARPET \\
\hline 22840 & 0.561 & 3403 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22841 \\
& 22842 \\
& 22843
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
finisheo thread for use in the home \\
FINISHED THREAD FOR INDUSTRIAL OR MANUFACTURES' USE UNF INISHED THREAD
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

$$
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| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22910 | 0.497 | 1308 | 22910 | PRESSED. PUnCHED. OR NEEDLED FELTS, EXCEPT HATS |
| 22920 | 0.200 | 398 | 22920 | lace and net goods |
| 22930 | 0.238 | 1543 | 22930 | Paddings and upholstery filling |
| 22940 | 0.454 | 1242 | 22940 | Processed textile waste |
| 22950 | 0.306 | 8086 | $\begin{aligned} & 22951 \\ & 22952 \\ & 22953 \end{aligned}$ | PYROXYLIN-COATED FABRICS VINYL COATED FABRICS OTHER COATED FABRICS |
| 22960 | 0.797 | 6208 | 22960 | TIRE CORD AND TIRE FABRICS |
| 22970 | 0.426 | 5176 | $\begin{aligned} & 22971 \\ & 22972 \end{aligned}$ | NONWOVEN FABRICS <br> FABRICATED NONWOVEN PRODUCTS |
| 22980 | 0.235 | 1747 | $\begin{aligned} & 22981 \\ & 22982 \\ & 22983 \end{aligned}$ | HARD FIBER CORDAGE AND TWINE <br> SOFT FIBER CORDAGE AND TWINE (EXXCEPT COTTON) cotton cordage and twine |
| 22990 | 0.000 | 1334 | 22990 | TEXTILE GOODS. N.S.K. |
| 22992 | 0.680 | 210 | 22992 | JUTE GODDS (EXCEPT JUTE FELTS, CORDAGE OR TWINE) AND LINEN GOODS |
| 22993 | 0.920 | 600 | 22993 | Scouring and combing mill product |
| 23110 | 0.181 | 22308 | $\begin{aligned} & 23111 \\ & 23112 \\ & 23113 \end{aligned}$ | MEN'S SUITS <br> MEN'S OVERCOATS AND TOPCOATS <br> MEN'S TAILORED DRESS AND SPORT COATS AND JACKETS <br> CONTRACT AND COMMISSION WORK ON MEN'S AND BOYS' SUITS AND COATS |
| 23210 | 0.176 | 19629 | 23212 | MEN'S AND BOYS' KNIT OUTERWEAR SPORT SHIRTS. MADE FROM PURCHASED KNIT FABRICS. (SEE ALSO 22532.) |
|  |  |  | 23214 | MEN'S AND BOYS' DRESS AND SPORT SHIRTS EXCEPT KNIT OUTERWEAR SPORT SHIRTS |
|  |  |  | 23215 | MEN'S AND BOYS' NIGHTWEAR. MADE OF WDVEN OR PURCHASED KNIT FABRICS. (SEE ALSO 22541.) |
|  |  |  | 23219 | CONTRACT AND COMMISSION WORK ON MEN'S AND BOYS' SHIRTS (EXCEPT WORK) AND NIGHTWEAR |
| 23220 | 0.485 | 2323 | 23221 | MEN'S AND BOYS' UNOERWEAR, MADE FROM WOVEN OR PURCHASED KNIT FABRICS. (SEE ALSO 22541.) |
| 23230 | 0.250 | 2793 | 23230 | MEN'S. YOUTHS'. AND BOYS' NECKWEAR |
| 23270 | 0.242 | 17759 | 23271 | MEN'S AND BOYS' SEPARATE DRESS AND SPORT TROUSERS DRESS SHORTS |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 23279 | CONTRACT ANO COMMISSION WORK ON MEN'S ANO BOYS' SEPARATE DRESS AND SPORT TROUSERS |
| . 23280 | 0.377 | 16248 | 23281 | MEN'S AND BOYS' WORK Shirts |
|  |  |  | 23282 | men's and boys work clothing (except shirts) and washable service apparel |
|  |  |  | 23289 | Contract ano commission work on men's and boys' work clothing |
| 23290 | 0. 140 | 7465 | 23291 | men's and boys' heavy outerwear coats ano jackets, nontallored |
|  |  |  | 23292 | MEN'S AND BOYS' OUTERWEAR. N.E.C. . MADE FROM WOVEN OR PURCHASEO |
|  |  |  |  | knit fabrics. (SEE ALSO 22531 and 22533.) |
| 23310 | 0.086 | 12788 | 23312 | WOMEN'S. MISSES. ANO JUNIORS' KNIT OUTERWEAR SPORT SHIRTS. MADE FRDM PURCHASED KNIt fabrics. (SEE also 22532.) |
|  |  |  | 23317 | WOMEN'S. MISSES'. ANO JUNIORS' BLOUSES ANO SHIRTS, EXCEPT KNIT OUTERWEAR SPORT SHIRTS |
|  |  |  | 23319 | CONTRACT AND COMmission work on women's. misses'. and juniors' blouses and shirts |
| 23350 | 0.089 | 35362 | 23350 | WOMEN'S and misses' dresses. N.S.k. |
|  |  |  | 23351 | WOMEN'S. MISSES'. AND JUNIORS' dresses sold at a unit price |
|  |  |  | 23352 | women's, misses', ano juniors' dresses sold at a dozen price |
|  |  |  | 23359 | CONTRACT AND COMMISSION WORK ON WOMEN'S. MISSES', AND JUNIORS' dresses |
| 23370 | 0.058 | 17255 | 23371 | WOMEn's. Misses'. Ano juniors' coats (except fur and leather) |
|  |  |  | 23372 | WOMEN'S. MISSES'. ANO JUNIORS' SUITS |
|  |  |  | 23374 | WOMEN'S. MISSES. ANO JUnIors' Skirts and jackets |
|  |  |  | 23379 | CONTRACT AND COMMISSION WORK ON WOMEN'S. MISSES'. ANO JUNIORS SUITS. |
|  |  |  |  | coats. and skirts |
| 23390 | 0.133 | 16481 | 23390 | WOMEN'S and misses' outerwear, N.E.C. . N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 23392 | WOMEN'S. MISSES'. AND JUnIors' Washable service apparel |
|  |  |  | 23393 |  |
|  |  |  | 23399 | CONTRACT ANO COMMISSION WORK ON WOMEN'S. MISSES'. AND JUNIORS' OUTERWEAR. N.E.C. |
| 23410 | 0.138 | 12887 | 23412 | WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S UNDERWEAR |
|  |  |  | 23413 | WOMEN'S and children's nightwear |
|  |  |  | 23419 | Contract ano commission work on women's and children's underwear and nightwear |
| 23420 | 0.328 | 6795 | 23421 | brassieres |
|  |  |  | 23422 | corsets, girdles, combinations, and accessories |
| 23510 | 0.149 | 587 | 23510 | millinery |
| 23520 | 0.214 | 1475 |  | hats and hat bodies (except cloth and millinery) |
|  |  |  | 23522 | CLOTH HATS AND Caps |
| 23610 | 0. 120 | 6547 | 23610 | Chiloren's and infants' dresses, blouses and shirts, except knit sport shirts |
|  |  |  | 23612 | childoren's and infants' knit sport shirts, made from purchased knit fabrics. |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { A-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 23619 | (SEE ALSO 22532.) <br> contract ano commission work on children's and infants' dresses. <br> blouses. ano shirts |
| 23630 | 0. 153 | 1725 | 23630 | children's and infants' coats, suits. snowsuits, and coat-and-leging sets |
| 23690 | 0. 127 | 6231 | 23690 23699 | Children's ano infants' outerwear, n.e.c., made from woven or purchased KNIT FABRIC. (SEE ALSO 22531 ANO 22533.) <br> contract and commission work on children's and infants' outerwear, n.e.c. |
| 23710 | 0.067 | 2136 | 23710 | FUR GODDS |
| 23810 | 0.319 | 1717 | 23811 | dRess gloves ano mittens, made from woven or purchases knit fabrics. (SEE ALSO 22590.) WORK GLOVES AND MITTENS. MADE FROM WOVEN OR PURCHACED KNIT fabIRCS. (SEE ALSO 22590.) |
| 23840 | 0.228 | 1696 | 23840 | robes and dressing gowns, except children's |
| 23850 | 0.242 | 3216 | 23850 | raincoats and diher waterproof putergarments |
| 23860 | O. 100 | 1735 | 23860 | leather and sheep lined clothing |
| 23870 | 0. 196 | 2341 | $\begin{aligned} & 23871 \\ & 23872 \end{aligned}$ | leather belts (for sale separately) belts. other than leather |
| 23890 | 0.215 | 1232 | 23890 | apparel. n.e.c. |
| 23910 | 0.280 | 6262 | 23910 | curtains and draperies, except lace |
| 23920 | 0.222 | 14423 | $\begin{aligned} & 23920 \\ & 23926 \\ & 23928 \\ & 23929 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER HOUSEFURNISHINGS <br> bedspreads and bedsets. not made in weaving mills. (See also 22110.) sheets and pillowcases, not made in weaving mills towels and washcloths not made in weaving mills |
| 23930 | 0.239 | 2315 | 23930 | textile bags, except laundry. wardrobe, and shoe |
| 23940 | 0.204 | 2721 | 23940 | Canvas products |
| 23950 | 0. 190 | 2618 | 23951 | embroideries (except schiffli machine products). stamped art goods. and art NEEDLEWORK |
| 23961 | 0.520 | 942 | 23961 | men's and boys' suit and coat findings. hatters' fur. and other hat and cap material |
| 23962 | 0.000 | 8231 | $\begin{aligned} & 23962 \\ & 23963 \end{aligned}$ | AUTOMOBILE AND FURNITURE TRIMMINGS other trimmings and findings |
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OLD SIC

## DESCRIPTION



| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24363 \\ & 24364 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER SOFTWOOD PLYWODD-TYPE PRODUCTS SOFTWOOD VENEER |
| 24390 | 0.470 | 4555 | 24390 | Structural wood products |
| 24410 | 0.228 | 2328 | $\begin{aligned} & 24411 \\ & 24412 \end{aligned}$ | nailed or lock-CORNER WOODEN BOXES <br> box shook for fruits, vegetables. ano industrial uses |
| 24480 | 0.060 | 2874 | 24480 | pallets ano skids |
| 24490 | 0.218 | 2189 | $\begin{aligned} & 24491 \\ & 24493 \\ & 24495 \end{aligned}$ | WIREBOUNO BOXES MADE FROM LUMBER VENEER, AND PLYWOOD VENEER AND PLYWOOD CONTAINERS, EXCEPT BOXES AND CRATES sLACK and tight cooperage |
| 24510 | 0.270 | 31909 | $\begin{aligned} & 24510 \\ & 24511 \\ & 24512 \end{aligned}$ | mobile homes. n.s.k. <br> mobile homes ( 35 feet or more in lengit) <br> mobile buildings. nonresidential (35 feet or more in lengit) |
| 24520 | 0.470 | 10019 | $\begin{aligned} & 24520 \\ & 24521 \\ & 24522 \\ & 24523 \\ & 24524 \end{aligned}$ | prefabricated wood buildings, n.s.k. <br> PREFABRICATED WODD BUILDINGS, CqMPONENTS FOR STATIONARY BUILDINGS <br> (NOT SOLD AS COMPLETE UNITS) <br> precut packages for stationary buildings (sold as complete units) <br> stationary buildings sold as complete units and shipped in panel form <br> (TWO-DIMENSIONAL) <br> stationary buildings shipped in three-dimensional assemblies |
| 24910 | 0.350 | 4613 | $\begin{aligned} & 24911 \\ & 24919 \end{aligned}$ | wood owned and treated by same establishment CONTRACT WOOD PRESERVING |
| 24920 | 0.447 | 2928 | 24920 | particleboard |
| 24991 | 0.370 | 1730 | 24991 | mitror and picture frames |
| 24994 | 0.630 | 181 | 24994 | cork products |
| 24995 | 0.170 | 7016 | 24995 | WOOD PRODUCTS. N.E.C. |
| 24996 | 0.530 | 2585 | 24996 | fabricated hardboard products. made from hardboard produced at the same ESTABLISHMENT |
| 25110 | 0.202 | 27168 | 25110 <br> 25112 <br> 25113 <br> 25115 <br> 25116 <br> 25117 | WOOD HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, N.S.K. <br> WOOD LIVING ROOM, LIBRARY, SUNROOM, AND HALL FURNITURE, EXCEPT SEWING machine cabinets <br> WOOD DINING ROOM AND KITCHEN FURNITURE, EXCEPT CABINETS <br> WOOD BEDROOM FURNITURE <br> INFANTS' AND CHILOREN'S WOOD FURNITURE <br> WOOD OUTDOOR FURNITURE AND UNPAINTED WOOD FURNITURE |
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| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | CENSUS VAlUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 26112 | OTHER PULP (INCLUDING WOOD) AND PULPMILL BYPRODUCTS, EXCEPT TALL OIL |
| 26210 | 0.209 | 61822 | 26210 | tissue paper ano other machine-creped paper |
|  |  |  | 26211 | NEWSPRINT |
|  |  |  | 26212 | grounowodd paper, uncoated |
|  |  |  | 26213 | COATED PRINTING AND CONVERTING PAPER |
|  |  |  | 26214 | BOOK PAPER. UNCDATED |
|  |  |  | 26215 | BLEACHED BRISTOLS (EXCLUDING COTTON FIBER INDEX AND BOGUS) |
|  |  |  | 26216 | WRITING AND RELATED PAPERS |
|  |  |  | 26217 | UNGLEACHED KRAFT PACKAGING AND INDUSTRIAL CONVERTING PAPER |
|  |  |  | 26218 | Packaging and industrial converting paper except unbleached kraft |
|  |  |  | 26219 | SPECIAL Industrial paper |
| 26310 | 0.260 | 36574 | 26310 | paperboard mill prooucts. N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 26311 | UNBLEACHED KRAFt packaging and industrial converting paperboard |
|  |  |  | 26312 | bleached packaging and industrial converting paperboard |
|  |  |  | 26313 | SEMICHEMICAL PAPERBOARD |
|  |  |  | 26314 | COMBINATION FURNISH PAPERBOARD |
|  |  |  | 26318 | WET MACHINE BOARD |
| 26410 | 0.000 | 513 | 26410 | Paper coating and glazing. N.S.'k. |
| 26411 | 0.560 | 1118 | 26411 | PRINTING PAPER COATED AT ESTABLISHMENTS OTHER THAN WHERE THE PAPER WAS PRODUCED |
| 26412 | 0.430 | 1532 | 26412 | Oiled. Waxed. and wax-laminated paper, plain or printed |
| 26413 | 0.300 | 1392 | 26413 | GUMMED PROOUCTS |
| 26414 | 0.590 | 5745 | 26414 | Pressure sensitive tape |
| 26417 | 0.350 | 3569 | 26417 | LAMINATED OR COATED ROLLS ANO SHEETS, for packaging uses. EXCEPT WAXED |
| 26418 | 0.400 | 2636 | 26418 | OTHER COATED AND PROCESSED PAPER. EXCEPT for packaging uses and except waxed |
| 26420 | 0.270 | 5872 | 26420 | envelopes. all types and materials (excluding stationery envelopes) |
| 26430 | 0.210 | 18294 | 26431 | grocers and variety bags (paper), and warorobe, shopping and other bags |
|  |  |  | 26432 | SPECIALTY BAGS AND LINERS |
|  |  |  | 26433 | SHIPPING SACKS AND MULTIWALL BAGS |
| 26450 | 0.370 | 6459 | 26450 | dIE-CUT PAPER AND BOARD. N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 26451 | Office supplies (filing accessories) and miscellaneous products |
|  |  |  | 26452 | PASTED, LINED. LAMINATED. OR SURFACE-COATED PAPERBOARD |
| 26461 | 1.000 | 213 | 26461 | BITUMINOUS FIBER PIPE, SEWER, AND DRAINAGE, CONDUIT AND FITTINGS-MOLDED |
|  |  |  |  | PULP OR PAPIER-MACHE |

$$
A-2-48
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} 4-\text { FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26462 | 0.880 | 1363 | 26462 | OTHER PRESSED AND MOLDED PULP GOODS |
| 26471 | 0.910 | 2811 | 26471 | SANITARY NAPKINS AND TAMPONS |
| 26472 | 0.700 | 16927 | 26472 | SANITARY TISSUE HEALTH PRODUCTS |
| 26480 | 0.260 | 4215 | 26480 26481 26482 | STATIONERY PRODUCTS. N.S.K. STATIONERY <br> tablets and related products |
| 26492 | 0.520 | 1812 | 26492 | WRAPPING PRODUCTS (GIFT WRAP. ETC.) |
| 26493 | 0.490 | 830 | 26493 | WALLPAPER |
| 26495 | 0. 150 | 3910 | 26495 | OTHER CONVERTED PAPER AND BOARD PRODUCTS |
| 26510 | 0.420 | 13721 | 26510 | bending papertoard packaging and packaging components, including die-cut. NONFOLDED PACKAGING ITEMS. FOR PRODUCTS EXCEPT LIOUID. MOIST. OILY, OR PERISHABLE FOODS |
| 26520 | 0.310 | 3426 | 26520 | SETUP PAPERBOARD BOXES |
| 26530 | 0.310 | 41969 | 26530 | CORRUGATED and solid fiber boxes. including pallets |
| 26540 | 0.000 | 147 | 26540 | SANITARY FOOD CONTAINERS. N.S.K. |
| 26541 | 0.790 | 3315 | 26541 | milk and other beverage cartons |
| 26542 | 0.770 | 4437 | 26542 | CUPS AND LIOUID-TIGHT CONTAINERS |
| 26543 | 0.280 | 5915 | 26543 | OTHER SANITARY food Containers, goards. and trays |
| 26550 | 0.520 | 6200 | $\begin{aligned} & 26551 \\ & 26552 \end{aligned}$ | PAPERGOARD FIBER DRUMS WITH METAL. WOOD OR PAPERBOARD ENDS FIBER CANS. TUEES. AND SIMILAR FIBER PRODUCTS |
| 26610 | 0.466 | 4019 | $\begin{aligned} & 26611 \\ & 26612 \end{aligned}$ | INSULATING BOARD CONSTRUCTION PAPER |
| 27110 | 0.679 | 79084 | $\begin{aligned} & 27111 \\ & 27112 \\ & 27113 \\ & 27114 \end{aligned}$ | DAILY AND SUNDAY NEWSPAPERS. RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS AND SALES DAILY ANO SUNDAY NEWSPAPERS. RECEIPTS FROM ADVERTISING <br> WEEKLY AND OTHER NEWSPAPERS. RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS AND SALES WEEKLY AND DTHER NEWSPAPERS. RECEIPTS FROM ADVERTISING |
| 27211 | 0.380 | 759 | $\begin{aligned} & 27211 \\ & 27212 \end{aligned}$ | FARM PERIODICALS. RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS AND SALES FARM PERIODICALS. RECEIPTS FROM ADVERTISING |
| 27213 | 0.280 | 9101 | 27213 | SPECIALIZED BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL PERIODICALS. RECEIPTS FROM SUBSCRIPTIONS AND SALES |

$$
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$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | CENSUS Value OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 27214 | SPECIaLized business ano professional periodicals, receipts from adveritising |
| 27215 | 0.380 | 17285 | $\begin{aligned} & 27215 \\ & 27216 \end{aligned}$ | general periodicals. receipts from subscriptions and sales general periodicals. receipts from advertising |
| 27217 | 0.280 | 2821 | 27217 | other periodicals, except shopping news. directories, or catalogs, n.e.c. |
| 27311 | 0.330 | 8096 | 27311 | text books. including teachers' editions |
| 27313 | 0.390 | 4030 | 27313 | technical. scientific. and professional books |
| 27314 | 0.360 | 1312 | 27314 | religious books |
| 27315 | 0.290 | 10067 | 27315 | general books (trade, etc.) |
| 27317 | 0.710 | 2353 | 27317 | general reference books |
| 27318 | 0.540 | 1254 | 27318 | other books (excluding pamphlets) |
| 27319 | 0.620 | 487 | 27319 | pamphlets |
| 27320 | 0. 190 | 10499 | 27321 <br> 27322 <br> 27323 <br> 27324 <br> 27326 | books, PRINTING ONLY, LITHOGRAPHIC <br> books. printing and binding, lithographic <br> PAMPHLETS, WORKBOOKS, STANDARDIZED AND OBJECTIVE TESTS, PRINTING ONLY. LIthographic <br> pamphlets, workbooks standardized ano objective tests. printing and binding, lithographic <br> books. printing and binoing, other than lithographic |
| 27410 | 0.524 | 10583 | 27411 27412 27413 | catalogs and directories, publishing business service publications OTHER MISCELLANEDUS PUBLISHING |
| 27510 | 0. 179 | 83251 | 27510 27511 27512 27513 27514 27515 27516 27519 27520 27521 27522 27523 27524 27525 | COMmERCIAL PRINTING, LETTERPRESS, N.S.K. <br> magazine and periddical printing (Letterpress) <br> LABELS AND WRAPPERS PRINTING (LETTERPRESS) <br> CATALOGS AND DIRECTORIES PRINTING (LETTERPRESS) <br> FINANCIAL AND LEGAL PRINTING (LETTERPRESS) <br> ADVERTISING PRINTING (LETTERPRESS) <br> OTHER GENERAL JOB PRINTING (LETTERPRESS) SCREEN PROCESS PRINTING, EXCEPT TEXTILES COMMERCIAL PRINTING, LITHOGRAPHIC. N.S.K. magazine and periodical printing (lithographic) LABELS AND WRAPPERS PRINTING (LITHOGRAPHIC) CATALOGS ANO DIRECTORS PRINTING (LITHOGRAPHIC) FINANCIAL AND LEGAL PRINTING (LITHOGRAPHIC) adVertising printing (lithographic) |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CREISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 27526 | dther general job printing (Lithographic) |
| 27530 | 0.230 | 2050 | 27530 | engraving and plate printing (except photoengraving) |
| 27540 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.368 | 7951 | $\begin{aligned} & 27540 \\ & 27541 \end{aligned}$ | COMMERCIAL PRINTING. GRAVURE, N.S.K. PUBLICATION PRINTING, GRAVURE |
|  |  |  | 27542 | Labels, wrappers ano wrap printing, gravure |
|  |  |  | 27543 | advertising printing, gravure |
|  |  |  | 27544 | OTHER COMMERCIAL PRINTING, GRAVURE |
|  |  |  | 27545 | gravure plates and cylinoers |
| 27610 | 0.430 | 13819 | 27610 | Mantfold business forms, N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 27612 | UNIT-SET FORMS |
|  |  |  | 27613 | SALES AND OTHER MANIFOLD BOOKS |
|  |  |  | 27615 | custom continuous forms with or without carbon. marginally punched or not MARGINALLY PUNCHED |
|  |  |  | 27617 | stock Continuous forms |
| 27710 | 0.636 | 5835 | 27711 | greeting cards, publishers' sales |
|  |  |  | 27712 | greeting cards. printed for publication by others |
| 27820 | 0.354 | 5662 | 27821 i | blankbook making |
|  |  |  | 27822 | lodseleaf binotrs ano devices |
| 27890 | 0. 110 | 3692 | 27891 | edition, library. and other hard cover bookbinding |
|  |  |  | 27892 |  |
| 27910 | 0.320 | 5087 | 27910 | typesetting |
| 27930 | 0.380 | 2213 | 27930 | Photoengraving plates made for others |
| 27940 | 0.630 | 355 | 27940 | electrotyping and stereotyping duplicate plates made for others |
| 27950 | 0.170 | 2630 | 27951 | lithographic plates |
|  |  |  | 27952 | lithographic services |
| 28121 | 0.460 | 2102 | 28121 | chlorine compressed or liquefied |
| 28122 | 0.000 | 1476 | 28122 | SODIUM CARBONATE (SODA ASH) |
| 28123 | 0.550 | 4109 | 28123 | SODIum hyoroxide (Caustic soda) |
| 28124 | 0.710 | 337 | 28124 | other alkalies |
| 28130 | 0.747 | 6591 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 28133 | Carbon dioxide |
|  |  |  | 28134 | elemental gases and compressed and liquefied gases, n.e.c. |

$$
A-2-51
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28160 | 0.466 | 7562 | 28161 28162 28163 | TITANIUM PIGMENTS <br> OTHER WHITE OPAOUE PIGMENTS <br> CHROME COLORS AND OTHER INORGANIC PIGMENTS |
| 28190 | 0.000 | 225 | 28190 | inoustrial inorganic chemicals, n.s.k. |
| 28193 | 0.550 | 2454 | 28193 | sulfuric acid |
| 28194 | 0.560 | 1604 | 28194 | INORGANIC ACIDS, EXCEPT NITRIC, SULFURIC, AND Phosphoric |
| 28195 | 0.990 | 3886 | 28195 | aluminum oxide |
| 28196 | 0.710 | 1755 | 28196 | other alumimm compounos |
| 28197 | 0.510 | 5031 | 28197. | potassium and sootum compounos (except bleaches, alkalies, and alums) |
| 28198 | 0.380 | 1728 | 28198 | chemical catalytic preparations |
| 28199 | 0.270 | 13346 | 28199 | other inorganic chemicals. n.e.c. |
| 28210 | 0.246 | 44864 | 28210 28213 28214 | plastics materials ano resins. n.s.k. thermoplastic resins and plastics materials thermosetting resins ano plastics materials |
| 28220 | 0.512 | 12886 | 28220 | SYnthetic rubber (VUl.canizable elastomers) |
| 28230 | 0.000 | 36337 | $\begin{aligned} & 28231 \\ & 28232 \\ & 28240 \\ & 28241 \\ & 28242 \end{aligned}$ | acetate varn <br> rayon yarn, viscose and cuprammonium processes DRGANIC FIBERS, NONCELLULOSIC, N.S.K. <br> polyamide fibers. nylon. except nontextile mondfilament other noncellulosic synthetic organic fibers |
| 28311 | 0.670 | 1259 | 28311 | blood and blood oerivatives. for human use |
| 28312 | 0.840 | 705 | 28312 | vaccines ano antigens, for human use |
| 28313 | 0.690 | 183 | 28313 | antitoxins, toxoios ano toxins for immunization, and therapeutic immune serums, for human use |
| 28314 | 0.540 | 1925 | 28314 | diagnostic substances and other biologics. for human use |
| 28315 | 0.440 | 741 | 28315 | biological preparations for veterinary use |
| 28330 | 0.490 | 7935 | $\begin{aligned} & 28331 \\ & 28332 \end{aligned}$ | SYNTHETIC ORGANIC MEDICINAL ChEMICALS. IN BULK <br> other medicinal chemicals and botanical products. in bulk. n.e.c. |

$$
A-2-52
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28340 | 0.000 | 866 | 28340 | pharmaceutical preparations, n.s.k. |
| 28341 | 0.480 | 6154 | 28341 | pharmaceutical preparations affecting neoplasms. endocrine systems and metabolic diseases, for human use |
| 28342 | 0.430 | 16381 | 28342 | pharmaceutical preparations acting on the central nervous system and the sense organs. for human use |
| 28343 | 0.570 | 3831 | 28343 | pharmaceutical preparations acting on the cardiovascular system, for human use |
| 28344 | 0.480 | 5799 | 28344 | pharmaceutical preparations acting on the respiratory system, for human use |
| 28345 | 0.350 | 7640 | 28345 | pharmaceutical preparations acting on the digestive or the genito-urinary SYSTEMS. FDR hUMAN USE |
| 28346 | 0.350 | 3485 | 28346 | pharmaceutical preparations acting on the skin. for human use |
| 28347 | 0.270 | 6905 | 28347 | vitamin. mutrient. ano hematinic preparations, for human use |
| 28348 | 0.450 | 9490 | 28348 | pharmaceutical preparations affecting parasitic ano infective diseases. for human use |
| 28349 | 0.390 | 2403 | 28349 | pharmaceutical preparations for veterinary use |
| 20410 | 0.000 | 1030 | 28410 | soap and other detergents. n.s.k. |
| 28411 | 0.310 | 6525 | 28411 | Soap ano detergents. nonhousehold |
| 28412 | 0.840 | 16339 | 28412 | household detergents |
| 28413 | 0.720 | 4120 | 28413 | SOAPS. except specialty cleaners, household |
| 28414 | 0.750 | 504 | 28414 | glycerine. natural |
| 28420 | 0.000 | 2090 | 24420 | polishes and sanitation goods. n.s.k. |
| 28422 | 0.750 | 2335 | 28422 | household bleaches |
| 28423 | 0.360 | 8989 | 28423 | Specialty cleaning and sanitation products |
| 28424 | 0.470 | 3918 | 28424 | polishing preparations and related products |
| 28430 | 0. 186 | 5807 | 28430 | SURFace active and finishing agents |
| 28441 | 0.570 | 2507 | 28441 | SHaving preparations |
| 28442 | 0.480 | 6770 | 28442 | perfumes, toilet water, and colognes |

$$
A-2-53
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28443 | 0.460 | 10651 | 28443 | hair preparations (including shampoos) |
| 28444 | 0.790 | 4846 | 28444 | dentifrices. including mouthwashes, gargles, ano rinses |
| 28145 | 0.450 | 16736 | 28445 | other cosmetics and toilet preparations |
| 28510 | 0.220 | 35202 | 28510 <br> 28511 <br> 28512 <br> 28513 <br> 28514 <br> 28515 <br> 28516 <br> 28517 <br> 28518 <br> 28519 | PAINTS AND ALLIED PRODUCTS. N.S.K. <br> EXTERIOR OIL-TYPE TRADE SALES PAINT PRODUCTS <br> EXTERIOR WATER-TYPE TRADE SALES PAINT PRODUCTS, INCLUDING TINTING BASES <br> INTERIOR OIL-TYPE TRADE SALES PAINT PRODUCTS <br> INTERIOR WATER-TYPE TRADE SALES PAINT PRODUCTS, INCLUDING TINTING BASES <br> trade sales lacquers <br> industrial product finishes, except lacquers <br> INDUSTRIAL LACQUERS, INCLUDING ACRYLICS <br> PUTTY AND ALLIED PRODUCTS <br> miscellaneous paint products |
| 28610 | 0.458 | 3008 | $\begin{aligned} & 28611 \\ & 28612 \end{aligned}$ | SOFTwOOD DISTILLATION PRODUCTS other gum and wood chemicals |
| 28650 | 0.300 | 23324 | 28651 <br> 28652 <br> 28653 | cyclic intermediates <br> SYNTHETIC ORGANIC DYES <br> SYNTHETIC ORGANIC PIGMENTS, LAKES. AND TONERS <br> CYCLIC (COAL TAR) CRUOES |
| 28690 | 0.000 | 729 | 28690 | industrial organic chemicals. n.e.c. . N.s.k. |
| 28691 | 0.310 | 4650 | 28691 | miscellaneous cyclic chemical products |
| 28692 | 0.470 | 54352 | 28692 | miscellaneous acyclic chemicals and chemical products excluding urea |
| 28693 | 0.380 | 7230 | 28693 | synthetic organic chemicals, n.e.c., except bulk surface active agents |
| 28694 | 0.570 | 4893 | 28694 | pesticides and other organic chemicals (not formulations) |
| 28695 | 0.280 | 2803 | 28695 | ethyl alcohol and other industrial drganic chemicals n.e.c. |
| 28730 | 0.236 | 9373 | 28730 28731 28732 28733 | NITROGENOUS FERTILIZERS, N.S.K. <br> SYNTHETIC AMMONIA. NITRIC ACIO. AND AMHONIUM COMPOUNDS UREA <br> fertilizer materials of organic origin |
| 28740 | 0.246 | 10525 | 28741 <br> 28742 <br> 28743 | PHOSPHORIC ACID <br> SUPERPHOSPHATE AND OThER Phosphatic fertilizer materials <br> mixed fertilizers. produced from one or more materials, made in same plant |
| 28752 | 0.024 | 6519 | 28752 | fertilizers, mixing only. (SEE Product class 287a3.) |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28791 | 0.480 | 3876 | 28791 | insecticidal preparations (formulations) primarily for agricultural. garden. ano health service use |
| 28792 | 0.770 | 4320 | 28792 | herbicidal preparations (formulations) primarily for agricultural. garden. and health service use |
| 28793 | 0.490 | 856 | 28793 | agricultural chemicals, n.e.c. |
| 28794 | 0.520 | 1068 | 28794 | household insecticides ano repellants. including industrial exterminants |
| 28910 | 0. 158 | 9543 | 28910 <br> 28913 <br> 28914 <br> 28915 | adhesives and sealants. N.S.k. <br> natural base glues and adhesives <br> synthetic resin and rubeer adhesives. including all types of bonding and laminating aohesives <br> caulking compounds ano sealants |
| 28920 | 0.676 | 2376 | 20921 | explosives |
| 28930 | 0.368 | 4980 | 28930 <br> 28931 <br> 28932 <br> 28933 <br> 28935 | PRINTING INKS. N.S.K. <br> Letterpress inks (black ano colqr) <br> lithographic and offset inks (black and color) <br> gravure inks <br> FLEXOGRAPHIC INKS <br> PRINTING INKS. N.E.C. |
| 28950 | 0.739 | 2271 | 28950 | carbon black (channel and furnace process only) |
| 28990 | 0.000 | 2298 | 28990 | chemical preparations. n.e.c.. n.s.k. |
| 28991 | 0.700 | 1339 | 28991 | salt |
| 28992 | 0.500 | 1553 | 28992 | fatty acios |
| 28994 | 0.760 | 682 | 28994 | gelatin. except ready-to-eat desserts |
| 28995 | 0. 160 | 16410 | 28995 | essential oils. fireworks. and pyrotechnics, sizes, ano chemical preparations, n.e.c |
| 29110 | 0.503 | 254148 | 29110 | other finished petroleum products, including waxes |
|  |  |  | 29111 29112 | gasoline <br> JET FUEL |
|  |  |  | 29113 | KEROSENE |
|  |  |  | 29114 | DISTILLATE FUEL OIL |
|  |  |  | 29115 29116 | RESIDUAL FUEL OIL LIOUEFIED REFiNERY GASES (feed stock ano other uses |
|  |  |  | 29117 | LUBRICATING OILS AND GREASES. MADE IN REFINERIES |
|  |  |  | 29118 | unfinished oils and lubricating oil base stock |
|  |  |  | 29119 29920 | asphalt LUBRICATing oils and greases made from purchased materials |

$$
A-2-55
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29510 | 0.326 | 8934 | 29510 | paving mixtures ano blocks |
| 29520 | 0.477 | 9022 | 29521 <br> 29522 <br> 29523 | asphalt ano tar saturated felts and boards for nonguilding use RODFING ASPHALTS AND PITCHES. COATINGS. AND CEMENTS asphalt and tar roofing ano siding prooucts |
| 29990 | 0.668 | 1392 | 29990 | petroleum and coal products, n.e.c. |
| 30110 | 0.664 | 48984 | 30111 <br> 30112 <br> 30113 <br> 30114 <br> 30115 | passenger car ano motorcycle pneumatic tires (casings) trucks and bus (and off-the-highway) pneumatic tires other pneumatic tires and solid tires all inner tuees <br> tread rubber, tire sundies, and repaib materials |
| 30210 | 0.343 | 4939 | $\begin{aligned} & 30211 \\ & 30212 \end{aligned}$ | rubber and plastics protective fodtwear <br> rubber and plastics shoes. slippers, other footwear. n.e.c. |
| 30310 | 0.729 | 520 | 30310 | reclaimed rubber |
| 30410 | 0.461 | 8860 | 30411 <br> 30412 <br> 30413 <br> 30414 <br> 30415 <br> 30416 | rubber ano plastics belt and belting. flat <br> rubber and plastics belt and belting. other than flat <br> rubber and plastics hose, horizontal reinforced <br> rubber ano plastics hose, continuous molded nonhyoraulic except garden <br> rubber and plastics garden hose <br> all other rubber ano plastics hose |
| 30690 | 0.000 | 1407 | 30690 | fabricated rubber products. n.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 30693 | 0.530 | 3501 | 30693 | sponge ano foam rubber goods |
| 30694 | 0.470 | 984 | 30694 | rubber floor and wall covering |
| 30695 | O. 190 | 11444 | 30695 | mechanical rubber goods. n.e.c. |
| 30696 | 0.570 | 1545 | 30696 | rubber heels and soles |
| 30697 | 0.440 | 1168 | 30697 | druggist and medical sundries |
| 30698 | 0.220 | 5338 | 30698 | other rubaer goods. n.e.c. |
| 30790 | 0.000 | 23438 | 30790 | CONSUMER AND COMmERCIAL PLASTICS PRODUCTS. N.E.C. ANO MISCELLANEOUS plastics products. N.s.k. |
| 30791 | 0. 180 | 20688 | 30791 | unsupported plastics film, sheets, rods, and tubes |
| 30792 | 0.250 | 8782 | 30792 | foamed plastics products |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30793 | 0.370 | 5681 | 30793 | laminated sheets, rods, and tures |
| 30794 | 0.200 | 12714 | 30794 | PaCKAGING ANO SHIPPING CONTAINERS |
| 30795 | 0.090 | 18195 | 30795 | industrial plastics products, except belting. packing and seals |
| 30796 | 0.230 | 11148 | 30796 | CONSTRUCTION PLASTICS PRODUCTS |
| 30797 | 0.420 | 3773 | 30797 | PLASTICS DINWERWARE, TABLEWARE, AND KITCHENWARE |
| 30798 | 0.780 | 4293 | 30798 | REGENERATED CELLULOSIC PRODUCTS. EXCEPT RAYON |
| 30799 | 0.320 | 2272 | 30799 | CUSTOM COMPDUNDED PURCHASED RESINS |
| 31110 | 0.147 | 10264 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | FINISHED CATtLE HIDE AND KIP SIDE LEATHERS <br> FINISHED CALF ANO WHOLE KIP LEATHERS <br> FINISHED SHEEP AND LAMB LEATHERS <br> OTHER FINISHED LEATHERS, N.E.C. <br> ROUGH. RUSSET. AND CRUST LEATHER (NOT FINISHED IN THIS ESTABLISHMENT) <br> CONTRACT ANO COMmISSION RECEIPTS FOR TANNING AND FINISHING LEATHER <br> OWNED BY OTHERS |
| 31310 | 0.729 | 1961 | 31310 | BOOT AND Shoe cut stock and findings |
| 31420 | 0.354 | 1594 | 31420 | HOUSE SLIPPERS |
| 31430 | 0.300 | 11813 | $\begin{aligned} & 31431 \\ & 31432 \\ & 31433 \\ & 31434 \end{aligned}$ | MEN'S DRESS SHOES <br> MEN'S CASUAL SHOES <br> MEN'S WORK SHOES <br> MEN'S DRESS AND CASUAL BOOTS. EXCEPT WORK |
| 31440 | 0.267 | 13055 | 31440 <br> 31441 <br> 31442 <br> 31443 <br> 31444 <br> 31445 | WOMEN'S FOOTWEAR, EXCEPT ATHLETIC. N.S.K. WOMEN'S SHOES. FLATS <br> WOMEN'S SHOES, LOW HEEL <br> WOMEN'S SHOES. MEDIUM HEEL <br> WOMEN'S SHOES. HIGH HEEL <br> WOMEN'S BOOTS |
| 31490 | 0. 198 | 4757 | $\begin{aligned} & 31491 \\ & 31492 \\ & 31493 \\ & 31494 \end{aligned}$ | YOUTHS' AND BOYS' SHOES <br> MISSES' AND CHILDREN'S SHOES <br> INFANTS' AND BABIES' SHOES <br> ALL OTHER FOOTWEAR, EXCEPT RUBBER AND SLIPPERS |
| 31510 | 0.250 | 799 | 31510 | dress and work gloves and mittens. all leather |
| 31610 | 0.306 | 3219 | 31610 | SUITCASES, BRIEFCASES. BAGS. AND MUSICAL INSTRUMENT CASES |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value DF SHIPMENTS | old sic | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31710 | 0.105 | 3444 | 31710 | women's ano children's hanobags ano purses |
| 31720 | 0.300 | 2318 | 31720 | personal leather goods. except hanobags and purses |
| 31990 | 0.124 | 1460 | 31990 | saddlery. harness ano whips, and other leather products, n.e.c. |
| 32110 | 0.752 | 12471 | 32111 <br> 32112 <br> 32 1,13 <br> 32114 <br> 32313 | SHEET (WINDOW) GLASS <br> plate and float glass <br> Laminated glass made from glass produced in same establishment OTHER fLAT GLASS made from glass produced in the same. establishment laminated glass made of purchased glass |
| 32210 | 0.557 | 20852 | 32210 | glass containers |
| 32291 | 0.740 | 4329 | 32291 | table, kitchen. art. ano novelty glassware (hanomade and machine-made) |
| 32292 | 0.830 | 3946 | 32292 | lighting ano electronic glassware |
| 32293 | 0.950 | 2535 | 32293 | glass fiber (textile type fiber) |
| 32294 | 0.800 | 1666 | 32294 | other pressed ano blown glassware |
| 32315 | 0.240 | 2545 | 32315 | mirrors |
| 32316 | 0.400 | 6258 | 32316 | other glass products made of purchased glass |
| 32410 | 0.696 | 17700 | 32410 | Cement, hydraulic (including cost of shipping containers) |
| 32510 | 0.375 | 4713 | $\begin{array}{r} 32511 \\ 32512 \end{array}$ | BRICK, EXCEPT CERAMIC GLAZED AND REFRACTORY glazed brick and structural hollow tile |
| 32530 | 0.380 | 1579 | 32530 | clay floor and wall tile, including quarry tile |
| 32550 | 0.475 | 3022 | 32550 | clay refractories |
| 32590 | 0.386 | 1693 | 32590 32591 32592 | structural clay products. n.e.c., N.S.K. <br> vitrified clay sewer pipe and fittings <br> structural clay products. n.e.c. |
| 32610 | 0.368 | 5640 | $\begin{array}{r} 32610 \\ 34310 \end{array}$ | vitreous ano semivitreous plumbing fixtures. accessories. and fittings metal plumbing fixtures |
| 32620 | 0.339 | 819 | 32620 | vitreous china and porcelain table and kitchen articles (feldspar and bone) |
| 32630 | 0.226 | 580 | 32630 | earthenware (SEmivitreous) table and kitchen articles |

$$
A-2-58
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value of Shipments | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32640 | 0.408 | 2590 | 32640 | porcelain, steatite, and other ceramic electrical products |
| 32690 | 0. 102 | 1469 | 32690 | pottery products. n.e.c., including china decorating for the trade |
| 32710 | 0.319 | 7957 | 32710 | concrete block and brick |
| 32720 | 0.448 | 18647 | 32720 <br> 32721 <br> 32722 <br> 32723 | CONCRETE PRODUCTS. N.E.C., N.S.K. concrete pipe PRECAST CONCRETE PRODUCTS PRESTRESSED CONCRETE PRODUCTS |
| 32730 | 0.510 | 35788 | 32730 | ready-mixed concrete |
| 32740 | 0.353 | 2378 | 32740 | lime (including cost of shipping containers) |
| 32750 | 0.789 | 5831 |  | GYPSUM PRODUCTS. N.S.K. GYPSUM BUILDING MATERIALS OTHER GYPSUM PRODUCTS |
| 32810 | 0.424 | 2868 | 32811 32812 32813 | cut granite and granite products cut limestone and limestone products cut marble ano other cut stone products |
| 32910 | 0.466 | 8923 | 32911 <br> 32912 <br> 32913 <br> 32914 | nonmetallic artifical (Synthetic) sized grains. and flour abrasives (including graded products onty) <br> nonmetallic bonded abrasive products, including diamond abrasives <br> nonmetallic coated abrasive products ano buffing wheels. polishing wheels ano laps <br> metal abrasives, including scouring pads |
| 32920 | 0.720 | 7426 | $\begin{aligned} & 32922 \\ & 32924 \\ & 32925 \\ & 32926 \\ & \mathbf{3 2 9 2 7} \end{aligned}$ | ASBESTOS FRICTION MATERIALS <br> ASBESTOS-CEMENT SHINGLES AND CLAPBOARD <br> ASPHALT FLOOR TILE <br> Vinyl asbestos floor tile <br> asBestos textiles, asbestos insulation. and other asbestos-cement products |
| 32930 | 0.214 | 7147 | $\begin{array}{r} 32930 \\ 32932 \\ 32933 \end{array}$ | gaskets, packing and sealing devices. n.s.k. <br> gaskets. all types <br> packing and sealing devices |
| 32950 | 0.243 | 3915 | 32950 | minerals and earths. grdund or otherwise treated |
| 32960 | 0.721 | 7386 | $\begin{aligned} & 32961 \\ & 32962 \end{aligned}$ | MINERAL WOOL FOR STRUCTURAL INSULATION <br> MINERAL WOOL FOR INDUSTRIAL AND EQUIPMENT INSULATION |
| 32970 | 0.402 | 3721 | 32970 | nonclay refractories. except dead-burned magnesia |
| 32990 | 0.268 | 1697 | 32990 | OTHER NONMETALLIC mineral products, n.e.c. |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS Value of SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33120 | 0.000 | 2899 | 33120 | Other steel mill prooucts. EXCEPT Wire products |
| 33121 | 0.560 | 14973 | 33121 | coke oven ano blast furnace products, including ferrolloys. (see alsó product GROUP 3313-.) |
| 33122 | 0.580 | 25029 | 33122 | steel ingot and semifinished shapes |
| 33123 | 0.540 | 67401 | 33123 | hot-rolled sheet ano strip. including tin-mill products |
| 33124 | 0.590 | 56128 | 33124 | hot-rolled bars and bar shapes. plates, structural shapes. and piling |
| 33125 | 0.350 | 7263 | $\begin{aligned} & 33125 \\ & 33155 \end{aligned}$ | steel wire made in steel mills steel wire not made in steel mills |
| 33126 | 0.360 | 27655 | $\begin{array}{r} 33126 \\ 33176 \end{array}$ | steel pipe and tubes made in steel mills steel pipe and tubes mot made in steel mills |
| 33127 | 0.350 | 40379 | $\begin{aligned} & 33127 \\ & 33167 \end{aligned}$ | cold-rolled steel sheet and strip made in steel mills cold-rolled steel sheet and strip not made in steel mills |
| 33128 | 0.410 | 8276 | $\begin{gathered} 33128 \\ 33168 \end{gathered}$ | cold-finished steel bars ano bar shapes made in steel mills <br> cold-finished steel bars and bar shapes not made in steel mills |
| 33129 | 0.390 | 5245 | $\begin{aligned} & 33129 \\ & 34629 \end{aligned}$ | press and hammer steel forgings made in steel works <br> press and hammer steel forgings made in steel forgings and other indoustries |
| 33130 | 0.672 | 5523 | 33131 33132 33133 33134 | FERROMANGANESE <br> FERROCHROME <br> FERROSILICON <br> dTher ferroalloys produced in electric furnaces |
| 33150 | 0.000 | 495 | 33150 | steel wire and related products. n.s.k. |
| 33151 | 0.000 | 3666 | $\begin{aligned} & 33151 \\ & 34961 \end{aligned}$ | noninsulated ferrous wire rope. cable and strand made in 3212. 3315 noninsulated ferrous wire rope, cable and strand made in 3496 |
| 33152 | 0.440 | 2485 | 33152 | steel nails and spikes |
| 33156 | 0.300 | 2456 | $\begin{aligned} & 33156 \\ & 34966 \end{aligned}$ | fencing and fence gates made in 3312, 3315 fencing and fence gates made in 3496 |
| 33157 | 0.000 | 1289 | $\begin{array}{r} 33157 \\ 34964 \end{array}$ | ferrous wire cloth and other woven ferrous wire prooucts made in 3312. 3315 ferrous wire cloth and other woven ferrous wire products made in 3496 |
| 33159 | 0.260 | 8475 | $\begin{array}{r} 33159 \\ 34969 \end{array}$ | OTHER FABRICATED WIRE PRODUCTS MADE IN 3312, 3315 OTHER FABRICATED WIRE PRODUCTS MADE IN 3496 |

A-2-60

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CEnsus value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33210 | 0.457 | 40338 | $\begin{aligned} & 33210 \\ & 33215 \\ & 33216 \\ & 33217 \\ & 33218 \\ & 33219 \end{aligned}$ | GRAY IRON FOUNDRIES, N.S.K. <br> DUCTILE IRON CASTINGS <br> MOLDS FOR HEAVY STEEL INGOTS <br> CAST IRON PRESSURE PIPE AND FITTINGS (EXCEPT DUCTILE) <br> CAST IRON SOIL PIPE ANO FITTINGS <br> OTHER GRAY IRON CASTINGS (EXCEPT DUCTILE) |
| 33220 | 0.506 | 4848 | $\begin{aligned} & 33221 \\ & 33222 \end{aligned}$ | Stanoard malleable castings PEARLITIC MALLEABLE CASTINGS |
| 33240 | 0.526 | 2377 | 33240 | Steel investment castings |
| 33250 | 0.210 | 10503 | $\begin{aligned} & 33250 \\ & 33252 \\ & 33254 \\ & 33255 \end{aligned}$ | Steel castings. N.e.c.. N.S.K. <br> CARBON STEEL CASTINGS <br> HIGH ALLOY STEEL CASTINGS (EXCEPT INVESTMENT) <br> other alloy steel castimes |
| 33310 | 0.680 | 28471 | $\begin{aligned} & 33310 \\ & 33311 \\ & 33312 \\ & 33412 \end{aligned}$ | PRIMARY COPPER, N.S.K. <br> COPPER SMELTER PRODUCTS <br> REFINED COPPER MADE BY PRIMARY COPPER REFINERS <br> REFINED COPPER MADE BY SECONDARY REFINERS AND OTHER INDUSTRIES |
| 33320 | 0.000 | 5082 | $\begin{aligned} & 33321 \\ & 33323 \\ & 33413 \end{aligned}$ | LEAD SMELTER PRODUCTS (USING CPR SAMPLE AS UNIVERSE) REFINED LEAD MADE GY PRIMARY LEAD REFINERS (USING CPR SAmple AS UNIVERSE) REFINED LEAD MADE 8 Y SECONDARY REFINERS (USING CPR SAMPLE AS UNIVERSE) |
| 33330 | 0.000 | 4469 | $\begin{aligned} & 33331 \\ & 33334 \\ & 33414 \end{aligned}$ | ZINC RESIDUES AND OTHER ZINC SMELTER PRODUCTS REFINED ZINC MADE BY PRIMARY ZINC REFINERS SECONDARY ZINC (PIG. INGOT. SHOT, ETC.) |
| 33340 | 0.000 | 22906 | $\begin{aligned} & 33347 \\ & 33348 \\ & 33417 \\ & 33448 \\ & 33553 \\ & 33554 \end{aligned}$ | ALUMINUM INGOT MADE IN PRIMARY ALUMINUM INDUSTRY AND OTHER PRIMARY NONFERROUS INDUSTRIES <br> aluminum extrusion billet made in primary aluminum industry and other primary NONFERROUS INDUSTRIES <br> aluminum ingot made in secondary nomferrous metals industry and all other industries <br> aluminum extrusion billet made in seconoary nonferrous metals <br> ALUMINUM INGOT MADE ALUMINUM ROLLING AND DRAWING MILLS <br> aluminum extrusion billet made in aluminum rolling and drawing mills |
| 33395 | 0.670 | 5274 | $\begin{array}{r} 33395 \\ 33415 \end{array}$ | precious metals PRECIOUS METALS |
| 33397 | 0.560 | 5773 | $\begin{aligned} & 33397 \\ & 33416 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER PRIMARY NONFERROUS METALS. INCLUDING MAGNESIUM OTHER PRIMARY NONFERROUS METALS. INCLUDING MAGNESIUM |
| 33410 | 0.230 | 1714 | 33410 | SECONDARY NONFERROUS METALS, N.S.K. |

$$
A-2-6 i
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS value OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | OESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 33510 | 0.493 | 28250 | $\begin{gathered} 33510 \\ 33511 \end{gathered}$ | Copper rolling ano drawing, N.S.k. <br> COPPER and COPper-base alloy wire (bare and tinned) for purposes other than electrical transmission |
|  |  |  | 33513 | COPPER ANO COPPER-bASE Alloy rod. bar. and Shapes |
|  |  |  | 33514 | COPPER ANO CDPPER-bASE ALLOY SHEET, STRIP, ANO PLATE |
|  |  |  | 33515 | COPPER ANO COPPER-base alloy pipe and tube |
| 33530 | 0.699 | 22380 | 33531 | aluminum plate |
|  |  |  | 33532 | aluminum Shet |
|  |  |  | 33533 | plain aluminum foil |
|  |  |  | 33534 | Aluminum welded tuee |
| 33540 | 0.358 | 10264 | 33540 | aluminum extruded prooucts, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 33541 | EXTRUDED ALUMINMM, RDD, BAR, AND OTHER EXTRUDED SHAPES |
|  |  |  | 33542 | aluminum extruded and drawn tube |
| 33552 | 0.870 | 3030 | 33552 | rolled aluminum rod. bar (including continuous cast) and structural shapes |
| 33560 | 0.000 | 450 | 33560 | nonferrous rolling and drawing. N.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 33561 | 0.850 | 2704 | 33561 | nickel and nickel-base alloy mill shapes (including monel) |
| 33562 | 0.770 | 1111 | 33562 | titanium mill shapes |
| 33563 | 0.880 | 3640 | 33563 | precious metal mill shapes |
| 33569 | 0.370 | 2851 | 33569 | all other nonferrous metal mill shapes (made in industry 3356). see also PRODUCT CLASS 33573. ) |
| 33570 | 0.000 | 44381 | 33551 | aluminum and aluminum-based alloy wire made in aluminum rolling mills |
|  |  |  | 33570 | NONFERROUS WIREDRAWING AND INSULATING. N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 33571 | aluminum ano aluminum-based alloy wire made in nonferrous wiredrawing plants AND INDUSTRIES |
|  |  |  | 33572 | copper and copper-base alloy wire (including strano and cable). bare and tinned FOR ELECTRICAL TRANSMISION |
|  |  |  | 33573 | other bare nonferrous metal wire, made in nonferrous wireorawing plants. (SEE PRODUCT CLASS 33569.) |
|  |  |  | 33574 | COMMUNICATION WIRE AND CABLE |
|  |  |  | 33575 | nonferrous wire cloth and other wover wire products made in nonferrous wiredrawing PLANTS |
|  |  |  | 33576 | appliance wire ano cord and flexible cord sets made in nonferrous wiredrawing and insulation |
|  |  |  | 33577 | MAGNET WIRE |
|  |  |  | 33578 | power wire and cable |
|  |  |  | 33579 34965 | other insulated wire and cable. n.e.c. |
|  |  |  | 34965 | nonferrous wire cloth and other woven wire products made by other than nonferrous wi |

$\begin{array}{cl}\text { 4-FIRM CENSUS VALUE } & \\ \text { NEW SIC CR WEISS OF SHIPMENTS OLD SIC DESCRIPTION }\end{array}$

| 34297 | 0. 270 | 1756 | 34297 | OTHER TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT HARDWARE, EXCEPT MOTOR VEHICLE HARDWARE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -34298 | 0.230 | 3939 | 34298 | OTHER HARDWARE. N.E.C. |
| 34320 | 0.230 | 6766 | 34320 | PLUMBING FIXTURE FIttings ano trim (brass goods) |
| 34330 | 0.160 | 673 | 34330 | heating eouipment. except electric. N.S.K. |
| 34333 | 0.490 | 1525 | 34333 | CAST-IRON HEATING BOILERS. RADIATORS, AND CONVECTORS. EXCEPT PARTS |
| 34334 | 0.480 | 700 | 34334 | domestic heating stoves (except electaic). ExCept parts |
| 34335 | 0.450 | 687 | 34335 | Steel heating boilers ( 15 P.S.I. and under). EXCEPT Parts |
| 34337 | 0.250 | 5655 | 34337 | OTHER HEATING EQUIPMENT. EXCEPT ELECTRIC |
| 34410 | 0.417 | 33059 | $\begin{aligned} & 34410 \\ & 34411 \\ & 34412 \\ & 34413 \end{aligned}$ | fabricated structural metal. N.S.K. <br> FABRICATED STRUCTURAL METAL FOR BUILDINGS FABRICATED STRUCTURAL METAL FOR BRIDGES OTHER FABRICATED STRUCTURAL METAL |
| 34420 | 0.110 | 19029 | $\begin{aligned} & 34420 \\ & 34421 \\ & 34422 \\ & 34423 \\ & 34424 \\ & 34425 \end{aligned}$ | METAL DOORS. SASH, ANO TRIM. N.S.K. <br> METAL DOORS AND FRAMES (EXCEPT STORM DOORS) <br> METAL WINOOW SASH ANO FRAMES (EXCEPT STORM SASH) <br> METAL MOLDING AND TRIM AND STORE FRONTS <br> METAL COMBINATION SCREEN ANO STORM SASH AND DOORS <br> METAL WINDOW AND DOOR SCREENS (EXCEPT COMBINATION), ANO METAL WEATHERSTRIP |
| 34430 | 0.270 | 1984 | 34430 | fabricated platework (boiler shops), N.S.K. |
| 34431 | 0.310 | 4471 | 34431 | heat exchangers and steam condensers |
| 34432 | 0.170 | 6173 | 34432 | fabricated steel plate, including stacks and weldments |
| 34433 | 0.830 | 7282 | 34433 | Steel power boilers. Parts. AND ATtAChments (over is p.s.i. steam working pressure) |
| 34434 | 0.540 | 935 | 34434 | GAS CYLINDERS |
| 34435 | 0.340 | 1747 | 34435 | metal tankes, Complete at factory (stanoard line, pressure) |
| 34437 | 0. 130 | 2551 | 34437 | METAL TANKS. COMPLETE AT FACTORY (STANDARD LINE. NON-PRESSURE) |
| 34438 | 0.220 | 3821 | 34438 | metal tanks and vessels, custom fabricated at the factory |
| 34439 | 0.580 | 3693 | 34439 | metal tanks and vessels, custom fabricated and field erected |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS Value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 36996 | appliance wire and cord and flexible cord sets made in electrical equipment and SUPPLIES N. |
| 33610 | 0.412 | 11723 | 33610 <br> 33611 <br> 33612 | ALUMINUM FOUNDRIES. N.S.K. <br> alluminum ano aluminum-base alloy castings <br> other aluminum ano aluminum-base alloy castings |
| 33620 | 0.136 | 4629 | 33620 | copper and copper-base alloy castings |
| 33690 | 0.234 | 6035 | 33691 33692 33693 | ZINC AND ZINC-BASE ALLOY CASTINGS <br> magnesium ano magnesium-base alloy castings <br> OTHER NONFERROUS CASTINGS (EXCLUDING ZINC AND MAGNESIUM) |
| 33980 | 0.000 | 4541 | 33980 | heat treating of metal for the trade |
| 33991 | 0.310 | 3227 | 33991 | metal powers and paste |
| 33992 | 0.290 | 938 | 33992 | other primary metal products. including nonferrous nails, brads, spikes, and staples |
| 34110 | 0.658 | 42244 | 34111 | Steel cans and tinhare end products. (includes 34112. aluminum cans) |
| 34120 | 0.359 | 5094 | 34121 <br> 34122 <br> 34123 | Steel pails (12-gallon capacity ano under) <br> steel shipping garrels and drums (over 12 -gallon capacity) all other metal barrels |
| 34211 | 0.290 | 1833 | 34211 | cutlery, scissors, shears. trimmers. and snips |
| 34212 | 0.970 | 2072 | 34212 | razor blades ano razors, except electric |
| 34230 | 0.000 | 780 | 34230 | hand and edge tools. n.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 34231 | 0.330 | 6012 | 34231 | mechanics'hano service tools |
| 34232 | 0.330 | 1601 | 34232 | edge tools. hand operated |
| 34233 | 0.480 | 2747 | 34233 | files. rasps, and file accessories and other handtools |
| 34250 | 0.351 | 2018 | 34250 | hanosaws. Saw blades. and saw accessories |
| 34292 | 0.380 | 2050 | 34292 | furniture hardware |
| 34293 | 0.930 | 854 | 34293 | vacuum and insulateo bottles, jugs, and chests |
| 34294 | 0.290 | 9283 | 34294 | builders' haroware |
| 34296 | 0.000 | 11803 | 34296 | motor vehicle hardware |

A-2-64

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-FIRM } \\ & \text { R WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34440 | 0.240 | 26507 | 34440 | Sheet metalwork, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 34442 | culverts. flumes. ano irrigation pipes |
|  |  |  | 34444 | metal roofing and roof drainage eouipment |
|  |  |  | 34445 | metal flooring and siding |
|  |  |  | 34446 | Other sheet metalwork |
| 34460 | O. 108 | 5894 | 34460 | architectural ano ornamental metalwork (except curtain wall and other EXTERIOR PANELS) |
| 34480 | 0.310 | 5788 | $34481$ $34482$ | prefabricated metal inoustrial and commercial buildings other prefabricated and portable metal buildings and parts |
| 34490 | 0.456 | 9946 | 34490 | miscellaneous metalwork, N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 34494 | FAbricated concrete reinforcing bar ano bar joists |
|  |  |  | 34495 | other miscellaneous metal butlding materials and curtain wall |
| 34510 | 0. 140 | 10831 | 34510 | SCrew machine products, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 34511 | automotive screw machine products |
|  |  |  | 34512 | Other screw machine products |
| 34520 | 0. 150 | 19894 | 34520 | bolts, nuts, rivets, ano washers, n.S.k. |
|  |  |  | 34524 | externally threaded fasteners. except aircraft |
|  |  |  | 34525 | internally threaded fasteners, except aircraft |
|  |  |  | 34526 | NONTHREADED FASTENERS, EXCEPT AIRCRAFT |
|  |  |  | 34527 | aircraf.t aerospace fasteners |
|  |  |  | 34528 | OTHER FORMED PARTS |
| 34620 | 0.297 | 18387 | 34620 | Iron and steel forgings, N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 34621 | drop. UPSET and press steel forgings (closed die) |
| 34630 | 0.486 | 2808 | 34630 | nonferrous forgings, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 34631 | aluminum and aluminum-base alloy forgings |
|  |  |  | 34632 | other nonferrous forgings (ExCEPt aluminum) |
| 34650 | 0.696 | 51558 | 34650 | job stampings, automotive |
| 34660 | 0.476 | 3394 | 34661 | metal commercial closures and metal home canning closures (except crowns) |
|  |  |  | 34662 | METAL CROWNS |
| 34690 | 0.000 | 3540 | 34690 | metal stampings, n.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 34692 | 0.110 | 12831 | 34692 | job stampings, except automotive |
| 34694 | 0.560 | 2050 | 34694 | stamped and spun utensils, cooking and kitchen, aluminum |
| 34695 | 0.510 | 1844 | 34695 | stamped and spun utynsils, cooking and kitchen, except aluminum |
| 34699 | 0.090 | 5884 | 34699 | other stampeo and pressed metal end products |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CREISS } \end{aligned}$ | Census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34710 | 0.240 | 9934 | 34710 | electroplating. plating. and polishing |
| 34790 | 0.150 | 6820 | 34790 | coating. engraving. and allied services. n.e.c. |
| 34820 | 0.847 | 4226 | 34820 | small arms ammunition. bomm. ano unoer (or 1.18 inches and under) |
| 34830 | 0.388 | 13032 | 34830 34831 <br> 34833 | ammunition. EXCEPT for small arms. n.e.c., N.S.K. <br> artillery amunition over 30 mm . (OR over 1.18 inches^). (includes 34832, receipts <br> for amminition loading and assembly over 30 mm .) amminition, except for small arms. n.e.c. |
| 34840 | 0.440 | 3341 | $\begin{aligned} & 34841 \\ & 31842 \end{aligned}$ | MACHINE GUNS, 30 Mm . AND UNDER (OR 1.1E INCHES ANO UNDER) SMALL ARMS. SOMM. ANO UNDER (OR 1.18 INCHES AND UNOER) |
| 34890 | 0.398 | 4549 | $\begin{aligned} & 34891 \\ & 34692 \end{aligned}$ | gUns. howitzers mortars. and related equipment, over 33 m. (DR OVER i.is inches) ordnance ano accessories. n.e.c. |
| 34930 | 0.358 | 3618 | $\begin{aligned} & 34930 \\ & 34931 \\ & 34932 \end{aligned}$ | Steel springs, except wire, n.s.k. HOT FORMED SPRINGS <br> cold formed springs |
| 34940 | 0. 105 | 24047 | 34940 <br> 34941 <br> 34942 <br> 34943 <br> 34944 <br> 34945 <br> 34946 | Valves and pipe fittings, N.S.K. <br> automatic regulating ano control valves <br> VALVES FOR POWER TRANSFER (PNEUMATIC AND HYORAULIC) <br> other metal valves for piping systems and eouipment <br> PLUMBING and heating valves and specialities (except plumbers' brass goods) <br> metal fittings. flanges. and unions for piping systems <br> fittings and assemblies for tubing and hose (Except plumbers' brass goods) |
| 34950 | 0.240 | 5661 | $\begin{aligned} & 34952 \\ & 34953 \end{aligned}$ | precision mechanical springs OTHER WIRE SPRINGS |
| 34960 | 0.318 | 1902 | 34960 | miscellanedus fabricated wire products. n.s.k. |
| 34970 | 0.381 | 5118 | 34970 <br> 34971 <br> 34972 <br> 34973 | metal foil ano leaf, n.s.j.k. <br> converted unmounted aluminum foil packaging products, not laminated to other materials <br> laminated aluminum foil roll and sheets for flexible packaging uses converted aluminum foil for nonpackaging applications ano foil and leaf |
| 34980 | 0. 190 | 6705 | 34980 | fabricated pipe and fittings |
| 34990 | 0.000 | 3782 | 34990 | fabricated metal products. n.e.c.. n.s.k. |
| 34991 | 0.890 | 1462 | 34991 | safes and vaults |

$$
A-2-66
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS Value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34992 | 0.700 | 491 | 34992 | collapsible tubes |
| 34993 | 0.850 | 1678 | 34993 | flat metal strapping |
| 34994 | 0. 180 | 13905 | 34994 | all other fabricated metal products. except flat |
| 35110 | 0.774 | 20797 | 35110 35111 35112 | tURBINES and tUREINE GENERATOR SETS. N.S.K. <br> steam, gas, and hydraulic turbine generator set units and parts <br> steam. gas. and hydraulic turgines ano parts |
| 35191 | 0.910 | 3268 | 35191 | gasoline engines. under 11 horsepower. except aircraft, automobile, truck. BUS. AND TANK |
| 35192 | 0.590 | 1640 | 35192 | gasoline engines. 11 horsepower and over. except aircraft, automobile, truck. bus. ano tank |
| 35193 | 0.800 | 6040 | 35193 | diesel engines. except for trucks ano buses |
| 35194 | 0.960 | 6346 | 35194 | diesel engines for trucks and buses |
| 35195 | 0.850 | 4259 | 35195 | outboard motors and tank and converted internal combustion engines (includes 35197 ) |
| 35196 | 0.770 | 352 | 35196 | gas engines (except gas turbines) |
| 35199 | 0.510 | 11449 | 35199 | parts and accessories for internal comaustion engines |
| 35230 | 0.000 | 2046 | 35230 | farm machinery ano equipment. n.s.k. |
| 35231 | 0.810 | 12152 | 35231 | Wheel tractors ano attachments (except contractors' off-highway type, garden tractors. and motor tillers) |
| 35232 | 0.270 | 1578 | 35232 | farm dairy machines. sprayers. and dusters, farm elevators. and farm blowers |
| 35233 | 0.430 | 1720 | 35233 | planting. seeding. and fertilizing machinery |
| 35234 | 0.360 | 1918 | 35234 | harrows. rollers. pulverizers. stalk cutters. and similar equipment |
| 35235 | 0.710 | 5804 | 35235 | harvesting machinery |
| 35236 | 0.820 | 1841 | 35236 | having machinery |
| 35237 | 0.700 | 854 | 35237 | Plows and listers |
| 35238 | 0. 150 | 6108 | 35238 | all other farm machinery and eoulpment |
| 35239 | 0.510 | 7414 | 35239 | Parts for farm machinery and equipment. sold separately |
| 35240 | 0.274 | 11434 | 35242 | garden tractors and motor tillers |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35247 \\ & 35249 \end{aligned}$ | LAWNMOWERS AND SNOW BLOWERS <br> parts for lawn ano garden equipment. for sale separately |
| 35310 | 0.000 | 1177 | 35310 | CONSTRUCTION MACHINERY, N.S.K. |
| 35311 | 0.760 | 2515 | 35311 | CONTRACTORS' OFF-HIGHWAY WHEEL TRACTORS. EXCEPT |
| 35312 | 0.910 | 5640 | 35312 | tracklaying type tractors. except parts and attachments |
| 35313 | 0.700 | 8657 | 35313 | PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR TRACKLAYING TYPE TRACTORS, CONTRACTORS' DFF-HIGHWAY WHEEL TRACTORS. ANO TRACTOR SHOVEL LOADERS |
| 35314 | 0.430 | 9142 | 35314 | POWER CRANES (INCLUDING LOCOMOTIVE AND FULL-CIRCLE REVOLVING WITH BOOMS). dRaglines. Shovels and parts |
| 35316 | 0.390 | 2520 | 35316 | mixers. pavers. and related eouipment. excluding parts |
| 35317 | 0.750 | 8107 | 35317 | tractor shovel loaders. excluding parts and attachments |
| 35318 | 0.480 | 9648 | 35318 | SCRAPERS, GRADERS, ROLLERS, ANP OFF-HIGHWAY TRUCKS, TRAILERS. AND WAGONS (EXCLUDING PARTS) |
| 35319 | 0.220 | 9130 | 35319 | OTHER CONSTRUCTION MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT.INCLUDING PARTS |
| 35320 | 0.332 | 7298 | $\begin{aligned} & 35321 \\ & 35322 \\ & 35323 \\ & 35324 \end{aligned}$ | UNOERGROUND MINING AND MINERAL BENEFICIATION MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT CRUSHING, PULVERIZING, AND SCREENING MACHINERY <br> ALL OTHER MINING MACHINERY ANO EOUIPMENT <br> PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR MINING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT |
| 35331 | 0.560 | 3482 | 35331 | ROtary oilfield ano gasfield orilling machinery and eouipment |
| 35332 | 0.500 | 870 | 35332 | OTHER OILfield and gasfield drilling machinery and equipment |
| 35333 | 0.400 | 3765 | 35333 | OILFIELD AND GASFIELD PRODUCTION MACHINERY ANO EQUIPMENT (EXCEPT PUMPS) |
| 35334 | 0.370 | 1136 | 35334 | OTHER DILFIELD AND GASFIELD MACHINERY aND TOOLS (EXCEPT PUMPS). INCLUDING WATER WELL |
| 35340 | 0.515 | 4122 | 35340 | ELEVATORS AND MOVING STAIRWAYS |
| 35350 | 0.188 | 8256 | $\begin{aligned} & 35351 \\ & 35352 \end{aligned}$ | CONVEYORS AND CONVEYING EQUIPMENT (EXCEPT HOISTS AND FARM ELEVATORS) PARTS. ATTACHMENTS. ANO ACCESSORIES FOR CONVEYORS AND CONVEYING EOUIPMENT |
| 35360 | 0.205 | 4460 | 35360 35361 35362 | HOISTS. CRANES. ANO MONORAILS. N.S.K. HOISTS <br> overhead traveling cranes and mondrail systems |

A-2-68

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35370 | 0.445 | 10048 | 35370 | industrial trucks ano tractors, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 35371 | industrial trucks and tractors |
|  |  |  | 35372 | parts and attachments for industrial trucks and tractors. and miscellaneous materials handling eouipment |
| 35411 | 0.430 | 587 | 35411 | boring machines |
| 35412 | 0. 330 | 737 | 35412 | drilling machines |
| 35413 | 0.790 | 567 | 35413 | gear cutting and finishing machines |
| 35414 | 0.400 | 1686 | 35414 | grinding and polishing machines (excluding gear tooth grinding. honing. LAPPING. POLISHING, AND BUFFING MACHINES) |
| 35415 | 0.380 | 1976 | 35415 | lathes |
| 35416 | 0.620 | 866 | 35416 | milling machines |
| 35418 | 0. 260 | 3031 | 35418 | dther machine tools (including those primarily designed for home workshops. Laboratories. etc.) |
| 35419 | 0.280 | 2421 | 35419 | parts for metal-cutting type machine tools. sold separately. and rebuilt MACHINE TOOLS |
| 35420 | 0.304 | 6701 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 35421 | PUNCHING, SHEARING, BENOING. AND FORMING MACHINES |
|  |  |  | 35422 | PRESSES. INCLUDING FORGING PRESSES |
|  |  |  | 35423 | other metal-forming machine tools, including forging machines |
|  |  |  | 35424 | parts for metal-forming machine tools and rebuilt metal-forming machinery |
| 35440 | 0.098 | 27135 | 35440 | special dies, tools, jigs and fixtures, n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 35441 | Special dies and tools. die sets. jigs. and fixtures |
|  |  |  | 35442 | INDUSTRIAL MOLDS |
| 35450 | 0.000 | 999 | 35450 | machine tool accessories, n.s.k. |
| 35451 | 0.230 | 7380 | 35451 | Small cutting tools for machine tools ano metalworking machinery |
| 35452 | 0.520 | 947 | 35452 | Precision measuring tools |
| 35453 | 0.170 | 2188 | 35453 | other attachments and accessories for machine tools and metal-working MACHINERY |
| 35460 | 0.379 | 6229 | 35460 | Power-driven handtools. n.s.k. |
|  |  |  | 35461 | POWER-DRIVEN HANDTOOLS. ELECTRIC |
|  |  |  | 35462 | power-driven handtools. pneumatic ano powder actuated |
| 35470 | 0.717 | 2479 | 35470 | rolling mill machinery. n.s.k. |

$$
A-2-69
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE DF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC DESCRIPTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 35471 | Hot rolling mill machinery, except tuet rolling |
|  |  |  | 35472 | COLD ROLIING MILL MACHINERY |
|  |  |  | 35473 | Other rolling mill machinery, including tube mill machinery |
| 35493 | 0.630 | 937 | 35493 | WELDING AND CUTTING APPARATUS. EXCEPT ELECTRIC |
| 35494 | 0.430 | 1111 | 35494 | AUTOMOTIVE MAINTEMANCE EQUIPMENT |
| 35495 | 0.240 | 1545 | 35495 | OTHER METALWORKING MACHINERY |
| 35511 | 0.570 | 574 | 35511 | dairy ano milk products plant machinery and equipment. except bottling AND PACKAGING MACHINERY |
| 35512 | 0.330 | 1850 | 35512 | COMMERCIAL FOOD PROOUCTS MACHINERY, EXCEPT WRAPPING MACHINES |
| 35513 | 0.230 | 2962 | 35513 | other inoustrial food products machinery (except packing and bottling MACHINERY) ANO PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS |
| 35514 | 0.310 | 2321 | 35514 | PACKING, PACKAGING, AND BOTTLING MACHINERY FOR INDUSTRIAL FODD PRODUCTS |
| 35520 | 0.159 | 7381 | $\begin{aligned} & 35521 \\ & 35522 \end{aligned}$ | textile machinery <br> PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR TEXTILE MACHINERY |
| 35531 | 0.390 | 3725 | 35531 | WOODWORKING MACHINERY (EXCEPT HOME WORKSHOP). INCLUDING PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS |
| 35532 | 0.900 | 515 | 35532 | WOODWORKING MACHINERY FOR HOME WORKSHOP (EXCEPT POWERDRIVEN HANDTOOLS), INCLUDING PARTS AND ATTACHWENTS |
| 35540 | 0.259 | 3814 | 35540 | PAPER INDUSTRIES MACHINERY ANO PARTS AND ATtACHMENTS |
| 35550 | 0.000 | 493 | 35550 | PRINTING TRADES MACHINERY. N.S.K. |
| 35551 | 0.710 | 1560 | 35551 | PRINTING PRESSES. LITHOGRAPHIC |
| 35552 | 0.510 | 902 | 35552 | PRINTING PRESSES, OTHER THAN LIthographic |
| 35553 | 0.720 | 1041 | 35553 | typesetting machinery and equipment |
| 35554 | 0.750 | 335 | 35554 | BINDERY EQUIPMENT |
| 35555 | 0.260 | 3035 | 35555 | OTHER PRINTING TRADES MACHINERY AND EOUIPMENT AND PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR all printing trades machinery and equipment |
| 35590 | 0.000 | 1695 | 35590 | SPECIAL INDUSTRY MACHINERY. N.E.C. . N.S.K. |
| 35591 | 0.290 | 2125 | 35591 | Chemical manufacturing industries machinery and equipment and parts |
|  |  |  |  | A-2-70 |


| NEW SIC | 4-FIRM | Census value | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | DESCRIPTION |
| 35592 | 0.560 | 1323 | 35592 | foumdry machinery and equipment. excluding patterns and moldos |
| 35593 | 0.310 | 4341 | 35593 | PLASTICS-WORKING MACHINERY AND EOUIPMENT, EXCLUDING PATTERNS AND MOLDS |
| 35594 | 0.530 | 1373 | 35594 | RUBEER-WORKING MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT. EXCLUDING TIRE MOLDS |
| 35595 | 0.260 | 12814 | 35595 | OTHER SPECIAL INDUSTRY MACHINERY ANO EQUIPMENT |
| 35610 | 0.000 | 754 | 35610 | PUMPS AND PUMPING EQUIPMENT, N.S.K. |
| 35611 | 0. 220 | 6119 | 35611 | INDUSTRIAL PUMPS. EXCEPT HYDRAULIC FLUID POWER PUMPS |
| 35612 | 0.440 | 2616 | 35612 | HYDRAULIC FLUID POWER PUMPS |
| 35613 | 0.410 | 1511 | 35613 | DDMESTIC WATER SYSTEMS AND PUMPS. Including pump Jacks and crlinders |
| 35615 | 0. 260 | 1864 | 35615 | PUMPS AND PIMPING EQUIPMENT. N.E.C. |
| 35616 | 0.210 | 3465 | 35616 | PARTS AND ATtACHments for pumps and pumping equipment |
| 35620 | 0.619 | 14187 | 35621 | ball bearings. Complete |
|  |  |  | 35622 | TAPER (EXCEPT THRUST) ROLLER BEARINGS, COMPLETE |
|  |  | - | 35623 | OTHER ROLLER BEARINGS. COMPLETE |
|  |  |  | 35624 | MOUNTED BEARINGS |
|  |  |  | 35629 | PARTS AND COMPONENTS FOR BALL aND ROLLER BEARINGS. INCLUDING BALLS AND ROLLERS, SOLD SEPARATELY |
| 35630 | 0.384 | 7227 | 35631 | AIR AND GAS COMPRESSORS AND VACUUM PUMPS |
|  |  |  | 35632 | PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR AIR AND GAS COMPRESSORS, EXCEPT REFRIGERATION EQUIPMENT |
| 35640 | 0.153 | 6820 | 35640 | BLOWERS AND FANS. N.S.K. |
|  |  |  | 35643 | CENTRIFUGAL FANS AND BLOWERS |
|  |  |  | 35644 | PROPELLER FANS AND ACCESSORIES, AXIAL FANS, AND POWER ROOF VEMTILATORS |
|  |  |  | 35645 | dUSt COLLECTION aND OTHER AIR PURIfICATION EQUIPMENT FOR HEATING, VENTILATINg AND AIR-CDNDITIONING SYSTEMS |
|  |  |  | 35646 | DUST COLLECTION AND OTHER AIR PURIFICATION EQUIPMENT FOR INDUSTRIAL GAS CLEANING SYStems |
| 35650 | 0.060 | 2344 | 35650 | Industrial patterns. ExCEpt shoe patterns |
| 35660 | 0.243 | 5930 | 35660 | SPEED CHANGERS, INDUSTRIAL HIGH-SPEED DRIVES. AND GEARS (INCLUDES 35680, POWER TRANSMISION EQUIPMENT. N.E.C., N.S.K.) |
| 35670 | 0.000 | 294 | 35670 | industrial furnaces and ovens. n.s.k. |
| 35671 | 0.440 | 675 | 35671 | ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL FURNACES AND OVENS. METAL PROCESSING |

$$
A-2-71
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35672 | 0.430 | 972 | 35672 | fuel-fired industrial furnaces and ovens, metal processing |
| 35673 | 0.400 | 1470 | 35673 | HIGH FREQUENCY INOUCTION AND DIELECTRIC HEATING EQUIPMENT AND PARTS. ATTACHMENTS. AND COMPONENTS |
| 35680 | 0.309 | 9750 | $\begin{aligned} & 35681 \\ & 35683 \end{aligned}$ | plain bearings ano bushings <br> other mechanical power transmission equipment, except speed changers. drives. ano gears |
| 35690 | 0.000 | 1445 | 35690 | GENERAL INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY. N.E.C., N.S.K. |
| 35691 | 0.250 | 1774 | 35691 | PACKING AND PACKAGING machinery. n.e.c. |
| 35692 | 0.290 | 2754 | 35692 | FILTERS AND Strainers |
| 35699 | 0.120 | 5355 | 35699 | all other general industrial machinery. n.e.c. |
| 35731 | 0.750 | 18843 | 35731 | ELECTRONIC COMPUTING EQUIPMENT (EXCEPT PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS) |
| 35732 | 0.520 | 27453 | 35732 | PERIPHERAL EQUIPMENT FOR ELECTIRONIC COMPUTERS |
| 35733 | 0.630 | 14032 | 35733 | PARTS AND ATtACHMENTS FOR ELECTRONIC COMPUTING EQUIPMENT |
| 35740 | 0.495 | 6942 | $\begin{aligned} & 35742 \\ & 35745 \end{aligned}$ | ELECTRONIC CALCULATING MACHINES <br> PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR AODING. CALCULATING, ACCOUNTING MACHINES AND CaSh registers (includes 3574i. adding and calculating machines except ELECTRONIC: AND 35743 ACCOUNTING MACHINES ANO CASH REGISTERS.) |
| 35760 | 0.512 | 1821 | 35760 | SCALES AND BALANCES. EXCEPT LABORATORY |
| 35790 | 0.000 | 180 | 35790 | OFFICE MACHINES. N.E.C., N.S.K. |
| 35793 | 0.870 | 836 | 35793 | DUPLICATION MACHINES |
| 35795 | 0.770 | 1286 | 35795 | mailing. letter hanoling, and addressing machines |
| 35796 | 0.390 | 2547 | 35796 | ALL OTHER OFFICE MACHINES. N.E.C. (INCLUDES 35794. DICTATING, TRANSCRIBING, AND RECORDING MACHINES.) |
| 35798 | 0.840 | 5620 | 35798 | PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS FOR ADDRESSING, DICTATING, DUPLICATING, AND OTHER OFFICE StORE MACHINES, N.E.C. (INCLUDES 357200, TYPEWRITERS, INCLUDED CODED MEDIA. PARTS AND ATTACHMENTS.) |
| 35810 | 0.492 | 3063 | $\begin{aligned} & 35811 \\ & 35812 \end{aligned}$ | AUTOMATIC MERCHANOISING MACHINES COIN-OPERATED MECHANISMS AND PARTS FDR AUTOMATIC MERCHANDISING MACHINES |
| 35820 | 0.402 | 1853 | 35820 | COMMERCIAL LAUNDRY EOUIPMENT |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLO SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35851 | 0.450 | 16805 | 35851 | heat transfer equipment, except room and unitary airconditioners and DEHUMIDIFIERS |
| 35852 | 0.480 | 10706 | 35852 | Unitary air-conoitioners |
| 35853 | 0.290 | - 4823 | 35853 | commercial refrigeration equipment |
| 35854 | 0.720 | 10654 | 35854 | compressors ano compressor units. all refrigerants |
| 35855 | 0.480 | 1468 | 35855 | conoensing units. all refrigerants |
| 35856 | 0.540 | 6796 | 35856 | room air-conoitioners ano dehumidifiers |
| 35857 | 0.440 | 5703 | 35857 | OTHER REFRIGERATION AND AIR-CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT. INCLUDING SODA FOUNTAIN AND BEER OISPENSING EQUIPMENT |
| 35858 | 0.340 | 3842 | 35858 | Warm air furnaces (except floor and wall) and parts and attachments |
| 35860 | 0.371 | 1834 | 35860 | measuring and dispensing pumps |
| 35890 | 0.000 | 882 | 35890 | Service inoustry machines. n.e.c.', n.s.k. |
| 35891 | 0.270 | 2170 | 35891 | commercial cooking and food warming equipment |
| 35892 | 0. 180 | 4965 | 35892 | Service inoustry machines and parts |
| 35893 | 0.490 | 627 | 35893 | commercial and industrial vacuum cleaners. including parts and attachments |
| 35920 | 0.704 | 7919 | 35920 <br> 35921 <br> 35922 <br> 35923 | carburetors. pistons. rings. and valves, n.s.k. <br> carburetors. new and rebuilt <br> pISTONS AND PISTON RINGS <br> valves. intake ano exhaust |
| 35990 | 0.000 | 13058 | 35990 | machinery, except electrical. n.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 35992 | 0.270 | 1892 | 35992 | PNeumatic and hydraulic cylinoers |
| 35994 | 0. 120 | 4425 | 35994 | miscellaneous machinery products |
| 35995 | 0.030 | 14258 | 35995 | Receipts for machine shop job work |
| 36120 | 0.000 | 249 | 36120 | transformers. n.s.k. |
| 36122 | 0.670 | 9139 | 36122 | power and distribution transformers, except parts |
| 36124 | 0.960 | 1606 | 36124 | fluorescent lamp ballasts |
| 36125 | 0.530 | 2253 | 36125 | specialty transformers (except fluorescent lampballasts) |
|  |  |  |  | A-2-73 |


| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36127 | 0.800 | 1114 | 36127 | POWER REGULATORS. BOOSTERS. REACTORS, OTHER TRANSFORMERS, AND TRANSFORMER PARTS |
| 36131 | 0.550 | 5971 | 36131 | SwItchgear. except ducts and relays |
| 36132 | 0.860 | 1827 | 36132 | POWER CIRCUIT breakers. all voltages |
| $36: 33$ | 0.600 | 5390 | 36133 | LOW Voltage panelboaros and distribution boaros and other switching and INTERRUPTING DEVICES 750 VOLTS AND UNOER |
| 36134 | 0.830 | 997 | 36134 | FUSES AND FUSE EQUIPMENT, UNDER 2.300 VOLTS (EXCEPT POWER DISTRIBUTION CUT-OUTS) |
| 36135 | 0.720 | 2830 | 36135 | molded case circuit breakerss. 750 VOLTS AND UnDER |
| 36136 | 0.670 | 657 | 36136 | duct. including plug-in units and accessories, 750 volts and under |
| 36137 | 0.400 | 2234 | 36137 | relays, Control circuit |
| 36210 | 0.000 | 364 | 36210 | MOTORS AND GENERATORS, N.S.K. |
| 36211 | 0.470 | 11377 | 36211 | FRACTIONAL HORSEPOWER MOTORS I |
| 36212 | 0. 590 | 5677 | 36212 | INTEGRAL HORSEPOWER MOTORS ANO GENERATORS, EXCEPT FOR LAND TRANSPORTATION EOUIPMENT |
| 36213 | 0.790 | 1063 | 36213 | LAND TRANSPORTATION MOTORS. GENERATORS. ANO CONTROL EOUIPMENT AND PARTS |
| 36214 | 0.590 | 2473 | 36214 | PRIME MOVER GENERATOR SETS, EXCEPT Steam or hydraulic turbine |
| 36217 | 0.770 | 2288 | 36217 | MOTOR-GENERATOR SETS AND OTHER ROTATING EOUIPMENT, INCLUDING HERMETICS (fractional) |
| 36218 | 0.760 | 1229 | 36218 | motor-generator sets ano other rotating equipment, including hermetics (integral) |
| 36219 | 0.390 | 1886 | 36219 | PARTS AND SUPPLIES FOR MOTORS, GENERATORS. AND MOTORGENERATOR SETS, EXCEPT FOR LAND TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT |
| 36220 | 0.384 | 12456 | 36220 | GENERAL INDUSTRY POWER CIRCUIT DEVICES ANO CONTROLS AND Parts |
| 36230 | 0.374 | 5700 | 36231 36232 36233 | ARC WELDING MACHINES, COMPONENTS, AND ACCESSORIES. EXCEPT ELECTRODES ARC WELOING ELECTRODES. METAL <br> RESISTANCE WELDERS. COMPONENTS. ACCESSORIES. AND ELECTRODES |
| 36240 | 0.720 | 3359 | 36240 36241 36249 | CARBON AND GRAPHITE PRODUCTS. N.S.K. ELECTRODES <br> all other carbon and graphite products |
| 36291 | 0.780 | 1124 | 36291 | CAPACITORS FOR INDUSTRIAL USE. EXCEPT FOR ELECTRONIC APPLICATIONS |

$$
A-2-7.4
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CREISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36292 | 0.410 | 1526 | 36292 | rectifying apparatus |
| 36293 | 0.320 | 1459 | 36293 | other electrical equipment for industrial use |
| 36310 | 0.441 | 10270 | 36311 36312 | electric household ranges and ovens and surface cooking unit eouipment and parts. EXCEPT SMALL APPLIANCES household ovens and ranges. equipment and parts, except electric |
| 36320 | 0.690 | 14194 | 36320 36321 36322 | household refrigerators and freezers, n.s.k. household refrigerators, including combination refrigerator-freezers home and farm freezers |
| 36330 | 0.749 | 12899 | $\begin{aligned} & 36331 \\ & \mathbf{3 6 3 3 3} \end{aligned}$ | HOUSEHOLD MECHANICAL WASHING MACHINES, DRYERS, AND WASHER-DRYER COMBINATIONS OTMER HOUSEHOLD LALHORY EQUIPMENT AND PARTS |
| 36340 | 0.000 | 554 | 36340 | electric housewares ano fans, n.s.k. |
| 36341 | 0.520 | 1277 | 36341 | electric fans, except inoustrial type |
| 36342 | 0.940 | 643 | 36342 | electric razors ano dry shavers |
| 36343 | 0.420 | 11216 | 36343 | other small household electric appliances |
| 36344 | 0.510 | 790 | 36344 | parts and attachments for small electric appliances |
| 36350 | 0.653 | 4392 | 36350 | household vacuum cleaners. including parts and attachments |
| 36360 | 0.298 | 1521 | 36360 | sewing machines and parts. excluding cases and cabinets sold separately |
| 36391 | 0.620 | 1199 | 36391 | householo water heaters. electric |
| 36392 | 0.670 | 1795 | 36392 | household water heaters. except electric |
| 36394 | 0.710 | 4179 | 36394 | dishwashing machines ano food waste disposers |
| 36399 | 0.500 | 864 | 36399 | Other household appliances and parts |
| 36410 | 0.814 | 10691 | 36410 | electric lamps (bulbs only). including sealed beam lamps |
| 36430 | 0.251 | 12068 | 36430 | current-carrying wiring devices. including lightning rods |
| 36441 | 0.530 | 2223 | 36441 | pole. line, and transmission hardware |
| 36442 | 0. 290 | 3937 | 36442 | electrical conduit and conduit fitiongs |
| 36443 | 0.340 | 1959 | 36443 | OTHER NONCURRENT-CARRYING WIRING devices and supplies |

$$
A-2-75
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-FIRM } \\ \text { CR WEISS } \end{gathered}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36450 | 0.243 | 7461 | 36450 36451 36457 | RESIDENTIAL LIGHTING FIXTURES, N.S.K. <br> residential type electric fixtures. except portable <br> PORTABLE RESIDENTIAL TYPE LIGHTING FIXTURES AND PARTS ANO ACCESSORIES FOR RESIDENTIAL LIGHTING FIXTURES |
| 36460 | 0.236 | 7018 | $\begin{aligned} & 36462 \\ & 36463 \end{aligned}$ | COMmERCIAL ANO INSTITUTIONAL-TYPE ELECTRIC LIGHTING FIXTURES industrial-type electric lighting fixtures and parts |
| 36470 | 0.612 | 3581 | 36470 | vehicular lighting eouipment |
| 36485 | 0.360 | 3165 | 36485 | OUtdoor lighting equipment |
| 36489 | 0.350 | 1647 | 36489 | other electric ano nonelectric lighting.eouipment and parts and accessories |
| 36510 | 0.000 | 839 | 36510 | radios and tV receiving sets, n.s.k. |
| 36511 | 0.570 | 7545 | 36511 | household and automobile radios. ano radio-phonograph comeinations |
| 36512 | 0.660 | 21487 | 36512 | household television receivers. including television combinations |
| 36514 | 0.360 | 3734 | 36514 | recorders. phonographs, ano radio ano television chassis |
| 36515 | 0.260 | 2492 | 36515 | SPEAKER SYSTEMS, MICROPHONES, HOME-TYPE ELECTRONIC KITS, AND COMMERCIAL SOUND EOUIPMENT. including public adoress systems |
| 36520 | 0.458 | 5373 | 36520 | phonograph records, recoro blanks, and prerecorded tapes |
| 36610 | 0.858 | 39739 | 36611 <br> 36612 | telephone switching and switcheoard equipment <br> other telephone and telegraph (wire) apparatus. equipment. and components |
| 36620 | 0.000 | 2072 | 36620 | radio. tv communication equipment. n.s.k. |
| 36621 | 0. 400 | 15548 | 36621 | commercial. industrial. and military communication equipment. except TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT |
| 36622 | 0.420 | 4297 | 36622 | radio and television broadcast eouipment and closed |
| 36623 | 0.240 | 3362 | 36623 | intercommunication equipment (except telephone and telegraph) and electric alarm ano signal systems and devices |
| 36624 | 0.460 | 10739 | 36624 | electronic navigational aids (except missile-borne and space vehicle-borne EQUIPMENT) |
| 36625 | 0.380 | 22640 | 36625 | electronic search and detection apparatus. including radar, infrared and sonar |
| 36626 | 0.270 | 14600 | 36626 | electronic military, industrial and commercial equipment. n.e.c. |

$$
A-2-76
$$

| 4-FIRM | CENSUS VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| NEW SIC CR WEISS OF SHIPMENTS |  |

## DESCRIPTION

| 36627 | 0.820 | 1247 | 36627 | SPACE SATELLITE-BORNE COmmunications systems (COmplete package) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36628 | 0.520 | 7778 | 36628 | missle-borne navigation and guidance systems and equipment |
| 36629 | 0.580 | 1483 | 36629 | microwave and mobile telephone communication equipment |
| 36710 | 0.700 | 1896 | 36710 | RECEIVING TYPE ELECTRON TUBES, EXCEPT CATHODE RAY |
| 36720 | 0.820 | 6336 | 36720 | Cathode ray picture tubes. including rebuilt |
| 36730 | 0.500 | 3662 | 36730 | TRANSMITTAL. INDUSTRIAL. AND SPECIAL PURPOSE ELECTRON TUBES (EXCEPT X-RAY) |
| 36740 | 0.448 | 23608 | 36740 <br> 36741 <br> 36742 <br> 36743 <br> 36749 | SEMICONDUCTORS AND RELATED DEVICES, N.S.K. <br> INTEGRATED MICROCIRCUITS (SEMICONDUCTOR NETWORKS) <br> TRANSISTORS <br> diodes ano rectifiers <br> OTHER SEMICONOUCTOR DEVICES |
| 36750 | 0.335 | 4544 | 36750 | CAPACITORS FOR ELECTRONIC APPLICATIONS |
| 36760 | 0.385 | 4381 | 36760 | RESISTORS FOR ELECTRONIC APPLICATIONS |
| 36770 | 0. 136 | 3853 | 36770 | COILS, TRANSFORMERS, REACTORS. AND CHOKES FOR ELECTRONIC APPLICATIONS |
| 36780 | 0.463 | 5236 | 36780 | ELECTRONIC CONNECTORS |
| 36790 | 0.319 | 32098 | 36790 | ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS. N.E.C. |
| 36910 | 0.570 | 9527 | $\begin{aligned} & 36911 \\ & 36912 \end{aligned}$ | Storage batteries, starting, lighting, and ignition (sli) type storage batteries, other than sli type. including parts for storage BATTERIES, ALL TYPES |
| 36920 | 0.853 | 3167 | 36920 | PRIMARY BATtERIES. DRY AND WET |
| 36930 | 0.432 | 3830 | 36930 | X-RAY EQUIPMENT. INCLUDING X-ray tubes and electrotherapeutic apparatus |
| 36940 | 0.000 | 448 | 36940 | ENGINE ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT. N.S.K. |
| 36941 | 0.430 | 1128 | 36941 | IgNition harness and cable sets |
| 36942 | 0.800 | 3821 | 36942 | BATTERY CHARGING GENERATORS |
| 36943 | 0.880 | 3137 | 36943 | CRANKING MOTORS |
| 36944 | 0.990 | 2903 | 36944 | SPARK Plugs |

A-2-77

4-FIRM CENSUS Value NEW SIC CR WEISS OF SHIPMENTS
$369450.630 \quad 4118 \quad 36945$
$369460.620 \quad 2255$
$36990 \quad 1472 \quad 36990$
3699236920
$37110 \quad 0.000 \quad 37110$
$371110.990 \quad 37111$
$371120.840 \quad 37112$
$37113 \quad 0.800 \quad 2409$

| 37281 | 0.310 | 31696 | 37281 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37283 | 0.750 | 994 | 37283 |

AIRCRAFT ENGINE PARTS AND ACCESSORIES
37281 AIRCRAFT PARTS AND ACCESSORIES. N.E.C.

DESCRIPTION
-
OTHER COMPLETE ELECTRICAL EOUIPMENT FOR INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES.
COMPONENTS AND PARTS FOR ENGINE ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT
electrical equipment and supplies. n.e.c., N.S.K.
Lamp bule components ano. other electrical products
motor vehicles and car bodies. N.S.K.
PASSENGER CARS, KNOCKED DOWN OR ASSEmaled. AND CHASSIS for SALE SEPARATELY truck tractors. truck chassis and trucks (chassis of own manufacture)
bUSES (EXCEPT TROLLEY BUSES) AND FIRE DEPARTMENT VEHICLES. (CHASSIS OF OWN MANUFACTURE)
PaSSENGER CAR bodies (includes 37114, combat vehicles and tactical vehicles. EXCEPT TANKS)
TRUCK AND BUS BODIES. N.S.K
TRUCK, BUS, AND OTHER VEHICLE BODIES EXCEPT KITS AND REBUILT PARTS
COMPLETE VEHICLES. EXCEPT PASSENGER CARS. PRODUCED ON PURCHASED CHASSIS
Parts and accessories for motor vehicles. excluoing kits and rebuilt parts REBUILT ENGINES ANO PARTS FOR MOTOR VEHICLES. EXCEPT CARBURETORS

TRUCK TRAILERS ANO CHASSIS ( 16.000 POUNDS PER AXLE OR OVER)
TRUCK TRAILERS AND CHASSIS (LESS THAN 10.000 POUNOS PER AXLE)
COMPLETE AIRCRAFT. MILITARY TYPE
COMPLETE AIRCRAFT. PERSONAL AND UTILITY TYPE
COMPLETE AIRCRAFT. COMMERCIAL TRANSPORT TYPE
MODIFICATIONS, CONVERSIONS, AND OVERHAUL OF PREVIOUSLY ACCEPTED AIRCRAFT other aeronautical services on alrcraft

AIRCRAFT ENGINES FOR U.S. MILITARY CUSTOMERS AERONAUTICAL SERVICES ON AIRCRAFT ENGINES
AIRCRAFT ENGINE PARTS AND ACCESSORIES

AIRCRAFT PARTS AND ACCESSORIES. N.E.C.
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ON AIRCRAFT PARTS

$$
A-2-78
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37285 | 0.810 | 583 | 37285 | aircraft propellers |
| 37310 | 0.600 | 32007 | 37311 | nonpropelled ships, new construction |
|  |  |  | 37312 | SELF-PROPELLED U.S. MILITARY SHIPS. NEW CONSTRUCTION |
|  |  |  | 37313 | SELF-PROPELLED NONMILITARY SHIPS. NEW CONSTRUCTION |
|  |  |  | 37314 | repair of u.s. military ships |
|  |  |  | 37316 | REPAIR OF NOHMILItARY SHIPS |
| 37320 | 0.147 | 10311 | 37322 | outboard motorboats. including prefabricated kits |
|  |  |  | 37325 | inboard motorboats, including inboard-outdrive houseboats |
|  |  |  | 37326 | Ingoard-outdrive boats. EXCEPT HOUSEBOATS |
|  |  |  | 37327 | all other boats (sailboats. rowboats, canoes. etc.) |
|  |  |  | 37328 | boat repair |
| 37430 | 0.543 | 22842 | $37431$ | locomotives and parts (includes 37432. passenger and freight train cars. new) STPEETCARS PARTS AND ACCESSORIES FOR PAILROAD CARS AND STPEETCARS AND REBUILT |
|  |  |  |  | passenger and freight train cars |
| 37511 | 0.700 | 3968 | 37511 | bicycles ano parts |
| 37512 | 0.650 | 1123 | 37512 | motorcricles and parts |
| 37610 | 0.800 | 37053 | 37611 | missile systems. excluding propulsion |
|  |  |  | 37612 | Space vehicle systems, excluoing propulsion |
|  |  |  | 37613 | research and development on complete missiles |
|  |  |  | 37614 | research and development on complete space vehicles |
|  |  |  | 37615 | all other services on complete missiles ano space vehicles |
| 37640 | 0.730 | 7406 | 37645 | COMPLETE MISSILE OR SPACE VEHICLE ENGINES |
|  |  |  | 37646 | research and development on complete missile or space vehicle engines |
|  |  |  | 37647 | all other services on complete missile or space vehicle engines |
|  |  |  | 37648 | missile ano space vehicle engine parts ano accessories |
| 37690 | 0.520 | 8254 | 37692 | missile and space vehicle parts and subassemblies. n.e.c. |
|  |  |  | 37694 | research ano development on missile ano space vehicle parts and COMPONENTS, N.E.C. |
| 37920 | 0.200 | 12765 | 37921 | RECREATION TYPE TRAILERS |
|  |  |  | 37922 | CAmping trailers. Campers. and pickup covers |
| 37950 | 0.829 | 2851 | 37950 | tanks and tank components |
| 37993 | 0.900 | 541 | 37993 | golf carts. SELF-Propelled |
| 37994 | 0.850 | 2031 | 37994 | SNOWMOBILES, SELF-PROPELLED |

A-2-79

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37999 | 0.200 | 4595 | 37999 | other transportation equipment |
| 3810 | 0.000 | 702 | 38110 | engineering and scientific instruments, n.s.k. |
| 38111 | 0.350 | 5737 | 38111 | aeronautical. nautical and navigational instruments |
| 38112 | 0.200 | 3359 | 38112 | laboratory ano scientific instruments |
| 38113 | 0.450 | 1262 | 38113 | SURVEYING and drafting instruments and laboratory furniture |
| 38220 | 0.555 | 6581 | 38220 | automatic temperature controls |
| 38230 | 0.243 | 7947 | 30230 | process control instruments |
| 38240 | 0.000 | 8 | 38240 | fluid meters and counting devices. N.s.k. |
| 38242 | 0.680 | 2077 | 38242 | integrating meters. nonelectrical type |
| 38243 | 0.730 | 480 | 38243 | counting devices |
| 38244 | 0.820 | 701 | 38244 | motor vehicle instruments, excépt electric |
| 38250 | 0.000 | 678 | 38250 | instruments to measure electricity, n.s.k. |
| 38251 | 0.790 | 1695 | 38251 | integrating instruments, electrical |
| 38252 | 0.450 | 8690 | 38252 | test equipment for testing electrical. radio, and communication circuits. and motors |
| 38253 | 0.260 | 2234 | 38253 | other electrical measuring instruments |
| 38290 | 0.000 | 1124 | 38290 | measuring and controlling devices. n.e.c., n.s.k. |
| 38291 | 0.610 | 745 | 38291 | aircraft engine instruments. except electric |
| 38292 | 0.250 | 1063 | 38292 | physical properties testing and inspection equipment |
| 38293 | 0.500 | 945 | 38293 | commercial, meteordiogical. and general purpose instruments |
| 38294 | 0.510 | 1982 | 38294 | nuclear radiation. detection. and monitoring instruments |
| 38320 | 0.390 | 5847 | 38321 38322 <br> 38323 | optical instruments and lenses, except sighting and fire-control equipment Sighting and fire-control eduipment, made from lenses. prisms. etc.. produced in the same plant <br> sighting and fire-control equipment. made from purchased lenses |
| 38410 | 0.307 | 9842 | 38410 | SURGICAL AND meoical instruments and apparatus |

$$
A-2-80
$$

| NEW SIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | census value of Shipments | OLD SIC | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38421 | 0.490 | 7820 | 38421 | SURGICAL, orthopedic. ano prosthetic appliances and supplies |
| 38423 | 0.340 | 2260 | 38423 | personal inoustrial safety devices |
| 38424 | 0.670 | 500 | 38424 | electronic hearing aids |
| 38430 | 0.309 | 3523 | 38430 | dental equipment ano supplies |
| 38510 | 0.476 | 4835 | 38511 <br> 38512 <br> 38513 | ophthalmic fronts and temples OPHTHALMIC FOCUS LENSES. INCLUDING CONTACT LENSES ALL OTHER OPHTHALMIC GOODS |
| 38610 | 0.000 | 1607 | 38610 | photographic equipment ano supplies, n.s.k. |
| 38611 | 0.670 | 6165 | 38611 | still picture equipment |
| 38612 | 0.900 | 14551 | 38612 | Photocopying equipment |
| 38613 | 0.590 | 1916 | 38613 | motion picture equipment |
| 38614 | 0.800 | 1375 | 38614 | microfilming, blueprinting, brownprinting, and whiteprinting equipment |
| 38615 | 0.970 | 14276 | 38615 | sensitized photographic film ano plates, except x-ray |
| 38616 | 0.000 | 3764 | 38616 | sensitized photographic paper and cloth, silver halide type |
| 38617 | 0.650 | 3062 | 38617 | sensitized photographic paper and cloth, except silver halide type |
| 38618 | 0.800 | 2862 | 38618 | prepared photographic chemicals |
| 38619 | 0.980 | 2976 | 38619 | X-Ray film |
| 38730 | 0.390 | 8808 | 38731 38734 38735 38737 | ```Clocks watches with imported movements watches with domestic movements and parts for all clocks and watches watCHCASES``` |
| 39110 | 0. 124 | 9818 | 39110 <br> 39111 <br> 39112 | JEWELRY, PRECIOUS METALS. N.S.K. <br> jewelry, made of platinum metals or carat golo <br> Jewelry. made of precious metals. except platinum metals and carat gold |
| 39140 | 0.422 | 3175 | 39141 39142 | silverware, plated ware, and stainless steel ware flatware |
| 39150 | 0.075 | 3362 | 39151 39152 | JEWELERS' FINDINGS AND MATERIALS <br> LAPIDARY WORK AND DIAMOND CUTTING AND POLISHING |
| 39311 | 0.660 | 1074 | 39311 | pianos |



$$
A-2-82
$$

| NEW SIC C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-FIRM } \\ & \text { CR WEISS } \end{aligned}$ | CENSUS VALUE OF SHIPMENTS | OLD SIC | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39912 | 0.450 | 1126 | 39912 | Paint ano varnish brushes |
| 39913 | 0.280 | 1864 | 39913 | Other brushes |
| 39930 | 0.050 | 10892 | 39930 39931 39932 3993 | SIGNS and advertising displays. N.S.k. luminous tubing and bulb signs nonelectric signs and advertising displays advertising specialties |
| 39950 | 0. 250 | 3879 | 39951 39952 39953 | metal caskets ano coffins, completely lined and trimmed. adult sizes only WOOD CASKETS aND COFFINS. COMPLETELY LINED AND TRIMMED. ADULT SIZES ONLY other caskets and coffins ano metal vaults |
| 39960 | 0.881 | 3003 | 39960 | hard surface floor coverings |
| 39990 | 0.000 | 3175 | 39990 | manufacturing industries. n.e.c.. n.s.k. |
| $39991$ | 0.660 | 1257 | 39991 | chemical fire extinouishing equipment ano parts |
| 39993 | 0.730 | 816 | 39993 | matches |
| 39994 | 0.350 | 1008 | 39994 | canoles |
| 39999 | 0.200 | 6216 | 39999 | other miscellaneous fabricated products, n.e.c. ESTABLISHMENT |
| * 39992 | 0.000 | 911 | 39992 | Coin-operated amusement machines |
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## Appendix A-3

The following industry matchings were used to determine whether the same 2 firms were ranked at the top of an industry in 1972 as were in 1950. Industries were omitted if no reasonably close matcking industry could be found in the other year; if fewer than two firms out of the 1,000 largest samples reported in one year; if they were one of the miscellaneous or not elsewhere classified categories: The industry numbers listed are our assigned numbers as given in Appendix A-2.

APPENDIX A-3

| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20110 | 20110 | 20850 | 20850 | 22710 | 22710,20,90 | 24310 | 24310 |
| 210 | 210 | 900 | 170 | 910 | 910 | 330 | 520 |
| 220,50 | 220 | 910 | 994 | 930 | 930 | 440 | 410 |
| 230 | 230 | 920 | 790 | 940 | 940 | 910 | 910 |
| 240 | 240 | 950 | 870 | 950 | 950 |  |  |
| 260 | 260 | 960 | 996 | 980 | 980 |  |  |
| 310 | 910 | 970 | 970 | 990 | 990 | 25110 | 25110,20,40 |
| 331,2,4,5,8 | 330 | 980 | 980 |  |  | 210 | 210,20 |
| 336 | 332 | 991 | 991 |  |  | 310 | 310 |
| 337 | 322 | 992 | 992 | 23110 | 23110 | 410 | 410 |
| 340 | 340 | 993 | 993 | 210 | 210 |  |  |
| 352 | 352 | 996 | 950 | 220 | 220 |  |  |
| 353 | 353 |  |  | 230 | 230 | 26110 | 26110 |
| 354 | 354 |  |  | 270 | 270 | 120 | 210 |
| 371 | 920 | 21110 | 21110 | 280 | 280 | 411 | 410,11 |
| 372,73 | 370 | 210 | 210 | 340 | 350 | 412 | 412 |
| 410 | 410 | 310 | 310 | 350,60 | - 370 | 414 | 413,14 |
| 420 | 470,30 |  | - | 410 | 410 | 510 | 420 |
| 430 | 430 |  |  | 420 | 420 | 610 | 430 |
| 510 | 510 | 22120 | 22810,20,30 | 910 | 910 | 710 | 510,20,30 |
| 520 | 520 | 130 | 110,210 | 920 | 920 | 740 | 550 |
| 610 | 610 | 230 | 840 | 930 | 930 | 910 | 450 |

Appendix A-3--(Continued)

| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710 | 650 | 410 | 410 | 940 | 940 | 930 | 493 |
| 720 | 660 | 510 | 510 |  |  | 992 | 492 |
| 730 | 670 | 520 | 520 |  |  | 993 | 540,1,2 |
| 810 | 860 | 530 | 530 | 24110 | 24110 | 994 | 471,72 |
| 820 | 820 | 540 | 540 | 210 | 210 | 996 | 495 |
| 830 | 830 | 550 | 590 | 220,320 | 350 |  |  |
| 840 | 840 | 560 | 570 | 230,40,50 | 290 |  |  |
| 27510 | 27510 | 28933 | 28444 | 32110 | 32110 | 33330 | 33330 |
| 710 | 710 | 934 | 445 | 210 | 210 | 415 | 395 |
| 820,30 | 820 | 941,993 | 710 | 290 | 294 | 418 | 397 |
| 910 | 910 | 942 | 994 | 312 | 315 | 517 | 510 |
| 920 | 530 | 950 | 950 | 410 | 410 | 526 | 530 |
| 930 | 930 | 970 | 791 | 540,50,90 | 590 | 527 | 540 |
| 940 | 940 | 980 | 991 | 610 | 610 | 910 | 129 |
|  |  | 991 | 794 | 640 | 640 | 920 | 125,52,570 |
|  |  | 992 | 792 | 691,2 | 690 | 930 | 126 |
| 28120 | 28121 |  |  | 710 | 710,20 | 995 | 991 |
| 190 | 193 |  |  | 720 | 750 |  |  |
| 210 | 650 | 29110-19,20 | 29110 | 750 | 960 |  |  |
| 230 | 210 | 510 | 510 | 810 | 810 | 34110 | 34110,20 |
| 240 | 220 | 520 | 520 | 910 | 910 | 211 | 211 |
| 250 | 230 | 990 | 990 | 922-27 | 920 | 212 | 212 |
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Appendix A-3--continued

| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1952 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260 | 920 |  |  | 930 | 930 | 220 | 232 |
| 342 | 349 |  |  | 950 | 950 | 230 | 230,31 |
| 413 | 414 | 30110 | 30110 | 970 | 970 | 240 | 233 |
| $415{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 410,11,13 | 210 | 210. |  |  | 250 | 250 |
| 421 | 412 | 310 | 310 |  |  | 291 | 297 |
| 423 | 423 | 992 | 696 | 33110 | 33120,1 | 292 | 292 |
| 424 | 424 | 993 | 695 | 120,21 | 122 | 293 | 293 |
| 510,30 | 510 | 994 | 697 | 122,3,4 | 123 | 295 | 294 |
| 520 | 160 |  |  | 126 | 124 | 391 | 333 |
| 710 | 730,40,62 |  |  | 130 | 130 | 395 | 334 |
| 210,20,60 | $\underline{20740}$ | 31110 | 31110 | 210 | 210 | 398 | 335 |
| 870 | 992 | 310 | 310 | 220 | 220 | 410 | 410 |
| 910 | 930 | 410 | 430,40,90 | 230 | 250 | 420 | 420 |
| 931 | 442 | 610 | 610 | 310 | 310 | 431 | 435,7,8,9 |
| 932 | 443 | 710 | 710 | 320 | 320 | 423 | 430 |
|  |  | 720 | 720 |  |  |  |  |
| 34433 | 34433 | 35312 | 35314 | 35710 | 35740 | 36214 | 36310 |
| 434 | 434 | 313 | 316 | 760 | 760 | 310 | 33570,76 |
| 440 | 440 | 315 | 320 | 791,92 | 810 | 410 | 36940-6 |
| 630 | 650,60,90 | 320 | 331 | 810 | 36330 | 510 | 410 |
|  | 692,94,95,99 | 411 | 411-15 | 820 | 35820 | 612 | 511,12 |
| 680 | 710 | 421 | 470 | 830 | 36360 | 613 | 620-3 |
| 892 | 33150,51 | 422 | 420 | 840 | 36350 | 515 | 514 |

Appendix A-3--continued

| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1952 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 893 | 33156 | 423 | 460 | 851,52 | 36320 | 622 | 730 |
| 894 | 33157 | 425 | 493 | 853 | 35853 | 623 | 710 |
| 912,3,4 | . . 34120 | 431 | 440 | 855 | 855 | 624. | 720 |
| 930 | 930 | 433 | 452 | 856 | 852,6,7 | 640 | 610 |
| 940 | 520 | 511 | 511 | 860 | 860 | 910 | 910 |
| 950 | 510 | 512,14 | 512,13 | 890 | 890 | 920 | 920 |
| 960 | 992 | 513 | 514 | 910 | 34940 |  |  |
| 970 | 970 | 520 | 520 | 920 | 34980 |  |  |
|  |  | 530 | 531.2 | 930 | 35620 | 37150 | 37150 |
|  |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 171 | 110,11 |
| 35110 | 35110 | 550 | 550-55 |  |  | 172 | 112 |
| 191 | 191,92 | 591 | 591 | 36110 | 36430,41-3 | 173 | 113 |
| 192 | 193 | 592 | 592 | 120 | 240 | 174 | 115 |
| 193 | 196 | 593 | 593 | 140 | 210,1,2,3,4 | 175 | 140 |
| 194 | 199 | 594 | 594 |  | 217,8,9 | 290 | 281 |
| 195 | 195 | 611 | 610,1,2 | 151 | 125 | 310 | 310 |
| 211 | 231 | 612 | 613 | 152 | 122 | 320 | 320 |
| 212 | 3.12,13 | 613,4 | 630 | 161 | 131 | 410,20 | 430 |
| 213,227 | 240 | 640 | 640 | 170 | 230 | 511 | 512 |
| 221 | 230-8 | 650 | 370 | 192 | 291 | 512 | 511 |
| 222 | 239 | 660 | 660,80 | 211 | 341 |  |  |
| 310,17,19 | 318,19 | 672 | 672 | 212 | 391 |  |  |
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| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1952 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311,630 | 350,60 | 680,90 | 673 | 213 | 342,3,4 |  |  |
| 38111,211 | 38111 | 39811 | 39911 |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | 112 | 812 | 912 |  | . |  |  |
| 212 | 242 | 813 | 913. |  |  |  |  |
| 213 | 230 | 820 | $\underline{24994}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 214 | 244 | 830 | 39993 |  |  |  |  |
| 310 | 320 | 930 | 930 |  |  |  |  |
| 410 | 410 | 990 | 991 |  |  |  |  |
| 423 | $\underline{26471}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 424 | 38421 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510 | 510 |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 612 | 611 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 613 | 615 |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 614 | 616,17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 615 | 618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 616,17 | 613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 | 730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39120 | 39150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 390 | 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410,30 | 440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420 | 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1950 | 1972 | 1952 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 490 | 491,2,4,5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510 | 510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 520 | - 521 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 530 | 530 |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| 550 | 551,52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 630 | 630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 640 | 642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    Together these results imply that industries with stable dominant firm patterns tend to be large and concentrated suggesting perhaps that efficiency advantages lead to stable industry dominance, and that these industries are not characterized by rapid growth and concentration increases, i.e. by rapid structural change.

[^1]:    ::: Significant at .O1 level, two-tailed test.
    $\because$ Significant at . 05 level, two-tailed test.

[^2]:    Before turning to these, mention must be made of the possible bias caused by our use of advertising flows instead of a stock measure. Several studies have pointed out that the coefficient on advertising may be upward biased to the extent

