Case 2:14-cv-05434-MWF-AGR Document 1 Filed 07/14/14 Page 1 of 24 Page ID #:16					
1 2 3 4 5 6	JONATHAN E. NUECTHERLEIN General Counsel YAA. A. APORI, D.C. Bar No. 480899 yapori@ftc.gov JASON D. SCHALL, D.C. Bar No. 495070 jschall@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, CC-10232 Washington, DC 20580 Tel: (202) 326-3796 Fax: (202) 326-3768	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUL 1 4 2014 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL FORNIA BY DEPUTY			
7 8 9 10	CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, Cal. Bar No. 19220 ctusan@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Tel: (310) 824-4343 Fax: (310) 824-4380	9 (Local Counsel)			
11 12	Attorneys for the Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission				
13 14	UNITED STATES DIS CENTRAL DISTRICT C				
15 16 17	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. CV14-5434RSWL-AER,			
18 19	MORTGAGE RELIEF ADVOCATES LLC, a California limited liability company, also doing business as THE MRA GROUP;	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF			
20 21	NATIONAL FORENSIC LOAN AUDIT SERVICES LLC, a California limited liability company;				
22 23	EVERTREE LLC, a California limited liability company;				
24	KEY STONE REAL ESTATE LLC, a California limited liability company;				
25 26 27 28	PABLO RODRIGUEZ, doing business as NATIONAL FORENSIC LOAN AUDIT SERVICERS, LLC, individually and as a member of Mortgage Relief Advocates, LLC, and National Forensic Loan Audit Servicers, LLC; and				
	1				

MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ, individually and as a member of Mortgage Relief Advocates LLC,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") for its Complaint alleges:

The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal 1. Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, Public Law 111-8, Section 626, 123 Stat. 524, 678 (Mar. 11, 2009) ("Omnibus Act"), as clarified by the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009, Public Law 111-24, Section 511, 123 Stat. 1734, 1763-64 (May 22, 2009) ("Credit Card Act"), and amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111-203, Section 1097, 124 Stat. 1376, 2102-03 (July 21, 2010) ("Dodd-Frank Act"), 12 U.S.C. § 5538, to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other equitable relief for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), the Mortgage Assistance Relief Services Rule ("MARS Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 322, recodified as Mortgage Assistance Relief Services ("Regulation O"), 12 C.F.R. Part 1015, in connection with the marketing and sale of mortgage assistance relief services.

1

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345; 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 57b, and Section 626 of the Omnibus Act, as clarified by Section 511 of the Credit Card Act, and amended by Section 1097 of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. § 5538.

3. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (b)(2),
(c)(1), (c)(2), and (d), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

# PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. In addition, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 5538, the FTC also enforces the MARS Rule, which requires mortgage assistance relief services providers to make certain disclosures, prohibits certain representations, and generally prohibits the collection of an advance fee.

5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act; the MARS Rule; and Regulation O; and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A)-(B), and 57b; § 626, 123 Stat. at 678, as clarified by § 511, 123 Stat. at 1763-64, and amended by § 1097, 124 Stat. at 2102-03, 12 U.S.C. § 5538.

# DEFENDANTS

6. Defendant Mortgage Relief Advocates, LLC ("MRA"), also doing business as The MRA Group, is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business at 18000 Studebaker Rd., Suite 700, Cerritos, California 90703 and 3922 Tweedy Blvd., South Gate, California 90280. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or as part of the common enterprise described in paragraph 12, MRA has advertised, marketed, provided, offered to provide, or arranged for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services ("MARS"), as defined in 16 C.F.R. § 322.2, recodified as 12 C.F.R. § 1015.2. MRA transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

7. Defendant National Forensic Loan Audit Servicers, LLC, ("NFLAS"), is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business at 3922 Tweedy Blvd., South Gate, California 90280. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or as part of the common enterprise described in paragraph 12, NFLAS has advertised, marketed, provided offered to provide, or arranged for others to provide MARS, as defined in 16 C.F.R. §322.2, recodified as 12 C.F.R. §1015.2. NFLAS transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

8. Defendant Evertree, LLC, ("Evertree"), is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 14241 E Firestone Blvd., Suite 400, La Mirada, California 90638. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or as part of the common enterprise described in paragraph 12, Evertree has advertised, marketed, provided offered to provide, or arranged for others to provide MARS, as defined in 16 C.F.R. §322.2, recodified as 12 C.F.R. §1015.2. Evertree transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

9. Defendant Key Stone Real Estate, LLC ("Key Stone"), is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business at 11701 Firestone Blvd., Norwalk, California 90650 and 3780 Kilroy Airport Way, #200, Long Beach, California 90806. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or as part of the common enterprise described in paragraph 12, Key Stone has advertised, marketed, provided offered to provide, or arranged for others to provide MARS, as defined in 16 C.F.R. §322.2, recodified as 12 C.F.R. §1015.2. Key Stone transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

10. Defendant Pablo Rodriguez, also doing business as National Forensic Loan Audit Servicers, is a managing member of MRA, NFLAS, and Key Stone. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of MRA, NFLAS, Evertree, and Key Stone, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Pablo Rodriguez resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

11. Defendant Michael Rodriguez is a managing member of MRA, NFLAS, and Evertree. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of MRA, NFLAS, and Evertree including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Michael Rodriguez resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

# **COMMON ENTERPRISE**

 Defendants MRA, NFLAS, Evertree, and Key Stone (collectively, "Corporate Defendants") have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive and acts and practices alleged below. These Corporate Defendants

have conducted the business practices described below through an interrelated network of companies that have common ownership, officers, managers, business functions, employees, and office locations. Because these Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below. Defendants Pablo Rodriguez and Michael Rodriguez have formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

### **COMMERCE**

13. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

# **DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES**

14. From at least August 10, 2010 to present, Defendants, through operation of the common enterprise, have engaged in a course of conduct to advertise, market, sell, provide, offer to provide, or arrange for others to provide MARS, including mortgage loan modification services.

15. Defendants market their services on the Internet, including through the use of websites such as: www.themragroup.com, www.themragroup.org, and www.evertreewest.com. Defendants also market to consumers through email marketing and outbound telemarketing.

16. Many of Defendants' customers are financially-distressed homeowners. Defendants promise consumers that they will lower the consumer's mortgage interest rate or obtain loan forbearance, a loan modification, or other loan restructuring.

17. Defendants purport to provide their consumers with forensic loan audits and other reports that will identify errors in their mortgage loan documents, ferret out predatory lending practices, gather other information that Defendants will use to defend against foreclosure, and win concessions from lenders.

18. Defendants charge an initial up-front fee ranging from \$1000-\$3200, prior to completing any of the promised MARS.

19. Defendants, in many instances, promise consumers that they will receive the MARS within four to six months.

20. In numerous instances, Defendants have failed to obtain any relief for their customers.

#### The Sales Pitch

21. Defendants initiate contact with consumers in at least two ways, including unsolicited outbound telemarketing calls and inbound telephone calls from consumers originating from Defendants' websites.

Case 2:14-cv-05434-MWF-AGR Document 1 Filed 07/14/14 Page 9 of 24 Page ID #:24

In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives have told 22. consumers expressly or by implication that if they pay an initial, up-front fee, consumers are likely to obtain loan modifications or other concessions from their lenders and that the process will be complete within as little as four to six months. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives have told 23. consumers that they will conduct various audits and reviews of the consumers' loan documents and transactions and that the majority of audits and reviews reveal fraud, errors, or predatory acts committed by the lender. For example, on their website www.themragroup.com, Defendants 24. claim to find legal errors in a majority of consumer loan applications: [T]he MRA Group, performs predatory lending mortgage audits for attorneys and consumers and we are finding legal violations on over 80% of the loans we review. Meaning, there is an 8 in 10 chance that the law has been violated on your mortgage and you might be able to use these legal violations to knock out your lender with a swift upper cut. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives have told 25. consumers that errors or fraud in consumers' mortgage loans and transactions can be used to force lenders to negotiate concessions that will lower consumers' monthly mortgage payments. In numerous instances, Defendants have told consumers who have 26. made timely mortgage payments that, in order to obtain the promised MARS, consumers should cease making mortgage payments to their lenders.

27. In some instances, Defendants have told consumers that communicating with their lenders could negatively impact Defendants' ability to obtain MARS for them.

#### **Payment Structure and Enrollment**

28. Defendants request payment information and authorization from consumers before providing any services. Defendants have collected payments from consumers in numerous ways, including through personal check, cashier's check or money order, automatic bank withdrawal or ACH payment, or direct wire transfer.

29. In numerous instances, Defendants tell consumers they will collect an up-front payment, frequently between \$1000-\$3200, for conducting a forensic loan audit and/or negotiating MARS with consumers' lenders. The fees are collected before Defendants provide any services.

30. In numerous instances, Defendants requested and received payment prior to the consumer executing a written agreement with the lender or servicer that incorporated an offer for MARS.

# **Post-Enrollment**

31. Typically, consumers who pay Defendants' fees are assigned a"consumer care representative."

32. The representative, in many instances, collects basic information from the consumer, including but not limited to the consumers' financial status, and then sends the consumer a packet of documents to sign and return for analysis by an MRA representative.

33. In numerous instances, after the initial sales pitch, Defendants have provided consumers with additional materials via email to bolster their claims about the outcomes consumers can expect to achieve. Those materials contain various claims about Defendants' services, including:

> (a) "We specialize in these types of situations each and every day and I would like to help you save you[sic] thousands of dollars."

(b)

What The MRA Group will do for you:

Review your loan documents to ensure they comply with all federal laws and regulations
 Force the lender to adjust the current terms, eliminate or reduce any delinquent

or reduced payments

✓ Reduction of current loan balance, reduced rate.

- Loan to be converted to a longer term, fixed rate.
   Reduction of superstant and them in terms, fixed rate.
- ✓ Reduction of current or future interest rate changes.
- ✓ Update status with credit agencies.
- Negotiate short sale when necessary
   Negotiate dead in liaunt to be short sale when necessary
- ✓ Negotiate deed in lieu when necessary
- (c) "Forensic Loan Audit: Forensic review of your loan documents to reveal any errors in your loan documents from the origination to

the present. These errors, violations, misrepresentations are used as leverage when negotiating with your lender." "Loan Audit Special Report . . . Read On . . . " "Why Hasn't (d) Your Lender Explained this To You?" Learn how to... ✓ Stall or Stop a Foreclosure ✓ Reduce Your Monthly Payments and Interest ✓ File a Lawsuit against your Lender ✓ Cancel your Mortgage and Keep Your Home ✓ And much, much more... In numerous instances, Defendants tell consumers, who have paid 34. advance fees and signed and returned the requested documents, that their documents were not received or that additional documents are required for Defendants to process their application. Consumers who resend the requested documents or send the additional requested documentation, in many instances, are told that Defendants are "monitoring" their applications and are in communication with their lenders about their loan modification. In numerous instances, consumers who have paid advance fees to 35.

Defendants have been left to negotiate with their own lenders. In some instances, consumers who reach out to their lenders learn that Defendants never contacted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

consumers' lenders. In other instances, consumers who reach out to their lenders learn that Defendants were unsuccessful at obtaining MARS.

36. Consumers who paid Defendants advance fees for the promised MARS, in many instances, have suffered significant economic injury, including: paying thousands of dollars to Defendants and receiving little or no services in return; falling further behind on mortgage payments; going into foreclosure; and even losing their homes.

37. After consumers have agreed to work with Defendants and paid the requested advance fees, in numerous instances, Defendants have failed to obtain a loan modification, principal reduction, or other promised MARS.

# **VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT**

38. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

39. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

#### COUNT I

(Deceptive Representations Regarding Substantially More Affordable Loan
Payments, Substantially Lower Interest Rates, or Foreclosure Avoidance)
40. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing,

al

services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that they typically will obtain mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their payments substantially more affordable, will substantially lower their interest rates, or will help them avoid foreclosure.

41. In truth and in fact, Defendants typically do not obtain mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their payments substantially more affordable, will substantially lower their interest rates, or help them avoid foreclosure.

42. Therefore, Defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 40 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S. C. § 45(a).

### **COUNT II**

# (Deceptive Representations Regarding Loan Modification

# Services or Loan Audits)

43. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale or sale of or performance of mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication:

(a)	that Defendants typically will deliver the promised result from	
	the mortgage assistance relief service within four to six	
	months; and	
(1)	11	

(b) that as a result of various loan audits, research, and reviews provided by Defendants, including a forensic loan audit, they typically will obtain mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their payments substantially more affordable, will substantially lower their interest rates, or will help them avoid foreclosure.

### 44. In truth and fact:

- (a) Defendants typically do not deliver the promised result from mortgage assistance relief service within four to six months;
   and
- (b) Defendants typically do not obtain mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their mortgage payments substantially more affordable, will substantially lower their interest rates, or help them avoid foreclosure as a result of the various loan audits, research, and reviews provided by Defendants, if at all provided.

45. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 43 are false and misleading and constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15. U.S.C. §45(a).

. 1

#### VIOLATIONS OF THE MARS RULE

46. In 2009, Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices with respect to mortgage loans. Omnibus Act, § 626, 123 Stat. at 678, as clarified by Credit Card Act, § 511, 123 Stat. at 1763-64. Pursuant to that direction, the FTC promulgated the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 322, all but one of the provisions of which became effective on December 29, 2010. The remaining provision, Section 322.5, became effective on January 31, 2011.

47. The MARS Rule and Regulation O define "mortgage assistance relief service provider" as "any person that provides, offers to provide, or arranges for others to provide, any mortgage assistance relief service" other than the dwelling loan holder, the servicer of a dwelling loan, or any agent or contractor of such individual or entity. 16 C.F.R. § 322.2, recodified as 12 C.F.R. § 1015.2.

48. Since January 31, 2011, the MARS Rule and Regulation O prohibit any mortgage assistance relief service provider from requesting or receiving payment of any fee or other consideration until the consumer has executed a written agreement between the consumer and the consumer's loan holder or Case 2:14-cv-05434-MWF-AGR Document 1 Filed 07/14/14 Page 17 of 24 Page ID #:82

servicer that incorporates the offer that the provider obtained from the loan holder 1 or servicer. 16 C.F.R. § 322.5(a), recodified as 12 C.F.R. § 1015.5(a). 2 3 The MARS Rule and Regulation O prohibit any mortgage assistance 49. 4 relief service provider from misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, any 5 6 material aspect of any mortgage assistance relief service, including but not limited 7 to: 8 9 the likelihood of negotiating, obtaining, or arranging any (a) 10 represented service or result. 16 C.F.R. § 322.3(b)(1), 11 recodified as 12 C.F.R. § 1015.3(b)(1); and 12 13 the amount of time it will take the mortgage assistance relief (b) 14 service provider to accomplish any represented service or 15 result. 16 C.F.R. § 322.3(b)(2), recodified as 12 C.F.R. § 16 17 1015.3(b)(2). 18 The MARS Rule and Regulation O prohibit any mortgage assistance 50. 19 20 relief service provider from failing to place a statement in every general 21 commercial communication disclosing that (i) the provider is not associated with 22 the government and its service is not approved by the government or any lender, 23 24 and (ii) in certain cases, a statement disclosing that the lender may not agree to 25 modify a loan, even if the consumer uses the provider's service. 16 C.F.R. 26 27 §§ 322.4(a)(1)-(2), recodified as 12 C.F.R. §§ 1015.4(a)(1)-(2). 28

51. The MARS Rule and Regulation O prohibit any mortgage assistance relief service provider from failing to place a statement in every consumer-specific commercial communication (i) confirming that the consumer may stop doing business with the provider or reject an offer of mortgage assistance without having to pay for the services, (ii) disclosing that the provider is not associated with the government and its service is not approved by the government or any lender, and (iii) in certain cases, a statement disclosing that the lender may not agree to modify a loan, even if the consumer uses the provider's service, and (iv) in certain cases, a statement disclosing that if they stop paying their mortgage, consumers may lose their home or damage their credit. 16 C.F.R. §§ 322.4(b)(1)-(3) and (c), recodified as 12 C.F.R. §§ 1015.4(b)(1)-(3) and (c).

52. Pursuant to the Omnibus Act, § 626, 123 Stat. at 678, as clarified by the Credit Card Act, § 511, 123 Stat. at 1763-64 and amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, § 1097, 124 Stat. at 2102-03, 12 U.S.C. § 5538, and pursuant to Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the MARS Rule or Regulation O constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

### COUNT III

# (Collection of Advance Payments)

53. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide, or arranging for others to provide MARS, Defendants ask for or receive payment before consumers have executed a written agreement between the consumer and the loan holder or servicer that incorporates the offer obtained by Defendants, in violation of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.5(a), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.5(a).

#### COUNT IV

# (Material Misrepresentations)

54. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide, or arranging for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants, in violation of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.3(b)(1)-(2), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.3(b)(1)-(2), have misrepresented, expressly or by implication, material aspects of their services, including, but not limited to:

(a) Defendants' likelihood of obtaining mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their payments

substantially more affordable;

 (b) Defendants' likelihood of obtaining mortgage loan modifications for consumers that will make their payments

С	se 2:14-cv-05434-MWF-AGR Document 1 Filed 07/14/14 Page 20 of 24 Page ID #:3	5			
1	substantially more affordable as a result of a loan audit				
2	provided by Defendants; and				
3	(c) The amount of time it will take the mortgage assistance relief				
4					
5	service				
6	provider to accomplish any represented service or result.				
7 8	<u>COUNT V</u>				
9	(Failure to Disclose)				
10	55. In numerous instances, in the course of providing, offering to provide,				
11					
12	or arranging for others to provide mortgage assistance relief services, Defendants				
13 14	have failed to make the following disclosures:				
14	(a) in all general commercial communications –				
16	(1) "[Name of company] is not associated with the				
17	government, and our service is not approved by the				
18	government or your lender," in violation of the MARS				
19					
20 21	Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(a)(1), and Regulation O, 12				
22	C.F.R. § 1015.4(a)(1); and				
23	(2) "Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your				
24	lender may not agree to change your loan," in violation				
25					
26	of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(a)(2), and				
27	Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(a)(2);				
28					
	20				

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

(b) in all consumer-specific commercial communications –

- (1) "You may stop doing business with us at any time. You may accept or reject the offer of mortgage assistance we obtain from your lender [or servicer]. If you reject the offer, you do not have to pay us. If you accept the offer, you will have to pay us [insert amount or method for calculating the amount] for our services," in violation of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(b)(1), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(b)(1);
  - (2) "[Name of company] is not associated with the government, and our service is not approved by the government or your lender," in violation of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(b)(2), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(b)(2);
  - (3) "Even if you accept this offer and use our service, your lender may not agree to change your loan," in violation of the MARS Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(b)(3), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(b)(3); and
  - (4) "If you stop paying your mortgage, you could lose your home and damage your credit," in violation of the MARS

Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 322.4(c), and Regulation O, 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(c).

### **CONSUMER INJURY**

56. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, the MARS Rule, and Regulation O. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

#### THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

57. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

58. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, and Section 626 of the Omnibus Act authorize this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary

to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the MARS Rule, including rescission and reformation of contracts and the refund of money.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, the Omnibus Act, and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action, and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to a temporary and preliminary injunction;

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act, the
 MARS Rule and Regulation O by Defendants;

C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the MARS Rule and Regulation O, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and

additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

1

3 Dated: - 13 2014 4 Respectfully submitted, 5 JONATHAN E. NUECHTERLEIN 6 General Coupsel 7 8 AAA. APORI 9 JASON D. SCHALL 10 e-mail: yapori@ftc.gov 11 jschall@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 12 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 13 Mail Drop CC-10232 Washington, DC 20580 14 Telephone: (202) 326-3796 15 (202) 326-2251 Facsimile: (202) 326-3629 16 17 CHRISTINA V. TUSAN ctusan@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4343 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380 18 19 20 21 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff 23 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 24 25 26 27 28