UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

O3 29 2017
586158

In the Matter of

1-800 CONTACTS, INC., a corporation

Docket No. 9372ORIGINAL

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY FROM RESPONDENT'S OUTSIDE COUNSEL, BASED ON PREVIOUS INVOCATIONS OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

By this motion, Complaint Counsel respectfully moves the Court for an order precluding Respondent 1-800 Contacts from calling Messrs. Bryan Pratt, Esq. and Mark Miller, Esq. as fact witnesses at trial. The grounds for this motion, as more fully set forth in the attached memorandum, is that Respondent is using attorney-client privilege as both a sword and shield by putting two of its lawyers on the witness stand.

Attached is a statement declaring that, pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Additional Provisions of the Scheduling Order, Complaint Counsel states that we have conferred with opposing counsel in an effort in good faith to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the motion and has been unable to reach such an agreement.

A proposed order is attached.

Dated: March 29, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel J. Matheson

Daniel J. Matheson Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2075

Facsimile: (202) 326-3496 Email: dmatheson@ftc.gov

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of

Docket No. 9372

1-800 CONTACTS, INC., a corporation

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY FROM RESPONDENT'S OUTSIDE COUNSEL, BASED ON PREVIOUS INVOCATIONS OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Respondent seeks to flout clear precedent forbidding a litigant in this Court from using attorney-client privilege as both a sword and shield by putting two of its lawyers on the witness stand. Specifically, Respondent seeks to use its attorney-witnesses as a sword to support its defense regarding the merits of the litigations that led to the Challenged Agreements, while hiding behind the shield of privilege to prevent discovery as to the very same issues. This Court should preclude testimony by Respondent's outside counsel Mark Miller and Bryan Pratt, who have previously refused to answer questions regarding the merits of the relevant litigations on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

I. BACKGROUND

Respondent's final witness list indicates that Mark Miller and Bryan Pratt, both of whom have served as outside counsel for Respondent, may be called to testify as to "(1) Respondent's trademarks and brand; (2) Respondent's monitoring, protection and enforcement of its trademarks, including as performed by Messrs. Miller and Pratt, their colleagues and staff, and other outside counsel, and including cease and desist letters sent to offending parties, communications and correspondence with offending parties and their counsel, trademark

litigation, trademark settlement agreements, the enforcement of trademark settlement agreements, and contact lens retailers and others relating to the unauthorized use of its trademarks; and (3) any other topic relevant to the allegations of Complaint Counsel's complaint, the proposed relief, or Respondent's defenses." *See* Respondent 1-800 Contacts Final Proposed Witness List.

Complaint Counsel deposed both Mr. Pratt (Dec. 15, 2016 and Jan. 5, 2017) (*see* Exhibits A and A-1) and Mr. Miller (Feb. 8, 2017) (*see* Exhibit B) during the fact discovery period. In each deposition, Respondent repeatedly instructed these attorney-witnesses not to answer on the grounds of attorney-client privilege. This included questions concerning the witnesses' work in monitoring, protecting, and enforcing the trademarks underlying the agreements that are the crux of this litigation.

The {instructions not to answer} specifically concerned the topics for which the attorney-witnesses are designated to provide trial testimony. Thus, although Mr. Pratt and Mr. Miller are designated to testify regarding 1-800 Contacts' "trademarks and brand," when Complaint Counsel asked how 1-800 Contacts and others valued the trademark, 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt not to answer. Pratt Dep. Tr. 48:17-23; 51:13-52:10.

Similarly, Mr. Pratt and Mr. Miller are designated to testify regarding 1-800 Contacts' "monitoring, protection and enforcement of its trademarks." But Complaint Counsel issued an interrogatory asking 1-800 Contacts to identify allegedly infringing advertisements and the factual basis for its contention that the litigations constituted "bona fide trademark litigation." 1-800 Contacts refused to answer, stating that "[T]he process and thought processes used by 1-800 Contacts and its attorneys to determine that any particular advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights and the factual basis for 1-800 Contacts' conclusion or determination that the advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights are protected from discovery

PUBLIC

by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product protection or work product doctrine and, on that basis, will not be disclosed in response to this Interrogatory."

In addition, consider the following examples regarding the underlying factual predicates and merits of 1-800 Contacts' monitoring, protection and enforcement of its trademarks, including cease-and-desist letters sent to alleged infringers, communications and correspondence with alleged infringers and their counsel, trademark litigation, and trademark settlement agreements:

- 1. Complaint Counsel asked the deponent to identify the information reviewed prior to bringing infringement allegations. 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt not to answer. Pratt Dep. Tr. 69:11-70:19; 99:16-100:14.²
- 2. Complaint Counsel asked about the infringement analysis undertaken prior to suing competitors. 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Miller not to reveal work product. Miller Dep. Tr. 128:14-129:13.
- Complaint Counsel asked the deponent about the factual predicate of allegations identifying instances of trademark use and/or how a list of allegedly infringing keywords was assembled, 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt and Mr. Miller not to answer. Pratt Dep. 189:8-190:1; 190:20-194:7; Miller Dep. Tr. 90:13-91:11.
- 4. Complaint Counsel asked whether the deponent could identify any evidence of consumer confusion that might have formed the basis of a complaint. 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt and Mr. Miller not to answer. Pratt Dep. Tr. 197:3-11; Miller Dep. Tr. 154:13-155:11.
- 5. Complaint Counsel asked the deponent to explain why he chose *not* to pursue an action in some cases where ads appeared. 1-800 Counsel instructed Mr. Pratt not to answer. Pratt Dep. 212:12 213:14.
- 6. Complaint Counsel asked the deponent why 1-800 Contacts' employees suggested selectively suing "more viable" competitors for infringement. 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt not to answer. Pratt Dep. Tr. 227:7-18.

¹ See Amended Responses of 1-800 Contacts, Inc. to Complaint Counsel's First Set of Interrogatories, at Interrogatories Nos. 8, 9, 10 and 13. (Exhibit C).

² Likewise, when Complaint Counsel asked if the lawyer ever concluded there was trademark infringement solely on the basis of the appearance of an ad on a search engine results page, 1-800 Contacts' counsel instructed Mr. Pratt not to answer. Pratt Dep. Tr. 111:14-112:1.

Having asserted privilege during discovery, Respondent cannot now ask this Court to permit the attorney-witnesses to testify generally and thereby deny Complaint Counsel the opportunity conduct a full cross-examination of them. But the issue is even more fundamental than that: even if there is non-privileged testimony that these witnesses could provide, that evidence is completely irrelevant in the first instance. To the extent Respondent intends to have the attorney-witnesses testify about the existence or threat of lawsuits against its rivals, or the existence of the agreements at issue in this litigation, there is no factual issue for them to testify about: these facts are not in dispute. Moreover, if Respondent intends to have the attorney-witnesses testify about the purported *bona fide* nature of the lawsuits, the Commission has already ruled that this topic is not at issue. Thus, these witnesses cannot provide any relevant, non-privileged testimony.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Orders In Limine are Granted to ensure even-handed management of a trial and to promote a fair assessment of the facts.

The Administrative Law Judge is the gatekeeper who exercises control over the proceedings and evidence to ensure the "ascertainment of truth" and "avoid needless consumption of time." 16 C.F.R. §3.43(d). One way for the Court to do so is via Rule 3.43(b) which provides that evidence, even when it is relevant, "may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or if the evidence would be misleading, … or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." 16 C.F.R. §3.43(b)(l).

B. <u>1-800 Contacts Cannot Use Privilege as Both a Sword and a Shield When</u> <u>Advancing Claims and Evidence</u>

To the extent that Messrs. Miller and Pratt have any relevant testimony to offer at all,

Respondent has abjured its right to tender such evidence at trial in light of its repeated

invocations of privilege at deposition. To be clear, Complaint Counsel does not, in this motion, challenge the substance of Respondent's privilege claims—it is possible that some, none, or all of these objections were well founded. But a party cannot seek to use privilege as both sword and shield; that is, to have a witness testify about topics over which it has already asserted claims of privilege (i.e. "monitoring, protecting, and enforcing" the trademarks underlying the agreements at issue here) and indeed, where it has already asserted privilege over the substance of those very topics. See, e.g., In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation, 2016 WL 4191612, *1 (N.D. Cal. 2016) (holding that a party cannot testify to its subjective beliefs about the reasons for entering into the settlement and preclude its adversaries from discovering the content of the lawyers' advice...."); see also Bittaker v. Woodford, 331 F.3d 715, 719 (9th Cir. 2003) ("[P]arties in litigation may not abuse the privilege by asserting claims the opposing party cannot adequately dispute unless it has access to the privileged materials."); Chevron Corp. v. Pennzoil Co., 974 F.2d 1156, 1162 (9th Cir. 1992) ("The privilege which protects attorney-client communications may not be used both as a sword and a shield."). Other cases have similarly precluded testimony in whole or part where a party attempts to use privilege as both sword and shield. See e.g., Engineered Prods. Co. v. Donaldson Co., Inc., 313 F. Supp. 951, 1022 (N.D. Iowa 2004) (allowing only limited trial testimony after defendant invoked advice of counsel defense); Galaxy Comp. Servs. v. Baker, 325 B.R. 544, 559 (E.D. Va. 2005) (allowing only testimony within scope of deposition at trial).³

_

³ This Court is well aware of the issues presented and has considered such issues in a number of contexts. *See e.g., In the Matter of McWane, Inc.*, No. 9351 (July 13, 2012) (Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Complaint Counsel's Motion to Exclude Evidence or in the Alternative to Compel Discovery) at 4, *citing In re OSF Healthcare System*, 2012 FTC LEXIS 70 at *4-6 (March 19, 2012 (citations omitted).

C. <u>1-800 Contacts Cannot Introduce the Attorney-Witnesses to Provide Irrelevant and Inadmissible Evidence</u>

The Commission's Rules of Practice are clear: Irrelevant, immaterial, and unreliable evidence shall be excluded. 16 C.F.R. 3.43. Apart from the topics above, where Respondent attempts to use privilege as both sword and shield, anything these attorney-witnesses could possibly testify to is both irrelevant and immaterial. There is no dispute that Respondent threatened (and brought) lawsuits against its competitors, and that Respondent entered into agreements with those competitors. The cease-and-desist letters, lawsuits and settlement agreements are in the record and speak for themselves. No testimony is required to establish those facts.

With regard to the purportedly *bona fide* nature of the lawsuits underlying some settlement agreements, any testimony on that topic would be irrelevant. The Commission has already ruled that, whether or not the underlying litigations were "bona fide," sham, or otherwise has no bearing on the legality of the restraints contained within the settlement agreements. *See* Opinion and Order of the Commission, Docket No. 9372 at 4(Feb. 1, 2017) ("[I]f 1-800 Contacts restricted competition beyond the scope of any property right that 1-800 Contacts may have in its trademarks then the *bona fide* nature of the underlying trademark dispute could not be a defense.") (internal quotation omitted). Thus, any testimony proffered by the attorney-witnesses on this topic is, by definition, irrelevant and should be excluded.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

This Court has the authority to ensure that only relevant evidence is heard, and that this trial proceeds efficiently and with the administration of justice firmly in mind. Given the assertions of privilege invoked by 1-800 Contacts over their deposition testimony, neither

attorney-witness can provide relevant testimony to this Court, and therefore, Complaint Counsel asks that their testimony be precluded.⁴

Dated: March 29, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel J. Matheson

Daniel J. Matheson Geoffrey M. Green Barbara Blank Charles A. Loughlin Thomas H. Brock Kathleen M. Clair Gustav P. Chiarello Joshua B. Gray Nathaniel M. Hopkin Charlotte S. Slaiman Mika Ikeda

Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2075

Facsimile: (202) 326-3496 Email: dmatheson@ftc.gov

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

-

⁴ To the extent the court does allow any testimony at trial by the attorney-witnesses, Complaint Counsel should be permitted to reopen both depositions to compel the attorney-witnesses to provide testimony as to those issues previously objected to as privileged, and compel 1-800 Contacts to produce any relevant documents previously withheld on grounds of privilege. *See Chevron Corp.* 974 F.2d at 1162 (9th Cir.1992) ("Where a party raises a claim which in fairness requires disclosure of the protected communication, the privilege may be implicitly waived.").

PUBLIC

STATEMENT OF CONFERENCE WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL

Pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Additional Provisions of the Scheduling Order, Complaint

Counsel states that, as set forth in the motion, we have conferred with opposing counsel in an

effort in good faith to resolve by agreement the issues raised by the motion and has been unable

to reach such an agreement.

Dated: March 29, 2017

/s/ Daniel J. Matheson

Daniel J. Matheson

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of 1-800 CONTACTS, INC., a corporation.	Docket No. 9372
[PROPOSED] OR	<u>DER</u>
On motion of Complaint Counsel, and the Court submitted by the parties in support and in opposition the ORDERED, that Respondent 1-800 Contacts made Mr. Mark Miller, Esq. as fact witnesses at trial.	ereto, it is hereby,
ORDERED: Dated:	D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge

EXHIBIT A

In the Matter of:

1-800 Contacts

December 15, 2016 Bryan Pratt - Confidential

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

	1		3
1	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	1	APPEARANCES:
2	INDEX	2	01 0001 00 000 000001 00000 0000000
3	WITNESS: PAGE BRYAN G. PRATT	,	ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION:
4 5	Examination by Mr. Matheson 4	3	DAN MATHESON
6	Examination by Mr. Stone 116	4	GUSTAV P. CHIARELLO
7	Further Examination by Mr. Matheson 177	-	Federal Trade Commission
8		5	400 7th Street, S.W.
9	EXHIBITS		Washington, D.C. 20024
10	NUMBER PAGE	6	Tel: (202) 326-2435
11	CX0078 72		dmatheson@ftc.gov
	CX0135 53	7	gchiarello@ftc.gov
12	CX0709 107	8	
	CX0724		ON BEHALF OF 1-800 CONTACTS AND THE WITNESS:
13	CX1185	9	CDDCCDII D. CDCIID
4.4	CX1186 100	1.0	GREGORY P. STONE
14	RX0064 119 RX0065 124	10	JULIAN MICHAEL BEACH Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP
15	RX0066	11	355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
15	RX0066 125 RX0067 129	11	Los Angeles, California 90071
16	RX0068 139	12	(213) 683-9255
10	RX0069 139	12	Gregory.Stone@mto.com
17	RX0070 140	13	Julian.Beach@mto.com
.,	RX0071 141	14	
18	RX0072 142	15	
	RX0073 171	16	
19	RX0074 175	17	
20		18	
	REQUEST TO MARK TRANSCRIPT:	19	
21	D W G: . D 10 T' 05	20	
22	By Mr. Stone: Page 18, Line 25	21	
22 23	* * *	22 23	
23 24		23	
25		25	
1 2	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	1 2	Thereupon, BRYAN G. PRATT
3	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	1 /	
	TN TWO MATTER OF	3	was called for examination and, after having been
4	IN THE MATTER OF: : File No. 141-0200		
	IN THE MATTER OF: : File No. 141-0200 :	3 4	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as
		3 4 5	<pre>was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows:</pre>
4	:	3 4 5 6	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC
4	: 1-800 CONTACTS :	3 4 5	<pre>was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows:</pre>
4 5	: 1-800 CONTACTS :	3 4 5 6	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC
4 5 6 7	: 1-800 CONTACTS : :	3 4 5 6 7	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON:
4 5 6 7 8	: 1-800 CONTACTS :	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission.
4 5 6 7 8 9	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on
4 5 6 7 8	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at 8:29 a.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Mr. Pratt, you are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at 8:29 a.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at 8:29 a.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Mr. Pratt, you are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at 8:29 a.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Mr. Pratt, you are admitted to the bar? A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	: 1-800 CONTACTS : : Thursday, December 15, 2016 Holland & Hart 222 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah The above-entitled matter came on for investigational hearing, pursuant to notice, at 8:29 a.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was called for examination and, after having been sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC BY MR. MATHESON: Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt. My name is Dan Matheson, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. MR. CHIARELLO: I'm Gus Chiarello, on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you represented here by counsel? MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and on behalf of the witness. MR. MATHESON: Nice to meet you. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Mr. Pratt, you are admitted to the bar?

	5	7
1	A. In 2003.	1 A. I believe it was 2005.
2	Q. And when were you admitted to the bar?	2 Q. When was the last time you represented
3	A. In 2003 as well.	3 1-800 Contacts in a legal capacity?
4	Q. You're admitted in the state of Utah?	4 A. It's ongoing.
5	A. That is correct.	5 Q. So you currently represent 1-800 Contacts
6	Q. And also to the patent bar?	6 in a legal capacity?
7 8	A. Yes. Q. Where were you employed after where	7 A. For certain matters, yeah. 8 Q. Which matters?
9	were you first employed after your graduation from	9 MR. STONE: So I'm going to designate the
10	law school?	10 testimony at this point as confidential pursuant to
11	A. At the law firm of Rader, Fishman &	the terms of the protective order.
12	Grauer.	12 And I caution you, Mr. Pratt, in
13	Q. You were employed there beginning in 2003?	responding to the question not to disclose any
14	A. I actually was employed there during law	14 representations that would be protected by the
15	school as a patent agent and then employed there in	15 attorney-client privilege.
16	2003. That employment continued.	16 THE WITNESS: Okay. So and this is
17	Q. And when did that employment come to an	public documents in with filing and prosecuting
18	end?	18 trademark applications.
19	A. That ended late 2008, early 2009.	19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Any litigations?
20	Q. And at that point did you find other	20 A. No.
21	employment?	Q. Not at the present time?
22 23	A. I did. O. Where?	22 A. No. 23 O. You haven't previously represented
23 24	Q. Where?A. At Holland & Hart.	Q. You haven't previously represented 1-800 Contacts in litigations?
25	Q. And you're employed there now?	25 A. Yes.
	Q. And you're employed there now.	25 11. 105.
	6	8
1	A. Correct.	1 Q. Just so we're clear on the attorney-client
2	Q. You're a partner at Holland & Hart?	2 privilege instruction, one of the main reasons we're
3	A. I am.	3 here today is just to understand where that line is
4	Q. Does Holland & Hart have equity	4 going to be drawn. So if I ask you a question that 5 you cannot answer based on attorney-client privilege,
5 6	partnership? A. Yes.	, i
7		6 places just involve it That's fine
	O Are you an equity partner at Holland &	6 please just invoke it. That's fine. 7 Lyould ask though that if you withhold
	Q. Are you an equity partner at Holland & Hart?	7 I would ask, though, that if you withhold
8	Hart?	7 I would ask, though, that if you withhold 8 information from a question or from your answer in
8 9	Hart? A. I am.	7 I would ask, though, that if you withhold 8 information from a question or from your answer in 9 order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could
8	Hart?	7 I would ask, though, that if you withhold 8 information from a question or from your answer in 9 order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could
8 9 10	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some	7 I would ask, though, that if you withhold 8 information from a question or from your answer in 9 order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could 10 you please let me know that there is information
8 9 10 11 12 13	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization?	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman?	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not.	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland &	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart?	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was 2012.	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The last
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was 2012. Q. When did you first have you ever	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The last response you gave to me regarding your representation
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was 2012. Q. When did you first have you ever represented 1-800 Contacts?	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The last response you gave to me regarding your representation of 1-800 Contacts in trademark matters, did you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was 2012. Q. When did you first have you ever represented 1-800 Contacts? A. I have.	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The last response you gave to me regarding your representation of 1-800 Contacts in trademark matters, did you withhold any information from your response in order
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hart? A. I am. Q. Does that mean you are entitled to some share of the profits of the organization? A. Yes. Q. Were you an equity partner at Rader Fishman? A. No, I was not. Q. When did you become a partner at Holland & Hart? A. I became a partner at Holland & Hart let's see. That would have been I believe it was 2012. Q. When did you first have you ever represented 1-800 Contacts? A. I have.	I would ask, though, that if you withhold information from a question or from your answer in order to protect the attorney-client privilege, could you please let me know that there is information you're not providing in order to protect the privilege? MR. STONE: We may or may not, depending on the nature of the question and the answer. But you can ask us, if you want, whether we've done that, and we'll be able to respond or not as we see in the circumstances. I can't have the witness give you a blanket agreement to something when we don't understand the context. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The last response you gave to me regarding your representation of 1-800 Contacts in trademark matters, did you withhold any information from your response in order

11 1 Q. When did you first have contact with 1 Q. Who did you travel with to Washington, 2 2 Mr. Stone? **D.C.?** 3 A. I don't recall the exact date, but it was 3 A. My recollection is I traveled with a 4 probably six weeks ago, eight weeks ago. 4 partner at our firm, Jim Hartley. 5 Q. When was the first time you had contact 5 Q. Anyone else? 6 6 with any attorney from Munger, Tolles & Olson? A. Once we were there, we reunited with -- we 7 7 A. 2005. were united with Garth Vincent. And Joe Zeidner also 8 8 Q. When was the -- when did you first learn attended. 9 that you would be included on 1-800 Contacts' witness 9 Q. Okay. Why did you attend that event? 10 10 list in this matter? A. I was asked to. 11 A. For certain that I was going to be 11 Q. Did you attend in order to provide information to the Federal Trade Commission? 12 included, again, I would say that was probably eight 12 13 weeks ago, possibly. 13 14 Q. Were you informed you would be included --14 Q. Did you discuss the information you would 15 when was the first time you were informed that you 15 provide with Mr. Vincent prior to traveling to might be included on 1-800 Contacts' witness list? Washington? 16 16 17 A. That would have been possibly eight to ten 17 A. Yes. 18 months ago, guessing. Not certain, but somewhere in 18 O. Did you talk to Mr. Joe Zeidner after the 19 19 meeting with the Federal Trade Commission regarding that time frame. 20 Q. So prior to the time the complaint in this 20 that meeting? 21 matter was filed, which was August of 2016? 21 A. Not that I recall. 22 22 A. That it was contemplated that I might be? Q. Did you speak with Mr. Vincent after that Q. Correct. 23 23 meeting regarding the meeting with the Federal Trade 24 24 A. Yeah. It was -- it was prior to August Commission? 25 25 A. I believe I did. 2016. 10 12 1 Q. What was the first matter you worked on 1 Q. Do you recall what you discussed? 2 for 1-800 Contacts, just the general nature of the 2 A. I don't. 3 matter? Was it litigation? Was it a trademark 3 Q. You said you were asked to go to that 4 application? 4 meeting. Who asked you to go? 5 5 A. If I recall correctly, it would have been A. It was a patent prosecution matter. Q. Now, how did that come about? Did you 6 6 Joe Zeidner. have a relationship with 1-800 Contacts, or was it a 7 Q. Did he tell you why he wanted you to go? 7 relationship that Rader Fishman -- that predated your 8 8 A. I don't remember the conversation 9 arrival at Rader Fishman? 9 specifically. 10 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 10 Q. Do you recall any conversations you had with Mr. Zeidner regarding that particular meeting 11 form. 11 12 with the Federal Trade Commission? You can answer. 12 13 THE WITNESS: It was -- it came about 13 A. No. 14 based on a relationship, a law school friend who was 14 O. Have you ever discussed with -- is Mr. Zeidner currently employed by 1-800 Contacts? 15 in-house counsel at 1-800 Contacts. 15 O. (By Mr. Matheson) And who is that? 16 16 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; A. David Zeidner. 17 17 calls for speculation. 18 Q. You traveled to Washington, D.C., in 18 You can answer if you know. 19 connection with the investigation in this matter, 19 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 20 right? 20 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you know if he's 21 21 currently employed anywhere? A. 22 22 Q. Were you compensated by 1-800 Contacts for A. I have no knowledge of that. 23 the time you spent traveling to Washington, D.C., and 23 O. When did you first become aware that the 24 appearing in front of the Federal Trade Commission? 24 Federal Trade Commission was investigating A. Yes. 25 25 1-800 Contacts' agreements with its competitors

13 15 1 A. Yes. 1 regarding search advertising? 2 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the Q. Have you viewed the unredacted version? 3 record. Improper as to form. 3 A. I don't believe so. 4 THE WITNESS: My recollection would be 4 Q. Are you aware of the settlement partners 5 that the Federal Trade Commission alleges entered 5 when the CID was issued to 1-800 Contacts. into agreements with 1-800 Contacts regarding search 6 6 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall 7 7 approximately when that was? advertising? 8 A. I don't. 8 A. Not the specific partners as in the 9 9 complaint. Q. Have you ever seen a copy of that CID? 10 10 Q. Are you aware of the subjects for which A. I have. 11 you've been designated to testify for 1-800 Contacts? 11 Q. How did you come into possession of it? A. Not specifically. I haven't seen a 12 It was provided to me by 1-800 Contacts. 12 Q. Who specifically at 1-800 Contacts? Do 13 specific listing; but generally, yes. 13 14 Q. What is your general understanding of the 14 you recall? 15 15 A. I don't recall exactly who. subject matter of the testimony 1-800 Contacts 16 anticipates you will provide in this matter? 16 Q. Have you discussed -- did you discuss the 17 CID issued by the Federal Trade Commission with 17 A. My understanding is that it is regarding communications with third parties, opposing counsel. 18 anyone employed by 1-800 Contacts? 18 19 19 A. Yes. Anything else? 20 O. Who? 20 A. Potentially history regarding the filings 21 A. It would have been -- my recollection is 21 themselves. 22 When you say "filings," what do you mean? Roy Montclair. 22 Q. Anyone else? 23 23 Complaints and possibly litigation. 24 Not that I recall. 24 Are you familiar with -- well, strike 25 25 Q. What did Mr. Montclair tell you about that. 14 16 1 1-800 Contacts' opinion of the CID issued by the 1 Just so we're communicating clearly: 2 **Federal Trade Commission?** 2 Mr. Stone and I had an e-mail exchange that, to the 3 3 MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not Federal Trade Commission's understanding, delineates 4 4 in evidence. the testimony you might offer in this matter. So 5 5 Instruct the witness not to answer on the I'll just read what we understand to be the testimony 6 grounds of attorney-client privilege. you might offer in this matter, and I just want to 6 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Will you follow that ask you what you know about each portion of it. instruction? 8 8 So it's our understanding that 9 9 A. I am. 1-800 Contacts anticipates that Mr. Pratt will 10 Q. Did you express any view to Mr. Montclair 10 testify regarding monitoring of the unauthorized use regarding the CID issued by the Federal Trade 11 by others, including the settlement parties 11 12 identified in the complaint, Lens.com Incorporated 12 **Commission?** 13 and Lensworld.com Incorporated, of 1-800 Contacts' 13 MR. STONE: You can answer that yes or no. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 trademarks and the efforts to address those trademark uses in connection with Internet searches, pop-up 15 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What did you express to advertisements on the Internet, paid advertisements 16 Mr. Montclair regarding your view of the CID issued 16 on the Internet, and sponsored links on the Internet. 17 by the Federal Trade Commission? 17 18 MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 18 Now, there's a second sentence. We're 19 answer on the grounds of attorney-client privilege. 19 going to leave it aside right now. I just want to 20 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Will you follow that 20 unpack that sentence for a minute. Is that 21 21 instruction, sir? reasonably clear to you? 22 22 A. I am. A. Yes. 23 23 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the Q. So, monitoring. We've been provided with a description. I hand you what's been marked as 24 administrative complaint issued by the Federal Trade 24 **Commission in this matter?** 25 25 CX1185.

	17		19
1	(Exhibit CX1185 was identified.)	1	transcript at that point?
2	Q. Have you ever seen this document before?	2	MR. MATHESON: Please do.
3	A. I have.	3	MR. STONE: Mr. Matheson has acted
4	Q. Did you help draft this document?	4	MR. MATHESON: Please stop interrupting
5	A. I provided input on this document, yes.	5	me.
6	Q. When did you provide that input?	6	MR. STONE: in a manner that is
7	A. Prior to its transmission to the FTC.	7	improper.
8	Q. Now, this document states it's	8	MR. MATHESON: I am not acting
9	confidential and nonpublic, and it's entitled	9	inappropriately in any way.
10	"Description of Monitoring Activities." Right?	10	MR. STONE: I want to mark the record,
11	A. Yes, that's what the document says.	11	please.
12	Q. Is it your understanding that this	12	MR. MATHESON: I'm asking you to stop
13	document is intended to represent the scope of the	13	interrupting my examination.
14	testimony you will offer regarding monitoring of the	14	MR. STONE: I want to mark the record.
15	unauthorized use by others of 1-800 Contacts'	15	MR. MATHESON: Go ahead.
16	trademarks?	16	MR. STONE: Thank you. Do you have a
17	A. Yes, that's my understanding.	17	question?
18	Q. Now, this document states: "Mark Miller	18	MR. MATHESON: I do have a question.
19	and Bryan Pratt represented 1-800 Contacts, Inc. in a	19	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So Mr. Miller or
20	variety of disputes with other contact lens	20	Mr. Pratt, this states that you reviewed from time to
21	retailers. Over the course of that representation,	21	time trademark monitoring reports prepared by
22	Miller and Pratt monitored use by others of	22	personnel at 1-800 Contacts. Were those reports
23	1-800 Contacts' trademarks as follows. They	23	given any particular title?
24	reviewed, from time to time, trademark monitoring	24	A. Not that I recall.
25	reports prepared by personnel at 1-800 Contacts.	25	Q. How often did you review such reports?
	18		20
1	They also would, from time to time, conduct Internet	1	A. As often as they were provided.
2	searches themselves. In addition, they would review	2	Q. How often were they provided?
3	reports and information prepared by or obtained from	3	A. The timing varied over the span of the
4	BrandVerity and Keyword Spy."	4	representation.
5	Did I read that correctly?	5	Q. Who transmitted the reports to you?
6	MR. STONE: Oh, boy. That's a really good	6	A. A number of different people from
7	question. No, you didn't.	7	1-800 Contacts, including David Zeidner. My
8	MR. MATHESON: Okay. Can you read this	8	recollection is other people as well. Brandon
9	for me, sir?	9	Dansie, I believe.
10	MR. STONE: It's marked as an exhibit.	10	Q. Bryce Craven, did he ever transmit such a
11	It's in the record, Dan. Why do you want him to read	11	report to you?
12	it on the transcript?	12	A. Possible. I can't recall specifically.
13	MR. MATHESON: I just want to unpack	13	Q. Why were those reports sent to you?
14	exactly what we're talking about.	14	A. To review the results that would come up
15	MR. STONE: Well, reading it doesn't	15	in response for searches for 1-800 Contacts and
16	unpack it.	16	variations.
17	MR. MATHESON: It's my deposition. Please	17	Q. Why did you review strike that.
18	let me do whatever I want to do. You can object and	18	When you say the results would come up in
19 20	you can instruct	19	searches, are you referring to search advertisements
20	MR. STONE: I'm not going to let you do whatever you want to do.	20 21	that would appear on search engine results pages? A. Those would be included.
22	MR. MATHESON: Mr. Stone	21 22	
23	MR. STONE: Mr. Matheson.	23	Q. What else would be included?A. Natural search results.
24	MR. MATHESON: please butt out.	24	Q. Anything else?
25	MR. STONE: Okay. Can we mark the	25	A. There would be other other information
	MIX. DICHNIE, CRAY, CAID WE HIAR HIE		

25

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and

ambiguous and improper as to form.

21 23 1 such as time -- time of the searches, dates. 1 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean. 2 2 Q. For what purpose did you review these Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Well, these trademark monitoring reports contain information regarding 3 reports? 3 4 A. To --4 1-800 Contacts' rivals' search advertising. Fair? 5 5 MR. STONE: In answering the question, MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 6 just be careful not to disclose anything privileged. 6 ambiguous. Improper as to form. 7 But otherwise, I think you can answer that question. 7 THE WITNESS: Can you restate the 8 THE WITNESS: Right. To evaluate the --8 question? 9 well, to review and look at what response -- what 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) The trademark 10 10 results were displayed in response to searches. monitoring reports referred to in CX1185 contain 11 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What do you mean by 11 information regarding search advertising by "evaluate"? 12 12 1-800 Contacts' rivals. Fair? MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 13 A. To use those to review for potential 13 14 14 form. Vague and ambiguous. infringement, potential misappropriation of goodwill, 15 things of that nature. 15 THE WITNESS: It contains search results Q. Was the basic purpose of reviewing these based on -- I mean, there's -- for people that have 16 16 17 trademark monitoring reports to decide whether 17 advertised and come up in response to a search for 18 1-800 Contacts would take legal action against the 18 those trademarks on the reports. 19 advertisers whose advertisements appeared on search 19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Have you ever seen a 20 engine results pages? 20 trademark monitoring report that contained an MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 21 21 advertisement for one of 1-800 Contacts' commercial 22 rivals? form. 22 23 23 THE WITNESS: It was to provide legal --MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 24 legal guidance to 1-800 Contacts. 24 ambiguous. 25 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When I asked you for 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 24 1 what purpose you reviewed the reports, Mr. Stone 1 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When was the last time 2 instructed you to be careful not to disclose anything 2 vou saw a trademark monitoring report that contained privileged. Did that instruction alter in any way 3 an advertisement for one of 1-800 Contacts' 3 4 4 the answer you provided to my question? commercial rivals? 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 5 6 Q. So you didn't withhold any information 6 ambiguous. 7 7 based on a desire to protect a privileged THE WITNESS: What do you mean by 8 8 communication? "commercial rivals"? 9 9 A. No. O. (By Mr. Matheson) When is the last time 10 Q. When is the last time, approximately, you 10 you saw a trademark monitoring report that contained reviewed a trademark monitoring report referred to in 11 advertising for a retailer of contact lenses? 11 12 12 CX1185? Would have been this Tuesday. 13 13 A. Tuesday of this week. Q. Do you recall which retailer of contact 14 Q. So is it the case that you continue to 14 lenses report you saw this Tuesday? 15 represent 1-800 Contacts for the purpose of providing 15 A. Which report? legal advice regarding trademark -- potential O. Which retailer of contacts lenses 16 16 trademark infringement? 17 17 advertisement was included in the report to which you 18 A. Yes. 18 just referred. 19 Q. And it's the case that you continue to 19 A. I don't recall. 20 represent 1-800 Contacts for the purpose of providing 20 Q. Do you recall if that retailer of contact 21 legal advice regarding the potential that its rivals' 21 lenses sold contact lenses over the Internet? 22 search advertising infringes 1-800 Contacts 22 A. I don't recall. It was a very quick look. 23 trademarks. Is that fair? 23 Q. Prior to this Tuesday, when was the next

most recent trademark monitoring report you reviewed?

A. The Tuesday before that.

24

25 27 1 Q. So is it the case you continue to review 1 advertisements looked like. 2 trademark monitoring reports prepared by personnel at 2 Q. Can you recall any specific advertising 3 1-800 Contacts on a regular basis? 3 that appeared in response to such searches? 4 4 A. I can't. 5 5 Q. Now, you were issued a subpoena duces Q. Can you recall any specific advertiser tecum in this matter, Right? 6 whose advertisements appear on a trademark monitoring 6 7 7 A. Correct. report? 8 Q. Did you produce any of these trademark 8 A. Yes. monitoring reports in response to that subpoena duces 9 9 Q. Which advertisers can you recall? 10 tecum? 10 A. I can recall Walmart. That's all that I 11 A. I don't recall if I did or not. 11 can recall at this point. 12 O. Did you produce any documents at all in 12 Q. The final sentence of the description of 13 13 monitoring activities states that you and Mr. Miller response to that subpoena duces tecum? 14 would review reports and information prepared by or 14 A. Yes. Q. Which documents? 15 obtained from BrandVerity and Keyword Spy. How did 15 A. There's lots. So in response specific to 16 you obtain the reports prepared by or obtained from 16 17 the duces tecum, there were e-mail communications --17 BrandVerity and Keyword Spy? Were those sent to you by 1-800 Contacts? 18 Q. Okay. 18 19 19 A. -- that I produced. MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 20 Q. Do you recall any of those e-mail 20 form. 21 communications? 21 THE WITNESS: Were those sent to me by 22 A. Not specifically. 22 1-800 Contacts? My recollection is that I may have 23 23 gotten some BrandVerity reports from 1-800 Contacts. Q. Continuing with the description of monitoring activities. The second to last sentence 24 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What about Keyword Spy? 25 states that you and Mr. Miller would, from time to 25 Have you ever seen a Keyword Spy report? 26 28 1 time, conduct Internet searches yourselves. Is that 1 Yes, I have seen a Keyword Spy report. 2 2 Q. How did you come into possession of that accurate? 3 3 A. That's what it says, yes. report? 4 Q. What Internet searches are referred to in 4 A. I would have seen that report after Mark 5 5 this description of monitoring activities? Miller ran the report. A. You're asking for specific searches or a 6 O. When you say Mark Miller ran the report, 6 general description of those searches? 7 7 what does that mean? 8 8 Q. General description would be great. A. That he had the report. 9 A. A general description would include 9 Q. But when you say he ran it, what do you 10 searches for 1-800 Contacts. 10 mean "ran"? Q. That would include -- so by that do you 11 11 A. He would have conducted a search on mean you would type "1-800 Contacts" into a search 12 12 Keyword Spy. engine and execute the search? Q. What is Keyword Spy? 13 13 14 A. Generally, at times, yeah. 14 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. Q. Why did you do that? 15 But testify to whatever you know. 15 A. To see what kind of search results would THE WITNESS: Yeah. So my understanding, 16 16 17 come up. 17 based on their website, the front page of their 18 Q. When is the last time you performed a 18 website, is it is a tool that gives you information 19 search for that purpose? 19 regarding keyword advertisements, including keywords 20 A. I don't know for certain, but it was 20 used, frequency. It's a -- it's a search 21 21 likely four or five months ago. optimization tool that companies use for 22 22 Q. Why did you want to see what kind of intelligence, for search intelligence. 23 23 search results would come up? Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Why did you review the 24 A. I was interested to see who was appearing 24 report? 25 25 in response to those searches and what the A. To -- to evaluate the information provided

24

25

we talked about conducting Internet searches

and Keyword Spy reports, right?

vourself, and we talked about reviewing BrandVerity

29 31 1 by Keyword Spy regarding keywords used by -- by 1 Other than those activities I just listed, 2 2 advertisers. can you think of anything you have done that 3 Q. Why did you want to evaluate the 3 constitutes monitoring of the unauthorized use by 4 information provided by Keyword Spy? 4 others of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks? 5 A. As a -- as a data point to understand, 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 6 hopefully get a glimpse on what was happening and why 6 form. Vague and ambiguous. 7 search results were coming up. 7 THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall, based 8 O. Why did you -- when you say search results 8 on that question. If you have a specific example I'm 9 were coming up, which search results do you mean? 9 happy to look at it, but not that I recall. 10 Paid search results in Google. 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Now, the testimony that 11 Q. Paid search results means advertisements, you anticipate providing on behalf of 1-800 Contacts 11 12 right? 12 does not relate to monitoring activities performed by 13 A. Correct. 13 employees of 1-800 Contacts. Is that fair? 14 Q. Who do those advertisements relate to? 14 MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 15 Which companies? 15 ambiguous and improper as to form. Leading. A. I don't recall specifically which THE WITNESS: Restate that question, 16 16 17 companies. 17 please. 18 Q. Were they retailers of contact lenses? 18 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Let's try this. The 19 A. So my general recollection is that some 19 second sentence of --20 were retailers of contact lenses. 20 MR. STONE: Do you have a written copy 21 Q. Based on the Keyword Spy report, are you 21 that he could look at? Would that be in helpful, as 22 opposed to him trying to keep in mind the long able to ascertain whether an advertiser bids on a 22 description you read? I'm just offering it to make 23 23 particular keyword? 24 24 it easier on the witness. I'm not trying to tell you MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 25 THE WITNESS: Based on a Keyword Spy --25 how to do your exam. 30 32 1 MR. MATHESON: I didn't want to show it to 1 can you restate the question one more time? 2 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Based on a Keyword Spy 2 him because I wasn't sure that it was permissible 3 3 report, are you able to ascertain whether an because it was communication between the two of us, 4 4 advertiser bids on a particular keyword? and I didn't realize he's still representing 5 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 1-800 Contacts. So that's why I didn't print it out. 6 THE WITNESS: My understanding, based on I'd be happy to show it to you on the screen. If 6 7 7 Keyword Spy's front page, is that that's what's Mr. Stone wants me to show it, it's totally fine with 8 8 provided. 9 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. The description 9 MR. STONE: Whatever you want. 10 testimony we went through earlier states you'll 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. But the second testify regarding monitoring the unauthorized use by 11 sentence of our agreement states that Mr. Pratt and 11 others of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks. Other than 12 Mr. Miller's testimony will encompass such 12 13 13 monitoring, protection, and enforcement efforts as what's contained on CX1185, have you engaged in any 14 activities that constitute monitoring of the 14 performed by Messrs. Miller and Pratt, their 15 15 colleagues and staff, and other outside counsel, unauthorized use by others of 1-800 Contacts' 16 including cease and desist letters and other 16 trademarks? MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 17 17 communications and correspondence with such users and 18 ambiguous. 18 their counsel, litigation and settlement agreements. 19 THE WITNESS: How would you define "other" 19 I don't want to unpack that entire thing. 20 monitoring activities? 20 I just want to clarify that there are no monitoring 21 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Well, we talked about 21 and protection and enforcement efforts performed by 22 22 reviewing trademark monitoring reports, right? And employees of 1-800 Contacts that you anticipate

23

24 25 testifying about. Is that fair? I mean, your

anticipated testimony goes to monitoring, protection,

enforcement performed by yourself, your colleagues

and staff, and other outside counsel?

MR. STONE: I'm going to object. It's over broad.

Go ahead and answer. I'm happy to try to clarify this. I don't want you to miss anything that might be the subject of his testimony. But you go ahead and answer, and if you want me to try to clarify it, I will.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So my anticipated testimony is with regards to my experience and my knowledge.

MR. MATHESON: And I'll be happy to discuss it further. But I'm trying to --

MR. STONE: My point is, like the trademark monitoring reports were prepared by somebody at 1-800 Contacts. He got them. In the correspondence that was exchanged with other parties, sometimes there was correspondence that came to or from people at 1-800 Contacts that later would include or might earlier have included Mr. Pratt in the chain.

So there's some overlap in terms of what they were doing or sending and what he was doing or sending. He's going to talk about what he was doing or sending; but sometimes, as you'll see, he saw a advertisements on the Internet, paid advertisements on the Internet, and sponsored links on the Internet, I just want to understand how these terms are used. So what are the pop-up advertisements on the Internet to which your monitoring activities related?

A. So are you asking me the definition of pop-up advertisements and what those are --

Q. Yes, I'd like your understanding.

A. -- based on my understanding?

My understanding of pop-up advertisements would be advertisements that appear on a user screen in response to a search or in response to a use or a website visit. Oftentimes there's metadata or something included that it will trigger an ad to appear on the user's screen based on some use that they performed on the Internet or on the computer.

Q. What distinguishes a pop-up advertisement from paid search advertisement?

A. My understanding would be that a pop-up advertisement appears on a user's screen. Both appear in response to searches. But to distinguish the two terms, in my experience, what I've seen is a pop-up advertisement will appear over a window rather than included in a window.

Q. So a pop-up advertisement appears in a

letter from somebody at 1-800 Contacts that went out to somebody, and he later was involved in the chain.

So it's not that he's ignorant of what was going on at 1-800 Contacts; but that's not going to be -- he's not going to testify to what they did, but he has knowledge of what they did, if it preceded or it was involved as part of what he did.

Does that make sense?

MR. MATHESON: I understand he has knowledge. I just want to clarify, he's not going to testify, you know, Mr. Zeidner did this, Mr. Craven did this. We just want to make sure he's going to testify about what he recalls.

MR. STONE: Well, but he may say -- just to be clear, I mean, he may say Mr. Zeidner wrote this letter to somebody; I saw the letter; I followed up on it or something. So --

MR. MATHESON: Included on the correspondence, and it speaks for itself. That's fair. I just want to make sure we understand.

MR. STONE: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. Now, the efforts to address trademark uses that your testimony relates to were performed, according to our agreement, in connection with Internet searches, pop-up

distinct window as opposed to appearing within the search engine results page. Is that fair?

A. Sometimes. There have been cases where banner ads and things like that appear to be in the window; but, yeah, generally.

Q. Now, what are sponsored links on the Internet?

A. Sponsored links -- again, my understanding is that sponsored links are results or URLs, selectable URLs that appear in response to a search result, and that those are a paid -- a paid advertisement or a paid appearance to encourage someone based on a search to redirect to another website or landing page.

Q. Sponsored links, do they appear on a search engine results page?

A. Oftentimes. Sometimes.

Q. What distinguishes a sponsored link from a paid search advertisement?

A. In my mind, I don't know that there's a specific distinction other than a sponsored link is an actual link that may be contained within a search result.

Q. So a sponsored link is a link that could be contained within a paid search advertisement?

37 39 Q. Do you recall having interactions with 1 A. So I would say, you know, that -- yeah. 1 The term "link" is a URL or another item that is 2 2 easycontactsusa.com? 3 linked to another page, so it could be contained in a 3 A. I do. 4 search advertisement. I think at times the phrases 4 Q. Do you recall interactions with Standard 5 are used, maybe not completely precisely, but 5 **Optical Company?** 6 interchangeably at times. 6 A. I don't recall specifically having 7 7 Q. You anticipate offering testimony interaction with Standard Optical. 8 regarding the settlement parties identified in the 8 Q. Do you recall having interactions with 9 complaint, Lens.com Inc. and LensWorld Inc. Right? 9 replacemycontacts.com? Their parent corporation name 10 A. Yes. 10 is Tram Data, LLC. 11 Q. Which settlement parties identified in the 11 A. I remember having a conversation about 12 complaint can you think of? 12 them, at least, yeah. 13 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 13 Q. Do you recall having interaction with 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall the 14 **Vision Direct?** exact parties that were identified in the complaint. 15 15 A. I do. 16 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Which parties do you 16 Q. Do you recall any interactions with 17 intend to testify about? 17 Walgreens? 18 A. I would anticipate Lens.Com, Memorial Eye, 18 A. I do. 19 Lens Discounters, Contact Lens King. LensWorld, as 19 Q. Do you recall any interactions with Web 20 20 **Eye Care?** 21 Q. What about Arlington Contact Lens Service, 21 A. Web Eye Care, I've heard the name. I 22 **Incorporated?** 22 remember having conversations about them, but maybe 23 A. Is that AC Lens? 23 not necessarily with them. 24 Q. Yes. 24 Q. Do you have an understanding of the 25 A. I'm aware of them, yeah. 25 retailers of contact lenses with which 1-800 Contacts 38 40 Q. Do you intend to offer any testimony 1 1 currently has agreements that relate to the display 2 regarding AC Lens? 2 of search advertising? 3 A. Yeah, if asked. 3 MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 4 4 O. What about Coastal Contacts? ambiguous. A. Yes. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Are you asking do I have an O. Empire Vision Center? 6 understanding of who they are or to what degree? 6 7 7 A. If asked a question that I can offer Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Yes. I'm asking: Do 8 8 you have an understanding of which retailers of testimony on. 9 9 Q. Do you recall any interaction with Empire contact lenses 1-800 Contacts currently has 10 Vision Center? 10 agreements with that relate to the display of search 11 A. Empire Vision Center, I'm trying to recall 11 advertising? 12 exactly who they are. 12 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 13 Q. How about Visionworks? 13 form. Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I would say that I have an 14 14 A. I've heard the name. O. Do you recall interacting with 15 understanding of a number of the parties that we 15 discussed before, just recently, just previously. Visionworks? 16 16 A. I don't recall if I interacted with them Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So which retailers does 17 17 18 18 specifically, but I do recall the name. 1-800 Contacts currently have agreements with that 19 Q. Lenses For Less? 19 relate to search advertising, to the best of your A. Yes. 20 20 knowledge? Q. Lensfast? 21 21 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation, 22 A. Yeah, I recall Lensfast. 22 calls for a legal conclusion, and improper as to 23 Q. Do you recall having interactions with 23 form. **Memorial Eve?** 24 24 You can answer. 25 25 A. I do. THE WITNESS: Yeah, without -- so can you

restate that question one more time, please?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) To the best of your knowledge, with which retailers does 1-800 Contacts currently have agreements that relate to search advertising?

MR. STONE: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: So I would have to review the agreements themselves to know whether they're ongoing or not. But I am aware that there have been some agreements made with a number of the parties that we just discussed, but not all.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) But not all?

A. Correct.

Q. Which ones are you referring to when you say "not all"?

MR. STONE: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. To my recollection, I believe there's -- we mentioned Lens.com. I'm not aware of an agreement with Lens.com. Lens Discounters. Did we discuss Lens Discounters?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You mentioned them.

A. I mentioned them. I'm not aware of an agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Lens Discounters.

Q. Are you aware if an agreement was ever in

sit here, to the best of your knowledge, was there ever an agreement in place between Lens.com and 1-800 Contacts that relates to the display of search advertising?

MR. STONE: Same objections as to the preceding question. Also asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'll maintain that -- the answer.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And what was your answer? Yes or no?

A. My answer was -- I would have to look at a document or a record to evaluate whether there's an agreement to make a legal conclusion.

Q. So is it the case you cannot currently recall whether or not there was an agreement in place between Lens.com and 1-800 Contacts that related to the display of search advertising?

MR. STONE: Same objections as to the preceding questions.

THE WITNESS: I can recall a pleading in the Lens.com case that talked about a -- a public record that talks about an oral agreement.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What do you recall about that oral agreement?

A. That -- my recollection is in the

place between Lens.com and 1-800 Contacts?

A. I am not.

Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, there was never an agreement in place between Lens.com and 1-800 Contacts that related to the display of search advertising?

MR. STONE: Same objections.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm trying to think specifically of a formal written agreement, and I can't think of one.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I didn't ask about a formal written agreement. To the best of your knowledge, was there ever an agreement in place between Lens.com and 1-800 Contacts that related to the display of search advertising?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form; lacks foundation; calls for a legal conclusion.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would have to look at -- look at a document to make that legal conclusion, or some type of record. If there's something you're thinking of, I'm happy to look at it.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So, yes or no: As you

pleadings, again, that there was communication with Tony DeGidio, counsel for Lens.com, a phone conversation.

$\label{eq:Q.Acommunication} \textbf{Q.} \quad \textbf{A communication between Mr. DeGidio and whom?}$

A. And me.

Q. You said there was a phone conversation. What do you recall about that phone conversation?

A. My recollection is that it was -- again, it's 11 years ago -- that he agreed not to use 1-800 Contacts as a keyword. Well, not to have his client -- that his client would not use 1-800 Contacts as a keyword for search advertising.

Q. So based on that phone conversation, did you conclude that there was an agreement on the part of Lens.com not to use 1-800 Contacts as a keyword for search advertising?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls for legal opinion. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd have to -- I'd have to just -- just given the amount of time, I can't recall the actual discussion itself to conclude whether there was a contract, an agreement made.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I'm not asking for a

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

23

24

25

MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad; vague

and ambiguous. Improperly calls for a legal

THE WITNESS: To the best of my

conclusion; improper as to form.

45 47 1 legal conclusion. You previously testified that 1 recollection, I would say that, as far as I can 2 Mr. DeGidio agreed that his client would not use 2 remember, that Lens Discounters committed not to --3 1-800 Contacts as a keyword for search advertising. 3 well, I can't remember if they committed not to use 4 I'm asking, based on that statement, did you conclude 4 1-800 Contacts as a keyword. My general recollection 5 5 that Lens.com had committed not to use 1-800 Contacts is that they would not come up in a search for 6 as a keyword for search advertising? 6 1-800 Contacts. 7 7 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you planning to 8 8 form; misstates the witness's prior testimony; offer any testimony regarding the value of 9 improperly calls for a legal conclusion. 9 1-800 Contacts' trademark? 10 10 You can answer. A. If asked a question, I could provide my 11 THE WITNESS: I would say that based on 11 knowledge. our discussion, there was a commitment that they 12 12 Q. Where did you acquire your knowledge about 13 would not use 1-800 Contacts as a negative keyword --13 the value of 1-800 Contacts' trademark? 14 or, sorry -- as a keyword for search advertising. 14 A. That would have been made of record in the 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Lens Discounters. You 15 trademark litigation. stated that -- strike that. 16 Q. Which trademark litigation are you 16 17 Is it your recollection that there was 17 referring to? 18 never an agreement in place between 1-800 Contacts 18 A. The Lens.com litigation. 19 and Lens Discounters relating to the display of 19 Q. What do you recall about the value of 20 search advertising? 20 1-800 Contacts' trademark that was made of record in 21 MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls 21 the Lens.com litigation? 22 for a legal conclusion; improper as to form. 22 A. My recollection is that there was comment 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not currently aware of 23 regarding the amount of advertising and time spent on 24 one that's in place, but I'd be happy to look at any 24 the trademark to establish consumer recognition and 25 documents that suggest otherwise. 25 value of the trademark. 46 48 1 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) To the best of your 1 Q. Are you aware, other than the information 2 recollection, was there ever a commitment by Lens 2 that was made of record in the Lens.com litigation, 3 Discounters to 1-800 Contacts relating to the display 3 of any other information that relates to the amount of search advertising? 4 4 of advertising and time spent on 1-800's trademark? MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 5 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to ambiguous; improper as to form; improperly calls for 6 6 form. 7 a legal conclusion. 7 THE WITNESS: Am I aware of any other 8 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by 8 valuation? Is that what you're asking me? 9 "commitment"? 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) That's a good question. 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You used the word 10 Are you aware of any other valuation other than the 11 "commitment" when you were testifying regarding one that was made of record in the Lens.com 11 12 Lens.com's relationship with 1-800 Contacts. What 12 litigation? 13 did you mean by "commitment" when you used that word? 13 A. I would say that I've had discussions with 14 A. Again, to the best of my recollection, 14 my client about their trademark and what it means to 15 seeing this was a number of years ago, the general --15 them, as well as the actions they've taken to -- to the general -- my general recollection of that phone 16 16 use it as a trademark. conversation was that they agreed that -- committed 17 17 Q. What did your client tell you about their that they would not use 1-800 Contacts as a keyword 18 18 trademark and what it means to them? 19 for the search advertising. 19 MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 20 Q. To the best of your recollection, did Lens 20 answer on the grounds of attorney-client privilege. 21 Discounters ever make such a commitment? 21 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Will you follow the

Q. Are you planning to offer any testimony at

the administrative hearing in this matter regarding

22

23

24

25

instruction?

about what your client's trademark means to them?

49 51 1 your client's trademark and what it means to them? 1 You were instructed to answer as long as you don't 2 2 A. If asked a question on that topic that I disclose any privileged communications. And your 3 can answer without divulging confidential information 3 answer, I believe, was, "I know it's valuable to 4 or attorney-client privileged conversations. 4 them." Q. What do you know, sitting here today, 5 5 So I'm trying to explore what you meant by "valuable to them." What did you mean when you said 6 about what your client's trademark means to them? 6 7 7 MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad; your client's trademark was valuable to them? 8 improper as to form. 8 A. So my understanding is that it is an 9 important part of the company because it's who they 9 You can answer so long as you don't 10 disclose any privileged communications. 10 are. It's the -- they've built up goodwill and THE WITNESS: Right. I know that it's -invested in advertising, invested in -- it's the name 11 11 12 it's valuable to them, that it is who they are -- in 12 of the company. It's the face of who they are. 13 essence, that that's how people know them. It's 13 Q. What is the source for your information 14 14 regarding the value of your client's trademark to their brand. 15 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Anything else? vour client? 16 A. Not as I sit here. 16 A. The source would be my ongoing 17 Q. How do you know that 1-800 Contacts' 17 representation and relationship with them and trademark is valuable to them? Did your client tell observing their strategies and their protection of 18 18 19 vou that? 19 the trademark. 20 MR. STONE: Which question do you want him 20 Q. Other than the information you heard at 21 21 to answer? Mr. Coon's deposition, what has your client told you 22 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What has your client 22 regarding the value of your client's trademarks to 23 told you about how valuable 1-800 Contacts' trademark 23 them? MR. STONE: Same instructions regarding 24 is to them? 24 25 MR. STONE: So if you've been told things, 25 whether it's information you would consider told to 50 52 1 Mr. Pratt, that you would not consider to be 1 you in confidence as part of a privileged 2 confidential, you should feel free to disclose those. 2 communication. 3 If you were told things that you consider to be 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't think of 4 4 confidential and privileged, you should not disclose any -- any specific conversations that would fit 5 5 those. If you have a question about a particular within that question. communication, we can take a break and I'll help 6 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Are there any 6 counsel you through it. 7 communications you are not revealing in response to 7 8 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I'm going to -my question based on a desire to protect the 9 I've been told, and it's -- I was -- I mean, I was 9 attorney-client privilege? 10 present at Jonathan Coon's deposition. It was -- and 10 A. Yes. he's talked a number of times about his trademark Who made that communication to you? 11 11 Q. "1-800 Contacts," that it was -- it was important to 12 12 David Zeidner. Α 13 the success of the company. 13 When did that communication occur? 14 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Other than what you 14 I don't recall specifically. heard at Jonathan Coon's deposition, has your client 15 Was the communication written? 15 told you any information regarding how valuable 16 16 No. Was the communication oral? 17 1-800 Contacts' trademark is to them? 17 Q. 18 MR. STONE: Same instruction. 18 Yes, as I recall. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not clear on the 19 Q. Who else was present when that 20 question -- on the part of the question that says how 20 communication occurred? 21 valuable it is to them. Do you have an example or a 21 A. I don't recall anyone else being present. 22 way to clarify that? 22 Q. What did Mr. Zeidner tell you? 23 23 MR. STONE: Instruct you not to answer on O. (By Mr. Matheson) Well, you said that --24 I asked you, What do you know sitting here today 24 the grounds of attorney-client privilege.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow

advertisements.

53 55 1 that instruction? 1 Q. When you say "an appearance of sponsored 2 2 advertisements," you mean an appearance on a search A. I am. Q. Other than the communication from 3 3 engine results page; is that right? 4 Mr. Zeidner we just discussed and the information you 4 A. Yes. 5 5 heard at Mr. Coon's deposition, are there any other Q. The first three lines of this document state, as far as I can read, "Hi, Cindy. It is our communications from your client to you relating to 6 6 7 7 the value of 1-800 Contacts' trademark? client's position that by ceasing to incorporate the 8 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 8 negative keywords, as has been the established 9 9 practice of the parties under the agreement, Vision form. 10 10 THE WITNESS: As I sit here today, I can't Direct is 'causing' the results shown in the 11 recall any. 11 previously transmitted document." 12 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I'd like to hand you a 12 What did you mean when you referred to 13 document that has been marked CX0135. 13 "the results shown in the previously transmitted (Exhibit CX0135 was identified.) 14 14 document"? 15 Q. Please take whatever time you need to 15 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; review it. My only questions will be directed to the 16 improper as to form. 16 very -- the first three lines of the document. 17 17 You can answer. 18 A. (The witnesses reviews the document.) 18 THE WITNESS: My recollection is that the 19 MR. STONE: I will just note for the 19 results shown were, as I mentioned, a display of a record that CX1185 and CX0135 are designated 20 20 sponsored ad in a search engine, in an Internet 21 confidential and should be bound in accordance with 21 search engine. 22 22 the protective order. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) A display of a 23 23 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recognize this sponsored ad for Vision Direct? 24 24 A. For Vision Direct. document, sir? 25 25 Q. What did you mean when you wrote "ceasing A. I do. 54 56 Q. What is it? 1 to incorporate the negative keywords"? 1 2 It is an e-mail communication. 2 A. I would -- I would say stopping the 3 3 incorporation of negative keywords. Who wrote it? Q. 4 Q. Incorporating negative keywords into what? 4 I did. 5 5 A. Into an AdWords campaign. Q. Who did you send it to? 6 Q. So what did you mean when you referred to 6 A. Sent to Cindy L. Caditz. 7 7 Q. How were you acquainted with Cindy L. the established practice of the parties under the 8 Caditz? 8 agreement? 9 A. My recollection is that Cindy Caditz 9 A. Again, my recollection is that established 10 represented Vision Direct. 10 practice of the parties under the agreement would 11 Q. When you say "represented Vision Direct," 11 refer to the ongoing conduct between the two parties 12 in what capacity did she represent Vision Direct? 12 in response to the agreement between 1-800 Contacts 13 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; 13 and Vision Direct. 14 calls for speculation; improper as to form. 14 Q. So is it fair that it was your THE WITNESS: I believe that she was their 15 15 understanding that at some point Vision Direct 16 outside counsel. incorporated negative keywords into a Google AdWords 16 17 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Why were you 17 campaign and that it ceased to do so? 18 communicating with Ms. Caditz in October 2007? 18 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 19 To discuss actions by Vision Direct, her 19 form. Lacks foundation. 20 client. 20 THE WITNESS: So looking at this today, I 21 Q. Which actions? 21 would -- I would say that at that point in time my 22 A. According to this document and from what I 22 understanding was that there was a change in their ad 23 recall, their appearance in response to searches for 23 campaign strategy. 24 1-800 Contacts, an appearance of sponsored 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And that change

consisted -- sorry. Didn't mean to interrupt you.

21

22

23

24

25

improper as to form, and lacks foundation.

matter that that would be an indication, yes.

THE WITNESS: I can say as a general

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And your position is

that the parties to the agreements we're discussing

violate those agreements when their advertisements

57 59 1 A. I was going to say that resulted in Vision 1 appear, even if they have not affirmatively placed a 2 Direct's advertisements coming up in response to a 2 bid on a keyword term that represents 1-800 Contacts' 3 search for 1-800 Contacts. 3 trademark? 4 Q. And you suspected the change you referred 4 MR. STONE: Objection. to consisted of the -- of ceasing the practice of 5 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Is that fair? 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad, incorporating negative keywords into an AdWords 6 6 7 7 compound, improper as to form, and lacks foundation. campaign? 8 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 8 But you can answer. 9 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. form. 10 10 THE WITNESS: That's -- that's what I read O. (By Mr. Matheson) I mean, you're not 11 confused by that question? I just want to make sure 11 there, yes. we're communicating clearly. 12 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And you believe that 12 13 A. Yeah. Given my understanding of your 13 violated -- strike that. 14 It was your position at the time that the 14 question is that, you know, is if a party to an agreement has committed to incorporate negative 15 appearance of an advertisement for Vision Direct in 15 response to a search for a 1-800 Contacts trademark 16 keywords and exact-matched negative keywords, that if 16 there is a search for 1-800 Contacts' trademark and 17 violated an agreement in place between the parties. 17 18 Is that fair? 18 their ad shows up, that they're in violation of the 19 19 agreement. A. Yes. At that time, yes. 20 Q. And it was your position that this 20 Q. Is it your understanding that Vision 21 violated an agreement whether or not Vision Direct 21 Direct is currently obligated to implement negative 22 22 affirmatively placed a bid on your client's trademark keywords? 23 23 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; in AdWords? 24 A. Yes, that was our position. 24 calls for speculation; improperly calls for opinion 25 Q. Have you communicated that position to any 25 testimony, legal opinion testimony. 58 60 1 other seller of contact lenses other than Vision 1 But you can answer. 2 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah, my recollection and Direct? 3 A. I can't recall of a specific instance 3 understanding is that they're obligated to 4 4 where I communicated that. incorporate negative keywords. And again, that's my 5 5 Q. It's generally been 1-800 Contacts' current understanding. I'd be happy to look at 6 documents to -- that may vary that. I mean, I don't 6 position that parties to its agreements violate --7 and you know which agreements I mean, right? Just so 7 recall expiration dates, necessarily, of agreements 8 we're communicating clearly: the agreements that the 8 or anything of that nature, terms. 9 Federal Trade Commission is challenging in this 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Yeah. But in general, 10 matter. You have an understanding of what the 10 not just Vision Direct but the party to the 11 universe of those agreements are, right? agreements that are being challenged are obligated to 11 12 A. I believe I do. 12 implement negative keywords to prevent their 13 13 Q. And it's generally -- not just generally. advertisements from being displayed in response to a 14 It is 1-800 Contacts' position that the parties to 14 search that constitutes a 1-800 Contacts trademark term? 15 those agreements violate the agreements when their 15 ads are displayed on search engine results pages in MR. STONE: Objection. Compound; over 16 16 response to a search for 1-800 Contacts' trademarked 17 17 broad; improper as to form; lacks foundation. 18 term, right? 18 Improperly calls for a legal opinion. 19 MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad, 19 You can answer.

THE WITNESS: That's my understanding of

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I hand you a document

MR. STONE: I will note that this one is

that's been marked CX0724.

(Exhibit CX0724 was identified.)

20

21

22

23

24

61 63 also marked confidential under the protective order. 1 1 relation to you on January 11, 2008? 2 (The witness reviews the document.) 2 A. So Mr. Liebeskind was -- as acknowledged 3 3 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Have you had an in communications with Scott Sher and phone calls, he 4 opportunity to review this, sir? 4 was the antitrust counsel for 1-800 Contacts at the 5 5 A. I have. Q. He was an outside counsel? 6 Q. Do you recognize this document? 6 7 7 A. I do. A. Correct. Q. What did Mr. Liebeskind tell you regarding 8 O. What is it? 8 A. It is a letter sent by -- sent from me to 9 Mr. Sher's position that implementing negative 9 10 Scott Sher of Wilson Sonsini. 10 keywords -- strike that. 11 What did Mr. Liebeskind tell you regarding 11 Q. Why did you send this letter to Scott Sher 12 on January 11, 2008? 12 Mr. Sher's position that an agreement to implement 13 negative keywords creates an unacceptable risk of 13 A. My recollection is that this is a 14 violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act? communication from an ongoing discussion with 14 Mr. Sher with regards to Vision Direct's -- Vision 15 MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct the 15 16 Direct's conduct, and in continuation of the letter 16 witness not to answer to the extent you would reveal 17 that we previously looked at. 17 any privileged communications that go beyond the 18 communications that were communicated to Vision 18 Q. The second paragraph of this letter, it 19 Direct. 19 appears you're quoting from a previous communication THE WITNESS: Yeah. The -- Mr. Liebeskind 20 by Mr. Sher to yourself. Is that accurate? 20 21 reviewed and approved of this -- of this 21 A. Correct. 22 communication, and so it reflects his position. 22 Q. And is it your recollection that Mr. Sher 23 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) My question was what 23 communicated to you that Vision Direct's position was 24 did Mr. Liebeskind tell you regarding Mr. Sher's 24 that "an agreement to implement negative keywords," 25 25 position about an agreement to implement negative quote, "creates an unacceptable risk of violating of 62 64 1 [sic] Section 1 of the Sherman Act"? keywords creates an unacceptable risk of violating 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; 2 Section 1 of the Sherman Act? 3 3 MR. STONE: Same objection and same improper as to form. THE WITNESS: That's my recollection based 4 4 instruction. 5 5 THE WITNESS: To the extent I don't reveal on this writing. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You didn't agree with 6 any confidential information, it's reflected in this 6 7 Mr. Sher's position, did you? 7 document. 8 A. Based on the document, what I just read, 8 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you have any 9 9 no. confidential information in mind that you have not 10 Q. You don't have any recollection other than 10 provided in response to my question? 11 this document of whether or not you agree with 11 A. Yes. 12 Mr. Sher's position? 12 Q. Why didn't you provide that confidential 13 A. I recall -- I recall this point in time, 13 information? 14 14 A. The information was communication between yes. 15 Q. And it was your belief at this point in 15 Mr. Liebeskind, me, and our client. time that Mr. Sher was incorrect; is that right? 16 16 Q. So providing that information would A. I would say that, based on the information 17 17 threaten the attorney-client privilege, in your view? A. Yes. 18 and counsel that we received, that yes, I believe 18 19 19 Q. The third paragraph -- in the third that he was incorrect. 20 Q. Which counsel that you received are you 20 paragraph you state disagreement with Mr. Sher's 21 referring to? 21 position. You state, "To the contrary, it is well 22 A. As you note on the -- the people that are 22 settled that an agreement in the context of a settlement of litigation -- or threatened 23 copied on the bottom of the page. Richard Liebeskind 23 24 is who I'm referring to. 24 litigation -- is analyzed under the ancillary

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

restraints doctrine and is not subject to per se

25

O. Who is Mr. -- who was Mr. Liebeskind in

21

22

23

24

25

might have occurred, but you're not going to describe

them because that would violate the attorney-client

Q. The final sentence of this paragraph

states, "I have no reason to believe (and you have

privilege. Is that fair?

A. That's fair.

65 67 1 condemnation." 1 provided no reason to claim) that an agreement to 2 2 Do you recall having that view in January implement negative keywords, in settlement of 3 of 2008? 3 litigation or threatened litigation, would violate 4 A. Yeah. It's included in the document. 4 the rule of reason." 5 5 Q. What was your basis for that view, other Does that accurately state your view in 6 than the paragraph in Herbert Hovenkamp's Antitrust January of 2008? 6 7 7 Law treatise you cite here? A. As written in the document -- I mean, I 8 MR. STONE: Again, to the extent you had 8 wrote the document, so my assumption is yes. 9 privileged communications with anyone that might be 9 Q. What do you understand it to mean to 10 10 disclosed that should remain confidential, don't violate the rule of reason? 11 disclose those. Otherwise, you can answer. 11 A. To violate the rule of reason, my 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think answering that 12 understanding would be -- and again, I'm not an 13 would violate the attorney-client privilege. 13 antitrust attorney, obviously -- but that it would --Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So you do have a basis 14 14 there are per se violations that are per se other than Mr. Hovenkamp's antitrust law treatise; 15 violations because -- based on their nature; and that 15 but providing that basis would threaten the 16 under rule of reason that the equities are considered 16 17 attorney-client privilege, so you decline to provide 17 of whether the action is reasonable under the law. it. Is that fair? 18 18 Q. When you say "equities," what do you mean? 19 19 Are you referring to competitive effects? A. That's fair. 20 Q. Is it still your view that an agreement in 20 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 21 the context of a settlement of litigation is analyzed 21 form. 22 under the ancillary restraints doctrine and is not THE WITNESS: I'm referring to all -- a 22 23 subject to per se condemnation? 23 various number of considerations: competitive 24 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 24 effects, also benefits to society, to consumers, 25 form. Assumes facts not in evidence. 25 things of that nature. 66 68 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't have 1 1 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you conduct any 2 opinion -- an opinion with regards to that. I'd have 2 analysis of those factors to which you've just 3 3 to do some research on the current state of the law. referred prior to writing this letter? 4 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Have you ever discussed 4 MR. STONE: Did he personally? 5 with your client whether an agreement in the context 5 MR. MATHESON: Yes. of the settlement of litigation should be analyzed 6 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you personally 6 7 under the ancillary restraints doctrine and therefore 7 conduct any analysis of the factors you referred to 8 8 not be subject to per se condemnation? as competitive effects, benefits to society, to 9 MR. STONE: At any point in time and other 9 consumers, that related to your statement that you 10 than in the context of this letter? I mean, I 10 had no reason to believe an agreement to implement don't -- what do you mean? negative keywords would violate the rule of reason? 11 11 MR. MATHESON: Just at any point in time. 12 12 MR. STONE: Answer that yes or no as of 13 MR. STONE: Over broad. Instruct the 13 that time. 14 witness not to answer to the extent that you've had 14 THE WITNESS: As of that time? 15 any privileged communications regarding that subject. 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Correct, yes or no as THE WITNESS: Yeah, any communications 16 16 of that time. 17 regarding that subject would have been 17 A. My recollection is I don't recall specific 18 attorney-client privileged communications. 18 research. 19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So such communications 19 Q. Have you ever conducted an analysis of the

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

competitive effects of an agreement between

negative keywords?

can answer that yes or no.

1-800 Contacts and one of its rivals relating to

substance of the analysis, if you've done one, you

MR. STONE: Without revealing the

20

21

22

23

24

69 71 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 that instruction, sir? 2 2 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When was that analysis A. I am. 3 conducted? 3 MR. MATHESON: If you'd like to take a A. That would have been -- again, I don't 4 break, now is not a bad time. We've been going for 4 5 about 90 minutes. 5 know of the exact date, but it would have been after MR. STONE: Fine with me. receiving the CID. 6 6 7 7 Q. By CID you mean Civil Investigative Demand (Recess from 9:58 a.m. to 10:14 a.m.) 8 issued by Federal Trade Commission in connection with 8 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Other than the analysis this matter? 9 of competitive effects arising from 1-800 Contacts' 9 10 10 A. That's correct. agreements regarding negative keywords that you 11 conducted after the Federal Trade Commission issued 11 Q. What did your analysis reveal regarding 12 the competitive effects of an agreement between 12 CID in this matter, are you aware of any analysis 13 conducted by your client regarding the same topic? 13 1-800 Contacts and its rivals to implement negative MR. STONE: You can answer yes or no. 14 keywords? 14 15 MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct the THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 16 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When was that analysis witness not to answer on the grounds of attorney work 16 17 product and attorney-client privilege. 17 conducted? Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 18 A. To my knowledge, the analysis that I'm 18 19 aware of would have also been pretty close to after 19 that instruction, sir? 20 A. I am. 20 the issuance of the CID. 21 Q. Who conducted it? 21 Q. Who conducted the analysis? 22 A. I don't know all the parties, but I'm 22 A. I did, along with one of my other 23 aware that -- Munger Tolles. 23 partners, Jim Hartley; and an associate, Ginger 24 Q. Anyone else you're aware of who was 24 Utley. 25 involved in that other than outside counsel from 25 Q. What information did you analyze? 70 72 1 MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct the 1 **Munger Tolles?** 2 witness not to answer on the grounds of 2 A. Not that I'm aware of. 3 attorney-client privilege and attorney work product. 3 Q. I'll hand you a document we have marked as Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Follow that 4 4 CX0078. 5 5 instruction, sir? (Exhibit CX0078 was identified.) 6 6 A. I am. O. Take whatever time you need to review it, Q. The attorney-client privilege you're 7 7 and let me know when you've had an adequate 8 relating to, who is the client whose privilege is 8 opportunity to do so. 9 being protected there? 9 (The witness reviews the document.) 10 A. 1-800 Contacts. 10 MR. STONE: I would guess that this is a 11 Q. Did you communicate the results of your privileged communication that was inadvertently 11 12 analysis to 1-800 Contacts? 12 produced. So I need to take a break and check on 13 A. Yes. 13 that before we allow any questions on it. 14 Q. What did you tell them? 14 MR. MATHESON: That's fine. We can go off MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct the 15 15 the record, but I'd be happy to clarify on the record witness not to answer on the grounds of 16 16 what we think this is. 17 attorney-client privilege. 17 MR. STONE: Yeah. 18 MR. MATHESON: Following the instruction? 18 MR. MATHESON: We thought the same thing. 19 THE WITNESS: I am. 19 But this was attached to a motion in the Lens.com 20 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) How long did it take 20 matter, as far as we can tell, which is why it has you to analyze -- to conduct the analysis of 21 21 this A795 designation on it and the PL012345. So we 22 competitive effects we were just discussing? 22 assumed that you guys had intentionally done it. We 23 MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 23 weren't trying to ambush you. 24 answer on the grounds of attorney work product. 24 MR. STONE: Yeah, I'm not sure. Let me 25 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 25 check.

1 2

MR. MATHESON: Okay. Let's go off the record.

(Recess from 10:16 a.m. to 10:20 a.m.)

MR. MATHESON: Mr. Stone, what's your position, sir?

MR. STONE: Thank you. Our position is that the text of the e-mail beginning with "Hi Dave and Bryan," continuing to the end of that text where it ends with "first line of their ad" is a privileged communication that was inadvertently produced and should be redacted. You're free to ask the witness questions about the screenshots that are included, but we will not permit any questioning on the text of the e-mail which consists of the two paragraphs that I referenced.

MR. MATHESON: Okay. We respect that position.

It is our understanding this document has previously been publicly disclosed to Lens.com and others, which is why we think it has all these designations. It was produced in different litigations and attached to a brief. So that's why we believe it is not privileged. We will respect your position, and we will meet at a future time if necessary.

1 You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Turning our attention to the screenshot displayed on the first page, CX0078-001. Which advertisers' advertisements appear on that screenshot?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Can you define what you mean by "advertisements"?

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Let's look at the yellow shaded portion. There are blue letters underlined, "1800contacts.com." You understand that to be an advertisement for 1800contacts.com?
- A. I understand it to be a sponsored link or a generated sponsored link in response to the search for 1800contacts.com.
- Q. And what about the sponsored link that appears underneath that? Do you see a sponsored link underneath the one for 1800contacts.com?

A. I do.

Q. Which company was responsible for that sponsored link?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; calls for speculation; improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: Initially, I assume that

MR. STONE: Thanks.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Disregarding the text that we're all going to redact in our minds, do you recognize this document?

A. I don't recognize it specifically.

- Q. What do you understand it to be as you sit here today?
- A. I understand it to be a screenshot of -- a screenshot of results that were produced in response to a search for 1-800 Contacts is what I would assume this -- generated this image, or the screenshot.
 - **Q.** And this was sent to you, among others? A. Yes.
 - Q. Why was it sent to you?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, my impression as I sit here is that it was sent to me to review and to give legal advice to 1-800 Contacts.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Was it sent to you in your capacity as an attorney responsible for monitoring and enforcing the unauthorized use of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

1 it's LensWorld.com, but I can't be certain.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Is there anything confusing about that sponsored link in your mind as you sit here today?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form; vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: There's a possibility of confusion in my mind, yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You said you assume it's for LensWorld.com. What causes you to assume it's for LensWorld.com?
- A. Two things. Based on my experience -- it says LensWorld.com, so that's the initial impression. But based on my experience, I've also seen sponsored links that have a landing page that is not accurate, so it's redirected to a different source. I've seen and had experience with Google AdWords and note that that can be manipulated and doesn't have to be consistent with the actual entity that either put the ad or consistent with where you will be redirected if you click on that.
- Q. So the basis for your assumption is the text written on the search engine results page that says www.LensWorld.com?

MR. STONE: What's the question? I'm

I mean, could give confusion to an ordinary consumer

77 79 1 1 based on the name recognition and the lack of name sorry. 2 2 recognition for LensWorld. I'm aware of some studies Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I asked about your 3 assumption that this sponsored link was sponsored by 3 to that effect. 4 LensWorld.com. I'm just asking. So I understand 4 The use of the word "sponsored" could give 5 that you had experience that suggests some ads may 5 rise to confusion as to who sponsors it, or if there's some sponsorship or affiliation in relation 6 take you to a different search engine results page. 6 7 7 I'm not asking about that experience; I'm to the search term. The placement as well, and its 8 8 asking vou -placement in conjunction with 1-800 Contacts. 9 A. To clarify, if I can. 9 Q. You said you were aware of studies related 10 10 O. Sure. to confusion arising from lack of name recognition. 11 A. My position is that it will take you to a 11 Is that fair? 12 different landing page, not a different search 12 A. So I said I'm aware of studies related to 13 results page. So it will take you to an actual 13 unaided awareness and name recognition of contact website by a different provider than who was 14 14 lens providers. 15 potentially listed on the URL. 15 Q. Which studies are you referring to? 16 Q. But how did you identify the provider A. So I am aware of a Synovate study of 16 17 listed on the URL? 17 unaided awareness. I believe it's Synovate. I may 18 MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the 18 be incorrect on that. But I'm aware of an unaided 19 witness's prior testimony; improper as to form. 19 awareness study that was conducted by 1-800 Contacts. 20 THE WITNESS: So my assumption that it's 20 Q. Any other studies? 21 LensWorld is because it says LensWorld.com, and I am 21 A. Not with regards to unaided awareness, no. 22 aware of the company LensWorld.com based on previous 22 Q. Now, the study that relates to unaided 23 23 awareness, did that attempt to assess the likelihood litigation and communications. 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Over on the right 24 that consumers would become confused based on 25 there's a red box. Is there a sponsored link that 25 advertisements appearing on a search engines results 78 80 appears inside that box? 1 1 page? 2 A. There is. 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Best evidence. 3 3 Q. And who sponsored that link? Improper as to form. MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; THE WITNESS: Can you restate that 4 4 5 5 question, please? improper as to form. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I haven't investigated O. (By Mr. Matheson) The study you're 6 this specific link. Based on my knowledge of 7 referring to regarding unaided awareness, did that 7 8 study attempt to assess the likelihood that consumers 8 interaction with Lens.com, it would be a subsidiary 9 of Lens.com. 9 would become confused based on advertisements 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you believe that 10 appearing on a search engine results page? sponsored link is confusing? 11 MR. STONE: Same objections as to the 11 12 12 A. Yes, I do. preceding question. 13 MR. STONE: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: My understanding of the 14 Oh. Go ahead. 14 study is that it tested unaided awareness by Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Why do you believe that 15 consumers of contact lens providers. 15 the LensWorld.com sponsored link could give rise to O. (By Mr. Matheson) What does that have to 16 16 do with the likelihood that consumers would become 17 confusion? 17 confused --18 MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls 18 19 for opinion testimony. 19 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 20 But you can answer. 20 form and argumentative. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So, again, based on Q. (By Mr. Matheson) -- as a result of the 21 appearance of sponsored links on a search engine 22 my knowledge and experience, there are a number of 22 23 23 reasons that I believe the LensWorld.com ad could results page? 24 give rise to confusion. A couple of those include --24 MR. STONE: Sorry. I didn't mean to

25

interrupt.

Improper as to form; argumentative; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: So, again, I would -- I would -- I think I would say it in terms of because there's not consumer awareness as high for LensWorld as there is for 1-800 Contacts; that a consumer would not know necessarily immediately if there was a sponsorship or an affiliation between LensWorld and 1-800 Contacts. There could be ambiguity as to whether, again, 1-800 Contacts sponsors LensWorld, whether there's some type of affiliation with LensWorld, whether LensWorld is a discount supplier for 1-800 Contacts.

The recognition by consumers of a trademark and of a source, if it's a strong recognition, I believe would indicate more recognition and knowledge of relationships.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you aware of any empirical study that your view is based on?

MR. STONE: Objection. Asked and answered; argumentative; improper as to form.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I just want to be sure we're communicating clearly. I'm not trying to trap you. I understand you're aware of a study of unaided awareness. I understand the connection you draw

that is, as I mentioned, I'm aware of the Synovate study, that the other items that inform my view and perception of this would include survey evidence, for example, in the Lens.com case, that would inform my view. Also, cases -- and I can't remember all the names, but cases that use the strength of a mark as a factor when regarding likelihood of confusion.

Q. Other than the survey evidence in the Lens.com case, are you aware of consumer surveys that inform your view regarding the likelihood that consumers will become confused as a result of a sponsored link appearing on a search engine results page?

A. I can say that I can recall -- and it's vague because of the time; but I can recall initially when looking at this concept that I did review just kind of online searches of the effects of -- and I do recall reading some things online about whether consumer recognition and strength of mark would impact a likelihood of confusion. But specific empirical studies, I can't recall any specific.

Q. Did you form the view at the time you looked at this document that consumers would likely be confused as a result of the sponsored link for LensWorld.com we discussed?

between unaided awareness and the likelihood of confusion. I'm just trying to understand --

THE REPORTER: Sorry. I lost you there.
MR. MATHESON: That's okay. This doesn't even matter. It would all get struck anyway.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) We're on the same page there. The question is, are you aware of an empirical study that assesses the likelihood that consumers would become confused by sponsored links on a search engine results page?

MR. STONE: Okay. So are you -- are you asking just about this one, about why he thought this, or have you now broadened it to pick up anything else he knows?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Let's broaden it. I just want to understand what studies you're aware of, and then I want to ask you questions about those studies.

So I understand there's an unaided awareness study. What I'm trying to get at is just, are you aware of a study that informs your view regarding the likelihood that consumers will become confused as a result of sponsored links on a search engine results page?

A. Okay. The way that I would characterize

1 A. Yes.

Q. Why did you form that view?

A. I would refer back to some of the things that I mentioned: the survey evidence that, depending on who that consumer is, their experience with the Internet, their past history purchasing contact lenses online, the frequency in which they use the Internet, and their familiarity with the Google AdWords process are all variables that could -- could provide an opportunity for someone to be confused in this situation.

Q. Did you --

A. Sorry. I was going to say as well as the care that someone may provide or the care which someone may use in making this type of a decision, or whether they would investigate further and scrutinize whether it is affiliated or not.

Q. And I asked you the basis for your view that consumers would likely be confused. What did you believe consumers would be confused regarding, based on this LensWorld.com ad we discussed?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form and asked and answered.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I would say that

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

specifically -- I'd just reiterate that some of the things that may be confusing are whether there's a sponsorship or affiliation between the two. What the source of the advertisement is. You know, the fact that they are co-joined in the sponsored links box that has a distinct color are factors that could influence a user to make assumptions or to assume sponsorship affiliation or mistake source.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Let's take a look at

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Let's take a look at the sponsored link off to the right. There are blue letters, "Acuvue \$11.24 a box."

MR. STONE: You mean the furthest sponsored link? Sorry.

MR. MATHESON: Yeah, the one on the right above the URL www.lensforless.com.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) At the time you viewed this document, did you form the view that that sponsored link was likely to lead to consumer confusion?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: As I viewed that link specifically and as I look at it now, yes, I think that could lead to consumer confusion based on a

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form, calls for an opinion testimony, outside the witness's area of expertise, and over broad.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Personally, I think it's a factor that -- it's evidence that should be considered. It will form the impression of the consumer -- will help form it, among other things.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Now, you're not planning to offer any testimony in this case regarding the likelihood that consumers would become confused by paid search advertising, are you?
- A. Would you restate that question, just so I understand the scope of what you're asking?
- Q. Are you planning to offer any testimony in this case regarding the likelihood that consumers could become confused by paid search advertising?
- A. I would say that I'm not planning on giving evidence of research or quantifying anything of that nature. I would anticipate speaking with regards to my experience and positions taken at trial, but not any research or analysis that -- of an independent study or anything of that nature.
- Q. When you say "positions taken at trial," what are you referring to?

number of factors.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What are those factors?

A. One would be -- in my view, as I look at it, one of the things that jumps off the page to me is that it's an ad for Acuvue, which is a product and not necessarily a source. So the title indicates a product.

Second, the fact that it's under a sponsored link; so, again, whether there's a sponsorship or affiliation between that and 1-800 Contacts, which is the search term.

Also, the use of Lenses For Less to a consumer could very much be an indicator that they're getting Lenses For Less, not necessarily a source identifier. I mean, it could be a link to a sub-page of 1-800 Contacts, and they have some Lenses For Less if you go through certain routes. I think there are opportunities for misperceptions.

- Q. So in this instance, the text of the sponsored link itself is relevant to your view that consumers would likely be confused?
 - A. I think it's one factor.
- Q. Is the text of a sponsored link always a factor that should be considered when assessing whether a consumer is likely to become confused?

A. I'm referring to factors that are used to make the argument that there's a likelihood of confusion.

- Q. But which trial? When you said "positions taken at trial," I'm trying to understand which trial you have in mind.
- A. So I didn't -- I didn't immediately think of a trial. Again, I was trying to focus on your question of generally a scope of testimony.
- Q. Based on everything -- strike that.

 Is it your view that it is impossible for a competitor of 1-800 Contacts to display a sponsored link in response to a search query for a 1-800 Contacts trademarked term without creating an unacceptable likelihood that consumers could become confused?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form; vague and ambiguous; improperly calls for opinion testimony; over broad.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: No. I think there are sponsored ads that competitors could provide that would not create a likelihood of confusion.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Have you ever seen such an ad?

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

24

25

	89		91
1	MR. STONE: Same objections.	1	create a likelihood of confusion?
2	THE WITNESS: My recollection is that I	2	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Yes. I'm asking you
3	have.	3	for your view sitting here today.
4	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall which	4	MR. STONE: Same objections.
5	company sponsored the ad you have in mind?	5	You can answer.
6	A. I don't specifically, no.	6	THE WITNESS: I think sitting here
7	Q. Do you recall when you saw the ad you have	7	today again, going back to the factors that
8	in mind?	8	there's depending on the user's experience and
9	A. Not specifically. I know it's been some	9	their frequency of use of online advertising and
10	time ago.	10	sponsored links, that there could be a likelihood of
11	Q. Some time ago. Is it more than six months	11	confusion. But it depends on the care given by the
12	ago?	12	consumer, their experience and use of the Internet,
13	A. Yes.	13	those types of things, and what has formed their
14	Q. More than six years ago?	14	impression of what's going on in having this
15	A. The specific instance I'm thinking of is	15	advertisement be produced.
16	probably within that six-year range.	16	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you believe that the
17	Q. Do you recall any communications you had	17	appearance of this specific sponsored link in
18	with the sponsor of that advertisement?	18	response to a search for a term on which
19	A. No.	19	1-800 Contacts owns a trademark would justify a
20	Q. Do you recall if you had any	20	lawsuit for trademark infringement against the
21	communications with the sponsor of that	21	sponsor of this ad?
22	advertisement?	22	MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to
23	A. I don't recall for sure. My recollection	23	form. Improperly calls for opinion testimony,
24	is that we did not.	24	including legal opinion testimony.
25	Q. Turning our attention to the second page	25	THE WITNESS: I personally don't feel that
	90		92
1	of the document, CX0078-002, do you see sponsored	1	I have enough information based on this screenshot to
2	links displayed on this snapshot of the search engine	2	decide whether I would it would warrant a
3	results page?	3	complaint or anything of that nature.
4	A. I do.	4	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What other information
5	Q. Turning your attention to the sponsored	5	was it your practice to acquire before filing
6	link in the top right that appears above the	6	litigation against the sponsors of search advertising
7	hyperlink www.LensDiscounters.com. Is it your view	7	other than a screenshot?
8	that strike that.	8	MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not
9	When you received this document, did you	9	in evidence; improper as to form.
10	form the view that this sponsored link could lead to	10	And I think this question is the way
11 12	consumer confusion?	11	it's framed gets into if there was if he did have
	MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; improper as to form.	12 13	a practice I don't know whether he did or he
13 14	You can answer.	14	didn't, but if he did have a practice, I think this gets into his work product.
15	THE WITNESS: When I received the	15	And so if you think this is causing you to
16	document, I did not specifically look at that	16	reveal your work product, Mr. Pratt, you should
17	advertisement.	17	decline to answer on the grounds of the work product
18	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Sitting here today, do	18	privilege. And if you need to confer with me before
19	you have a view on whether this sponsored link could	19	you answer the question, we can do that.
20	create an unacceptable likelihood of consumer	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd like to confer
21	confusion?	21	with you.
22	MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to	22	MR. STONE: Okay. Why don't we go off the
23	form; improperly calls for opinion testimony.	23	record.
	THE WITNESS: So are you asking me to	24	MR MATHESON: So are you declining to

MR. MATHESON: So are you declining to

answer the question so you can consult with your

THE WITNESS: So are you asking me to

analyze this currently as to whether this would

24

25

95

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if you can respond to the question without revealing

that, you should respond to whatever extent you can.

saying that it was not our practice to file suit

and a likelihood of confusion.

based solely on a screenshot. There were other --

other things that we did to investigate the ad itself

THE WITNESS: Okay. I would respond by

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What other things are

1 attorney? you referring to? 1 2 2 MR. STONE: No. We're taking a break so MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 3 3 that we can confer to decide whether he's going to answer, on the grounds of attorney work product. 4 4 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What other things did answer the question. 5 5 MR. MATHESON: There's a question pending. you investigate prior to filing a lawsuit against MR. STONE: I understand --6 Lens.com? 6 7 7 MR. MATHESON: I would just be happy with MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 8 the answer "I would like to decline to answer in 8 answer, on the grounds of work product. 9 order to consult with my attorney." But I don't 9 Yeah, this is an interesting one, because 10 10 think we can just stop. in the connection with Lens.com there may have been MR. STONE: We can, and we are. some disclosure because of the filing of the 11 11 MR. MATHESON: We're not. counterclaim for malicious prosecution. 12 12 MR. STONE: And that's the way we do it. 13 So if you have -- if you have evidence of 13 information that was disclosed in response to that 14 MR. MATHESON: No, we're not. 14 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Answer the question. 15 claim that would be responsive here, you can provide MR. STONE: Come on. 16 it. But otherwise, I think the actual investigation 16 17 MR. MATHESON: All right, go off the 17 that was done there is protected by the attorney work 18 record. We're going to take this up later. 18 product. 19 (Mr. Stone and the witness left the 19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you able to answer 20 deposition room.) 20 the question, sir? Do you recall what the question 21 MR. MATHESON: Let the record reflect 21 was? 22 counsel has exited the room with a question pending 22 I think we should read it back. With your 23 after coaching the witness for two, three minutes. 23 counsel's instruction in mind, we'll take the 24 24 Now we can go off the record. instruction as read. And the question is, what other 25 (Recess from 10:47 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.) 25 things did you investigate prior to filing a lawsuit 94 96 1 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall the 1 against Lens.com? 2 question pending when you left the room, sir? 2 A. And I'll refuse to answer that based on 3 MR. STONE: Yeah. Could you read it back 3 attorney-client privilege, work product. 4 for us? 4 Q. Did you always investigate factors other 5 5 than a screenshot indicating the appearance of an Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What other information was it your practice to acquire before filing 6 advertisement on a search engine results page prior 6 litigation on behalf of 1-800 Contacts against the 7 to filing a lawsuit on behalf of 1-800 Contacts 7 8 relating to the display of search advertising? 8 sponsors of search advertising, other than a 9 screenshot? 9 MR. STONE: I think you can answer that 10 MR. STONE: Was that the -- was that 10 yes or no. the -- you read back the prior question? THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 11 12 12 MR. MATHESON: I thought so. Q. (By Mr. Matheson) If I asked you in any 13 MR. STONE: Okay. Okay. 13 specific case what were those factors, would you 14 So I'm going to instruct you, Mr. Pratt, 14 decline to answer in order to protect a privilege? not to disclose information that would reveal work 15 A. Unless there's an instance where the 15 product, including what you normally did in terms of 16 privilege has already been waived, yes. 16 your practices, if you had a general practice. But 17 17 Q. Did you investigate any factors other than

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

the appearance of a sponsored link on a search engine

MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not

results page before contacting Lens Discounters on

behalf of 1-800 Contacts regarding the alleged

unauthorized use of 1-800 Contacts' trademark?

in evidence; vague and ambiguous; improper as to

You can answer yes or no, if you know.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What were those factors?

MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to answer on the grounds of attorney work product.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Follow the instruction, sir?

A. Yes.

MR. STONE: I'm just trying to be helpful. I mean, there may well be information that was disclosed in the conduct of communications with Lens Discounters, or there may be information disclosed that's in complaints or attached to complaints. So there's a lot of information that probably results from an investigation that he has already disclosed that would not be subject to the privilege, if you want to get at it that way.

Again, I'm not trying to tell you how to ask the questions; I'm just trying to suggest that the work product privilege that I'm asserting now is because I don't think the witness can, as he sits here today, distinguish between what work they did that they shared with the other side or shared with the court and what work they did that they may not have shared.

privilege.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I can answer generally, because I don't recall specifically if it was Lens Discounters or not. But I know that at times we've shared with either the court or with another party the use of Keyword Spy. I think that we've also disclosed with the court the use of WHOIS data and information to research who they were and to investigate who actually owns the landing pages, assuming the landing pages were accurate and correct.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Anything else other than data from Keyword Spy and WHOIS?

A. Those are the only things that, as I sit here today, I can recollect have been disclosed either to the other party or to the court.

Q. Is there anything else that you reviewed that falls into a category that was not disclosed to another party or a court?

MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct the witness not to answer on the grounds of work product, if I understand it.

You're asking him did he do anything else besides that?

MR. MATHESON: Precisely.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Was there any other

So I don't know that he can draw that distinction today; but it's there in the record if you want to go at it that way.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And to be candid, I'm not aware of anything you've disclosed regarding other investigation. I'm just trying to understand, to the extent it relates to monitoring activity, what else did you review besides screenshots. So that's why I'm asking these questions. It's not because I have something I'm going to pull out and say, ha, ha, what about this. I'm just trying to understand, other than looking at screenshots, what did you do? And if the answer is it's privileged, then that's fine.

MR. STONE: So I guess my point is to you, Mr. Pratt -- let me instruct you this way. The work that you did in conducting your investigation would be protected by the work product privilege. If you can recall an instance where you shared some of the results of that investigation with the other side or with the court or otherwise publicly disclosed it, if you have a recollection of that, you should provide that to Mr. Matheson. If you don't recall for certain what it is you may have shared or not shared, then you should decline to answer on the grounds of

basis for your decision to file a lawsuit other than screenshots, Keyword Spy, and information from WHOIS that you cannot reveal in order to protect a privilege?

MR. STONE: I think you can answer that question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And you're not going to reveal that? Just to make sure the record is clear, what is that information --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. -- you're not going to reveal what that information is?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Gotcha. Okay.

Going to hand you a document we've marked as CX1186.

(Exhibit CX1186 was identified.)

Q. And my -- I gave you three pages because that's how it was produced to us. I don't really understand why the latter two pages were attached to the first page. I have no questions regarding those two pages. This is just the manner in which the document was produced. All of my questions relate to the first page.

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

101 103 1 MR. STONE: And this was produced by Lens 1 locations that were searched. 2 2 Discounters, not by 1-800? Q. Were you aware of the intent of the 3 MR. MATHESON: That is correct. 3 search, what you were trying to produce? 4 MR. STONE: Okay. 4 A. Yes, I'm aware. 5 MR. MATHESON: Yeah, I'm showing it to you 5 Q. What was the goal of the production? 6 because I believe you've seen it before. It is 6 A. The intent of the production was to be 7 confidential, should be maintained as confidential. 7 fully responsive to the document requests in both 8 MR. STONE: I don't know. He may not have 8 scope and breadth. 9 seen the USPS Track & Confirm slip that is page 3. 9 Q. There was also a subpoena duces tecum MR. MATHESON: It wasn't clear to me if 10 10 addressed to you personally. Are you aware of any 11 this was attached to it or not. I don't know. 11 search conducted in response to that subpoena duces 12 MR. STONE: Fine. I'm joking with that 12 tecum? 13 13 A. Yes. comment. 14 14 (The witness reviews the document.) Q. Do you know the locations of documents 15 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recognize this that were searched in response to that subpoena duces document, sir? 16 16 tecum? 17 A. Yes, I have a recollection of this 17 A. Yes. 18 document. 18 Q. Did those locations include your 19 19 O. What is this document? correspondence files? 20 A. This document is a response from the CEO 20 A. The correspondence files that I was in 21 of LensDiscounters.com. 21 possession of, yes. 22 Q. A response to what? 22 Q. How far back did those files go? 23 A. A response to -- I believe, as indicated 23 A. I don't have a certain knowledge of that 24 24 in the document, a letter from me dated May 12th, right now as we sit here, but I have an impression 25 25 that they went back to probably 2005. 102 104 Q. I'll represent we're not aware if the 1 Q. Do you, in the ordinary course of 1 2 corresponding with parties against whom litigation is 2 letter dated May 12th -- we're not aware that it was 3 3 produced. Is it your understanding that an attempt contemplated, keep a copy of letters you send to such 4 parties? 4 was made to gather documents including the letter 5 5 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to referred to dated May 12th, 2009? 6 A. Yes. 6 form; compound; vague and ambiguous. 7 You can answer. 7 Q. The second paragraph of this letter 8 states, and I quote, "We will comply with your 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you know whether or 9 request and remove the sponsored advertisements that 10 not you kept a copy of the letter dated May 12th, 10 appear when a user searches for one of 1800contacts 2009, referred to in this document? 11 trademarked terms or a variation thereof." 11 12 A. As I sit here today, I don't know. 12 And the last sentence of that paragraph 13 Q. You're aware that documents were produced 13 reads, "We have now added the negative keywords as 14 from the files of Holland & Hart --14 you have listed in your letter." A. Yes. 15 Based on this communication from the CEO 15 Q. -- in response in this matter? 16 of Lens Discounters to yourself, did you understand 16 that they had attempted to ensure that their 17 17 18 Q. Did you -- are you aware of the search 18 advertisements did not appear on search engine 19 that was conducted in order to produce such 19 results pages in response to searches for 20 documents? 20 1-800 Contacts' trademarked terms?

A. I don't have a specific recollection of

Q. Sitting here today, do you think that's a

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

what my impression was at the time.

fair reading of the document?

21

22

23

24

25

A. I'm aware of some of the aspects of that

A. Generally. I'm aware of some of the

aware of locations that were searched?

Q. What aspects are you aware of? Are you

21

22

23

24

25

search, yes.

105 107 form. Document speaks for itself. 1 1 A. I don't recall a specific -- any specific 2 facts regarding an investigation as I sit here. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, as I read it, 2 3 those sentences that you read said that we've now 3 Q. Do you recall any information you reviewed 4 added the negative keywords as you have listed in 4 prior to contacting LensDiscounters.com regarding 5 5 your letter. I don't have any reason to believe your client's trademark rights? 6 otherwise. 6 A. I don't have any specific recollection, 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you communicate to just other than my general practice and procedures. 8 vour client that LensDiscounters.com had implemented 8 Q. Do you recall whether or not prior to the 9 negative keywords? 9 time you contacted LensDiscounters.com you reviewed 10 A. I don't have a recollection of that, 10 any information other than a screenshot displaying 11 necessarily, right now. It's my practice to inform 11 sponsored links from LensDiscounters.com? 12 my client of going-ons in cases and matters. 12 A. As I mentioned previously, it's my 13 Q. Why did you contact Lens Discounters on 13 practice and procedures to look at a number of other 14 behalf of 1-800 Contacts? 14 things besides that before sending a letter. 15 MR. STONE: At this time? You mean in May 15 Q. But you can't recall -- can you recall any of 2009? 16 16 such sources? Can you recall any such information 17 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Yes. In May of 2009, 17 you reviewed prior to contacting LensDiscounters.com? 18 why did you contact Lens Discounters on behalf of 18 Not specific to LensDiscounters.com. 19 1-800 Contacts? 19 Q. I hand you a document we've marked as 20 A. I don't have a specific recollection of 20 CX0709. 21 why the contact was made in this instance. 21 (Exhibit CX0709 was identified.) 22 Q. Did your contact relate to display of 22 Q. I don't have any detailed questions about 23 search advertising on search engine results pages? 23 this document -- feel free to review it -- other than 24 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; 24 just generally what this document is and the bolded improper as to form. 25 25 text after "II. Negative Phrase-Matched Keywords." 108 106 THE WITNESS: That's an assumption I'd A. (The witness reviews the document.) 1 1 2 make based on the language of this letter. 2 3 3 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall in Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? 4 4 general having interactions with LensDiscounters.com A. I do. 5 Q. What is it? 5 at approximately this time period regarding the 6 A. This is an e-mail to Matt, counsel for display of search advertisements on search engine 6 7 7 Lenses For Less. results pages? 8 8 A. In general, yes. Q. Did you write this e-mail? 9 Q. Do you recall in general that you informed 9 10 LensDiscounters.com they were violating your client's 10 Q. Does this e-mail provide information to 11 11 trademark rights in 2009? counsel for Lenses For Less regarding the A. I don't have a specific recollection of 12 12 implementation of negative phrase-matched keywords in 13 13 the content of a letter that was sent -- of this Google? 14 letter. 14 MR. STONE: Objection. The document 15 15 speaks for itself. Q. Do you recall discussing your client's THE WITNESS: I was going to say that's 16 trademark rights with LensDiscounters.com in 2009? 16 17 A. Generally, yes. 17 what the document appears to do, yes. 18 Q. Do you recall informing 18 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Why did you send that 19 LensDiscounters.com at any point in time that they 19 information to counsel for Lenses For Less? 20 were violating your client's trademark rights? 20 A. My current recollection is that counsel 21 21 A. I don't have a specific recollection, but for Lenses For Less expressed a concern that 22 22 it was likely in a letter dated May 12th, 2009. implementing negative keywords would exclude them 23 23 Q. Do you recall any investigation you from showing up on searches for generic terms. performed prior to discussing with 24 24 Q. How did sending him information regarding 25 negative phrase-matched keywords address the concern 25 LensDiscounters.com your client's trademark rights?

109 111 1 he expressed to you? 1 transmitted to you? 2 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to A. Because this document, one, it expresses, 3 as noted above, the intent for it to focus on 3 form. 4 searches for registered, federally registered 4 But you can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: I think in response to that, 5 trademarks and confusingly similar variations, one, as far as intent. And then, second, identifying ways 6 I would say that I'm not aware of any specifically 6 7 7 using the screenshots used in those reports, other that negatives can be implemented such that their 8 searches would only be excluded in response to those 8 than the Lens.com survey, possibly. 9 exact-matched terms. 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) It was not your 10 10 Q. Returning to the first exhibit we looked practice to run a survey in order to determine at, "Description of Monitoring Activities," the 11 whether the screenshots sent to you by your client 11 12 trademark monitoring reports you received from 12 would likely result in consumer confusion? 1-800 Contacts, what information do these reports 13 A. Other than the Lens.com survey, no. 13 14 contain, other than screenshots indicating sponsored 14 Q. Did you ever conclude that an advertiser 15 15 links or other paid search advertising appearing on infringed one of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks solely on 16 the basis of the appearance of its advertisement on a 16 search engine results pages? 17 A. So those would vary. They varied 17 search engine results page? 18 throughout the representation. At times it would 18 MR. STONE: Give me just a second. 19 illustrate the time the snapshot was taken. They 19 I'm going to instruct the witness not to 20 would list at times a string of basically server 20 answer on the grounds that that would invade the 21 bounces and where -- when a link was selected, where 21 attorney work product privilege and perhaps the 22 you were taken and what credit was given to attorney-client privilege as the question is 22 23 affiliates, for example, to show whether it was a 23 currently framed. 24 principal party or an affiliate network. And there 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 25 was oftentimes contact information for the parties 25 vour attorney's instructions, sir? 110 112 1 that would show up. 1 A. I am. 2 Q. Any other information contained in these 2 O. Does Holland & Hart track billable hours 3 3 periodic reports beyond what you just listed? in the ordinary course of business, billable hours as attorneys bill? 4 A. Not that I recall. 4 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Was there any information contained in these reports regarding an empirical analysis of the 6 Q. Are you -- do you have a good sense for 6 7 likelihood that consumers would become confused by 7 how many billable hours you have recorded this year? 8 8 A. Not to an exact number, but generally, the paid search advertising displayed in the reports? 9 MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 9 yes. 10 ambiguous. 10 Q. What's your sense? A range. You can answer. 11 A. Between 1,700 and 1,800 hours. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. 12 Q. And the year is not quite over, but close 13 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall ever 13 to over? 14 conducting a survey or another empirical analysis 14 A. Excuse me? The year -- correct. using the screenshot included in one of these 15 Q. You're on a calendar year? 15 A. Yes. On a calendar year, the year is 16 reports? 16 17 A. I'm trying to recall whether there was an 17 almost over, yes. Q. Okay. Do you have a sense for what 18 actual screenshot from a report used in the Lens.com 18 19 survey or not. I'm not positive. 19 portion of those hours were billed to 1-800 Contacts? 20 Q. Other than the Lens.com survey, do you 20 A. I have a general sense. 21 recall ever conducting a survey or another empirical Q. Are you billing your time today to 21 22 analysis -- or, strike that. 22 1-800 Contacts? Other than the Lens.com survey, are you 23 23 A. I haven't had that discussion with the 24 aware of another survey or empirical analysis using a 24 client vet. 25 screenshot included in one of the periodic reports 25 Q. Did you meet with Mr. Stone prior to this

	113		115
1	deposition?	1	with Mr. Stone.
2	A. I did.	2	Q. Likewise, you haven't discussed with the
3	Q. How long did you meet with him for?	3	client whether you'll bill your time for this
4	A. It was probably about four and a half	4	deposition today?
5	hours.	5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. When did this meeting occur?	6	Q. I think we're wrapped up. I do have one
7	A. Yesterday.	7	question I want to ask, but it's going to be on a
8	Q. Did you bill that time to 1-800 Contacts?	8	complaint that you signed. And I'm happy to give you
9	A. I haven't had that discussion with the	9	all the time in the world to review this complaint,
10	client, either.	10	but I'm afraid if we do it on the record, it's going
11	Q. Have you recorded that time in any	11	to soak up like an hour. I'd be happy to hand it to
12	fashion?	12	you and you can review it at your leisure, and then
13	A. I've recorded it.	13	we can discuss it after a break. If you want to take
14	Q. What do you mean by "recorded it"?	14	lunch
15	A. I've written it down.	15	MR. STONE: Well, we're not going to take
16	Q. When you write it down we used to have	16	an hour of his time to review something. That's not
17	Carpe Diem. Do you guys have a time entry system?	17	leisure.
18	A. We do.	18	MR. MATHESON: Well, it's literally I'm
19	Q. Do you enter your own time to the time	19	going to ask about one paragraph. I'm just
20	entry system?	20	worried
21	A. I do.	21	MR. STONE: You can show him the
22	Q. You've entered that time in a time entry	22	complaint. You could have given it to us earlier, as
23	system?	23	you know. You could have given us the documents
24	A. Yeah.	24	earlier for him to review if you had wanted him to
25	THE REPORTER: I'm not sure what	25	review them. I think the manual provides for that,
	114		116
1	MR. MATHESON: You have entered the time	1	and prior orders of the ALJ have provided for that.
2	into a time entry system.	2	But you can give it to him now, and he'll review it
3	MR. STONE: I think she didn't understand	3	as quickly as he can to whatever extent he feels he
4	Carpe Diem.	4	needs to.
5	(Off the record briefly.)	5	MR. MATHESON: All right. So you're not
6	Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. So just to make	6	amenable to reviewing this complaint during a break
7	sure the record's clear: you have entered the time	7	so I can ask about one paragraph?
8	into your time entry system that you spent yesterday	8	MR. STONE: No, we're not.
9	with Mr. Stone preparing for this deposition?	9	MR. MATHESON: All right. In that case,
10	A. Correct.	10	we will turn it over.
11	Q. Did you assign that time a billing	11	How long have we been on the record?
12	designation of any sort?	12	MR. CHIARELLO: I have two hours and 20
13	A. No.	13	minutes.
14	Q. You're not required to assign time a	14	MR. MATHESON: Okay. We'd like to reserve
15	billing designation when it's entered into your time	15	an hour and ten minutes or whatever is appropriate
16	tracking system?	16	after Mr. Stone has an opportunity to question.
17	A. No, you can. You can also include a	17	(A discussion was held off the record.)
18	temporary number and a temporary assignment until	18	EXAMINATION
19	things are figured out.	19	BY MR. STONE:
20	Q. Why did you include a temporary number?	20	Q. Good morning, Mr. Pratt.
21	A. Because I haven't discussed it with the	21	A. Good morning.
22	client yet.	22	Q. Take a look at the exhibit that you were
23	Q. You haven't discussed what with the client	23	shown earlier, CX0709. Do you have that in front of
24 25	yet? A Whathar I would hill my time for meeting	24	you? A. I do.
25	A. Whether I would bill my time for meeting	25	A. 1 UU.

119 117 1 Q. Okay. Mr. Matheson asked you about 1 Q. Okay. Well, let me see if I can show you 2 2 phrase-matched -- negative phrase-matched keywords. some documents. 3 Do you remember that questioning? 3 Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX64 a 4 A. I do. 4 document bearing the production number 5 Q. Does this document, Exhibit CX0709, also 5 1-800F 00081723-24. 6 talk about negative exact-matched keywords? 6 (Exhibit RX0064 was marked.) 7 7 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the leading. Q. The reporter has handed you what we've 8 8 Document speaks for itself. marked for identification as RX0064. Could you take 9 THE WITNESS: It does. 9 a moment to review that. 10 10 O. (By Mr. Stone) Where on the document is A. (The witness reviews the document.) 11 there a discussion of negative exact-matched 11 Okav. 12 keywords? 12 Q. Have you seen this document before? 13 13 A. It's located directly below a heading III, A. I have. Q. What is it? 14 "Negative Exact-Matched Keywords," in bold. 14 This is a communication between Mark 15 Q. And what's a negative exact-matched 15 keyword? 16 Miller and Matt Jenkins. 16 17 MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation. 17 O. Were you copied on this communication? 18 THE WITNESS: So my understanding is that 18 A. I was. 19 a negative exact-matched keyword when implemented in 19 O. And who is Matt Jenkins? A. Matt Jenkins is an attorney, counsel for 20 a keyword ads campaign would restrict a user's 20 21 advertisement or sponsored link from being displayed 21 Lenses For Less. 22 in response to a search for that exact keyword 22 Q. And if you go back to Exhibit CX0709, is 23 without variation. 23 he the same Matt referred to there? 24 24 Q. (By Mr. Stone) The information that's A. He is. 25 contained in Exhibit CX0709 that has these two Roman 25 O. Having now looked at these two documents, 118 120 1 numeral headings, Roman numeral II, Roman numeral 1 CX0709 and RX0064, do you have a recollection as to 2 III, do you recall where this information came from? 2 whether there had been a communication with Lenses 3 3 A. My recollection is that it came from For Less about prior infringements of the 4 1-800 Contacts trademarks? 4 Google AdWords, from their web page. 5 5 Q. And what was the source of your A. Yes. Q. What do you recall about those prior 6 understanding of how negative keywords worked as 6 7 between exact match and phrase match? 7 communications? 8 8 A. So my understanding was based on the A. I recall that there was a cease and desist 9 review of Google's online tutorial as well as 9 letter sent; and then my recollection is ongoing 10 discussions with employees at 1-800 Contacts. 10 communications with Mark Miller, between Mark Miller 11 Q. If you look at the second page of Exhibit and their counsel regarding potential settlement. 11 12 CX0709, there's a URL address there. 12 Q. If you look at CX0709, the document that 13 A. I see that. 13 you were shown earlier by Mr. Matheson, you'll see in 14 Q. What did you -- what was the reason for 14 the second paragraph it says that "The general intent 15 including that URL address in this e-mail? 15 and focus of the settlement agreement is to prevent A. I don't have an exact recollection. My 16 16 sponsored ads from being displayed in response to 17 belief, as I sit here, is it provides Mr. -- it 17 searches for the other party's registered trademarks 18 provides Matt with the resource himself to see the 18 and exact URLs." 19 different ways that the negative keywords can be 19 Do you see that? 20 implemented and to see -- and to give him a resource 20 A. I do. 21 to ask additional questions. It's a support for him. 21 Q. After reading that sentence, does this 22 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Was this the only 22 suggest to you that a settlement agreement had been 23 23 communication you ever had with Matt? sent out prior to January 25 of 2010? 24 A. I don't recall. I believe so, but I'm not 24 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the foundation 25 25 positive. and the leading.

THE WITNESS: That's my takeaway from that sentence, yes, that a settlement agreement had been sent and that these communications were ongoing

Q. (By Mr. Stone) In the context of settlement agreements, were the settlement agreements that you -- let me ask you this way. Were you involved in negotiating some settlement agreements on behalf of 1-800 Contacts with other companies?

communications to clarify that agreement.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were those agreements ones in which there was an actual negotiation, that is, you would present a proposal, they would respond, and there would be back and forth and changes to the agreement before it was finalized?

MR. MATHESON: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. There were -- there were often e-mail exchanges, redline versions sent back and forth clarifying language and modifying the terms of the agreement.

- Q. (By Mr. Stone) In terms of the communications you had regarding the settlement agreements, did you ever find any of the other parties who were eager to sign the agreements?
 - A. No, not to my recollection.

after that period of time, if the owner submits a declaration there's been ongoing use, uninterrupted use, that it is -- it becomes incontestable.

- Q. Which of these marks did you understand were incontestable at the time?
- A. So at the time, my understanding were that 1-800 Contacts, that both registration No. 2,731,114 and 2,675,866 were incontestable at that time.
- Q. And have any of these trademarks become incontestable since that time, if you know?
- A. I don't know for sure. My informed belief, based on timing, would be that the Aquasoft trademark as well as The World's Largest Contact Lens Store, and possibly We Deliver You Save as well.
- Q. On the first page of Exhibit CX0709 -- I had earlier directed you to the URL on the second page, but there's a URL about halfway down on the first page. Do you see that?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And what was your purpose of including that URL in this particular e-mail to Matt Jenkins?

A. Again, it was to provide him with a source, a third-party source that he could identify and obtain additional information on how negative keywords work to inform himself and his client.

Q. Did the other parties resist, push back, or otherwise try to either avoid signing the agreement or change the terms of the agreement?

- A. Yes, in every instance that I can recall.
- Q. Take a look at CX0709 still, if you would. There's a listing of trademarks with bullet points in front of them on that.
 - A. I see that.
- Q. Were you involved in obtaining the trademark registration for any of those trademarks?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which ones, if you recall?
- A. I recall the Aquasoft trademarks, both of those, being involved in the prosecution of those. And I recall some ongoing prosecution and maintenance of a number of the others.
- Q. Do you know whether any of those trademarks are incontestable?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What's it mean to be incontestable for a trademark?
- A. An incontestable trademark is one that's been registered and a declaration has been filed with the USPTO. It's been registered and not been invalidated or contested for a period of time. And

Q. Let me show you another document. We'll mark this as RX0065.

(Exhibit RX0065 was marked.)

- Q. The reporter has handed you what we've marked for identification as Exhibit RX0065. Is this a document you've seen before?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. This is a letter received April 20th, 2010, directed to 1-800 Contacts, and specifically Joe Zeidner, from Matt Jenkins regarding the settlement agreement that was signed between 1-800 Contacts and Lenses For Less.
- Q. And what do you understand this to relate to?
- A. My understanding, looking at the document, is that it relates to a -- it's a notice to 1-800 Contacts of a potential breach of the settlement agreement by one of their affiliates.
 - Q. By one of whose affiliates?
- A. One of 1-800 Contacts' affiliates, based on advertisement that came up in response to a search.
 - Q. And were you involved --
 - A. Actually, let me correct. It's not in

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

125 127 1 response to a search, it's a link to an affiliate 1 confidential to 1-800 Contacts, or was it shared with 2 site, an affiliate's website. 2 Lens.com? 3 Q. And were you involved in responding to 3 A. That survey was shared with Lens.com as 4 this letter from Mr. Jenkins? 4 part of the Lens.com litigation. 5 A. My recollection, I believe I was. 5 Q. And what were the conclusions that you recall from that survey? 6 Q. And what did you -- what was the 6 7 7 resolution of that, if you recall? MR. MATHESON: Objection to the 8 8 A. I believe that -- my recollection is that foundation. 9 this affiliate was they call it terminated from the 9 THE WITNESS: My recollection -- and the 10 10 numbers could be wrong, but my recollection was that affiliate program, meaning that the affiliate was no longer associated with 1-800 Contacts because the 11 11 there was a -- based on the survey, that there was a 12 affiliate violated the terms and conditions that 12 control and then other instances of displaying an ad 1-800 Contacts had provided those affiliates to avoid 13 word based on that search, and that the control 13 14 these types of situations that violate the 14 illustrated some confusion in the 10 percent range, 15 agreements. 15 that the lowest level of confusion outside of the control was generally around 17 percent. 16 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to 16 17 mark as RX0066 a letter dated April 22nd, 2010. 17 I remember -- I recall it being around a 7 18 (Exhibit RX0066 was marked.) 18 percent delta between the two with varying levels of 19 Q. (By Mr. Stone) The reporter has handed 19 confusion, depending on which factors -- as I you what we've marked for identification as RX0066. mentioned previously, exposure to the Internet, 20 20 21 Do you recognize this document? 21 frequency of using the Internet, and purchases of 22 22 A. I do. contact lenses. Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. In the Lens.com 23 Q. And what is this document? 23 24 A. This document is a response --24 case, was there other evidence that you learned about 25 communication to Matt Jenkins in response to the 25 of actual confusion? 128 126 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the 1 previous letter that we discussed, the April 15th 1 2 2 characterization. letter. 3 3 Q. So RX0066 is a response to RX0065? THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 A. Correct. 4 O. (By Mr. Stone) What other evidence did 5 5 Q. And this is a document you've seen before? you learn about in the course of that case that you 6 6 can share that was not covered by any privilege or confidentiality agreement? 7 Q. Do you know of any further communications 7 8 A. I believe this was made of record in the 8 regarding this particular issue that came after 9 RX0066? 9 appeal, that there was evidence of actual confusion 10 A. Not that I'm aware of. 10 provided by Lens.com on the eve of the summary Q. You mentioned earlier today a survey that judgment hearing that related to a call center agent 11 11 12 was done in connection with the Lens.com litigation. 12 who received a call from someone who thought that 13 13 they were 1-800 Contacts. A. Yes. 14 Q. Who did that survey, if you know? 14 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the foundation A. Trying to remember if it was -- Carl 15 15 based on the answer. Degen, I believe. I'm not positive. Q. (By Mr. Stone) Thought that who was --16 16 Q. What was the purpose of that survey, if A. Thought that Lens.com was actually 17 17 18 vou recall? 18 1-800 Contacts. It was Lens.com's call center, and 19 A. The purpose of that survey was to 19 they track calls for quality control, I would assume, 20 demonstrate a likelihood of confusion based on the 20 and the call came in regarding an order. The call 21 display of a sponsored link in response to a search 21 center agent, whoever that was, my recollection is for a trademarked word and to evaluate the different 22 22 that they kept a note that said that customer 23 factors that would influence the level of confusion. 23 requested to cancel the order because they thought 24 O. And what did that -- was that survey made 24 that Lens.com was 1-800 Contacts. 25 public? Or, in other words -- or was it kept 25 MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation.

129 131 1 Best evidence. 1 A. Here the search for is -- the search is 2 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to 2 for the term "1-800 contacts." 3 mark as 67, I think -- RX0067 a set of documents 3 Q. Okay. And then beneath that we see a 4 bearing production Nos. 1-800F_00084017 through 4 heading that says "Sponsored Links." Do you see 5 5 84043. 6 (Exhibit RX0067 was marked.) 6 A. I do see that. 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Stone) I want to start with, if Q. Earlier you were asked about -- I think in 8 we can, the next to the last page, which is dated --8 the description of your testimony, perhaps, that you 9 which is Bates numbered 84042. So you see there's a 9 were read by Mr. Matheson, you were asked about the 10 phrase "sponsored links"? 10 letter dated June 28, 2005 --A. I do. 11 A. Correct. 11 Q. -- "To whom it may concern," and then it 12 12 Q. And you talked about it, I believe, at continues on? 13 that time in terms of URLs or web addresses? 13 14 14 A. Yes. Q. When the term "sponsored link" is used 15 15 Q. Can you tell us what these two pages of Exhibit RX0067 are? 16 here on a search results page, is that also a subject 16 on which you may testify? 17 A. These appear to be a letter from Roy 17 18 Montclair, who is legal counsel at 1-800 Contacts, to 18 A. Yes. 19 Lens Discounters notifying them that it's his belief 19 Q. Okay. And earlier when you talked about 20 that there's a targeted scheme to infringe on 20 indicia of confusion, you were being asked about the 21 1-800 Contacts' trademark by purchasing 21 reference to sponsored links, the terminology in the 22 advertisements for the 1-800 Contacts trademark to 22 far right-hand side of some pages. Do you recall 23 trigger their competing ad. 23 that? MR. MATHESON: Objection to the 24 24 25 25 O. When the sponsored links appears on the foundation. 130 132 Q. (By Mr. Stone) And then look, if you 1 left-hand side as it does on this Exhibit RX67 on the 2 would, at the portion of this document that begins 2 page ending in 84037, is that, in your view, also an 3 with the Bates No. 84035. 3 indicia of confusion or not? 4 A. Yes, it is. 4 A. Okay. Q. How so? 5 5 Q. Do you have that document in front of you? 6 A. Well, if you look at this page 6 7 7 O. And what is this document, the pages 84035 specifically, if you look at the search term and the 8 and 84036? 8 tab, you can search specifically for sponsored links. 9 A. So this document is a letter from David 9 And the field is 1-800 Contacts, so I believe that it 10 Zeidner, who is legal counsel for 1-800 Contacts, to 10 would be easy for someone to consider that you are 11 Lens Discounters, dated September 1st, 2005. 11 searching for links that are sponsored by 12 MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation. 12 1-800 Contacts, because that's what's in the field 13 No foundation's been laid that the witness is 13 when you're searching for sponsored links. familiar with this document other than reading it. Q. And do we see an ad here that shows up for 14 14 Q. (By Mr. Stone) The same recipient as the 15 what would appear to be LensDiscounters.com? 15 prior letter that we looked at? A. That's what it would appear to be. Again, 16 16 MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation. I would investigate further; but it appears, based on 17 17 the landing URL, which is not always consistent. 18 It's not clear the witness has any knowledge that 18 19 Q. Okay. And if we turn to the next page, 19 this letter was received by anyone. 20 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 20 84038, do we see a similar presentation of search 21 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Then look, if you would, 21 results with the search query being slightly 22 22 at the screenshot on 84037. Do you have that one in different? 23 front of you? 23 A. We do. 24 24 Q. And how did the search query differ? A. I do. 25 25 O. What is the search for here? A. So the search query has an additional

133 135 1 1 hyphen. It has "1-800-contacts." So there's an advertisement. 2 2 Q. So -- and when you say "to advertise your addition of a hyphen rather than a space. 3 3 Q. Okay. And if we look at the next page, directly competitive goods and services," what were 4 84039 is the Bates number, do we see search results 4 you referring to there? 5 5 A. I was referring to their sponsored ads displayed in response to again another search query? A. Yes, we do. 6 6 that were showing up in the previous screenshots that 7 7 Q. And is there a difference in that search 8 query from the prior ones? 8 Q. And then you say, "Is an obvious attempt 9 A. There is. There are no hyphens, and 9 to trade off the goodwill established by 10 "1800" is a single term with a space, and then the 10 1800 CONTACTS in its famous 1800 CONTACTS trademark." 11 term "contacts." 11 What were you referring to there when you 12 Q. Now, look, if you would, at the page 12 talk about "the goodwill of 1800 CONTACTS"? 13 13 beginning in 84021, a letter dated September 20, A. So at that point I was referring to the 2005, and look at the next -- the three pages that 14 14 investment and the consumer recognition of the 15 constitute that letter. 15 1-800 Contacts trademark itself based on A. Okay. 16 16 1-800 Contacts' investment, based on the, again, the 17 O. Is this a letter that you have seen 17 Synovate survey that I had mentioned previously in my 18 before? 18 testimony, that there is consumer awareness to that 19 A. It is. 19 trademark, and that in this instance particularly 20 Q. Did you write this letter? 20 that LensDiscounters.com was trying to leverage or 21 A. I did. 21 misappropriate that goodwill to their advantage 22 Q. On the second page of the letter in the 22 without paying the same price, without compensation last full paragraph, it says, "Additionally, we are 23 23 to 1-800 Contacts, so that they could direct aware that you have communicated directly." Do you 24 24 consumers to them. see that paragraph? 25 25 Q. In the preceding paragraph you talk about 136 134 1 A. The last full paragraph? Yeah, "We are 1 "our client's contact lens distribution services." 2 aware that you have communicated" -- yes, I do see 2 Do you see that? 3 3 that paragraph. 4 Q. Okay. What do you recall, if anything, 4 Q. And you say they are "well known and 5 5 about the communications that had been had directly highly regarded by consumers and competitors alike." with 1-800 Contacts that are referenced here? 6 A. Correct. 6 A. My only recollection is that there had 7 7 O. What are the contact lens distribution 8 8 been communications directly between David Zeidner, services you were referring to? What was that a 9 Roy Montclair, and Lens Discounters, Mr. Abdulla 9 reference to? 10 specifically. 10 A. So that was a reference to 1-800 Contacts, Q. And when you say that the -- "However, as the way they operate their business and what they're 11 11 12 12

of September 16, the above-mentioned practices have not been curtailed," what were you referring to?

A. I was referring to the appearance of their sponsored advertisements or sponsored links in response to searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks.

Q. Okay. In the preceding paragraph on this letter you say, "Your use of the 1800 CONTACTS trademark as a triggering keyword." Do you see that?

A. I do.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What do you mean -- or what did you mean at the time by the phrase "triggering keyword"?

A. I meant that they used -- that essentially that they're -- a triggering keyword is a keyword that's entered into a campaign that triggers an

known for. They're inwardly and outwardly facing concepts that they sell service and that they are the best service provider on the market for contact lenses, that they will ship them promptly, that a user will have a certain experience when they contact 1-800 Contacts to place orders. And those are the things that go into that goodwill component.

MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation of that response.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) You go on in the paragraph to say, "In addition, our client has expended considerable resources in promoting its contact lens distribution services and eye care products under these marks through various media, including

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

137 139 newspapers, magazines, other print advertisements, 1 as RX0068, a document dated November 9, 2009. 1 2 2 radio and television advertisements, and the World (Exhibit RX0068 was marked.) 3 Wide Web." 3 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit RX0068? 4 Do you see that sentence? 4 A. I do. 5 5 **Q.** What is **RX0068?** A. I do. 6 6 Q. What were you referring to when you listed A. It's a cease and desist letter drafted by 7 7 Mark Miller to OptiContacts and LensDiscounters.com. all of those media? 8 MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation. 8 O. And is the LensDiscounters.com referred to 9 THE WITNESS: So I was referring to the 9 in this letter the same as the one we saw referred to investment in advertising efforts that 1-800 Contacts 10 10 in CX1186 and RX0067? had put into generating that consumer recognition and 11 11 A. That's my understanding. establishing that goodwill, both in media that I had 12 12 Q. Do you have an understanding as to why seen personally and that I was aware of since they 13 13 this particular letter was sent? 14 were a client. 14 A. My understanding is that, again, this is 15 Q. (By Mr. Stone) What had you seen 15 in response to keywords being displayed, sponsored 16 personally? 16 advertisements being displayed in response to 17 A. So I had personally heard radio 17 searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks. 18 advertisements; I had personally seen advertisements 18 Q. And at the time of this letter, November 9 19 on the television; and I had also seen mailers, so 19 of 2009, which law firm were you with? 20 print media. 20 A. I was with Holland & Hart. 21 Q. Okay. And if you look at the next 21 MR. STONE: Then let me ask the reporter 22 documents going forward in the stack, so we get to to mark as RX0069 a document dated November 12, 2009. 22 23 the page 84017, we see a letter from Mr. Abdulla to 23 (Exhibit RX0069 was marked.) 24 vou. Do vou see that? 24 O. (By Mr. Stone) Do you recognize RX0069? 25 A. I do. 25 A. I do. 138 140 1 Q. And do you recall having seen that letter 1 O. What is it? 2 before? 2 A. It's a response to Mark Miller's letter 3 A. I do recall that. 3 that was dated November 9th from, again, the same 4 4 Q. Okay. And what is this document? party, the CEO from Lens Discounters. 5 5 A. This is a document from Mr. Abdulla, the Q. So this was Mr. Abdulla again responding? 6 A. Correct. 6 CEO for Lens Discounters, in response to my letter to 7 him requesting that he cease and desist those 7 MR. STONE: Let me ask the court reporter 8 advertising practices. 8 to mark as RX0070 a letter dated December 29, 2009. 9 Q. So these letters that are included as 9 (Exhibit RX0070 was marked.) 10 RX0067 were all in the year 2005? 10 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Do you recognize this 11 A. I believe so. 11 document? 12 Q. Okay. And then you were shown by 12 A. I do. Mr. Matheson CX1186, which is dated 2009. 13 13 Q. What is it? 14 Yes, I have that. 14 A. It's a response from Mark Miller to 15 Q. Okay. And does the fact that you -- there 15 Mr. Abdulla discussing his previous letter regarding was this communication in 2009 following these 16 their Google ad campaigns. 16 communications in 2005 indicate to you that something Q. On the first page of this letter of 17 17 RX0070, there's a reference to "Our recent Internet 18 about Lens Discounters' conduct changed from 2005 to 18 19 2009? 19 searching and a recent Keyword Spy report." Do you 20 A. Yeah. This would indicate that between 20 see that? 21 September 23rd, 2005 and the date of, you know, 21 A. I do. 22 May 12th, 2009, that as of that date, 2009, there was 22 What's the Keyword Spy report referenced Q. 23 a change in their -- again, their advertising 23 here? 24 practices. 24 Α. 25 25 In general, not in specific. Q. And then let me show you and have marked

141 143 1 A. Generally, that would be a report 1 A. Yes. 2 generated by the software Keyword Spy. It's a 2 Q. And does that signature line indicate that 3 3 service provider, as I mentioned, to identify vou signed the complaint? A. It does. 4 advertising campaigns and keywords used by 4 5 5 competitors. O. And this was on December 23 of 2008? 6 6 Q. And is that a company that makes that Correct. 7 available, Keyword Spy? 7 Q. And who was the defendant in this case? 8 A. Yeah. There's a website, and you get 8 A. The defendant in this case was Memorial 9 access to the website and you can run searches. 9 Eye. And they had a number of d/b/a's listed on the O. And where it refers here to recent 10 cover of the complaint: Shipmycontacts, 10 ship-my-contacts.com, and iwantcontacts.com. Internet searching, can you tell us if you know what 11 11 Q. And was this case litigated after it was 12 that refers to? 12 A. I don't have a specific recollection of 13 filed? 13 the Internet searching. Based on, again, general A. 14 14 Yeah, to some extent it was. practice, it's searching the variations of the 15 15 Was there discovery taken in the case? trademark keywords and analyzing those results, among There was discovery taken. 16 16 O. Were there motions filed? 17 other things. 17 18 Q. So typing into a search engine a 18 A. I believe there were some motions 19 particular search term and seeing what the results 19 regarding discovery practice, yes. 20 are? 20 Q. Okay. And were you counsel throughout the 21 21 case? A. Yes. A. I participated for much of the case, yes. 22 22 Q. And finally, let me show you a letter 23 dated December 30, 2009, which I'll ask the reporter After coming to Holland & Hart, the majority of the 23 24 24 responsibilities were taken over by Mark Miller. to mark as RX0071. 25 25 (Exhibit RX0071 was marked.) Q. Was the case ultimately resolved? 142 144 Q. Do you recognize RX0071? 1 A. It was. 1 I do. 2 Q. And was it resolved by settlement or in A. 3 Q. What is that? 3 some other fashion? 4 4 A. This is a response from Mr. Abdulla to A. By settlement. 5 5 Mr. Miller based on his previous letter, indicating Q. Okay. Take a look, if you would, at the actions that were taken by Lens Discounters in 6 third page of the complaint, which is page No. 2. So 6 7 7 response to Mr. Miller's letter. it's page 3 of 15. It says there that "See excerpts 8 8 MR. STONE: Okay. It's noon. Why don't from the Memorial Eye Websites at Exhibits A and B." 9 9 we take a lunch break now, and then we'll come back Do you see that? 10 and finish up my questioning. 10 A. I do see that. 11 MR. MATHESON: Sounds good. 11 O. And if we look further back in the 12 (Recess from 12:02 p.m. to 12:52 p.m.) 12 document, are the referenced Exhibits A and B at the 13 MR. STONE: Let's go back on the record. pages with the Bates number ending in 82040 and 41? 13 I'll ask the reporter to mark as RX0071 a 14 14 A. Yes, they are. 15 document bearing production No. 1-800F00082023 15 O. And can you describe for us what we see through 82067. 16 16 there? (Exhibit RX0072 was marked.) A. So Exhibit A at 82040, it looks like it's 17 17 Q. (By Mr. Stone) I misspoke earlier, and 18 a screen capture of a frequently asked questions from 18 19 we've marked this document as RX0072. 19 the shipmycontacts.com web page. 20 Can you take a look at this document, 20 Q. Okay. And why was this attached to the 21 Mr. Pratt, and tell us what it is? 21 complaint, if you recall? 22 A. So this is a complaint filed by 22 A. My recollection is that it was attached to 23 1-800 Contacts, Inc., against Memorial Eye. 23 the complaint to show -- to establish that they 24 O. Okay. If you look at the 14th page of the shipped contact lenses to Utah. 24 25 document, you'll see a signature line? 25 Q. Okay. And then look further down on the

145 147 1 page ending in 82025, which is the third page in of 1 A. I do see that. 2 the document under the parties. 2 Q. Where did you get that information that's 3 A. Okav. 3 included there? A. So that is based on information I received 4 Q. Down at the very bottom it says "See Whois 4 5 record at Exhibit C." 5 from the client, 1-800 Contacts. I believe much of 6 A. Yes. 6 it is publicly available on their -- on their 7 7 Q. I think you earlier in your testimony website, about -- under the "About Us" tab. And it's 8 8 mentioned WHOIS? information that they -- that they provide as well. 9 A. I did. 9 It's information from the client themselves. Q. What's WHOIS? 10 10 O. And is the information that's in 11 A. So WHOIS is a way to identify the -- many 11 paragraph 13 there, the next paragraph down, would times the person who registered a website or who owns 12 12 that also be information you obtained from 13 a website or controls it, or at least the registrant 13 1-800 Contacts? of that domain. So you can get behind and -- my 14 14 A. Yes. As I mentioned previously, it would understanding is that you can get behind and be information that I gained from 1-800 Contacts and 15 15 understand who owns the websites if you know the URL. my own experience. 16 16 O. Okav. And then look at paragraph 14 and 17 O. Okay. So if you then flip back to 17 18 Exhibit C to this complaint, do we see a results, a 18 15. These refer to two registration numbers. Do you 19 WHOIS results? 19 see that? A. We do. 20 20 A. I do see that. 21 Q. And explain to us what we see under C, if 21 O. Are these the same ones we looked at 22 22 earlier? vou could. 23 A. So Exhibit C, if you look at the top, 23 A. They are. 24 24 Q. And what do these trademark registration Network Solutions, they are one of the companies. 25 There are multiple companies that offer this type of 25 numbers relate to? 148 146 1 a service. Network Solutions, when you enter the 1 A. These relate to the 1-800 Contacts marks 2 domain name into their search tab, then they will 2 themselves. 3 give you -- based on their search database, whoever 3 Q. Is there a description beginning on the registrant is, they will look at GoDaddy or paragraph 17 and continuing on through -- for several 4 4 5 5 WHOIS. paragraphs of the conduct that was the basis for this 6 6 And if you look down in the middle of the complaint? 7 page to the left, it identifies the registrant of --7 A. There is. again, of the -- of that domain to be Memorial Eye, 8 8 Q. So let's walk through, if we can, those 9 P.A., located in Houston, Texas. 9 various allegations. Q. I see. 10 10 A. Okay. A. It's registered through GoDaddy.com, so --Q. In paragraph 18, what was the allegation 11 11 12 O. And what's the URL that you ran this 12 there that provided a portion of the basis for the 13 search on or that this search reflects? 13 complaint? 14 A. Shipmycontacts.com. It's indicated on 14 A. So the allegation there is that Memorial 82042 towards the top under the WHOIS search results. 15 Eye had purchased ads from Google and other search 15 O. And is that one of the d/b/a's for 16 engines that triggered Memorial Eye-based 16 advertisements or links to their websites in response 17 **Memorial Eye?** 17 18 A. Yes. According to the complaint, it is. 18 to searches for 1-800 Contacts. 19 Q. Okay. If you would turn to the page 19 Q. So what you had discovered was that if you 20 ending in 26, which is page number -- the fourth page 20 typed in a trademark, 1-800 Contacts trademark into 21 in. I'm sorry. With the Bates number ending in 26. the search bar, ads of Memorial Eye would come up? 21 22 A. Okay. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. So in paragraph 12 of the complaint, you 23 Q. Okay. And that was -- you say here that 24 give some description of the business of 24 such infringing activity was brought to the attention

25

of Memorial Eye. Do you see that?

1-800 Contacts. Do you see that?

25

25

look at the top sponsored link on the right-hand side

of that screenshot, Discount Contact Lenses,

149 151 A. I do. 1 www.shipmycontacts.com is listed on the top. 1 2 2 Q. So I just want to -- so if we look on the Q. And is the sum of the efforts to bring it 3 to their attention described in this complaint 3 right-hand column, under where the heading says "Sponsored Links," we see in blue and underlined the 4 beginning at paragraph 22? 4 words "Discount Contact Lenses"? 5 5 A. It is. Beginning with paragraph 22, it 6 talks about the history and the various 6 A. Correct. 7 7 Q. And then it says "Save up to 70 percent on communications, both from in-house counsel at 8 1-800 Contacts and by Rader, Fishman and Grauer, I 8 contact lenses? 9 believe, asking them to cease those actions. 9 A. Yes. 10 10 Q. Okay. And in paragraph 19 you go on to Q. Do you know, based on the investigation say, "notwithstanding the receipt of the cease and 11 you did, what the -- save up to 70 percent off of 11 desist letter, Memorial Eye refused to cease using 12 12 what, what the base price was that they said you 1-800 Contacts marks to trigger advertising." 13 would save up to 70 percent off of? 13 14 A. I don't. 14 Do you see that? 15 15 A. I do see that. Q. Was there any indication of whether or not 16 that was -- they would -- an ad that would indicate 16 Q. Explain to us, just so we're all clear, 17 when you say use 1-800 Contacts' marks to trigger 17 that you would save 70 percent off of prices at 18 advertising, what does that mean? 18 1-800 Contacts? 19 19 A. I don't recall anything of that nature. A. So based on -- based on the information 20 that we had gathered in preparing for the litigation, 20 Q. Okay. And then it goes on to say "Get 21 it meant that Memorial Eye had advertising campaigns 21 fast services and free shipping"? 22 such that if a user would search for 1-800 Contacts' 22 A. That's correct. O. And then it has the URL 23 trademarks, that their advertisements would be 23 24 24 displayed in response to that search. www.shipmycontacts.com? 25 Q. Okay. And you show an example of the 25 A. Correct. 150 152 1 screenshot on the next page as part of paragraph 19, 1 Q. And that's what you were referring to in 2 correct? 2 paragraph 19? 3 3 A. Yes. A. Correct. 4 Q. Was this the only piece of evidence you 4 Q. Okay. And in paragraph 20, did you allege 5 5 had gathered before this complaint was filed, this that, in essence, Memorial Eye was using the 6 single screenshot? 6 1-800 Contacts trademarks to trick consumers into 7 7 visiting the Memorial Eye website? A. No. 8 8 Q. Okay. In the description here you say, A. Yes. 9 "For example, as shown in the below screenshot, which 9 Q. And is that allegation of tricking them 10 was prepared on April 30, 2008, when 1-800 Contacts 10 something that you think is important or relevant to 11 entered into the search box" -- I think it means "is the claims that were made in this lawsuit? 11 12 entered" into the search box -- "links to 12 A. I do. 13 www.shipmycontacts.com appear on the right side of 13 Q. How so? 14 the screen under the 'Sponsored Links' section." 14 A. Well, there are multiple claims in the 15 Do you see that? 15 lawsuit, obviously. There's a federal trademark infringement claim that's made. There's an unjust 16 A. I do. 16 Q. So looking at this screenshot, then, that enrichment claim that's made. I believe that there 17 17 18 follows, show us what it is in the screenshot, if you 18 are unfair competition, false designation of origin 19 would, that is referenced in the paragraph. 19 claims. 20 A. So if you will look at -- again, the 20 So it's relevant for those claims 21 search term in the search box is "1800contacts" with 21 whether -- that, in our view at that time, that 22 no spaces, no dashes. Shipmycontacts.com is shown 22 Memorial Eye was using the 1-800 Contacts marks to 23 previously as a d/b/a of Memorial Eye. And if you 23 trick consumers.

Q. And then in paragraph 21 you say that

their actions -- "Memorial Eye's actions are

24

25

153 155 1 specifically aimed at diverting web users who are you pronounce his name? 2 expressly looking for 1-800 Contacts and 2 A. I believe so. 3 1-800 Contacts goods and services." 3 Sent to Mr. Zeidner. Do you see that? 4 Do you see that? 4 5 5 A. I do. Q. And if you turn to Exhibit H to the Q. And did you also allege that Memorial Eye 6 6 complaint, is this the communication that was 7 goes even so far as to represent to consumers that it 7 referred to? 8 is 1-800 Contacts and/or that there is an affiliation 8 A. It is. 9 between 1-800 Contacts and Memorial Eye by using a 9 Q. This is the letter from Mr. Luckey back to 10 number of variations and misspellings of the marks? Mr. Zeidner? 10 A. We did, yes. 11 11 A. It is. Q. Okay. Beginning in paragraph 22 and 12 12 O. Okay. And then is there a further 13 continuing through to paragraph 27, do you describe 13 response, Exhibit I, that is a communication from 14 some of the communications between the parties? 14 Mr. Zeidner back to Mr. Luckey? 15 A. I do. 15 A. It is. Q. Take a look, if you would, at Exhibit G to Q. And have you attached that as -- to the 16 16 17 the complaint. What's Exhibit G? 17 complaint in order to lay out the history of the 18 A. Exhibit G is a letter from David Zeidner, 18 communications? 19 in-house counsel at 1-800 Contacts, to Memorial Eye 19 A. That was the purpose, yes. 20 asking them to cease from using 1-800 Contacts' 20 O. Okay. And then what is Exhibit J? 21 trademark to generate their advertisements. 21 A. So Exhibit J is a cease and desist letter 22 Q. And does it have any examples of 22 from me to Memorial Eye requesting that they cease 23 screenshots attached to it? and desist from their advertising practices. 23 A. It does. 24 24 Q. So this comes two years after the 25 Q. Take a look at the page with the Bates 25 correspondence we just looked at? 154 156 1 number ending in 55, which is Exhibit G-003, if you 1 Correct. 2 2 Q. And did you get a response to this letter? would. 3 3 A. I did. A. Okay. 4 Q. And where is the response? 4 Q. What do you see shown in that particular 5 A. The response is attached as Exhibit K. 5 search result page? 6 Q. And was there some intervening A. So in that search results page we see --6 7 this is an AOL search for the term correspondence? Do you know? 7 "1800contacts.com." And there are sponsored links --8 A. I don't recall. 8 9 there's an advertisement for 1-800 Contacts as well 9 O. Okav. I see a reference in Exhibit K to a 10 as additional sponsored links including Contact Lens 10 letter dated February 27, 2008. Do you know whether Sale, alleging to direct to shipmycontacts.com. there was such communication? 11 11 Q. Okay. So you're referring under the "More 12 A. I'm not positive, but I assume there is 12 Sponsored Links" heading? Is that where you're 13 13 based on the content of that letter. 14 looking? 14 Q. Okay. And then go back to the complaint 15 15 itself, if you would. In paragraph 25 you say --A. That is where I'm looking, yes. O. And of the four that are there, which one 16 after these communications that were marked as H and 16 I, you go, "Memorial Eye's infringement was reduced." 17 are vou looking at? 17 Do you see that in paragraph 25? 18 A. So I'm looking at the second one down, the 18 19 second bullet point down from the "More Sponsored 19 20 Links" section where it says "Contact Lens Sale" and 20 Q. What does it mean when you say 21 then "contact lenses up to 40 percent off, free 21 "infringement was reduced"? 22 shipping with four boxes, www.shipmycontacts.com." 22 A. That would indicate that after the 23 O. And then go back, if you would, to 23 communication exchange, the frequency with which 24 paragraph 23. There's a reference there to a 24 their sponsored advertisements came up went down; the 25 25 appearance of those sponsored advertisements in communication sent by Randall Luckey. Is that how

24

25

that the trademark could be confused with variations

of the trademark. And we would search those as well

to see if Memorial Eye's ads were coming up for those

157 159 1 response to searches for 1-800 Contacts or its variations as well. 1 2 2 variations would have reduced. Q. And what did you find? 3 Q. Okay. And then at paragraph 26, if you 3 A. And we found out that they did come up for 4 would. It says here, "On or about September 12, 4 those variations, including misspellings. 5 Q. So if you look at the very last line on 5 2007, 1-800 Contacts noted an increase in Memorial that page, it has a search term that is 6 Eye's level of infringement." Do you see that? 6 7 7 "1800cantacts." Do you see that? 8 Q. What does that refer to, an increase in 8 A. I do. 9 the level of infringement? 9 Q. By including that, does that mean to 10 10 So that would indicate an increase in indicate that Memorial Eye's ads would come up in impressions or in appearances of their advertisements response to that particular search term? 11 11 12 in response to searches for 1-800 Contacts. 12 A. Yes. That would be why it's included. 13 13 Q. Okay. And then a copy of the letter --Q. Okay. And then what is shown in the 14 you then say you sent a letter to them, a copy of 14 screenshot that is part of paragraph 30? 15 which is attached as Exhibit J. Do you see that? 15 A. So paragraph 30 was included to show that there had not been a change in the actions or the 16 A. I do. 16 17 Q. And then it says, "No response was 17 practice by Memorial Eye as of December 17th. So 18 received," and "a near identical letter was 18 just prior to the filing of the complaint, it 19 19 actually shows that Memorial Eye is coming up in subsequently sent on February 27." Do you see that 20 reference? 20 response to a search for "1800contacts," no spaces, A. Yes, I do. 21 21 no dashes. 22 O. And which is the ad that we see there that Q. Does that jog your recollection at all as 22 23 to whether there was a subsequent letter from you? 23 is from Memorial Eye? 24 A. Yeah. Yeah. So because there was no 24 A. So the shipmycontacts.com ad, if you'll 25 25 look under the -- in the "Sponsored Links" box, response and because the infringing displays 158 160 1 again, the yellow box in the center, there is -continued, then a subsequent letter was sent to them. 1 2 Q. Okay. And then it says -- in paragraph 28 2 "1800CONTACTS.com" is the leading advertisement. 3 you go, "In spite of its previous recognition of the 3 Also included next to and with 1-800 4 infringement, its knowledge of how to correct and 4 Contacts in the sponsored links box is Discount 5 5 stop the infringement as evidenced by its previous Contact Lenses with the URL www.ShipMyContacts.com 6 corrective measures, Memorial Eve took no action to and the comment "Save up to 70 percent on contact 6 lenses. Get fast service and free shipping," which remedy the infringement. In fact, upon receiving 7 7 8 8 this communication, infringement by Memorial Eye is the same -- the same content as previously. 9 actually increased." 9 O. Okay. And at this time, were paid ads or 10 Do you see that? 10 sponsored links designated in this particular instance by that being in that yellow box? 11 A. I do. 11 12 A. Sometimes. They were designated as being 12 Q. And does that refer to the number of 13 impressions going up even more? 13 in the yellow box; and also, if you'll see off to the 14 A. It does, and the frequency. 14 right-hand side, there are additional sponsored links 15 Q. Okay. And then tell us what you described 15 that are indicated on the right-hand side. O. Okav. Now, in paragraph 31 of the 16 in paragraph 29. 16 complaint and continuing on through 32 and 33, did 17 A. So paragraph 29 explains the -- when we 17 18 monitored the keywords and how their advertisements 18 you describe or allege some of the things that you 19 were coming up to look at it, we would do searches 19 believed constituted injury to both 1-800 Contacts 20 for not only the trademarks but also for variations 20 and to the public? 21 21 of the trademark; so misspellings, common fat finger A. We did. typing errors, omissions of spaces or different ways 22 22 Q. Tell us, if you would, what you alleged

was the injury to 1-800 Contacts and the public.

A. Well, as noted in the document, it talks

about, first, confusing consumers; that if a consumer

23

24

25

experienced -- if they're confused by the results and by who they're clicking on, their impression or their -- the concept of 1-800's service and their goodwill could be damaged and injured, especially, and particularly their service. Because 1-800 Contacts prided itself in such a high level of service that was -- in their opinion, wasn't matched. And so anything less than that they believed would injure that goodwill that they'd worked hard to establish.

Again, confusion as to source.

Misappropriation of their goodwill, of
1-800 Contacts' goodwill by -- again, by confusion of
a consumer having -- thinking that there may be a
sponsorship or an affiliation. And, by extension, a
part of that goodwill extended to Memorial Eye.

MR. MATHESON: If I might interrupt. I'd like to object to the foundation of that response to the extent that it -- I understood the question to be asking what was alleged. To the extent those were statements that are for the truth of the matter, so to speak, rather than what was alleged, then object to foundation.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) What facts did you have in mind at the time that were not covered by any

Q. And were those part of what you allege to be the injury that would be suffered both by the company and by the public if Memorial Eye's conduct was allowed to continue?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. What is alleged in paragraph 32?

A. So paragraph 32 alleges that the practices identified previously will cause confusion and mistake with regards to Memorial Eye's goods and services, whether there's a sponsorship affiliation connection, some type of -- again, it says endorsement or association of their goods and services with 1-800 Contacts based on potential confusion of a consumer.

Q. Okay. And what is alleged in paragraph 33?

A. And 33 similarly talks about their use of -- their advertisements coming up in response to misspellings of 1-800 Contacts, and that that will also benefit Memorial Eye and indicate some type of a sponsorship or affiliation.

Q. Okay. And what are the legal theories of liability that are asserted in this complaint based upon the facts as alleged?

A. So asserted in this complaint is federal

privilege as to the quality of service that 1-800 Contacts offered its consumers?

MR. MATHESON: Objection to the extent the question is designed to elicit an incomplete response.

THE WITNESS: The things that I was aware of, and without violating privilege, are the public statements and the public representation that they made of their quality of service and their shipping, promises of shipping, quality of goods, the service and responsiveness of their call center agents, things of that nature.

MR. STONE: Okay.

MR. MATHESON: Object to the foundation.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) You then go on to say, "If permitted to continue, Memorial Eye's use of the 1-800 Contacts Marks will continue to irreparably injure 1-800 Contacts, the 1-800 Contacts Marks, the reputation and goodwill associated therewith, 1-800 Contacts' reputation for exceedingly high-quality services and products, and the public interest in being free from confusion, mistake or deception."

Do you see that?

A. I do see that.

trademark infringement, violation of the Lanham Act in the first count.

The second count is federal unfair competition, false designation of origin, and passing off or false advertising.

There's a third count of common law unfair competition, misappropriation, and trademark infringement, which is also included in that common law trademark infringement.

And then, lastly, the unjust enrichment claim.

Q. And what's the Unfair Practices Act that is referenced in Count III?

A. In Count III, it's a Utah -- Utah state Unfair Practices Act, Utah Code.

Q. In other cases have you on similar facts asserted other claims, such as claims for trademark dilution, other types of unfair competition?

A. I believe so. We've made reference to dilution and effects on the goodwill of the trademark.

Q. So should we look at this and say, well, these are the only theories of liability that you thought could be alleged? Or is it -- or could we look at this and say these are the theories of

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

165 167 1 liability you chose to allege? 1 Objection; foundation. And objection to 2 A. Yeah, these are the theories we chose to 2 the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. I want 3 allege. We considered a number of others as well. 3 to make sure the record's clear. 4 Q. Now, did any -- did the Court in this case 4 THE WITNESS: I think the -- my 5 5 ever take a look at the complaint and dismiss any of recollection of the settlement agreement with 6 these counts as having -- being legally insufficient? 6 Memorial Eye is that its limitation is less broad 7 7 than the relief that's requested in B1 and B2. A. Not that I recall, no. 8 O. No motion to dismiss being granted? 8 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Do you recall whether 9 A. No, not that I recall. 9 there were damages paid by Memorial Eye? 10 10 O. Okav. A. I don't recall. 11 Take a look, if you would, at the demand 11 Q. If there were any damages paid, would they for relief here. So if we look at page with the 12 12 have been less than the amount that was sought in the 13 Bates number ending in 2035, beginning at B1 and then 13 complaint? B2. Can you describe for us the relief that was 14 14 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the leading sought in B1 or B2? 15 15 and objection to the foundation. Assumes facts. A. So B1 and B2 are asking for the Court to 16 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall; but 16 17 enter an injunction to the effect that Memorial Eye 17 based on what was sought, yes. 18 not be able to use 1-800 Contacts's trademarks, you 18 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. In terms of the 19 know, in section 1, both 1-800 Contacts' marks and 19 settlement agreements that you're familiar with and 20 confusingly similar variations that dilute 20 some of which you were asked about earlier by 21 distinctiveness of the marks. We talk about 21 Mr. Matheson, was the relief in any of those 22 different ways that those can be used -- meta tags, 22 settlement agreements greater than the relief that 23 sponsored advertisements, identifiers -- that 23 you believed could have been sought in the 24 would -- anything that they would include to secure 24 complaints? 25 higher placement or placement of 1-800 Contacts 25 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the 168 166 within search engine results. 1 1 foundation. Objection to the extent it calls for a 2 And then, secondly, in the second 2 legal conclusion. Objection; outside the scope of 3 3 personal knowledge. paragraph, in B2, that -- from representing that they 4 are associated with, endorsed, or sponsored by 4 Q. (By Mr. Stone) So let me reframe it. I 5 5 1-800 Contacts. don't think I'll address any of the objections by 6 6 O. And would those provisions include within reframing, but let me reframe it otherwise. 7 7 their scope preventing Memorial Eye from using a You were asked earlier about settlement 8 8 1-800 Contacts trademark as a trigger for Memorial agreements by Mr. Matheson. Do you recall that? 9 9 Eye ads? 10 A. Definitely so. 10 Q. So he didn't identify them one by one; he 11 just asked you about them as a group. I'm going to 11 Q. And then there was a request that there be 12 a determination in C that Memorial Eye had willfully 12 ask you about the same group you had in mind when he 13 violated 1-800 Contacts' rights? asked you. 13 14 A. That's correct. 14 Q. And that's the basis for the request in G, 15 Q. Did some of those settlement agreements 15 follow the filing of complaints? 16 that any damages awarded be trebled? 16 17 A. Correct. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. When 1-800 Contacts and Memorial Eye 18 Q. Were there some that didn't follow the 19 ultimately settled this case, did the settlement 19 filing of complaints? 20 provide for relief that was greater than what was 20 A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Okay. Let's break them into two groups, asked for in the complaint, less than what was asked 22 if we can. Let's talk about the group that followed 22 for in the complaint, or the same? 23 23 the filing of the complaint. Okay? MR. MATHESON: Objection to the 24 foundation, to the extent it calls for a legal 24 A. Okav. 25 Q. With respect to the group of settlement 25 conclusion.

169 171 agreements that followed the filing of the complaint 1 1 to have substantial years of experience as an 2 that you had in mind when Mr. Matheson was asking you 2 antitrust lawyer? 3 questions, did the relief included in those 3 A. That was my understanding. 4 settlement agreements exceed the relief that was 4 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to 5 mark as RX0073 a letter dated May 13th, 2010. 5 sought in the complaints, was it the same as the 6 (Exhibit RX0073 was marked.) relief sought in the complaints, or was it less than 6 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Stone) The reporter has handed the relief sought in the complaints? 8 8 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the form. vou what we've marked for identification as RX0073. 9 Objection; outside the scope of personal knowledge. 9 Take a minute to look at it, if you would, and then 10 10 Objection to the extent it calls for a legal tell us if you recognize it. conclusion. Objection to the extent it calls for an 11 I do recognize it. 11 12 expert opinion. 12 Q. What is it? A. This is a letter to Mark Miller from Kevin 13 THE WITNESS: To my recollection, they 13 14 Drucker in response to a letter that Mr. Miller sent 14 were less. 15 15 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. And in the Q. And in there, there's a statement in this 16 settlement agreements that didn't follow complaints, 16 17 did they seek -- did they obtain relief for 17 letter on the first page of RX0073. There's a 18 18 statement that "Tram Data is fully prepared to file a 1-800 Contacts that was greater than the settlement 19 counterclaim against 1-800 Contacts to seek redress 19 agreements that did follow complaints? 20 MR. MATHESON: Same objections. 20 for this infringement." 21 Do you see that? 21 THE WITNESS: I believe they were less. 22 A. I do see that. 22 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. In any of the 23 Q. What is it that Tram Data was contending 23 settlement agreements that you were aware of or were 24 1-800 Contacts had done that was infringement? 24 involved with, was there any payment by 25 A. So it says that -- I mean, it's -- and 25 1-800 Contacts to the settling party? 170 172 1 1 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. it's in the letter, that they allege that 2 O. You were asked earlier -- it's 2 1-800 Contacts had been purchasing sponsored 3 3 advertisements from search engines -- Bing, Google, Exhibit 0724, but I don't know that you need to find 4 Yahoo -- that were triggered by keywords that 4 it to answer this question -- about Mr. Liebeskind. 5 5 incorporate variants of "replace my contacts," which Do you recall that? 6 6 A. I do recall that. was their registered trademark, "their" being Tram O. And you described -- you identified him as 7 7 Data's. antitrust counsel to 1-800 Contacts? 8 8 Q. So if we look at Exhibit A which is 9 9 A. Yes. attached to the letter, and we go to the screenshot. Q. Was he at a firm at the time of this 10 10 Do you have that one in front of you? 11 correspondence in 2008? 11 A. I do. 12 A. He was. 12 O. Is that a Yahoo search? 13 Q. And what firm was he with? 13 A. It is. 14 A. I believe he was with Gibson Dunn. 14 Q. What was the search term that was entered? Q. And did you have any understanding of 15 15 A. So replacemycontacts.com where else he had practiced as an antitrust lawyer? 16 Q. And then do you see a 1-800 Contacts ad 16 A. Yes. 17 that comes up in response? 17 18 Where was that? Q. 18 A. I see one on the right-hand side. It says 19 A. My recollection is that he practiced for I 19 "1800CONTACTS.com, Order Contact Lenses." 20 believe it was seven years at the FTC as a deputy 20 Q. And is that one of the ads that you 21 commissioner, and that he practiced for another eight 21 understood Mr. Drucker to be contending was 22 years at the DOJ. 22 infringing on the trademark of replacemycontacts.com? Q. In the antitrust division? 23 23 MR. MATHESON: Object to the foundation 24 Correct. 24 and to the assumption that he understood Mr. Drucker 25 Q. Okay. So you understood him at the time 25 at the time to be contending anything.

173 175 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding 1 1 infringement? 2 2 MR. MATHESON: Objection to the foundation reading the letter now. 3 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay, fair enough. 3 and to the assumption of facts. A. I don't have a recollection of it. 4 4 THE WITNESS: So my assumption -- my 5 interpretation would be that it would be the first 5 Q. Let me re-ask it. When you look at this letter and the information that's attached, what on 6 sponsored link on the right-hand side that says 6 7 7 Exhibit A, the first page of the Yahoo search page, "1800CONTACTS.com-Lenses. Why bother with mail-in 8 do you understand to be the claimed trademark 8 rebates? Instant savings on contact lenses," with infringement by 1-800 Contacts? 9 the URL "www.1800contacts.com." 9 10 A. I would understand it to be on the 10 MR. STONE: Okay. Let me ask the reporter 11 right-hand side, the second -- the second entry down, 11 to mark as RX0074 a letter dated May 17th, 2010. 12 "1800CONTACTS.com, Order Contact Lenses." 12 (Exhibit RX0074 was marked.) 13 Q. Okay. And if you look at the next page of 13 Q. (By Mr. Stone) The reporter has handed you what has been marked as RX0074. Take a moment to 14 14 Exhibit A, the Bing search, can you tell us what is 15 15 being searched there? look at it, if you would. 16 A. So it's -- replacemycontacts.com is in the 16 A. Okay. 17 search field. 17 Q. Can you identify what this document is? 18 Q. Okay. And do you see an entry here that 18 A. Yeah. This is a letter from Mark Miller 19 vou understand to be one of the ones that Mr. Drucker 19 to Kevin Drucker in -- it appears in response, and --20 was complaining constituted infringement? 20 and as it says, in response to his letter, and 21 MR. MATHESON: Object to foundation. 21 stating that the issues raised by Mr. Drucker in his 22 THE WITNESS: So looking at the document, 22 letter had been looked into. 23 23 my understanding would be the third entry down on Q. Okay. 24 that main column. It says "1800CONTACTS.com, Lenses, 24 A. And then it explains the remedy that was 25 Unbeatable Price Guarantee plus Free Shipping plus 25 taken to rectify that issue; specifically, "My client 174 176 1 Satisfaction Guarantee." 1 had negative keywords in place for your client's 2 Q. (By Mr. Stone) And if you turn to the 2 trademark, but had not anticipated some of the 3 3 variations thereof." next page, which is ending in the number 3614, what 4 was the search term here? 4 Q. Okay. Do you know whether there 5 5 A. Here it was "replace my contacts" with ultimately was a settlement agreement entered into between 1-800 Contacts and Replace My Contacts? 6 6 spaces in between each of the words. 7 7 Q. What do you understand to be the paid ad A. I don't know for sure. 8 or sponsored link that Mr. Drucker was complaining of 8 Q. Okay. During the time that you were 9 9 that shows up on this page? doing -- representing -- strike that. 10 My understanding would be the third entry 10 A. My recollection is I think that there was 11 in the center column that says 11 one there between these two parties. 12 "1800CONTACTS.com-lenses." Looks like there's 12 Q. Okay. That's fine. 13 13 something I just can't read. And then "Unbeatable You were asked earlier about various 14 online prices on contact lenses. Satisfaction 14 trademark monitoring reports that you would see. 15 guaranteed." 15 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And then turn, if you would, to the Q. Would you send what you might characterize 16 16 page ending in 2316 -- I'm sorry -- 23616. 17 17 as a cease and desist letter to every company whose 18 A. Okay. 18 ads showed up in response to a search for 19 O. What is shown on this page? 19 1-800 Contacts or similar trademark terms? 20 A. So on this page is shown a Google search 20 A. No. 21 results in response to the search for the term 21 Q. Was every set of -- let me rephrase that. 22 "replacemy" with no spaces, then a space, and then 22 Were there some companies to whom you did send cease 23 the word "contacts." 23 and desist letters? 24 Q. And what is on this page that you 24 A. Yes. 25 understood Mr. Drucker to claim constituted 25 Q. Did every company to whom you sent cease

179 177 1 and desist letters end up signing a settlement 1 THE WITNESS: I think I would say it as 2 2 agreement? I'm not aware of an increase since 2009. 3 A. No. 3 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you aware of a 4 MR. STONE: I'm going to reserve the rest 4 decrease since 2009? 5 5 MR. STONE: Same objections. of my time. 6 6 MR. MATHESON: Okay. Off the record. THE WITNESS: I'm not. 7 7 (Recess from 1:43 p.m. to 1:54 p.m.) Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You monitor trademark 8 8 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** monitoring reports periodically, right? 9 BY MR. MATHESON: 9 A. I do. 10 10 O. Mr. Pratt, thanks for joining us again. Q. You do that for the purpose of 11 I'm going to ask you some things I heard you testify 11 understanding how frequently advertisers' search 12 to when Mr. Stone was asking you questions. If we 12 advertisements appear in response to searches for 13 1-800 Contacts' trademark terms, right? need to scroll back in the realtime to try to 13 14 14 MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the understand exactly when those occurred, I'm happy to 15 do that. But I might ask some questions that will 15 witness's prior testimony; improper as to form. sound vague at the beginning just to see if I THE WITNESS: So I reviewed the trademark 16 16 17 understand what you testified to. 17 reports, ves. 18 I believe Mr. Stone asked you about what 18 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And you do it to 19 19 understand if advertisers such as Lens Discounters you referred to as a change in ad practices on the 20 part of Lens Discounters at some point between 2005, 20 are appearing frequently or infrequently in response 21 when we looked at some Lens Discounters 21 to searches for 1-800 trademark terms, right? 22 22 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to correspondence, and 2009? 23 23 A. I recall the question, yeah. form; leading. 24 24 Q. What did you mean by a change in Lens THE WITNESS: So I do it for a number of 25 Discounters' ad practices? 25 reasons; but, yeah, to see -- to observe in response 178 180 A. My recollection is that it was a change in 1 1 to searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks who comes 2 frequency and of them showing up in response to 2 up and what they look like. 3 1-800 Contacts' trademarks. 3 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So based on your review 4 Q. Their advertisements began to show up more 4 of these trademark reports, do you believe that the 5 frequently on search engine results pages in response 5 actions you and Mr. Miller took in an effort to, in 6 6 to searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarked terms? 2009, address the frequent appearance of Lens 7 A. That's my recollection. 7 Discounters' ads in response to searches for 8 8 MR. STONE: Object to the form. 1-800 trademarked terms, were those actions 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Has that problem 9 effective? 10 continued since 2009? 10 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and form. Calls for -- lacks foundation, calls for 11 11 12 ambiguous and improper as to form. 12 speculation. Improper in that it calls for opinion 13 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 13 testimony. 14 O. (By Mr. Matheson) What did you understand 14 THE WITNESS: As I said before, I'm not 15 me to mean by "that problem continued"? 15 aware of an increase that it needs to have a remedy A. The -- their frequent display in response 16 or further communication with them. 16 to searches for 1-800 Contacts. 17 17 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And these agreements 18 Q. So since 2009, it's been your observation 18 worked, in your experiences, right? You had a 19 that their ads have not appeared as frequently in 19 problem because people's -- or because competitors' 20 response to searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks 20 advertisements were appearing frequently in response 21 21 as was the case prior to the 2009 correspondence we to searches for 1-800 trademark terms, and you 22 reviewed? 22 contacted these competitors, and it was generally 23 23 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation, your experience that they stopped what you wanted 24 improper as to form, and over broad. 24 them to stop doing. Right? MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and 25 25 You can answer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

183

184

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

181 1

ambiguous; over broad.

THE WITNESS: So just to clarify: when you say these -- "these trademark agreements worked"? Is that what you said?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Well, just the agreements we've been discussing today, the agreements between 1-800 Contacts and its rivals regarding the use of 1-800's trademark terms as keywords in search advertising.

MR. STONE: Objection.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Same group Mr. Stone was asking you about. Do we understand the group of agreements we're discussing? Directing my question to that group of agreements, has it been your experience that these agreements are effective in reducing the frequency with which other advertisers' search advertising is displayed on search engine results pages in response to searches for 1-800 Contacts' trademarked terms?

MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: I think in response to that question, I don't know what reduced relative to, whether -- what their plans, what their advertising strategies were.

different things that we've included in our letters.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What do you mean by "the content of certain ads"?

A. The content meaning examples where we talked about -- that we've talked about previously today where it has 1-800 Contacts' trademarks in the title or text of the ad, things like that.

Q. So you were successful in getting rid of those ads for search engine results pages?

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: In some instances.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You just reviewed the trademark report Tuesday, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you see any advertisements on that trademark report that included a 1-800 Contacts trademark in the text of the ad?
 - I don't recall seeing one.
- Q. So what you do is working. What you have done for 1-800 Contacts has succeeded in removing advertisements from search engine results pages that 1-800 Contacts believes infringed its trademark rights. Is that fair?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

182

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I mean, all this effort that you guys have done on behalf of 1-800 Contacts, do you think that the lawsuits you have brought, the agreements 1-800 Contacts has reached, have those delivered any value to 1-800 Contacts?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form; argumentative; over broad, vague and ambiguous. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: So I don't suppose to know what value is to 1-800 Contacts specifically. My impression is that they have clarified who is 1-800 Contacts' ad when you search for their trademark keywords by reducing the instances that we've highlighted to, to the competitors.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When you say the instances you've highlighted to the competitors, you mean the appearance of rivals' advertisements on search engine results pages in response to queries for 1-800 Contacts' trademarked terms, right?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form; leading. Misstates the witness's testimony. Over broad.

THE WITNESS: Inclusive in the response is the appearance of ads in response to searches for trademarks, the content of certain ads and the

form, argumentative, improperly calls for opinion testimony, and calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Again, as I stated, I don't know exactly what people's strategies would or would not have been. Are they effective? I think that we have seen compliance by some people with the agreements.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you have any people in mind? By "people" I assume you meant advertisers. Do you have any advertisers --
 - A. Advertisers.
 - Q. -- in mind that have complied?
- A. Memorial Eye doesn't show up. That's one I can think of.
- Q. Do you have in mind sitting here today any advertisers who have failed to comply in the past 12 months with their obligations to 1-800?
 - A. I don't have any in mind.
- O. I'd like to take a look at RX0073 and 0074. Now, RX0073 is a letter you've identified as being directed from Tram Data to Mr. Miller, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. The letter, in substance, claims that 1-800 Contacts has had advertisements triggered by keywords that incorporate or are variants of the

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 187

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

185

1 the variations thereof."

trademark term "Replace My Contacts." Right? MR. STONE: Objection. Best evidence. Misstates the document and the witness's prior testimony regarding the document.

Do you understand that to mean that 3 1-800 Contacts had some negative keywords implemented 4 in ad campaigns in order to prevent its ads from 5 showing up in response to searches for "Replace My 6 Contacts"?

THE WITNESS: So the document says 1-800 has been purchasing. The allegation is from -- from Mr. Drucker that 1-800 has been purchasing in Bing, Yahoo, and Google advertisements triggered by keywords that incorporate "Replace My Contacts."

A. So my understanding as I sit here and look at the document is that they would have negative keywords to that effect, that they would have in their campaigns negative keywords such that their advertisements did not show up for searches for Replace My Contacts, and that those negative keywords did not include a misspelling that was identified by Mr. Drucker.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Now, are you familiar with whether or not Mr. Miller, or were you yourself involved in investigating whether this claim by Tram Data was true?

> Q. And so it's not the case that 1-800 was out there affirmatively bidding on the misspelling identified by Mr. Drucker; instead, it's probably the case that, as a result of the broad match setting or function in Google, the ad was broad matched into the result?

A. I don't have any knowledge as to that.

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. Calls for speculation.

Q. Now, do you understand Tram Data to be alleging that 1-800 Contacts was placing bids on the term "replace my contacts" with Google and Bing?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Is that fair?

A. My recollection at the time -- I don't know what my impression was at the time, necessarily. Looking at the document, "the advertisements are triggered by keywords" would indicate to me that those keywords -- they say -- they're allegations, but the keywords incorporate variants of "Replace My Contacts.'

A. So I don't --MR. STONE: Objection.

Q. What does it mean to be triggered by a

186

keyword? It means that the keyword is typed into a search engine and an advertisement is displayed. Is

THE WITNESS: I was going to say I don't know what they did or didn't have, but in my experience, it's possible.

A. It could mean two things. It can mean triggered by the keyword because it's typed into the search box, or it could be triggered as it's a term or phrase that's part of the campaign.

that what you understand that to mean?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So you understand as the term "triggered by keywords" as used in RX0073, that could include instances in which an advertisement appears because it's broad matched in?

Q. And when you say "part of the campaign," does that mean that a bid is affirmatively placed on that term as a keyword?

MR. STONE: Objection. Asked and answered, improper as to form, calls for opinion testimony, and calls for speculation.

A. So explain to me what you mean by a bid is placed on a keyword.

THE WITNESS: Again, my understanding as I sit here and look at it is that they're claiming that advertisements are triggered by keywords, that the advertisements come up in response to keywords which, as we talked about, could occur in a number of different ways.

Q. Well, what I'm trying to get at is, it is entirely possible that 1-800 Contacts' ads appeared in response to searches for keywords that incorporate or are variants of the mark "Replace My Contacts" because they were broad matched in by Google. Is that consistent with your experience?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And one way it could occur is as a result of Google broad matching an advertisement into a search result?

A. So my experience is that that would -that would be possible.

MR. STONE: Same objections as to the preceding question.

Q. And turning our attention to RX0074. Mr. Miller tells Mr. Drucker that -- in the last sentence of the first paragraph Mr. Miller states, "My client had negative keywords in place for your client's trademark, but had not anticipated some of

THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that, yes, Google has a broad match feature where they can broad match results in based on a search term.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And that is one way.

188

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

And my question was, and not sure I got an answer to it, one way that you referred to previously could occur is as a result of Google broad matching an advertisement into a search result?

MR. STONE: Same objections as the preceding question. Also asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Is that possible? Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So you were involved in the Memorial Eye litigation for some time. Was it your allegation that Memorial Eye affirmatively bid on 1-800 Contacts's keywords?
- A. Our allegation initially was that we had reason to believe that they were.
- Q. And what reason did you have to believe that they were?

MR. STONE: I'm going to instruct you to not disclose any information in response to that question, which would be protected by the attorney work product privilege. But to the extent you had information which has been shared and is no longer held in confidence, you can certainly provide that.

THE WITNESS: And I'm not aware of any of that information being shared or --

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So is there information vou're aware of that you are not providing a response

affirmative keyword that they were seeking as part of an advertising campaign?

MR. STONE: I need to give you two instructions on this, Mr. Pratt. One is not to reveal any information protected by the attorney work product privilege. And the second is not to reveal any information protected by the protective order in the Memorial Eye litigation. Because there you're under a court order to maintain that information in confidence.

THE WITNESS: Given those instructions, I'll -- I won't answer that question.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) My only question was whether or not you know sitting here today. I'm not asking for the basis of that information.

MR. STONE: Yeah. But the question, as I understood the question, it was framed in a certain way that answering it would reveal that he had such information. That would be revealing the substance of the information.

I may have misunderstood your question. Feel free to --

MR. MATHESON: No, that's fair. I mean, you're right. I'm asking him yes or no, do you know; and if your answer is you can't tell me whether you

to the question in order to protect the attorney work product privilege as your counsel has instructed you?

A. Yes, because I'm unsure of what has or has not been disclosed.

Q. Take a look at RX0072. Paragraph 19 of the complaint that you signed, the first sentence states, "Notwithstanding the receipt of the cease and desist letter, Memorial Eye refused to cease using the 1-800 Contacts Marks to trigger advertising."

What did you mean by "using the 1-800 Contacts Marks to trigger advertising"?

A. So; as I recall now, currently, because I recall currently, sitting here, Memorial Eye using the 1-800 Contacts mark to trigger, meaning their advertisements were coming up in one of two ways: In response to the 1-800 Contacts mark being entered into the search field, and then knowing that that was triggering the advertisement. In other words, they knew how to stop it based on the cease and desist letters and discussions. Or using it as a keyword, an affirmative keyword that they were seeking as part of an advertisement campaign.

Q. Do you know whether or not, sitting here today, Memorial Eye actually used any particular terms on which 1-800 Contacts owns a trademark as an

know or not without revealing a privileged communication or something on the protective order --

MR. STONE: Well, that's how I'm understanding your question. Look, I'm not trying to be argumentative here. How I'm understanding your question is if he said, "Yes, I know," and the basis for saying, "Yes, I know" about such information is information that he's not supposed to disclose, he would have effectively disclosed the information.

MR. MATHESON: Okay. But just we're going around in circles. All I'm asking is whether you know or not. I'm not asking is it true or is not true. I'm asking, sitting here today --

MR. STONE: Why don't you ask it again, and let me see if he can answer.

MR. CHIARELLO: Can we go off the record for just a second?

(A discussion was held off the record.)

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) The question is, sitting here today, do you know whether it is the case that Memorial Eye actually used any particular term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark as an affirmative keyword that they were seeking as part of an advertising campaign?

MR. STONE: Give me a second.

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

193 195 1 I think that has the same problem as 1 should feel free to do so. 2 2 before. I'm going to instruct the witness not to THE WITNESS: I can't answer it without 3 answer. But can I take a minute and talk to the 3 revealing work product or information subject to that 4 witness? 4 protective order. 5 MR. MATHESON: Sure. 5 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Prior to filing a 6 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 6 complaint in this matter, what evidence did you have 7 7 that instruction? that Memorial Eye has successfully tricked consumers 8 8 THE WITNESS: I am. into visiting its websites by using 1-800 Contacts' 9 (Recess from 2:16 p.m. to 2:18 p.m.) 9 marks? 10 10 MR. STONE: Instruct you not to answer on Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you ready, 11 Mr. Pratt? 11 the grounds of attorney work product. 12 A. I am. 12 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 13 13 Q. I believe earlier you testified that there that instruction, sir? A. I am. 14 were causes of action you considered bringing but did 14 15 not include in the Memorial Eye complaint. Is that a 15 Q. Next paragraph, paragraph 21, Memorial fair statement of your testimony? 16 Eye's actions are specifically aimed at diverting web 16 17 A. Yes. 17 users who are expressly looking for 1-800 Contacts 18 Q. Which causes of action did you consider 18 and the 1-800 Contacts goods and services is the bringing but then not include in the complaint? 19 19 first sentence of that paragraph. Did I read that 20 MR. STONE: So I don't want you to reveal 20 correctly? 21 attorney work product. So I don't want you to talk 21 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 22 about what you considered and decided not to do or 22 form. Document speaks for itself. Best evidence. 23 what you considered and decided what to do, because 23 THE WITNESS: So far as I tracked it. 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. What evidence that reveals your work product. 24 25 I think the way I had asked it was other 25 did you have before you filed this complaint that 194 196 1 claims he had brought on other occasions. I may be 1 Memorial Eye's actions were specifically aimed at 2 wrong, but I was trying not to ask your thought 2 diverting web users who were expressly looking at 3 3 process, but I don't want you to reveal your thought 1-800 Contacts? 4 process in response to the question. So I think as 4 MR. STONE: Instruct you not to answer on 5 5 framed, there's no way you can answer it without the grounds of attorney work product. 6 doing that, so I'm going to instruct you not to 6 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Follow that 7 answer that particular question. 7 instruction? 8 A. I am. 8 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. Are you going to 9 follow that instruction, sir? 9 Q. What empirical evidence have you seen that 10 A. I am. 10 web users who are expressly looking for Q. Paragraph 20 of the complaint we discussed 1-800 Contacts were actually diverted by Memorial 11 11 previously, the final sentence -- or you discussed 12 12 Eye's actions? 13 MR. STONE: Same objection; same 13 with Mr. Stone. The final sentence of that paragraph 14 reads: In essence, Memorial Eve is using the 1-800 14 contact marks to trick consumers into visiting the 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to refuse 15 16 to answer based on the advice of counsel? 16 Memorial Eve website. 17 All right. What facts supported the 17 A. I am. 18 allegation that Memorial Eye -- strike that. 18 Q. Paragraph 31, the allegation in the first 19 How many consumers did Memorial Eye 19 sentence states that "Memorial Eye's unauthorized use 20 successfully trick into visiting Memorial Eye 20 of the 1-800 Contacts marks has and will continue to 21 21 websites by using 1-800 Contacts's marks? irreparably injure 1-800 Contacts by confusing 22 22 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; customers, diverting sales and diluting the 23 23 calls for speculation; improper as to form. distinctiveness of the 1-800 Contacts marks." 24 Again, if you can answer that question 24 Did I read that correctly? without revealing work product information, you 25 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 25

21

22

23

24

25

trademarks.

1-800 Contacts trademarks?

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And was each appearance

of Memorial Eye advertisement and infringement of

for opinion testimony. Lacks foundation as to

MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls

197 199 which -- as to "each." What do you mean by "each"? 1 form. Best evidence. 1 2 2 Calls for speculation. Improper as to form. THE WITNESS: So far as I tracked it, yes. 3 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What evidence did you 3 You can answer in your opinion. 4 have before you filed this complaint that Memorial 4 THE WITNESS: Currently sitting here, I 5 5 Eye's unauthorized use of the 1-800 Contacts marks don't have a recollection of all of the other 6 actually confused consumers? 6 advertisements that I viewed. 7 7 MR. STONE: Objection. Instruct you not Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Is it your recollection 8 to answer, on the grounds of attorney work product. 8 that you viewed some of their advertisements, the 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Going to follow that 9 appearance of which on a search engine results page 10 instruction, sir? 10 did not infringe 1-800 Contacts' trademarks? 11 A. I am. 11 MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls 12 Q. How many sales were diverted from 12 for opinion testimony; lacks foundation; improper as 13 1-800 Contacts by Memorial Eye's unauthorized use of 13 to form. 14 1-800 Contacts' marks as those terms are used in this 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have a recollection 15 paragraph? 15 of such an occurrence. MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; 16 16 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Can you recall any 17 calls for speculation. 17 Memorial Eye advertisements that appeared on a search 18 To the extent it calls for information you 18 engine results page triggered by a term on which 19 developed in the course of the litigation either 19 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark that did not 20 before or after filing the complaint, instruct you 20 infringe 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights? 21 not to answer on the grounds of attorney work 21 MR. STONE: Same objections as to the last 22 product. And to the extent any such information was 22 question. Also asked and answered. 23 obtained under the terms of the protective order, you THE WITNESS: As I sit here today, I can't 23 24 should not answer or reveal that information if doing 24 think of a specific example, no. 25 so would violate that court order. 25 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Is it possible that 198 200 THE WITNESS: Under guidance of counsel, I Memorial Eye could display an advertisement on a 1 1 2 won't answer that question. 2 search engine results page triggered by a term on 3 which 1-800 Contacts owns a trademark and avoid 3 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Paragraph 26 we 4 infringing 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights? 4 discussed earlier, I believe, the first sentence 5 5 states: "On or about September 12th, 2007, MR. STONE: Objection. Improperly calls 6 6 1-800 Contacts noted an increase in Memorial Eye's for opinion testimony. Improperly calls for the 7 7 witness really to provide expert opinion testimony. level of infringement." 8 8 It's my understanding that you were asked Lacks foundation; calls for speculation; improper as 9 about this by Mr. Stone, and you said the 9 to form. 10 infringement -- increase in infringement meant an 10 THE WITNESS: I hesitate to create an increase in the frequency of appearances of Memorial instance or an example. It would take an analysis of 11 11 12 Eye advertisements on search engine results page. Is 12 infringement factors. 13 that fair? 13 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Taking a look at page 8 14 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 14 of the complaint, the screenshot which you included. 15 15 Paragraph 30 of the complaint that you drafted notes form. "the website www.shipmycontacts.com is featured on 16 You can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would say that it's 17 17 the top left portion of the page." I just want to 18 an increase in frequency of their advertisements 18 direct my question to that particular sponsored link. 19 appearing in response to a search for 1-800 Contacts 19 Ultimately the case between Memorial Eye

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

20

21

22

23

24

25

and 1-800 Contacts settled, right?

A. That's my understanding.

Q. It's your understanding that under the

agreed to implement negative keywords in order to

prevent its advertisements from being displayed on

terms of the settlement agreement, Memorial Eye

20

21

22

23

24

25

transcript.

201 203 search engine results pages in response to searches 1 counsel for a period of time in 1-800 Contacts' 1 2 for 1-800 Contacts' trademarked terms. Right? 2 litigation against Lens.Com, right? 3 MR. STONE: Objection. Best evidence. 3 A. Yes. 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. As I sit here, that's 4 Q. You referred to a study by a Mr. Degen; is my -- my understanding as I sit here. I mean, I 5 5 that right? don't have a copy of that, but that's my 6 A. Yes. 6 7 7 understanding. Q. Have you ever spoken with Mr. Degen? 8 8 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) That's your best A. My recollection is that I was on some 9 response? 9 phone calls with Mr. Degen. 10 Q. Were you involved -- did you ever discuss 10 A. Yeah. 11 the expert report Mr. Degen submitted in that matter 11 Q. Have you seen an ad for 12 www.shipmycontacts.com triggered by a keyword term on 12 with Mr. Degen? 13 A. My recollection is only in regards to a 13 which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark since the 14 phone call. 14 Memorial Eye settlement agreement was reached? MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 15 Q. So you discussed the expert report with 15 Mr. Degen on a phone call at some point in time? 16 16 form. 17 THE WITNESS: To the best of my 17 A. Right now it's unclear whether it was with 18 him or with other counsel in the case. 18 recollection, no. 19 Q. The phone call you have in mind, what were 19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Paragraph 38 of the 20 agreement, which I think we discussed -- sorry -- the 20 you told regarding Mr. Degen's expert report on that 21 call? 21 complaint that we discussed earlier, paragraph 38 of 22 MR. STONE: I instruct the witness not to 22 the complaint you drafted refers to the valuable 23 goodwill of 1-800 Contacts. Correct? answer on the grounds of attorney work product and 23 24 attorney-client communication. 24 A. As I look at it, yes, it does say "the 25 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 25 valuable goodwill established therein." 202 204 1 Q. What information has your client provided 1 the instruction? 2 you regarding the dollar value of the goodwill 2 A. I'm going to follow that instruction, yes. 3 associated with 1-800 Contacts' trademarks? 3 Q. What aspects of Mr. Degen's report did you 4 MR. STONE: So you can answer to the 4 find most vulnerable to cross-examination during the 5 5 extent any such information has been provided to you Lens.Com litigation? for the purpose of including it in complaints, 6 MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not 6 7 in evidence; lacks foundation. But to the extent it 7 interrogatory answers, motions, court filings, or 8 would call for the disclosure of any of your attorney 8 other public disclosures. 9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall an exact 9 work product or thought process, I would instruct you 10 dollar amount, other than I know that they have 10 not to answer. provided, for example, ad expenditures. THE WITNESS: I can't think of anything to 11 11 12 share that would not include thought process and 12 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) But you're not planning 13 to offer testimony in this matter regarding the 13 attorney work product. 14 dollar value of the goodwill embodied in 14 O. (By Mr. Matheson) Can you provide --1-800 Contacts' trademarks? 15 strike that. 15 Ultimately, the Court in Lens.Com did not 16 A. I'm not. 16 17 MR. STONE: If you keep asking him about 17 credit Mr. Degen's report. Is that right? 18 it --18 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

form. Mischaracterizes the evidence and testimony.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the record -- the

The record speaks for itself.

trial record is in place. As far as the Court

crediting it, I think that on the appeal, the appeal

You can answer.

court looked at it and considered it.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MATHESON: I just want to understand

MR. STONE: I'll just designate the depo

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And you were a trial

have an agreement regarding the scope of testimony.

MR. MATHESON: Well, we'll see. We do

what he's going to testify about.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) The trial court failed to credit the results of Mr. Degen's survey to the extent Mr. Degen opined that they showed consumers would likely be confused. Is that consistent with your recollection?

MR. STONE: Objection. The record speaks for itself, mischaracterizes the record, improper as to form, and best evidence as to what the trial court's ruling was.

To make that more clear: The best evidence of the trial court's ruling would be the trial court's ruling.

THE WITNESS: As I recall thinking about the decision -- again, it has been some time since I reviewed it -- my best recollection is that there wasn't a lot of deference given to it.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you agree with the trial court's determination to not credit Mr. Degen's suggestion that consumer confusion was likely?

MR. STONE: Objection. I think that probably calls for the witness's thought processes as an attorney, in which case it would invade the attorney work product privilege. So I instruct the witness not to answer to the extent this would reveal your thought processes as an attorney in the matter.

1 to answer.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What evidence came to your attention during the Lens.Com litigation that might suggest consumers were not confused by advertisements on search engine results pages?

MR. STONE: To the extent there was any such information, if it was not protected by the attorney work product privilege and not subject to the protective order in that case, you can provide it to Mr. Matheson.

THE WITNESS: I can't, sitting here, think of any instances that would fall under that categorization.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So is it your testimony that of all the evidence you became aware of in the Lens.Com litigation, none of that evidence suggested consumers were not confused by advertisements on search engine results pages?

MR. STONE: Same objections as to the preceding question, and same instructions. Further object: asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I can't think of any instances that expressly -- you know, sitting here today, I can't think of any instances that expressly evidence that.

THE WITNESS: I'm going to take counsel's advice.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You decline to answer the question --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. -- because you can't answer the question without revealing work product?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I believe earlier, Mr. Stone asked you to describe any evidence of actual confusion you became aware of that you could reveal without revealing privileged information, And he was asking those questions in connection with the Lens.Com litigation. Is that consistent with your recollection?
- A. I recall him asking if there was -- if -- and it's obviously going to be on the transcript. My recollection is that he asked if there was any evidence of actual confusion that was public, something of that nature.
- Q. What evidence of actual confusion came to your attention in the Lens.Com litigation that you believe is protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges?

MR. STONE: Obviously he can't answer that question as framed, so I'm going to instruct him not

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) So you reached the conclusion based on all of the evidence you became aware of during the Lens.Com litigation that consumers are not confused -- strike that.

So based on all of the evidence you became aware of during the Lens.Com litigation, did you reach the conclusion that consumers are confused by advertisements that appear on search engine results pages?

MR. STONE: His conclusions are protected by the attorney work product privilege. And to the extent he communicated them to his clients, if he had any such conclusions and communicated them, it would be protected by the attorney-client privilege. So I don't see how he can answer that question without invading the privilege, and I instruct him not to answer.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow that instruction?
- A. I am.
 - Q. Were you involved in the design of the survey that Mr. Degen conducted in connection with his report?
 - A. I was.
 - Q. What was your role in designing the survey

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

209

211 testified that you have seen on the trademark

monitoring reports advertisements appear and have not contacted those advertisers alleging they infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights. Right?

- A. I believe I testified to that, right.
- Q. Which retailers of contact lenses advertisements have you viewed on trademark monitoring reports that you have not subsequently contacted regarding those advertisements?
 - A. I can't recall any of those names.
- Q. In those instances when you did not contact -- strike that.

Can you recall any retailer of contact lenses whose advertisements you viewed in a trademark monitoring report that you did not subsequently contact regarding that advertisement?

- A. Could you restate that?
- Q. Can you recall any -- strike that.

Can you recall any instance in which you saw an ad for a retailer of contact lenses appear in a trademark monitoring report when you did not subsequently contact that advertiser regarding that advertisement?

- A. I can recall instances, yes.
- Q. When did those instances occur?

that Mr. Degen conducted in connection with his

MR. STONE: I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer on the grounds of attorney work product, and on the further ground that I think any communications he had with Mr. Degen at that time probably were protected by the privilege for communications between counsel and experts. I haven't checked the latter, but I think it would be attorney work product regardless.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Going to follow that instruction?

A. I am.

Q. So it's not your intent to offer any testimony at the administrative hearing regarding the survey conducted by Mr. Degen, is it?

A. I think to the extent that testimony can be provided that's not protected by work product or attorney-client privilege, I think I could answer.

Q. You think you could answer what?

A. I could answer those questions that wouldn't reveal confidential information.

A. Again, if they don't require the

that the advertisers you contact on behalf of

settlements. Is that a fair summary of your

information that I could testify about.

Q. So you believe at the administrative hearing you will be able to answer questions regarding the survey performed by Mr. Degen?

disclosure of confidential information and if I have

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

Q. I believe earlier you said, approximately, 1-800 Contacts generally, quote, resisted or, quote, pushed back against your request that they enter into

testimony? A. I believe I said that they would want changes and would negotiate changes, something to that effect, yes.

Q. Why did they tell you they did not want to accept the settlement agreements you proposed to them?

MR. STONE: Objection. Over broad and inherently compound. Improperly as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific objections that people had, as I sit here. If there was a document or an e-mail, I'd be happy to look at it. It's a general recollection that there were negotiations, that there were things that wanted to be changed, and suggested modifications.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) You previously

210

 I don't know the exact dates when those instances occurred. I recall the instances themselves. If I had to put a time range on it, again, it's loose. It would probably be between 2006 and 2010. I know that's a wide range.

O. How many instances do you have in mind, roughly?

A. Roughly three that I specifically recall.

Q. Can you recall the identity of any of the advertisers?

A. I cannot.

Q. Can you recall in any of those instances why you did not subsequently contact the advertiser regarding the advertisement you viewed?

MR. STONE: You can answer that yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) How many of the three instances -- strike that.

For how many of the three instances can you recall why you did not subsequently contact the advertiser regarding the advertisement you viewed?

A. I would say all three.

O. So we're using the same nomenclature, would it be helpful to refer to these as the first, second, third in time? What's the best way to make

212

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

213 215 1 sure we're discussing each one individually, in your 1 A. Yes, that's correct. 2 Q. Are you planning to provide any testimony point of view? 2 3 A. Yeah. First, second, or third in time is 3 regarding advertisements for 1-800 Contacts that you 4 4 have viewed in your day-to-day life? fine 5 5 A. Not in my personal capacity in day-to-day Okay. The first instance you had in mind, why didn't you contact the advertiser regarding the 6 6 life, no. 7 advertisement you saw on a trademark infringement 7 Q. Are you planning to provide any testimony 8 report? 8 at all regarding advertisements for 1-800 Contacts of MR. STONE: Calls for disclosure of an 9 9 which you're aware? 10 attorney work product. Instruct the witness not to 10 A. Not that I'm currently aware of. 11 answer. 11 Q. Now, you're not planning to testify 12 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 12 regarding any harm that 1-800 Contacts suffered as a 13 13 result of competitors' advertisements appearing on the instruction? 14 A. I am. 14 search engines results pages, are you? 15 Q. The second instance you have in mind where 15 A. Not that I'm aware of. MR. MATHESON: Okay. We can go off the you did not contact an advertiser regarding an ad you 16 16 17 saw in a trademark monitoring report, why did you 17 record for one second. choose not to contact that advertiser? 18 18 (Recess from 2:48 p.m. to 2:54 p.m.) 19 MR. STONE: Same objection and same 19 MR. MATHESON: I don't have any questions. 20 objection. 20 MR. STONE: I don't have any further 21 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 21 questions of Mr. Pratt. 22 that instruction? 22 We discussed off the record that you can 23 A. I am. 23 send the original transcript directly to Mr. Pratt 24 Q. The third instance you have in mind where 24 for his review and signing. 25 vou've not contacted an advertiser regarding an ad 25 I assume it's satisfactory if he signs 214 216 1 you saw in a trademark monitoring report, why did you 1 under penalty of perjury? 2 choose not to contact that advertiser? 2 MR. MATHESON: That's fine with us. 3 MR. STONE: Same instruction and same 3 Thanks for your time, Mr. Pratt. 4 objection. 4 (The deposition concluded at 2:54 p.m.) 5 5 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Are you going to follow 6 that instruction, sir? 6 7 7 A. I am. 8 8 MR. STONE: Shouldn't have broken them up 9 9 in three, to save time. 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) In each of the three 10 11 instances, was your reason the same? 11 12 12 MR. STONE: Objection. Let me think about 13 13 that. 14 MR. MATHESON: Come on. It's a good 14 15 15 question. MR. STONE: You can answer that. 16 16 17 17 THE WITNESS: Just to clarify, are you 18 18 asking in all three instances were all three reasons 19 the same? 19 20 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Correct. 20 21 A. No. 21 22 Q. You referred a few times, if I heard you 22 23 23 correctly, to television advertisements or other 24 24 advertisements you personally view in your day-to-day 25 25 life that relate to 1-800 Contacts. Right?

		217
1 2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH)	
3	STATE OF UTAH)) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)	
4	I, Vicky McDaniel, Registered Professional	
5 6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:	
7	That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the	
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;	
9	That said deposition was taken down by me in stenotype on December 15, 2016, at the place herein named and was thereafter transcribed, and that	
10	nerein named and was thereafter transcribed, and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages.	
11	I further certify that, a request having	
12	been made to review the transcript, a reading copy was requested to be sent to the witness, Mr. Pratt,	
13	to read and sign and then return for filing with Mr. Matheson.	
14 15	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said	
16	cause of action and that I am not interested in the outcome thereof.	
17	WITNESS MY HAND this 26th day of December, 2016.	
18 19		
20 21 22	Vicky McDaniel, CSR, RMR	
23	Notary Public Residing in Salt Lake County	
24 25	,	
		218
1	Case: 1-800 Contacts	218
1 2	Case: 1-800 Contacts File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel	218
2	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016	218
2 3 4 5	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE:	218
2 3 4 5 6	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony.	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony.	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	218
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	File No. 141-0200 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: December 15, 2016 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON ———————————————————————————————————	

[219]

A	Acuvue 85:11 86:5	36:12,19,25 37:4	advertisers' 75:5	89:10,11,12,14
a.m 2:15 71:7,7 73:3	ad 35:14 55:20,23	57:15 75:13 85:4	179:11 181:16	agree 62:6,11
73:3 93:25,25	56:22 59:18 73:9	89:18,22 90:17	advertising 13:1	205:17
A795 72:21	76:20 78:23 84:21	91:15 96:6 111:16	15:7 22:22 23:4,11	agreed 44:10 45:2
Abdulla 134:9	86:5 88:25 89:5,7	117:21 124:22	24:11 27:2 40:2,11	46:17 200:24
137:23 138:5	91:21 94:23	135:1 154:9 160:2	40:19 41:5 42:6,16	agreement 8:18
140:5,15 142:4	127:12 129:23	186:2 188:7,19	43:4,17 44:13,17	32:11 34:24 41:19
able 8:16 29:22 30:3	132:14 140:16	189:4 190:18,22	45:3,6,14,20 46:4	41:23,25 42:4,10
95:19 165:18	151:16 159:22,24	198:22 200:1	46:19 47:23 48:4	42:13,14 43:2,13
209:24	172:16 174:7	211:16,23 212:14	51:11 87:12,17	43:15,22,24 44:15
above-entitled 2:14	177:19,25 182:12	212:21 213:7	91:9 92:6 94:8	44:24 45:18 55:9
above-mentioned	183:7,18 187:4,19	advertisements	96:8 105:23	56:8,10,12 57:17
134:12	201:11 202:11	16:16,16 20:19	109:15 110:8	57:21 59:15,19
AC 37:23 38:2	211:20 213:16,25	21:19 27:1,6 28:19	137:10 138:8,23	61:24 63:12,25
accept 210:14	added 104:13 105:4	29:11,14 35:1,1,4	141:4 149:13,18	64:22 65:20 66:5
access 141:9	addition 18:2 133:2	35:7,10,11 54:25	149:21 155:23	67:1 68:10,20
accurate 26:2 61:20	136:22	55:2 57:2 58:25	164:5 181:9,17,24	69:12 120:15,22
76:15 99:10	additional 118:21	60:13 75:5,9 79:25	190:9,11 191:2	121:2,4,14,20
accurately 67:5	123:24 132:25	80:9 104:9,18	192:24	122:3,3 124:12,19
218:7	154:10 160:14	106:6 129:22	advice 22:16,21	128:7 167:5 176:5
acknowledged 63:2	Additionally 133:23	134:15 137:1,2,18	74:19 196:16	177:2 200:23
acquainted 54:7	address 16:14 34:23	137:18 139:16	206:2	201:14,20 202:24
acquire 47:12 92:5	108:25 118:12,15	148:17 149:23	AdWords 56:5,16	agreements 12:25
94:6	168:5 180:6	153:21 156:24,25	57:6,23 76:17 84:9	15:6 32:18 40:1,10
Act 62:1 63:14 64:2	addressed 103:10	157:11 158:18	118:4	40:18 41:4,8,10
164:1,12,15	addresses 131:13	163:18 165:23	affiliate 109:24	58:6,7,8,11,15,15
acted 19:3	adequate 72:7	172:3 178:4	125:1,9,10,10,12	58:24,25 60:7,11
acting 19:8	administrative	179:12 180:20	affiliate's 125:2	60:21 71:10 121:6
action 21:18 67:17	14:24 48:25	182:17 183:16,22	affiliated 84:17	121:6,8,11,23,24
158:6 193:14,18	209:15,23	184:24 185:8,20	affiliates 109:23	125:15 167:19,22
217:15	admitted 4:22 5:2,4	187:11 188:13,14	124:19,20,21	168:8,15 169:1,4
actions 48:15 54:19	ads 36:4 58:16 77:5	190:15 198:12,18	125:13	169:16,19,23
54:21 142:6 149:9	88:22 117:20	199:6,8,17 200:25	affiliation 79:6 81:8	180:17 181:3,6,7
152:25,25 159:16	120:16 135:5	207:5,17 208:8	81:11 85:3,8 86:10	181:13,14,15
180:5,8 195:16	148:15,21 158:25	211:2,7,9,14	153:8 161:15	182:4 184:7
196:1,12	159:10 160:9	214:23,24 215:3,8	163:10,21	210:14
activities 17:10	166:9 172:20	215:13	affirmative 190:21	ahead 19:15 33:4,7
25:24 26:5 27:13	176:18 178:19	advertiser 27:5	191:1 192:23	78:14
30:14,20 31:1,12	180:7 182:24,25	29:22 30:4 111:14	affirmatively 57:22	aimed 153:1 195:16
35:5 109:11	183:3,9 186:14	211:22 212:13,21	59:1 186:9 187:16	196:1
activity 98:7 148:24	187:4	213:6,16,18,25	189:10	alike 136:5
actual 36:22 44:23	advantage 135:21	214:2	afraid 115:10	ALJ 116:1
76:19 77:13 95:16	advertise 135:2	advertisers 21:19	agent 5:15 128:11	allegation 148:11,14
110:18 121:12	advertised 23:17	27:9 29:2 179:19	128:21	152:9 185:6
127:25 128:9	advertisement	184:9,10,11,16	agents 162:11	189:10,12 194:18
206:10,18,20	23:21 24:3,17	210:5 211:3	ago 9:4,4,13,18	196:18
	35:17,18,20,23,25	212:10	26:21 44:10 46:15	allegations 148:9

[220]

	1	1	1	1
185:22	33:4,7 40:24 42:8	antitrust 63:4 65:6	area 87:3	162:19 166:4
allege 152:4 153:6	42:19 43:8,10,11	65:15 67:13 170:8	argument 88:2	202:3 217:15
160:18 163:1	44:20 45:10 48:20	170:16,23 171:2	argumentative	association 163:12
165:1,3 172:1	49:3,9,21 51:1,3	anyway 82:5	80:20 81:1,21	assume 74:10 75:25
alleged 96:20	52:23 55:17 59:8	AOL 154:7	182:7 184:1 192:5	76:9,10 85:7
160:22 161:20,22	60:1,19 63:16	appeal 128:9 204:24	arising 71:9 79:10	128:19 156:12
163:6,15,24	65:11 66:14 68:12	204:24	Arlington 37:21	184:9 215:25
164:24	68:25 69:16 70:2	appear 20:20 27:6	arrival 10:9	assumed 72:22
alleges 15:5 163:7	70:16,24 71:14	35:11,15,21,23	ascertain 29:22 30:3	Assumes 14:3 65:25
alleging 154:11	75:1 78:20 84:24	36:4,10,15 59:1	aside 16:19	92:8 96:22 167:15
185:16 211:3	85:22 87:4 88:20	75:5 104:10,18	asked 11:10 12:3,4	204:6
allow 72:13	90:14 91:5 92:17	129:17 132:15,16	21:25 38:3,7 43:6	assuming 99:10
allowed 163:4	92:19,25 93:4,8,8	150:13 179:12	47:10 49:2 50:24	assumption 67:8
alter 22:3	93:15 95:3,8,19	208:8 211:2,20	77:2 81:1,20 84:18	76:22 77:3,20
ambiguity 81:9	96:2,9,14,25 97:5	appearance 36:12	84:23 96:12 117:1	106:1 172:24
ambiguous 22:25	98:13,25 99:2,20	54:23,24 55:1,2	131:7,9,20 144:18	175:3,4
23:6,14,24 24:6	100:5 102:7	57:15 80:22 91:17	166:21,21 167:20	assumptions 85:7
30:18 31:6,15 40:4	110:11 111:4,20	96:5,18 111:16	168:7,11,13 170:2	attached 72:19
40:13 46:6,23 76:6	128:15 170:4	134:14 156:25	176:13 177:18	73:22 97:13
88:18 96:23 102:6	178:25 182:8	180:6 182:17,24	188:8 189:6	100:21 101:11
110:10 178:12	189:1 191:12,25	198:21 199:9	193:25 198:8	144:20,22 153:23
181:1 182:7	192:15 193:3	appearances 3:1	199:22 206:9,17	155:16 156:5
183:11	194:5,7,24 195:2	157:11 198:11	207:21	157:15 172:9
ambush 72:23	195:10 196:4,16	appeared 21:19	asking 19:12 26:6	173:6
amenable 116:6	197:8,21,24 198:2	27:3 178:19	35:6 40:5,7 44:25	attempt 79:23 80:8
AMERICA 218:21	198:16 199:3	186:14 199:17	45:4 48:8 77:4,7,8	104:3 135:8
amount 44:22 47:23	202:4 203:23	appearing 10:24	82:12 87:14 90:24	attempted 104:17
48:3 167:12	204:10,20 205:24	26:24 36:1 79:25	91:2 98:9 99:22	attend 11:9,11
202:10	206:3,6,24 207:1	80:10 83:12	149:9 153:20	attended 11:8
analysis 68:2,7,19	208:15,17 209:4	109:15 179:20	161:20 165:16	attention 75:3 89:25
68:24 69:2,11,21	209:19,20,21,24	180:20 198:19	169:2 177:12	90:5 148:24 149:3
70:12,21 71:8,12	210:18 212:15	215:13	181:12 191:15,24	186:21 206:21
71:16,18 87:22	213:11 214:16	appears 35:20,25	192:11,12,13	207:3
110:6,14,22,24	answered 43:6 81:2	61:19 75:18 78:1	202:17 206:12,15	attorney 9:6 67:13
200:11	81:21 84:23 188:9	90:6 108:17	214:18	69:16 70:3,24
analyze 69:25 70:21	189:6 199:22	131:25 132:17	aspects 102:21,23 204:3	74:21 93:1,9 95:3
90:25	207:21	175:19 188:7		95:17 97:5 111:21
analyzed 64:24	answering 21:5 65:12 191:18	application 10:4	asserted 163:23,25 164:17	119:20 189:18
65:21 66:6	answers 202:7	applications 7:18 appropriate 116:15	asserting 97:20	190:1 191:5 193:21 195:11
analyzing 141:16 ancillary 64:24	anticipate 31:11	appropriate 110.13	asserting 97.20 assess 79:23 80:8	193.21 193.11
65:22 66:7	32:22 37:7,18	approved 03.21 approximately 13:7	assesses 82:8	203:23 204:8,13
and/or 153:8	87:20	22:10 106:5 210:4	assessing 86:24	205:22,23,25
Angeles 3:11	anticipated 32:24	April 124:9 125:17	assign 114:11,14	207:8 208:11
answer 8:5,8,14	33:9 176:2 186:25	126:1 150:10	assignment 114:18	209:4,10 213:10
10:12 12:18 14:5	anticipates 15:16	Aquasoft 122:13	associate 69:23	attorney's 111:25
14:13,19 21:7 22:4	16:9	123:12	associated 125:11	attorney-client 7:15
1112,17 211 221	10.7	120.12		

[221]

8:1,5,9,24 14:6,19	back 84:3 91:7 94:3	bearing 119:4 129:4	205:8,10,15	49:7 58:19 59:6
48:20 49:4 52:9,24	94:11 95:22	142:15	212:25	60:17 66:13 87:3
64:17 65:13,17	103:22,25 119:22	began 178:4	beyond 63:17 110:3	88:19 167:6
66:18,21 69:17	121:14,19 122:1	beginning 5:13 73:7	bid 57:22 59:2 186:9	178:24 181:1,20
70:3,7,17 96:3	142:9,13 144:11	133:13 148:3	186:11 189:10	182:7,22 186:17
111:22 203:24	145:17 154:23	149:4,5 153:12	bidding 187:16	187:18,19 188:7
206:22 208:14	155:9,14 156:14	165:13 177:16	bids 29:22 30:4	188:18,23,24
209:19	177:13 210:7	begins 130:2	185:16	189:3 210:16
attorneys 112:4	bad 71:4	behalf 3:2,8 4:9,11	bill 112:4 113:8	broaden 82:15
August 9:21,24	banner 36:4	4:15,16,18,18	114:25 115:3	broadened 82:13
available 141:7	bar 4:22 5:2,6	31:11 94:7 96:7,20	billable 112:2,3,7	broken 214:8
147:6	148:21	105:14,18 121:9	billed 112:19	brought 148:24
Avenue 3:11	base 151:12	182:2 210:5	billing 112:21	182:3 194:1
avoid 122:2 125:13	based 8:5 10:14 22:7	belief 62:15 118:17	114:11,15	Bryan 1:4 4:2 17:19
200:3	23:16 28:17 29:21	123:12 129:19	Bing 172:3 173:14	73:8 217:7 218:5
awarded 166:16	29:25 30:2,6 31:7	believe 6:19 7:1	185:7,17	218:20,24
aware 12:19,23 15:4	35:9,15 36:13	11:25 15:3 20:9	blanket 8:18	Bryce 20:10
15:10 37:25 41:9	44:14 45:4,11 52:8	41:18 51:3 54:15	blue 75:11 85:10	built 51:10
41:19,22,25 45:23	62:4,8,17 67:15	57:12 58:12 62:18	151:4	bullet 122:6 154:19
48:1,7,10 71:12,19	76:12,14 77:22	66:25 68:10 73:23	bold 117:14	business 112:3
71:23,24 72:2	78:7,21 79:1,24	78:10,15,23 79:17	bolded 107:24	136:11 146:24
77:22 79:2,9,12,16	80:9 81:19 84:21	81:16 84:20 91:16	bother 175:7	butt 18:24
79:18 81:18,24	85:25 88:10 92:1	101:6,23 105:5	bottom 62:23 145:4	
82:7,16,21 83:1,9	94:22 96:2 104:15	118:24 125:5,8	bounces 109:21	C
98:5 102:13,18,21	106:2 118:8	126:16 128:8	bound 53:21	C 145:5,18,21,23
102:23,24,25	123:12 124:21	131:12 132:9	box 77:25 78:1 85:5	166:12
103:2,4,10 104:1,2	126:20 127:11,13	138:11 143:18	85:11 150:11,12	Caditz 54:6,8,9,18
110:24 111:6	128:15 132:17	147:5 149:9	150:21 159:25	calendar 112:15,16
126:10 133:24	135:15,16 141:14	152:17 155:2	160:1,4,11,13	California 3:11
134:2 137:13	142:5 146:3 147:4	164:19 169:21	186:6	call 125:9 128:11,12
162:6 169:23	149:19,19 151:10	170:14,20 177:18	boxes 154:22	128:18,20,20
170:1 178:13	156:13 163:13,23	180:4 189:13,14	boy 18:6	162:11 203:14,16
179:2,3 180:15	167:17 180:3	193:13 198:4	brand 49:14	203:19,21 204:8
189:22,25 206:11	188:24 190:19	206:9,22 209:23	Brandon 20:8	called 4:3
207:15 208:3,6	196:16 208:2,5	210:4,10 211:5	BrandVerity 18:4	calls 12:17 40:22
215:9,10,15	basic 21:16	believed 160:19	27:15,17,23 30:24	42:18 44:18 45:9
awareness 79:13,17	basically 109:20	161:8 167:23	breach 124:18	45:21 46:6,23
79:19,21,23 80:7	basis 25:3 65:5,14	believes 183:23	breadth 103:8	54:14 59:24,24
80:14 81:5,25 82:1	65:16 76:22 84:18	beneath 131:3	break 50:6 71:4	60:18 63:3 74:16
82:20 135:18	100:1 111:16	benefit 163:20	72:12 93:2 115:13	75:24 78:18 87:2
	148:5,12 166:15	benefits 67:24 68:8	116:6 142:9	88:18 90:23 91:23
<u>B</u>	191:15 192:6	best 40:19 41:2 42:3	168:21	128:19 166:24
B 1:9 144:8,12	Bates 129:9 130:3	42:13 43:1 46:1,14	brief 73:22	167:2 168:1
B1 165:13,15,16	133:4 144:13	46:20,25 80:2	briefly 114:5	169:10,11 180:11
167:7	146:21 153:25	129:1 136:14	bring 149:2	180:11,12 184:1,2
B2 165:14,15,16	165:13	185:2 195:22	bringing 193:14,19	187:22 188:9,10
166:3 167:7	Beach 3:10 4:17,17	197:1 201:3,8,17	broad 33:3 46:22	194:23 197:17,18
	I	I	I	I

[222]

198:24 199:2,11
200:5,6,8 203:9
205:21 213:9
campaign 56:5,17
56:23 57:7 117:20
134:25 186:7,8
190:22 191:2
192:24
campaigns 140:16
141:4 149:21
187:4,10
cancel 128:23
candid 98:4
capacity 7:3,6 54:12
74:21 215:5
capture 144:18
care 39:20,21 84:14
84:14 91:11
136:24
careful 21:6 22:2
Carl 126:15
Carpe 113:17 114:4
case 22:14,19 25:1
ŕ
43:14,21 83:4,9
87:10,16 96:13
116:9 127:24
128:5 143:7,8,12
143:15,21,22,25
165:4 166:19
178:21 187:15,18
192:21 200:19
203:18 205:22
207:9 218:1
cases 36:3 83:5,6
105:12 164:16
categorization
207:13
category 99:17
cause 163:8 217:15
causes 76:10 193:14
193:18
causing 92:15
causing' 55:10
caution 7:12
cease 32:16 120:8
138:7 139:6 149:9
149:11,12 153:20

155:21,22 176:17	Ī
176:22,25 190:7,8	
190:19	
ceased 56:17	
ceasing 55:7,25 57:5	
center 38:6,10,11	
128:11,18,21	
160:1 162:11	
174:11	
CEO 101:20 104:15	
138:6 140:4	
certain 7:7 9:11,18	
26:20 76:1 86:17 98:24 103:23	
136:16 182:25	
183:3 191:17	
certainly 189:21	
CERTIFICATE	
217:1 218:4	
certify 217:5,11,14	
chain 33:21 34:2	
challenged 60:11	
challenging 58:9	
change 56:22,24	
57:4 122:3 138:23	
159:16 177:19,24	
178:1	
CHANGE/CORR	
218:9	
changed 138:18	
210:24	
changes 121:14	
210:11,11 characterization	
128:2	
characterize 82:25	
176:16	
check 72:12,25	
checked 209:9	
Chiarello 3:4 4:10	
4:10 116:12	
192:16	
choose 213:18 214:2	
chose 165:1,2	
CID 13:5,9,17 14:1	
1411166067	

14:11,16 69:6,7

71:12,20

Cindy 54:6,7,9 55:6
circles 192:11
circumstances 8:17
cite 65:7
City 2:11
Civil 69:7
claim 67:1 95:15
152:16,17 164:11 174:25 185:12
1/4:25 185:12 claimed 173:8
claiming 188:12
claims 152:11,14,19
152:20 164:17,17
184:23 194:1
clarified 182:11
clarify 32:20 33:5,8
34:10 50:22 72:15
77:9 121:4 181:2
214:17
clarifying 121:19
clear 8:1 16:21
34:15 50:19 100:9 101:10 114:7
130:18 149:16
167:3 205:10
clearly 16:1 58:8
59:12 81:23
click 76:21
clicking 161:2
client 44:12,12 45:2
48:14,17 49:18,22
50:15 51:15,21
53:6 54:20 64:15
66:5 70:8 71:13
105:8,12 111:11
112:24 113:10
114:22,23 115:3 123:25 136:22
137:14 147:5,9
175:25 186:24
202:1
client's 49:1,6 50:25
51:7,14,22 55:7
57:22 106:10,15
106:20,25 107:5
136:1 176:1
186:25

clients 208:12	
close 71:19 112:12	c
co-joined 85:5	
coaching 93:23	
Coastal 38:4	c
Code 164:15	·
colleagues 32:15,25	
color 85:6	
column 151:3	
173:24 174:11	
come 5:17 10:6	
13:11 20:14,18	
23:17 26:17,23	
28:2 47:5 93:16	
142:9 148:21	
159:3,10 188:14	
214:14	
comes 155:24	c
172:17 180:1	
coming 29:7,9 57:2	
143:23 158:19,25	
159:19 163:18	
190:15	
comment 47:22	
101:13 160:6	
commercial 23:21	
24:4,8	
Commission 1:1 2:2	
3:2,4 4:9,11 10:24	
11:12,19,24 12:12	
12:24 13:17 14:2	_
	c
14:12,17,25 15:5	
58:9 69:8 71:11	
Commission's 16:3	c
commissioner	
170:21	
commitment 45:12	
46:2,9,11,13,21	c
committed 45:5	c
46:17 47:2,3 59:15	
common 158:21	c
164:6,8	c
communicate 70:11	
105:7	c
communicated	
57:25 58:4 61:23	
63:18 133:24	

124.2 200.12 12
134:2 208:12,13 communicating
16:1 54:18 58:8
59:12 81:23
communication
22:8 32:3 44:1,4
50:6 52:2,11,13,15
52:17,20 53:3 54:2
61:14,19 63:22
64:14 72:11 73:10
104:15 118:23
119:15,17 120:2
125:25 138:16
154:25 155:6,13
156:11,23 158:8
180:16 192:2
203:24
communications
15:18 25:17,21
32:17 49:10 51:2
52:7 53:6 63:3,17
63:18 65:9 66:15
66:16,18,19 77:23
89:17,21 97:11
120:7,10 121:3,4
121:22 126:7
134:5,8 138:17
149:7 153:14
155:18 156:16
209:6,8
companies 28:21
29:15,17 121:9
145:24,25 176:22
company 39:5 50:13
51:9,12 75:21
77:22 89:5 141:6
163:3 176:17,25
compensated 10:22
compensation
135:22
competing 129:23
competition 152:18
164:4,7,18
competitive 67:19
67:23 68:8,20
69:12 70:22 71:9
135:3

[223]

				[223]
competitor 88:12	conclusion 40:22	196:21	83:19 84:5 85:18	15:11,15 16:9
competitors 12:25	42:18,22 43:13	confusingly 109:5	85:25 86:13,25	17:19,25 19:22
88:22 136:5 141:5	45:1,9,22 46:7,24	165:20	87:8 90:11,20	20:7,15 21:18,24
180:22 182:14,16	166:25 167:2	confusion 76:8	91:12 111:12	22:15,20,22 24:16
competitors' 180:19	168:2 169:11	78:17,24,25 79:5	135:14,18 137:11	25:3 26:10,12
215:13	208:2,7	79:10 82:2 83:7,20	160:25 161:14	27:18,22,23 31:11
complaining 173:20	conclusions 127:5	85:19,25 88:3,23	163:14 205:19	31:13 32:5,22
174:8	208:10,13	90:11,21 91:1,11	consumers 67:24	33:16,19 34:1,4
complaint 9:20	condemnation 65:1	94:24 111:12	68:9 79:24 80:8,15	38:4 39:25 40:9,18
14:24 15:9 16:12	65:23 66:8	126:20,23 127:14	80:17 81:14 82:9	41:3,23 42:1,5,15
37:9,12,15 92:3	conditions 125:12	127:15,19,25	82:22 83:11,23	43:3,16 44:11,13
115:8,9,22 116:6	conduct 18:1 26:1	128:9 131:20	84:19,20 86:21	44:16 45:3,5,13,18
142:22 143:3,10	56:11 61:16 68:1,7	132:3 161:11,13	87:11,16 88:15	46:3,12,18 47:4,6
144:6,21,23	70:21 97:11	162:22 163:8,14	110:7 135:24	50:12 54:24 56:12
145:18 146:18,23	138:18 148:5	205:19 206:10,18	136:5 152:6,23	57:3,16 60:14 63:4
148:6,13 149:3	163:3	206:20	153:7 160:25	68:21 69:13 70:10
150:5 153:17	conducted 28:11	conjunction 79:8	162:2 194:15,19	70:12 74:10,19
155:6,17 156:14	68:19 69:3,21	connection 10:19	195:7 197:6 205:3	79:8,19 81:6,9,10
159:18 160:17	71:11,13,17,21	16:15 34:25 69:8	207:4,17 208:4,7	81:13 86:11,16
163:23,25 165:5	79:19 102:19	81:25 95:10	contact 9:1,5 17:20	88:12,14 91:19
166:21,22 167:13	103:11 208:22	126:12 163:11	24:11,13,20,21	94:7 96:7,20
168:23 169:1	209:1,16	206:13 208:22	29:18,20 37:19,21	105:14,19 109:13
190:6 193:15,19	conducting 30:23	209:1	39:25 40:9 58:1	112:19,22 113:8
194:11 195:6,25	98:17 110:14,21	consider 50:1,3	79:13 80:15 84:6	118:10 120:4
197:4,20 200:14	confer 92:18,20 93:3	51:25 132:10	105:13,18,21,22	121:9 123:7
200:15 201:21,22	confidence 52:1	193:18	109:25 123:13	124:10,13,18
complaints 15:23	189:21 191:10	considerable 136:23	127:22 136:1,7,14	125:11,13 127:1
97:13,13 167:24	confidential 2:20	considerations	136:16,23 144:24	128:13,18,24
168:16,19 169:5,6	7:10 17:9 49:3	67:23	150:25 151:5,8	129:18,22 130:10
169:7,16,19 202:6	50:2,4 53:21 61:1	considered 67:16	154:10,20,21	131:2 132:9,12
completely 37:5	64:6,9,12 65:10	86:24 87:7 165:3	160:5,6 172:19	133:11 134:6,18
compliance 184:6	101:7,7 127:1	193:14,22,23	173:12 174:14	135:10,10,12,15
complied 184:12	209:22 210:2	204:25	175:8 194:15	135:23 136:10,17
comply 104:8	confidentiality	consisted 56:25 57:5	210:5 211:6,12,13	137:10 142:23
184:16	128:7	consistent 76:19,20	211:16,20,22	146:25 147:5,13
component 136:18	Confirm 101:9	132:18 186:18	212:13,20 213:6	147:15 148:1,18
compound 59:7	confused 59:11	205:4 206:14	213:16,18 214:2	148:20 149:8,13
60:16 102:6	79:24 80:9,18 82:9	consists 73:14	contacted 107:9	150:10 151:18
210:17	82:23 83:11,24	constitute 30:14 133:15	180:22 211:3,9	152:6,22 153:2,3,8
computer 35:16	84:10,19,20 86:21		213:25	153:9,19 154:9
concept 83:16 161:3 concepts 136:13	86:25 87:12,17 88:16 110:7	constituted 160:19 173:20 174:25	contacting 96:19 107:4,17	157:1,5,12 160:4 160:19,23 161:6
-			· ·	,
concern 108:21,25 129:12	158:23 161:1 197:6 205:4 207:4	constitutes 31:3 60:14	contacts 2:5 3:8 4:15,18 6:22,25	162:2,17,18,18 163:13,19 165:25
conclude 44:15,23	207:17 208:4,7	consult 92:25 93:9	7:3,5,24 8:22 10:2	165:15,19 165:25
45:4 111:14	confusing 76:3	consumer 47:24	10:7,15,22 12:15	169:25 170:8
concluded 216:4	78:11 85:2 160:25	78:25 81:5,6 83:9	13:5,12,13,18 15:6	171:19,24 172:2,5
Concluded 210.T	70.11 03.2 100.23	70.25 01.5,0 05.7	13.3,12,13,10 13.0	111.17,47114.4,5

[224]

172:16 173:9	110:2,5 117:25	112:14 114:10	County 217:3,23	119:22 120:1,12
174:5,23 176:6,6	contains 23:15	115:5 124:25	couple 78:24	122:5 123:15
174:3,23 170:0,0	contemplated 9:22	126:4 130:20	course 17:21 102:1	CX0724 1:12 60:23
181:7 182:2,4,5,10	102:3	131:11 136:6	112:3 128:5	60:24
183:17,21,23	contending 171:23	140:6 143:6	197:19	CX1185 1:13 16:25
184:24 185:1,9,16	172:21,25	148:22 150:2,3	court 97:24 98:21	17:1 22:12 23:10
185:17,24 186:16	content 106:13	151:6,22,25 156:1	99:5,7,15,18 140:7	30:13 53:20
187:3,6,12 190:9	156:13 160:8	158:4 166:14,17	165:4,16 191:9	CX1186 1:13 100:17
190:11,14,16,25	182:25 183:3,4	170:24 176:15	197:25 202:7	100:18 138:13
192:22 195:17,18	contents 218:7	184:21 201:23	204:16,23,25	139:10
196:3,11,20,21,23	contested 122:25	206:5,8 214:20	204.10,23,23	137.10
197:5,13 198:6,19	context 8:19 64:22	215:1 217:10	court's 205:9,11,12	D
198:23 199:19	65:21 66:5,10	218:22	205:18	D 1:2
200:3,20 201:13	121:5	corrections 218:7	cover 143:10	D.C 3:5 10:18,23
201:23 210:6	continuation 61:16	218:18	cover 143.10 covered 128:6	11:2
214:25 215:3,8,12	continue 22:14,19	corrective 158:6	161:25	d/b/a 150:23
214.25 215.5,8,12	25:1 162:16,17	correctly 12:5 18:5	Craven 20:10 34:11	d/b/a's 143:9 146:16
Contacts' 9:9,16	163:4 196:20	195:20 196:24	create 88:23 90:20	damaged 161:4
12:25 14:1 16:13	continued 5:16	214:23	91:1 200:10	damages 166:16
	158:1 178:10,15	correspondence	creates 61:25 63:13	167:9,11
17:15,23 23:4,12 23:21 24:3 30:12	continues 129:13	32:17 33:17,18	64:1	Dan 3:3 4:8 18:11
		*	- ·	Dansie 20:9
30:15 31:4 47:9,13	continuing 25:23 73:8 148:4 153:13	34:19 103:19,20 155:25 156:7	creating 88:14 credit 109:22	dashes 150:22
47:20 49:17,23				159:21
50:17 53:7 58:5,14	160:17	170:11 177:22	204:17 205:2,18	data 29:5 39:10 99:8
58:17 59:2,17 71:9	contract 44:24	178:21	crediting 204:24	99:12 171:18,23
74:23 96:21	contrary 64:21	corresponding 102:2	cross-examination 204:4	184:21 185:13,15
104:20 111:15	control 127:12,13		CSR 217:22	Data's 172:7
124:21 129:21	127:16 128:19	counsel 4:6,13 10:15	csk 217:22 current 60:5 66:3	database 146:3
134:16 135:16 139:17 149:17,22	controls 145:13	15:18 32:15,18 33:1 44:2 50:7	108:20	date 9:3 69:5 138:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	conversation 12:8			138:22 218:2,24
153:20 161:13 162:20 165:19	39:11 44:3,7,8,14 46:17	54:16 62:18,20	currently 7:5 12:15	dated 101:24 102:10
		63:4,6 71:25 93:22	12:21 40:1,9,18 41:4 43:14 45:23	104:2,5 106:22
166:13 178:3,6,20	conversations 12:10 39:22 49:4 52:4	108:6,11,19,20	59:21 90:25	125:17 129:8,10
179:13 180:1		119:20 120:11		130:11 133:13
181:19 182:12,19	Coon's 50:10,15	129:18 130:10	111:23 190:12,13	138:13 139:1,22
183:6 186:14	51:21 53:5	143:20 149:7 153:19 170:8	199:4 215:10	140:3,8 141:23
195:8 197:14	copied 62:23 119:17		curtailed 134:13	156:10 171:5
199:10,20 200:4	copy 13:9 31:20	190:2 196:16	customer 128:22	175:11
201:2 202:3,15	102:3,10 157:13 157:14 201:6	198:1 203:1,18	customers 196:22 CX0078 1:11 72:4,5	dates 21:1 60:7
203:1 211:4		209:8	,	212:1
Contacts's 165:18	217:12	counsel's 95:23	CX0078-00175:5	Dave 73:7
189:11 194:21	corporation 39:9	206:1	CX0078-002 90:1	David 10:17 20:7
contain 23:3,10	correct 4:23 5:5 6:1	count 164:2,3,6,13	CX0135 1:11 53:13	52:12 130:9 134:8
109:14	9:23 25:7 29:13	164:14	53:14,20 CX0700 1:12 107:20	153:18
contained 23:20	41:13 61:21 63:7	counterclaim 95:12	CX0709 1:12 107:20	day 217:17
24:2,10 30:13	68:15 69:10 99:10	171:19	107:21 116:23	day-to-day 214:24
36:22,25 37:3	100:11,14 101:3	counts 165:6	117:5,25 118:12	uay-10-uay 214.24
	1	1	1	•

[225]

215:4,5	217:8	dilution 164:18,20	discuss 11:14 13:16	distinguish 35:21
December 2:8 140:8	deputy 170:20	direct 39:14 54:10	33:13 41:20 54:19	97:22
141:23 143:5	describe 66:20	54:11,12,19 55:10	115:13 203:10	distinguishes 35:17
159:17 217:9,17	144:15 153:13	55:23,24 56:13,15	discussed 12:1,14	36:18
218:2	160:18 165:14	57:15,21 58:2	13:16 40:16 41:11	distribution 136:1,7
deception 162:23	206:10	59:21 60:10 63:19	53:4 66:4 83:25	136:24
decide 21:17 92:2	described 149:3	135:23 154:11	84:21 114:21,23	diverted 196:11
93:3	158:15 170:7	200:18	115:2 126:1	197:12
decided 193:22,23	description 16:24	Direct's 57:2 61:15	194:11,12 198:4	diverting 153:1
decision 84:15 100:1	17:10 25:23 26:5,7	61:16,23	201:20,21 203:15	195:16 196:2,22
205:14	26:8,9 27:12 30:9	directed 53:16	215:22	division 170:23
declaration 122:23	31:23 109:11	123:16 124:10	discussing 58:24	divulging 49:3
123:2	131:8 146:24	184:21	70:22 106:15,24	dmatheson@ftc.gov
DECLARE 218:5	148:3 150:8	Directing 181:13	140:15 181:6,13	3:6
218:20	design 208:21	directly 117:13	213:1	doctrine 64:25
decline 65:17 92:17	designate 7:9	133:24 134:5,8	discussion 44:23	65:22 66:7
93:8 96:14 98:25	202:21	135:3 215:23	45:12 61:14	document 17:2,4,5,8
206:3	designated 15:11	disagreement 64:20	112:23 113:9	17:11,13,18 42:21
declining 92:24	53:20 160:10,12	disclose 7:13 21:6	116:17 117:11	43:12 53:13,17,18
decrease 179:4	designation 72:21	22:2 49:10 50:2,4	192:18	53:24 54:22 55:5
defendant 143:7,8	114:12,15 152:18	51:2 65:11 94:15	discussions 48:13	55:11,14 60:22
deference 205:16	164:4	189:17 192:8	118:10 190:20	61:2,6 62:8,11
define 30:19 75:8	designations 73:21	disclosed 65:10	dismiss 165:5,8	64:7 65:4 67:7,8
Definitely 166:10	designed 162:4	73:19 95:14 97:11	display 40:1,10 42:5	72:3,9 73:18 74:4
definition 35:6	designing 208:25	97:12,15 98:5,21	42:16 43:3,17	83:23 85:17 90:1,9
Degen 126:16 203:4	desire 22:7 52:8	99:7,14,17 190:4	45:19 46:3 55:19	90:16 100:16,24
203:7,9,11,12,16	desist 32:16 120:8	192:9	55:22 88:12 96:8	101:14,16,18,19
205:3 208:22	138:7 139:6	disclosure 95:11	105:22 106:6	101:20,24 102:11
209:1,6,16,25	149:12 155:21,23	204:8 210:2 213:9	126:21 178:16	103:7 104:24
Degen's 203:20	176:17,23 177:1	disclosures 202:8	200:1	105:1 107:19,23
204:3,17 205:2,18	190:8,19	discount 81:12	displayed 21:10	107:24 108:1,3,14
DeGidio 44:2,4 45:2	detailed 107:22	150:25 151:5	58:16 60:13 75:4	108:17 109:2
degree 40:6	determination	160:4	90:2 110:8 117:21	117:5,8,10 119:4
delineates 16:3	166:12 205:18	Discounters 37:19	120:16 133:5	119:10,12 120:12
Deliver 123:14	determine 111:10	41:20,20,24 45:15	139:15,16 149:24	124:1,6,16 125:21
delivered 182:5	developed 197:19	45:19 46:3,21 47:2	181:17 186:2	125:23,24 126:5
delta 127:18	Diem 113:17 114:4	96:19 97:12 99:4	200:25	130:2,5,7,9,14
demand 69:7 165:11	differ 132:24	101:2 104:16	displaying 107:10	138:4,5 139:1,22
demonstrate 126:20	difference 133:7	105:13,18 129:19	127:12	140:11 142:15,19
depending 8:13 84:4	different 20:6 73:21	130:11 134:9	displays 157:25	142:20,25 144:12
91:8 127:19	76:16 77:6,12,12	138:6 140:4 142:6	disputes 17:20	145:2 160:24
depends 91:11	77:14 118:19	177:20,21 179:19	Disregarding 74:2	173:22 175:17
depo 202:21	126:22 132:22	Discounters' 138:18	distinct 36:1 85:6	185:3,4,5,20 187:8
deposition 18:17	158:22 165:22	177:25 180:7	distinction 36:21	195:22 210:21
50:10,15 51:21	183:1 188:16	discovered 148:19	98:2	documents 7:17
53:5 93:20 113:1	dilute 165:20	discovery 143:15,16	distinctiveness	25:12,15 45:25
114:9 115:4 216:4	diluting 196:22	143:19	165:21 196:23	60:6 102:13,20
	ı	ı	1	1

[226]

103:14 104:4
115:23 119:2,25
129:3 137:22
doing 33:23,23,24
176:9 180:24
194:6 197:24
DOJ 170:22
dollar 202:2,10,14
domain 145:14
146:2,8
draft 17:4
drafted 139:6
200:15 201:22
draw 81:25 98:1
drawn 8:4
Drucker 171:14
172:21,24 173:19
174:8,25 175:19
175:21 185:7
186:22 187:14,17
duces 25:5,9,13,17
103:9,11,15
duly 217:7
Dunn 170:14
${f E}$

E 1:2,9 **e-mail** 16:2 25:17,20 54:2 73:7,14 108:6 108:8,10 118:15 121:18 123:21 210:21 eager 121:24 earlier 30:10 33:20 115:22,24 116:23 120:13 123:16 126:11 131:7,19 142:18 145:7 147:22 167:20 168:7 170:2 176:13 193:13 198:4 201:21 206:9 210:4 early 5:19 easier 31:24

easy 132:10

easycontactsusa.c...

39:2 effect 79:3 165:17 187:9 210:12 effective 180:9 181:15 184:5 effectively 192:9 effects 67:19,24 68:8 68:20 69:12 70:22 71:9 83:17 164:20 effort 180:5 182:1 **efforts** 16:14 32:13 32:21 34:22 137:10 149:2 eight 9:4,12,17 170:21 either 76:19 99:5,15 113:10 122:2 197:19 **elicit** 162:4 **embodied** 202:14 **Empire** 38:6,9,11 empirical 81:19 82:8 83:21 110:6 110:14,21,24 196:9 **employed** 5:8,9,13 5:14,15,25 12:15 12:21 13:18 employees 31:13 32:22 118:10 employment 5:16 5:17,21 encompass 32:12 encourage 36:12 **ended** 5:19 endorsed 166:4 endorsement 163:12 ends 73:9 enforcement 32:13 32:21,25 enforcing 74:22 engaged 30:13 engine 20:20 21:20 26:13 36:2,16 55:3 55:20,21 58:16 76:23 77:6 80:10

80:22 82:10,24

83:12 90:2 96:6,18 104:18 105:23 106:6 109:16 111:17 141:18 166:1 178:5 181:17 182:18 183:9,22 186:2 198:12 199:9,18 200:2 201:1 207:5 207:18 208:8 **engines** 79:25 148:16 172:3 215:14 enrichment 152:17 164:10 **ensure** 104:17 enter 113:19 146:1 165:17 210:7 entered 15:5 113:22 114:1,7,15 134:25 150:11,12 172:14 176:5 190:16 **entire** 32:19 **entirely** 186:14 **entitled** 6:10 17:9 **entity** 76:19 entry 113:17,20,22 114:2,8 173:11,18 173:23 174:10 **equities** 67:16,18 equity 6:4,7,13 errors 158:22 especially 161:4 essence 49:13 152:5 194:14 essentially 134:23 establish 47:24 144:23 161:10 established 55:8 56:7,9 135:9 201:25 establishing 137:12 **evaluate** 21:8,12 28:25 29:3 43:12 126:22 eve 128:10 **event** 11:9

evidence 14:4 65:25 80:2 83:3,8 84:4 87:6,19 92:9 95:13 96:23 127:24 128:4,9 129:1 150:4 185:2 195:6 195:22,24 196:9 197:1,3 201:3 204:7,19 205:8,11 206:10,18,20 207:2,15,16,25 208:2,5 evidenced 158:5 exact 9:3 37:15 69:5 112:8 117:22 118:7,16 120:18 202:9 212:1 exact-matched 59:16 109:9 117:6 117:11,14,15,19 exactly 13:15 18:14 38:12 177:14 184:4 exam 31:25 examination 1:5,6,7 4:3,6 19:13 116:18 177:8 **examined** 4:4 217:6 **example** 31:8 50:21 83:4 109:23 149:25 150:9 199:24 200:11 202:11 examples 153:22 183:4 **exceed** 169:4 exceedingly 162:20 excerpts 144:7 exchange 16:2 156:23 exchanged 33:17 exchanges 121:18 **exclude** 108:22 excluded 109:8 **Excuse** 112:14 execute 26:13 **exhibit** 17:1 18:10

53:14 60:24 72:5 100:18 107:21 109:10 116:22 117:5,25 118:11 119:6,22 123:15 124:3,5 125:18 129:6,16 132:1 139:2,3,23 140:9 141:25 142:17 144:17 145:5,18 145:23 153:16,17 153:18 154:1 155:5,13,20,21 156:5,9 157:15 170:3 171:6 172:8 173:7,14 175:12 **Exhibits** 144:8,12 **exited** 93:22 **expended** 136:22 expenditures 202:11 experience 33:10 35:22 76:12,14,17 77:5,7 78:22 84:5 87:21 91:8,12 136:16 147:16 171:1 180:23 181:15 186:18,19 188:3 experienced 161:1 experiences 180:18 expert 169:12 200:7 203:11,15,20 expertise 87:3 experts 209:8 expiration 60:7 **explain** 145:21 149:16 186:11 **explains** 158:17 175:24 explore 51:5 **exposure** 127:20 express 14:10,15 expressed 108:21 109:1 expresses 109:2 expressly 153:2 195:17 196:2,10

[227]

207:23,25	factor 83:7 86:22,24	13:17 14:2,11,17	100:25 109:10	87:2,7,8 88:18
extended 161:16	87:6	14:24 15:5 16:3	123:15,18 140:17	90:10,13,23 91:23
extension 161:15	factors 68:2,7 85:6	58:9 69:8 71:11	160:25 164:2	92:9 96:24 102:6
extent 63:16 64:5	86:1,2 88:1 91:7	152:15 163:25	171:17 173:7	105:1,25 111:3
65:8 66:14 94:19	96:4,13,17 97:3	164:3	175:5 186:23	121:16 169:8
98:7 116:3 143:14	126:23 127:19	federally 109:4	190:6 195:19	178:8,12,24
161:19,20 162:3	200:12	feel 50:2 91:25	196:18 198:4	179:15,23 180:11
166:24 167:2	facts 14:3 65:25	107:23 191:22	212:24 213:3,5	181:21 182:7,21
168:1 169:10,11	92:8 96:22 107:2	195:1	Fishman 5:11 6:14	183:11 184:1
189:19 197:18,22	161:24 163:24	feels 116:3	10:8,9 149:8	187:22 188:9
202:5 204:7 205:3	164:16 167:15	field 132:9,12	fit 52:4	194:23 195:22
205:24 207:6	175:3 194:17	173:17 190:17	five 26:21	197:1 198:15
208:12 209:17	204:6	figured 114:19	flip 145:17	199:2,13 200:9
eve 37:18 38:24	failed 184:16 205:1	file 2:4 94:21 100:1	Floor 3:11	201:16 204:19
39:20,21 136:24	fair 22:23 23:4,12	171:18 218:1	focus 88:8 109:3	205:8 210:17
142:23 143:9	31:13 32:23 34:20	filed 9:21 122:23	120:15	formal 42:10,13
144:8 146:8,17	36:2 56:14 57:18	142:22 143:13,17	follow 14:7,20 48:21	formed 91:13
148:15,21,25	59:5 65:18,19	150:5 195:25	52:25 69:18 70:4	forth 121:14,19
149:12,21 150:23	66:22,23 79:11	197:4	70:25 97:6 111:24	217:10
152:5,7,22 153:6,9	104:24 173:3	files 102:14 103:19	168:16,18 169:16	forward 137:22
153:19 155:22	183:24 187:23	103:20,22	169:19 193:6	found 159:3
158:6,8 159:17,19	191:23 193:16	filing 7:17 92:5 94:6	194:9 195:12	foundation 12:16
159:23 161:16	198:13 210:8	95:5,11,25 96:7	196:6 197:9	28:14 29:24 30:5
163:20 165:17	fall 207:12	159:18 168:16,19	203:25 204:2	37:13 40:21 42:18
166:7,9,12,18	falls 99:17	168:23 169:1	203.23 204.2	54:13 55:15 56:19
167:6,9 184:13	false 152:18 164:4,5	195:5 197:20	213:12,21 214:5	58:20 59:7,23
189:9,10 190:8,13	familiar 15:24	217:13	followed 34:16	60:17 62:2 74:15
190:24 191:8	130:14 167:19	filings 15:20,22	168:22 169:1	75:7,23 78:4 85:20
190.24 191.8	185:10	202:7	following 70:18	90:12 105:24
194:14,16,18,19	familiarity 84:8	final 27:12 66:24	138:16	117:17 120:24
194:20 195:7	famous 135:10	194:12,13	follows 4:5 17:23	127:8 128:14,25
198:12,22 199:17	far 47:1 55:6 72:20	finalized 121:15	150:18	129:25 130:12,17
200:1,19,23	103:22 109:6	finally 141:22	foregoing 218:6,22	136:19 137:8
200:1,19,23	131:22 153:7	find 5:20 121:23	form 10:11 13:3	161:18,23 162:14
Eye's 152:25 156:17	195:23 197:2	159:2 170:3 204:4	21:22 22:25 23:6	166:24 167:1,15
157:6 158:25	204:23	fine 8:6 32:7 71:6	23:14 27:20 31:6	168:1 172:23
159:10 162:16	fashion 113:12	72:14 98:14	31:15 40:13,23	173:21 175:2
163:3,9 195:16	144:3	101:12 176:12	42:18 44:19 45:8	178:23 180:11
196:1,12,19 197:5	fast 151:21 160:7	213:4 216:2	45:22 46:6,24 48:6	194:22 197:16
197:13 198:6	fat 158:21	finger 158:21	49:8 53:9 54:14	198:25 199:12
Eye-based 148:16	feature 188:23	finish 142:10	55:16 56:19 57:9	200:8 204:7
Lyc-baseu 146.10	featured 200:16	firm 5:11 11:4	58:20 59:7 60:17	foundation's 130:13
$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	February 156:10	139:19 170:10,13	62:3 65:25 67:21	four 26:21 113:4
face 51:12	157:19	first 5:9 6:21,24 9:1	74:25 75:24 76:6	154:16,22
facing 136:12	federal 1:1 2:2 3:2,4	9:5,8,15 10:1	77:19 78:5 80:3,20	fourth 146:20
fact 85:4 86:8	4:9,11 10:24 11:12	12:23 53:17 55:5	81:1,21 83:22 84:2	frame 9:19
138:15 158:7	11:19,23 12:12,24	73:9 75:4 100:22	84:23 85:17,21	framed 92:11
	11.17,43 14.14,44	13.7 13.4 100.22	07.23 03.17,21	11 amed 72.11

[228]

				[220]
111:23 191:17	3:7	215:16	186:17 187:19	60:22 72:3 100:16
194:5 206:25	general 10:2 15:14	goal 103:5	188:18,23 189:3	107:19 115:11
free 50:2 73:11	26:7,8,9 29:19	GoDaddy 146:4	Google's 118:9	217:17
107:23 151:21	46:15,16,16 47:4	GoDaddy.com	Gotcha 100:15	handed 119:7 124:4
154:21 160:7	58:21 60:9 94:17	146:11	gotten 27:23	125:19 171:7
162:22 173:25	106:4,8,9 107:7	goes 32:24 151:20	graduate 4:24,25	175:13
191:22 195:1	112:20 120:14	153:7	graduation 5:9	happening 29:6
frequency 28:20	140:25 141:14	going 7:9 8:4 9:11	Grand 3:11	happy 31:9 32:6
84:7 91:9 127:21	210:22	16:19 18:20 33:2	granted 165:8	33:4,12 42:23
156:23 158:14	generally 15:13	33:24 34:4,4,5,10	Grauer 5:12 149:8	45:24 60:5 72:15
178:2 181:16	26:14 36:5 58:5,13	34:12 50:8 52:25	great 26:8	93:7 115:8,11
198:11,18	58:13 88:9 99:3	57:1 66:20 69:18	S	177:14 210:21
•	102:25 106:17	70:25 71:4 74:3	greater 166:20 167:22 169:18	hard 161:9
frequent 178:16 180:6	102.23 100.17			harm 215:12
		84:13 91:7,14 93:3 93:18 94:14 98:10	Gregory Stone	
frequently 144:18	127:16 141:1		Gregory.Stone@	Hart 2:10 5:24 6:2,4
178:5,19 179:11	180:22 210:6	100:8,12,16	3:12	6:8,17,18 102:14
179:20 180:20	generate 153:21	108:16 111:19,24	ground 209:5	112:2 139:20
friend 10:14	generated 74:11	115:7,10,15,19	grounds 14:6,19	143:23
front 10:24 28:17	75:15 141:2	137:22 158:13	48:20 52:24 69:16	Hartley 11:4 69:23
30:7 116:23 122:7	generating 137:11	168:11 177:4,11	70:2,16,24 92:17	he'll 116:2
130:5,23 172:10	generic 108:23	188:1 189:16	95:3,8 97:5 98:25	heading 117:13
FTC 4:6 17:7	getting 86:14 183:8	192:10 193:2,6	99:20 111:20	131:4 151:3
170:20	Gibson 170:14	194:6,8 195:12	195:11 196:5	154:13
full 133:23 134:1	Ginger 69:23	196:15 197:9	197:8,21 203:23	headings 118:1
fully 103:7 171:18	give 8:17 74:18	202:20 203:25	209:4	heard 38:14 39:21
function 187:19	78:16,24,25 79:4	204:2 206:1,16,25	group 168:11,12,22	50:15 51:20 53:5
further 1:7 33:13	111:18 115:8	208:18 209:3,11	168:25 181:11,12	137:17 177:11
84:16 126:7	116:2 118:20	213:12,21 214:5	181:14	214:22
132:17 144:11,25	146:3,24 191:3	going-ons 105:12	groups 168:21	hearing 2:15 48:25
155:12 177:8	192:25	good 4:8 18:6 48:9	Guarantee 173:25	128:11 209:15,24
180:16 207:20	given 19:23 44:22	112:6 116:20,21	174:1	held 116:17 189:21
209:5 215:20	59:13 91:11	142:11 214:14	guaranteed 174:15	192:18
217:11,14	109:22 115:22,23	goods 135:3 153:3	guess 72:10 98:15	help 17:4 50:6 87:8
furthest 85:12	191:11 205:16	162:10 163:9,12	guessing 9:18	helpful 31:21 97:9
future 73:24	gives 28:18	195:18	guidance 21:24	212:24
G	giving 87:19	goodwill 21:14	198:1	Herbert 65:6
	glimpse 29:6	51:10 135:9,12,21	Gus 4:10	hesitate 200:10
G 1:4 4:2 153:16,17	go 12:3,4,7 19:15	136:18 137:12	GUSTAV 3:4	Hi 55:6 73:7
153:18 166:15	33:4,6 63:17 72:14	161:4,9,12,13,16	guys 72:22 113:17	high 81:5 161:6
217:7 218:5,20,24	73:1 78:14 86:17	162:19 164:20	182:2	high-quality 162:21
G-003 154:1	92:22 93:17,24	201:23,25 202:2	H	higher 165:25
gained 147:15	98:3 103:22	202:14		highlighted 182:14
Garth 11:7	119:22 136:18,21	Google 29:10 56:16	H 1:9 155:5 156:16	182:16
gather 104:4	142:13 149:10	76:17 84:8 108:13	ha 98:10,10	highly 136:5
gathered 149:20	154:23 156:14,17	118:4 140:16	half 113:4	history 15:20 84:6
150:5	158:3 162:15	148:15 172:3	halfway 123:17	149:6 155:17
gchiarello@ftc.gov	172:9 192:16	174:20 185:8,17	hand 16:24 53:12	Holland 2:10 5:24
	ı	ı	ı	1

illustrated 127:14

immediately 81:7

implement 59:21

image 74:11

impact 83:20

88:7

182:6,20 183:11

183:25 187:21

188:9 194:23

195:21 196:25

200:8 201:15

198:14 199:2,12

instance 58:3 86:19

105:21 122:4

135:19 160:11

200:11 211:19

213:5,15,24

89:15 96:15 98:19

[229]

	1	i	i	ī
6:2,4,7,16,18	60:12 61:24 63:12	204:18 205:7	57:6	191:5,7,9,15,19,20
102:14 112:2	63:25 67:2 68:10	improperly 44:18	incorporation 56:3	192:7,8,9 194:25
139:20 143:23	69:13 200:24	45:9,21 46:6,23	incorrect 62:16,19	195:3 197:18,22
hopefully 29:6	implementation	59:24 60:18 78:18	79:18	197:24 202:1,5
hour 115:11,16	108:12	88:18 90:23 91:23	increase 157:5,8,10	206:12 207:7
116:15	implemented 105:8	184:1 198:24	179:2 180:15	209:22 210:2,3
hours 112:2,3,7,11	109:7 117:19	199:11 200:5,6	198:6,10,11,18	informed 9:14,15
112:19 113:5	118:20 187:3	210:17	increased 158:9	106:9 123:11
116:12	implementing 63:9	in-house 10:15	independent 87:23	informing 106:18
Houston 146:9	108:22	149:7 153:19	indicate 81:16	informs 82:21
Hovenkamp's 65:6	important 50:12	inadvertently 72:11	138:17,20 143:2	infrequently 179:20
65:15	51:9 152:10	73:10	151:16 156:22	infringe 129:20
hyperlink 90:7	impossible 88:11	inappropriately	157:10 159:10	199:10,20
hyphen 133:1,2	impression 74:17	19:9	163:20 185:21	infringed 111:15
hyphens 133:9	76:13 87:7 91:14	include 26:9,11	indicated 101:23	183:23 211:3
	103:24 104:22	33:20 78:24 83:3	146:14 160:15	infringement 21:14
I	161:2 182:11	103:18 114:17,20	indicates 86:6	22:17 91:20
identical 157:18	185:19	165:24 166:6	indicating 96:5	152:16 156:17,21
identification 119:8	impressions 157:11	187:13 188:6	109:14 142:5	157:6,9 158:4,5,7
124:5 125:20	158:13	193:15,19 204:12	indication 58:22	158:8 164:1,8,9
171:8	improper 10:10	included 9:9,12,14	151:15	171:20,24 173:9
identified 16:12	13:3 19:7 21:21	9:16 20:21,22	indicator 86:13	173:20 175:1
17:1 37:8,11,15	22:25 23:6,13	24:17 33:20 34:18	indicia 131:20 132:3	198:7,10,10,22
53:14 60:24 72:5	27:19 31:5,15	35:14,24 65:4	individually 213:1	200:12 213:7
100:18 107:21	40:12,22 42:17	73:12 110:15,25	influence 85:7	infringements 120:3
163:8 170:7	44:19 45:7,22 46:6	138:9 147:3	126:23	infringes 22:22
184:20 187:13,17	46:24 48:5 49:8	159:12,15 160:3	inform 83:2,4,10	infringing 148:24
identifier 86:15	53:8 54:14 55:16	164:8 169:3 183:1	105:11 123:25	157:25 172:22
identifiers 165:23	56:18 57:8 58:20	183:17 200:14	information 8:8,10	200:4
identifies 146:7	59:7 60:17 62:3	including 16:11	8:23 11:12,14 18:3	inherently 210:17
identify 77:16	65:24 67:20 74:24	20:7 28:19 32:16	20:25 22:6 23:3,11	initial 76:13
123:23 141:3	75:24 76:5 77:19	91:24 94:16 104:4	27:14 28:18,25	initially 75:25 83:15
145:11 168:10	78:5 80:3,19 81:1	118:15 123:20	29:4 48:1,3 49:3	189:12
175:17	81:21 84:22 85:21	136:25 154:10	50:16 51:13,20,25	injunction 165:17
identifying 109:6	87:1 88:17 90:13	159:4,9 202:6	53:4 62:17 64:6,9	injure 161:9 162:18
identity 212:9	90:22 91:22 92:9	Inclusive 182:23	64:13,14,16 69:25	196:21
ignorant 34:3	96:23 102:5	incomplete 162:4	92:1,4 94:5,15	injured 161:4
II 107:25 118:1	104:25 105:25	incontestable	95:14 97:10,12,14	injury 160:19,23
III 117:13 118:2	111:2 178:12,24	122:18,20,22	99:8 100:2,10,13	163:2
164:13,14	179:15,22 180:10	123:3,5,8,10	107:3,10,16	input 17:5,6
illustrate 109:19	180:12 181:21	incorporate 55:7	108:10,19,24	inside 78:1
:Ill-ret-rete d 107.14	1 100 100 100 11	1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1 100 10 0 0 0 0	l

56:1 59:15 60:4

185:9,23 186:15

incorporated 16:12

incorporating 56:4

16:13 37:22 56:16

172:5 184:25

109:13,25 110:2,5

123:24 147:2,4,8,9

117:24 118:2

147:10,12,15

149:19 173:6

189:17,20,23,24

[230]

instances 127:12	interaction 38:9	122:14 124:24	175:19	98:1 99:4 101:8,11
182:13,16 183:12	39:7,13 78:8	125:3 169:24	keyword 18:4 27:15	102:9,12 103:14
188:6 207:12,23	interactions 38:23	185:12 189:8	27:17,24,25 28:1	115:23 122:17
207:24 211:11,24	39:1,4,8,16,19	203:10 208:21	28:12,13,19 29:1,4	123:10,11 126:7
211:25 212:2,2,6	106:4	inwardly 136:12	29:21,23,25 30:2,4	126:14 138:21
212:12,18,19	interchangeably	irreparably 162:17	30:7,25 44:11,13	141:11 145:16
214:11,18	37:6	196:21	44:16 45:3,6,13,14	151:10 156:7,10
Instant 175:8	interest 162:22	issuance 71:20	46:18 47:4 59:2	165:19 170:3
instruct 14:5,18	interested 26:24	issue 126:8 175:25	99:6,12 100:2	176:4,7 181:23
18:19 48:19 52:23	217:15	issued 13:5,17 14:1	117:16,19,20,22	182:9 184:4
63:15 66:13 69:15	interesting 95:9	14:11,16,24 25:5	134:19,22,24,24	185:19 188:2
70:1,15,23 94:14	Internet 16:15,16,17	69:8 71:11	140:19,22 141:2,7	190:23 191:14,24
95:2,7 97:4 98:16	16:17 18:1 24:21	issues 175:21	186:1,1,5,10,12	192:1,6,7,12,20
99:19 111:19	26:1,4 30:23 34:25	item 37:2	190:20,21 191:1	202:10 207:23
189:16 193:2	35:1,2,2,4,16 36:7	items 83:2	192:23 201:12	212:1,5 218:6
194:6 195:10	55:20 84:6,8 91:12	iwantcontacts.com	keywords 28:19	knowing 190:17
196:4 197:7,20	127:20,21 140:18	143:11	29:1 55:8 56:1,3,4	knowledge 12:22
203:22 204:9	141:11,14		56:16 57:6 59:16	33:11 34:6,10
205:23 206:25	interpretation 175:5	J	59:16,22 60:4,12	40:20 41:3 42:3,14
208:16 209:3	interrogatory 202:7	J 155:20,21 157:15	61:24 63:10,13	43:1 47:11,12
213:10	interrupt 56:25	January 61:12 63:1	64:1 67:2 68:11,22	71:18 78:7,22
instructed 22:2 51:1	80:25 161:17	65:2 67:6 120:23	69:14 71:10	81:17 103:23
190:2	interrupting 19:4	Jenkins 119:16,19	104:13 105:4,9	130:18 158:4
instruction 8:2 14:8	19:13	119:20 123:21	107:25 108:12,22	168:3 169:9
14:21 22:3 48:22	intervening 156:6	124:11 125:4,25	108:25 117:2,6,12	185:14
50:18 53:1 64:4	invade 111:20	Jim 11:4 69:23	117:14 118:6,19	known 136:4,12
69:19 70:5,18 71:1	205:22	Joe 11:7,18 12:6	123:25 139:15	knows 82:14
95:23,24 97:6	invading 208:16	124:11	141:4,16 158:18	
193:7 194:9	invalidated 122:25	jog 157:22	172:4 176:1 181:9	L
195:13 196:7,14	invested 51:11,11	joining 177:10	182:13 184:25	L 54:6,7
197:10 204:1,2	investigate 84:16	joking 101:12	185:9,21,22,23	lack 79:1,10
208:19 209:12	94:23 95:5,25 96:4	Jonathan 50:10,15	186:15,24 187:3,9	lacks 12:16 28:14
213:13,22 214:3,6	96:17 99:9 132:17	judgment 128:11	187:10,12 188:5	29:24 30:5 37:13
instructions 51:24	investigated 78:6	Julian 3:10 4:17	188:13,14 189:11	40:21 42:18 54:13
111:25 191:4,11	investigating 12:24	Julian.Beach@mt	200:24	55:15 56:19 58:20
207:20	185:12	3:13	kin 217:14	59:7,23 60:17 62:2
insufficient 165:6	investigation 10:19	jumps 86:4	kind 26:16,22 83:17	74:15 75:7,23 78:4
intelligence 28:22	95:16 97:15 98:6	June 129:10	King 37:19	85:20 90:12
28:22	98:17,20 106:23	justify 91:19	knew 190:19	105:24 178:23
intend 37:17 38:1	107:2 151:10		know 8:10 12:18,20	180:11 194:22
intended 17:13	investigational 2:14	K	16:7 26:20 28:15	197:16 198:25
intent 103:2,6 109:3	Investigative 69:7	K 156:5,9	34:11 36:20 37:1	199:12 200:8
109:6 120:14	investment 135:14	keep 31:22 102:3	41:8 49:5,11,13,17	204:7
209:14	135:16 137:10	202:17	50:24 51:3 58:7	laid 130:13
intentionally 72:22	invoke 8:6	kept 102:10 126:25	59:14 69:5 71:22	Lake 2:11 217:3,23
interacted 38:17	involved 34:2,7	128:22	72:7 81:7 85:4	landing 36:14 76:15
interacting 38:15	71:25 121:8 122:9	Kevin 171:13	89:9 92:12 96:25	77:12 99:9,10
		l	l	

[231]

				[231]
132:18	104:16 105:13,18	Lensworld.com	64:15 170:4	listing 15:13 122:6
language 106:2	123:13 129:19	16:13 76:1,10,11	life 214:25 215:4,6	literally 115:18
121:19	130:11 134:9	76:13 77:4,21,22	likelihood 79:23	litigated 143:12
Lanham 164:1	136:1,7,23 138:6	78:16,23 83:25	80:8,17 82:1,8,22	litigation 10:3 15:23
Largest 123:13	138:18 140:4	84:21	83:7,10,20 87:11	32:18 47:15,16,18
lastly 164:10	142:6 154:10,20	let's 6:19 31:18 73:1	87:16 88:2,15,23	47:21 48:2,12
late 5:19	177:20,21,24	75:10 82:15 85:9	90:20 91:1,10	64:23,24 65:21
law 4:25 5:10,11,14	179:19 180:6	142:13 148:8	94:24 110:7	66:6 67:3,3 77:23
10:14 65:7,15 66:3	Lens.com 16:12	168:21,22	126:20	92:6 94:7 102:2
67:17 139:19	37:9,18 41:18,19	letter 34:1,16,16	Likewise 115:2	126:12 127:4
164:6,9	42:1,4,15 43:2,16	61:9,11,16,18	limitation 167:6	149:20 189:9
LAWS 218:21,21	43:21 44:2,16 45:5	66:10 68:3 101:24	line 1:21 8:3 73:9	191:8 197:19
lawsuit 91:20 95:5	47:18,21 48:2,11	102:10 104:2,4,7	142:25 143:2	203:2 204:5
95:25 96:7 100:1	72:19 73:19 78:8,9	104:14 105:5	159:5	206:13,21 207:3
152:11,15	83:4,9 95:6,10	106:2,13,14,22	lines 53:17 55:5	207:16 208:3,6
lawsuits 182:3	96:1 110:18,20,23	107:14 120:9	link 36:18,21,22,24	litigations 7:19,24
lawyer 170:16 171:2	111:8,13 126:12	124:9 125:4,17	36:24 37:2 75:14	73:22
lay 155:17	127:2,3,4,23	124.7 123.4,17	75:15,17,18,22	LLC 39:10
lead 85:18,25 90:10	127.2,3,4,23	130:9,16,19	76:3 77:3,25 78:3	LLP 3:10
leading 31:15 117:7	203:2 204:5,16	133:13,15,17,20	78:7,11,16 83:12	located 117:13
120:25 160:2	206:13,21 207:3	133:22 134:18	83:24 85:10,13,18	146:9
167:14 179:23	207:16 208:3,6	137:23 138:1,6	85:23 86:9,15,20	locations 102:24
182:21	Lens.com's 46:12	139:6,9,13,18	86:23 88:13 90:6	103:1,14,18
learn 9:8 128:5	128:18	140:2,8,15,17	90:10,19 91:17	long 31:22 49:9 51:1
learned 127:24	LensDiscounters	141:22 142:5,7	96:18 109:21	70:20 113:3
leave 16:19	101:21 105:8	149:12 153:18	117:21 125:1	116:11
left 93:19 94:2 146:7	106:4,10,16,19,25	155:9,21 156:2,10	126:21 131:15	longer 125:11
200:17	107:4,9,11,17,18	156:13 157:13,14	150:24 174:8	189:20
left-hand 132:1	132:15 135:20	157:18,23 158:1	175:6 200:18	look 21:9 24:22 31:9
legal 7:3,6 21:18,23	139:7,8	171:5,13,14,17	linked 37:3	31:21 42:20,21,23
21:24 22:16,21	lenses 24:11,14,16	172:1,9 173:2,6	links 16:17 35:2	43:12 45:24 60:5
40:22 42:18,21	24:21,21 29:18,20	175:11,18,20,22	36:6,8,9,15 76:15	75:10 85:9,24 86:3
43:13 44:19 45:1,9	38:19 39:25 40:9	176:17 184:20,23	80:22 82:9,23 85:5	90:16 107:13
45:22 46:7,23	58:1 84:7 86:12,14	190:8	90:2 91:10 107:11	116:22 118:11
59:25 60:18 74:19	86:16 108:7,11,19	letters 32:16 75:11	109:15 131:4,10	120:12 122:5
91:24 129:18	108:21 119:21	85:11 102:3 138:9	131:21,25 132:8	130:1,21 132:6,7
130:10 163:22	120:2 124:13	176:23 177:1	132:11,13 134:15	133:3,12,14
166:24 167:2	127:22 136:15	183:1 190:20	148:17 150:12	137:21 142:20,24
168:2 169:10	144:24 150:25	level 126:23 127:15	151:4 154:8,10,13	144:5,11,25
legally 165:6	151:5,8 154:21	157:6,9 161:6	154:20 159:25	145:23 146:4,6
leisure 115:12,17	160:5,7 172:19	198:7	160:4,10,14	147:17 150:20,24
lens 17:20 37:19,19	173:12,24 174:14	levels 127:18	Links' 150:14	151:2 153:16,25
37:21,23 38:2	175:12,24 174:14	leverage 135:20	list 9:10,16 109:20	158:19 159:5,25
41:19,20,23 45:15	Lensfast 38:21,22	liability 163:23	listed 31:1 77:15,17	164:22,25 165:5
45:19 46:2,20 47:2	LensWorld 37:9,19	164:23 165:1	104:14 105:4	165:11,12 171:9
79:14 80:15 96:19	77:21 79:2 81:5,8	Liebeskind 62:23,25	110:3 137:6 143:9	172:8 173:5,13
97:11 99:4 101:1	81:10,12,12	63:2,8,11,20,24	151:1	175:15 180:2
//··· //· IUI·I	01.10,12,12	05.2,0,11,20,27	101.1	175.15 100.2
•				

[232]

-				
184:19 187:7	125:17 129:3	44:25 45:15 46:1	183:2,13 184:8	80:24 85:12 86:15
188:12 190:5	139:7,22 140:2,8	46:10 47:7 48:9,21	185:10 187:23	97:10 105:2,15
192:4 200:13	140:14 141:24	49:15,22 50:14,23	188:4,17,25 189:8	113:14 122:20
201:24 210:21	142:14 143:24	52:6,25 53:12,23	189:24 191:13,23	134:21,21 149:18
looked 27:1 61:17	171:5,13 175:11	54:17 55:22 56:24	192:10,19 193:5,6	156:20 159:9
83:23 109:10	175:18 186:16	57:12 58:23 59:5	193:10 194:8	171:25 177:24
119:25 130:16	190:14,16	59:10 60:9,22 61:3	195:5,12,24 196:6	178:15 182:1,17
147:21 155:25	marked 16:24 18:10	62:6 63:23 64:8	196:15 197:3,9	183:2 185:25
175:22 177:21	53:13 60:23 61:1	65:14 66:4,12,19	198:3,21 199:7,16	186:3,4,4,9,11
204:25	72:3 100:16	68:1,5,6,15 69:2	199:25 200:13	187:2 190:10
looking 56:20 83:16	107:19 119:6,8	69:18 70:4,18,20	201:8,19 202:12	191:23 199:1
98:12 124:16	124:3,5 125:18,20	70:25 71:3,8,16	202:19,23,25	201:5
150:17 153:2	129:6 138:25	72:14,18 73:1,4,16	203:25 204:14	meaning 125:10
154:14,15,17,18	139:2,23 140:9	74:2,20 75:3,10	205:1,17 206:3	183:4 190:14
173:22 185:20	141:25 142:17,19	76:2,9 77:2,24	207:2,10,14 208:1	means 29:11 48:14
195:17 196:2,10	156:16 171:6,8	78:10,15 80:6,16	208:18 209:11	48:18 49:1,6 50:25
looks 144:17 174:12	175:12,14	80:21 81:18,22	210:25 212:17	150:11 186:1
loose 212:4	market 136:14	82:4,6,15 85:9,14	213:12,21 214:5	meant 51:5 134:23
Los 3:11	marks 123:4 136:25	85:16 86:2 87:9	214:10,14,20	149:21 184:9
lost 82:3	148:1 149:13,17	88:24 89:4 90:18	215:16,19 216:2	198:10
lot 97:14 205:16	152:22 153:10	91:2,16 92:4,24	217:13	measures 158:6
lots 25:16	162:17,18 165:19	93:5,7,12,14,15,17	Matt 108:6 118:18	media 136:25 137:7
lowest 127:15	165:21 190:9,11	93:21 94:1,5,12,25	118:23 119:16,19	137:12,20
Luckey 154:25	194:15,21 195:9	95:4,19 96:12 97:2	119:20,23 123:21	meet 4:20 73:24
155:9,14	196:20,23 197:5	97:6 98:4,23 99:11	124:11 125:25	112:25 113:3
lunch 115:14 142:9	197:14	99:24,25 100:8	matter 2:4,14 9:10	meeting 11:19,20,23
	match 118:7,7	101:3,5,10,15	9:21 10:1,3,5,19	11:23 12:4,11
M	187:18 188:23,24	102:9 105:7,17	14:25 15:15,16	113:6 114:25
magazines 137:1	matched 161:7	106:3 108:18	16:4,6 25:6 48:25	Memorial 37:18
mail-in 175:7	186:17 187:19	110:13 111:9,24	58:10,22 69:9	38:24 142:23
mailers 137:19	188:7	114:1,6 115:18	71:12 72:20 82:5	143:8 144:8 146:8
main 2:10 8:2	matching 188:18	116:5,9,14 117:1,7	102:16 161:21	146:17 148:14,16
173:24	189:3	117:17 120:13,24	195:6 202:13	148:21,25 149:12
maintain 43:7 191:9	Matheson 1:5,7 3:3	121:16 127:7	203:11 205:25	149:21 150:23
maintained 101:7	4:7,9,12,20,21	128:1,14,25	matters 7:7,8 8:22	152:5,7,22,25
maintenance 122:15	7:19 8:20 10:16	129:24 130:12,17	105:12	153:6,9,19 155:22
majority 143:23	12:20 13:6 14:7,15	131:9 136:19	McDaniel 217:4,22	156:17 157:5
making 84:15	14:20 18:8,13,17	137:8 138:13	218:2	158:6,8,25 159:10
malicious 95:12	18:22,23,24 19:2,3	142:11 161:17	mean 6:10 15:22	159:17,19,23
manipulated 76:18	19:4,8,12,15,18,19	162:3,14 166:23	21:11 23:1,16 24:7	161:16 162:16
manner 19:6 100:23	21:11,25 23:2,9,19	167:14,21,25	26:12 28:7,10 29:9	163:3,9,20 165:17
manual 115:25	24:1,9 27:24 28:23	168:8 169:2,8,20	32:23 34:15 46:8	166:7,8,12,18
mark 1:20 17:18	30:2,9,21 31:10,18	172:23 173:21	46:13 50:9 51:6	167:6,9 184:13
18:25 19:10,14	32:1,10 33:12 34:9	175:2 177:6,9	55:2,12,25 56:6,25	189:9,10 190:8,13
28:4,6 83:6,19	34:18,22 37:16	178:9,14 179:3,7	58:7 59:10 60:6	190:24 191:8
119:3,15 120:10 120:10 124:2	40:7,17 41:2,12,21	179:18 180:3,17	66:10,11 67:7,9,18	192:21 193:15
140.10 14 4 .4	42:12,25 43:9,23	181:5,11 182:1,15	69:7 75:8 78:25	194:14,16,18,19
	•		•	•

152:14

[233]

104 20 105 7 15
194:20 195:7,15
196:1,11,19 197:4
197:13 198:6,11
198:22 199:17
200:1,19,23
201:14
mentioned 37:20
41:18,21,22 55:19
83:1 84:4 107:12
126:11 127:20
135:17 141:3
145:8 147:14
Messrs 32:14
meta 165:22
metadata 35:13
MICHAEL 3:10
middle 146:6
Miller 17:18,22
19:19 25:25 27:13
28:5,6 32:14
119:16 120:10,10
139:7 140:14
142:5 143:24
171:13,14 175:18
180:5 184:21
185:11 186:22,23
Miller's 32:12 140:2
142:7
mind 31:22 36:20
64:9 76:3,8 88:6
89:5,8 95:23
161:25 168:12
169:2 184:9,12,15
184:18 203:19
212:6 213:5,15,24
minds 74:3
minute 16:20 171:9
193:3
minutes 71:5 93:23
116:13,15
misappropriate
135:21
misappropriation
21:14 161:12
164:7
mischaracterizes
204:19 205:7
201117 20011

misperceptions
86:18
misspelling 187:13
187:16
misspellings 153:10
158:21 159:4
163:19
misspoke 142:18
misstates 13:2 45:8
77:18 179:14
182:21 185:3
mistake 85:8 162:22
163:9
misunderstood
191:21
modifications
210:24
modifying 121:19
mounying 121:19 moment 119:9
175:14
monitor 179:7
monitored 17:22
158:18
monitoring 16:10
16:23 17:10,14,24
19:21 21:17 22:11
23:3,10,20 24:2,10
24:24 25:2,9,24
26:5 27:6,13 30:11
30:14,20,22 31:3
31:12 32:13,20,24
33:15 35:5 74:22
98:7 109:11,12
176:14 179:8
211:2,8,15,21
213:17 214:1
Montclair 13:22,25
14:10,16 129:18
134:9
months 9:18 26:21
89:11 184:17
morning 4:8 116:20
116:21
motion 72:19 165:8
motions 143:17,18
202:7
multiple 145:25

Munger 3:10 4:14
4:17 9:6 71:23
72:1
N
$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ 1:2
name 4:8 38:14,18
39:9,21 51:11 79:1
79:1,10,13 146:2
155:1
named 217:9
names 83:6 211:10
Natural 20:23 nature 8:14 10:2
21:15 60:8 67:15
67:25 87:20,23
92:3 151:19
162:12 206:19
near 157:18
necessarily 39:23
60:7 81:7 86:6,14
105:11 185:19
necessary 73:25 need 53:15 72:6,12
92:18 170:3
177:13 191:3
needs 116:4 180:15
negative 45:13 55:8
56:1,3,4,16 57:6
59:15,16,21 60:4
60:12 61:24 63:9
63:13,25 67:2
68:11,22 69:13 71:10 104:13
105:4,9 107:25
103:4,5 107:23
117:2,6,11,14,15
117:19 118:6,19
123:24 176:1
186:24 187:3,8,10
187:12 200:24
negatives 109:7
negotiate 210:11
negotiating 121:8 negotiation 121:12
negotiations 210:23
negonanons 210.23

network 109:24
145:24 146:1
never 42:4 45:18
newspapers 137:1
Nice 4:20
nomenclature
212:23
nonpublic 17:9
noon 142:8
normally 94:16
Nos 129:4
notary 4:4 217:5,22
note 53:19 60:25
62:22 76:17
128:22
noted 109:3 157:5
160:24 198:6
218:7
notes 200:15
notice 2:15 124:17
notifying 129:19
notwithstanding
149:11 190:7
November 139:1,18
139:22 140:3
number 1:10 20:6
40:15 41:10 46:15
50:11 67:23 78:22
86:1 107:13 112:8
114:18,20 119:4
122:16 133:4
143:9 144:13
146:20,21 153:10
154:1 158:12
165:3,13 174:3
179:24 188:15
numbered 129:9
numbers 127:10
147:18,25
numeral 118:1,1,1
0
object 18:18 33:2
161:18,22 162:14
172:23 173:21
178:8 207:21
objection 10:10

```
12:16 13:2 14:3
 21:21 22:24 23:5
 23:13,23 24:5
 27:19 28:14 29:24
 30:5,17 31:5,14
 37:13 40:3,12,21
 42:17 44:18 45:7
 45:21 46:5,22 48:5
 49:7 53:8 54:13
 55:15 56:18 57:8
 58:19 59:4,6,23
 60:16 62:2 63:15
 64:3 65:24 67:20
 69:15 70:1,15
 74:15,24 75:7,23
 76:5 77:18 78:4,13
 78:18 80:2,19
 81:20 84:22 85:20
 87:1 88:17 90:12
 90:22 91:22 92:8
 96:22 99:19 102:5
 104:25 105:24
 108:14 110:9
 111:2 117:7,17
 120:24 121:16
 127:7 128:1,14,25
 129:24 130:12,17
 136:19 137:8
 162:3 166:23
 167:1,1,14,15,25
 168:1,2 169:8,9,10
 169:11 175:2
 178:11,23 179:14
 179:22 180:10,25
 181:10,20 182:6
 182:20 183:10,25
 185:2 187:21,25
 188:8 194:22
 195:21 196:13,25
 197:7,16 198:14
 198:24 199:11
 200:5 201:3,15
 204:6,18 205:6,20
 210:16 213:19,20
 214:4,12
objections 41:6,16
 42:7 43:5,18 80:11
```

[234]

89:1 91:4 168:5 117:1 119:1,11 91:24 161:7 P.A 146:9 167:11 174:7 169:20 179:5 127:23 130:4 169:12 180:12 177:7 193:9,9 167:11 174:7 188:20 189:5 131:3,19 132:19 184:1 188:9 177:7 193:9,9 215:18,18 216:4 64:19,20 65:6 199:21 207:19 133:3,16 134:4,17 200:6,7 200:6,7 200:6,7 28:17 30:7 36:2,14 66:24 104:7,12 115:19 116:7 200:6,1 443:20 144:5,20 200:6,7 28:17 30:7 36:2,14 120:14 133:23,25 138:15 142:8,24 200:6,7 28:17 30:7 36:2,14 120:14 133:23,25 20bligations 184:17 144:25 145:3,17 61:4 72:8 84:10 62:23 75:4 76:15 136:21 146:23 136:11 149:4,5 136:21 146:23 147:11,11/17 161:16 76:23 77:6,12,13 147:11,11/17 147:11,11/17 147:11,11/17 148:10,23 149:10 20posed 31:22 36:1 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:41,13,17 148:11,14/2,5 149:25 150:8 20ptical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 155:22,4,24 153:12 155:12,20 156:9 20ptical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 155:13 155:13 155:13,13 154:24 156:14 157:3,13 158:2,1
169:20 179:5 127:23 130:4 169:12 180:12 p.m 142:12,12 177:7 paragraph 61:18 188:20 189:5 131:3,19 132:19 184:1 188:9 177:7 193:9,9 64:19,20 65:6 199:21 207:19 133:3,16 134:4,17 198:25 199:3,12 215:18,18 216:4 66:24 104:7,12 obligated 59:21 60:3 138:15 142:8,24 opportunities 86:18 68:17 30:7 36:2,14 120:14 133:23,25 obligations 184:17 144:25 145:3,17 opportunity 14:23 36:16 37:3 55:3 134:1,3,17 135:25 observe 179:25 148:10,23 149:10 opposed 31:22 36:1 opposing 15:18 60:1,10,23 82:6,10 147:11,11,17 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 Optical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 13:16 153:13,154:24 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:15 53:22 61:1 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12
188:20 189:5 131:3,19 132:19 184:1 188:9 177:7 193:9,9 64:19,20 65:6 66:24 104:7,12 199:21 207:19 133:3,16 134:4,17 198:25 199:3,12 215:18,18 216:4 66:24 104:7,12 115:19 116:7 obligated 59:21 60:3 138:15 142:8,24 opportunities 86:18 215:18,13 216:4 120:14 133:23,25 120:14 133:23,25 obligations 184:17 144:25 145:3,17 opportunity 14:23 36:16 37:3 55:3 134:1,3,17 135:25 observation 178:18 146:19,22 147:17 116:16 opposed 31:22 36:1 60:2,3 75:4 76:15 136:21 146:23 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 opposing 15:18 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 obtain 27:16 123:24 155:12,0 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 0ptical 39:5,7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 oral 43:22,24 52:17 129:18 13:16 156:15,18 157:3 obvious 135:8 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 129:8 13:16 133:12,22 137:23 168:6,7,16 166:3 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 188:15,18 189:3 176:
199:21 207:19
210:20
obligated 59:21 60:3 138:15 142:8,24 60:11 opportunities 86:18 opportunity 14:23 28:17 30:7 36:2,14 36:16 37:3 55:3 61:6 37:3 55:3 61:6 37:3 55:3 61:6 37:3 55:3 61:6 37:3 55:3 61:6 37:3 55:3 61:4 72:8 84:10 62:23 75:4 76:15 76:23 77:6,12,13 146:19,22 147:17 116:16 76:23 77:6,12,13 147:11,11,17 observe 179:25 148:10,23 149:10 opposed 31:22 36:1 opposed 31:23 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 optomic 15:18 Optical 39:5,7 Optical 39:5,11
60:11 143:20 144:5,20 opportunity 14:23 36:16 37:3 55:3 134:1,3,17 135:25 obligations 184:17 144:25 145:3,17 61:4 72:8 84:10 62:23 75:4 76:15 136:21 146:23 observation 178:18 146:19,22 147:17 116:16 76:23 77:6,12,13 147:11,11,17 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 opposed 31:22 36:1 opposed 31:22 36:1 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:14,157:3 27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,15 159:13 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 158:2,16,17 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3
obligations 184:17 144:25 145:3,17 61:4 72:8 84:10 62:23 75:4 76:15 136:21 146:23 observation 178:18 146:19,22 147:17 16:16 76:23 77:6,12,13 147:11,11,17 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 149:25 150:8 opposing 15:18 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optical 39:5,7 OptiContacts 139:7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtaining 122:9 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 obvious 135:8 160:9,16 162:13 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 149:10 150:1,19 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:20 150:1 159:15,19 196:18 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 179:23,25 200:24 <th< td=""></th<>
observation 178:18 146:19,22 147:17 116:16 76:23 77:6,12,13 147:11,11,17 observe 179:25 148:10,23 149:10 opposed 31:22 36:1 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 opposing 15:18 82:24 83:13 86:4 149:10 150:1,19 obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 Opticontacts 139:7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 197:23 165:10 167:18 52:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 occur
observe 179:25 148:10,23 149:10 opposed 31:22 36:1 80:1,10,23 82:6,10 148:4,11 149:4,5 observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 opposing 15:18 82:24 83:13 86:4 149:10 150:1,19 obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 169:17 153:12 154:3,12 OptiContacts 139:7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6<
observing 51:18 149:25 150:8 opposing 15:18 82:24 83:13 86:4 149:10 150:1,19 obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 169:17 153:12 154:3,12 OptiContacts 139:7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 132:2,6,19 133:3 159:14,15 160:16 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 153:25 154:5,6 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9
obtain 27:16 123:24 151:20 152:4 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 169:17 153:12 154:3,12 Optical 39:5,7 89:25 90:3 96:6,19 152:2,4,24 153:12 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 158:2,16,17 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 132:2,6,19 133:3 159:14,15 160:16 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 165:12 175:16,23 176:4,8 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 174:3,9,17,19,20 174:3,9,17,19,20 ofe:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 paragraphs 73:14
169:17 153:12 154:3,12 OptiContacts 139:7 100:22,25 101:9 153:13 154:24 obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
obtained 18:3 27:15 155:12,20 156:9 optimization 28:21 111:17 118:4,11 156:15,18 157:3 27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
27:16 147:12 156:14 157:3,13 oral 43:22,24 52:17 123:15,17,18 158:2,16,17 197:23 158:2,15 159:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
197:23 158:2,15 159:13 order 7:11 8:9,11,23 129:8 131:16 159:14,15 160:16 obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
obtaining 122:9 160:9,16 162:13 11:11 53:22 61:1 132:2,6,19 133:3 163:6,7,16 166:3 obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
obvious 135:8 163:6,15,22 93:9 96:14 100:3 133:12,22 137:23 186:23 190:5 obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
obviously 67:13 165:10 167:18 102:19 111:10 140:17 142:24 194:11,13 195:15 152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
152:15 206:16,24 168:14,21,23,24 128:20,23 155:17 144:6,6,7,19 145:1 195:15,19 196:18 occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
occasions 194:1 169:15,22 170:25 172:19 173:12 145:1 146:7,19,20 197:15 198:3 occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
occur 52:13 113:6 173:3,13,18 187:4 190:1 191:7 146:20 150:1 200:15 201:19,21 188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
188:15,18 189:3 174:16,18 175:10 191:9 192:2 195:4 153:25 154:5,6 paragraphs 73:14 211:25 175:16,23 176:4,8 197:23,25 200:24 159:6 165:12 148:5 occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
211:25
occurred 52:20 176:12 177:6 207:9 171:17 173:7,7,13 parent 39:9 66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
66:20 177:14 192:10 194:8 orders 116:1 136:17 174:3,9,17,19,20 part 34:7 44:15
occurrence 199:15 215:16 102:1 112:3 199:9,18 200:2,13 127:4 150:1
October 54:18 Olson 3:10 4:15,18 organization 6:11 200:17 159:14 161:16
offer 16:4,6 17:14 9:6 origin 152:18 164:4 PAGE/LINE 218:9 163:1 177:20
38:1,7 47:8 48:24 omissions 158:22 original 215:23 pages 20:20 21:20 186:7,8 190:21
87:10,15 145:25 Once 11:6 outcome 217:16 58:16 99:9,10 191:1 192:23
202:13 209:14
offered 162:2 122:12 133:8 54:16 63:6 71:25 104:19 105:23 particular 12:11
offering 31:23 37:7
oftentimes 35:13 ongoing 7:4 41:9 169:9 129:15 130:7 50:5 123:21 126:8
36:17 109:25 51:16 56:11 61:14 outwardly 136:12 131:22 133:14 139:13 141:19
Oh 18:6 78:14
okay 7:16 8:20 11:9 122:15 123:2 owned 192:22 181:18 182:18 160:10 190:24
18:8,25 25:18 30:9 online 83:17,18 84:7 199:19 201:13 183:9,22 201:1 192:21 194:7
32:10 34:21,22 91:9 118:9 174:14 owner 123:1 207:5,18 208:9 200:18
73:1,16 78:21 82:4 operate 136:11 owns 91:19 99:9 215:14 217:10 particularly 135:19
82:11,25 92:22
94:13,13,20 99:2 opinion 14:1 44:19 200:3 35:1,18 36:11,11 parties 15:18 16:11
100:15 101:4
108:2 112:18 66:2,2 78:19 87:2 P 87:17 109:15 37:16 40:15 41:10
114:6 116:14 88:19 90:23 91:23 P 3:4,9 110:8 160:9 167:9 55:9 56:7,10,11

[235]

	l
57:17 58:6,14,24	person 145:12
71:22 102:2,4	personal 168:3
109:25 121:24	169:9 215:5
122:1 145:2	personally 68:4,6
153:14 176:11	87:5 91:25 103:10
217:15	137:13,16,17,18
partner 6:2,7,13,16	214:24
6:18 11:4	personnel 17:25
partners 15:4,8	19:22 25:2
69:23	phone 44:2,7,8,14
partnership 6:5	46:16 63:3 203:9
party 59:14 60:10	203:14,16,19
99:6,15,18 109:24	phrase 118:7 131:10
140:4 169:25	134:22 186:7
party's 120:17	phrase-matched
passing 164:4	107:25 108:12,25
patent 5:6,15 10:5	117:2,2
paying 135:22	phrases 37:4
payment 169:24	pick 82:13
PENALTIES	piece 150:4
218:21	PL012345 72:21
penalty 216:1	place 42:1,4,14 43:2
2 0	43:15 45:18,24
pending 93:5,22 94:2	57:17 136:17
people 20:6,8 23:16	176:1 186:24
33:19 49:13 62:22	204:23 217:9
184:6,8,9 210:20	placed 57:22 59:1
people's 180:19	186:9,12
184:4	placement 79:7,8
percent 127:14,16	165:25,25
127:18 151:7,11	placing 185:16
151:13,17 154:21	planning 47:7 48:24
160:6	87:10,15,18
perception 83:3	202:12 215:2,7,11
performed 26:18	plans 181:24
31:12 32:14,21,25	pleading 43:20
34:24 35:16	pleadings 44:1
106:24 209:25	please 8:6,10 18:17
period 106:5 122:25	18:24 19:2,4,11
123:1 203:1	31:17 41:1 53:15
periodic 110:3,25	80:5
periodically 179:8	plus 173:25,25
perjury 216:1	point 5:20 7:10 19:1
218:21	27:11 29:5 33:14
permissible 32:2	56:15,21 62:13,15
permit 73:13	66:9,12 98:15
permitted 162:16	106:19 135:13
•	

154:19 177:20
203:16 213:2
points 122:6
pop-up 16:15 34:25
35:4,7,10,17,19,23
35:25
portion 16:7 75:11
112:19 130:2
148:12 200:17
position 55:7 57:14
57:20,24,25 58:6
58:14,23 61:23
62:7,12 63:9,12,22
63:25 64:21 73:5,6
73:17,24 77:11
positions 87:21,24
88:4
positive 110:19
118:25 126:16
156:12
possession 13:11
28:2 103:21
possibility 76:7
possible 20:12
186:14,20 188:3
189:7 199:25
possibly 9:13,17
15:23 111:8
123:14
potential 21:13,14
22:16,21 120:11
124:18 163:13
potentially 15:20
77:15
practice 55:9 56:7
56:10 57:5 92:5,12
92:13 94:6,17,21
105:11 107:7,13
111:10 141:15
143:19 159:17
practiced 170:16,19
170:21
practices 94:17
134:12 138:8,24
155:23 163:7
164:12,15 177:19
177:25

Pratt 1:4 4:2,8,21 7:12 16:9 17:19,22 19:20 32:11,14 33:20 50:1 92:16 94:14 98:16 116:20 142:21 177:10 191:4 193:11 215:21,23 216:3 217:7,12 218:5,20,24 preceded 34:6 **preceding** 43:6,19 80:12 134:17 135:25 188:21 189:6 207:20 217:10 precisely 37:5 99:24 predated 10:8 prepared 17:25 18:3 19:21 25:2 27:14 27:16 33:15 150:10 171:18 preparing 114:9 149:20 **present** 7:21 50:10 52:19,21 121:12 presentation 132:20 **pretty** 71:19 **prevent** 60:12 120:15 187:4 200:25 preventing 166:7 previous 61:19 77:22 126:1 135:6 140:15 142:5 158:3,5 previously 7:23 40:16 45:1 55:11 55:13 61:17 73:19 107:12 127:20 135:17 147:14 150:23 160:8 163:8 183:5 189:2 194:12 210:25 **price** 135:22 151:12 173:25 **prices** 151:17

174:14 **prided** 161:6 principal 109:24 print 32:5 137:1,20 **prior** 9:20,24 11:15 17:7 24:23 45:8 68:3 77:19 94:11 95:5,25 96:6 106:24 107:4,8,17 112:25 116:1 120:3,6,23 130:16 133:8 159:18 178:21 179:15 185:3 195:5 217:6 **privilege** 7:15 8:2,5 8:9,12,24 14:6,19 48:20 52:9,24 64:17 65:13,17 66:22 69:17 70:3,7 70:8,17 92:18 96:3 96:14,16 97:16,20 98:18 99:1 100:4 111:21,22 128:6 162:1,7 189:19 190:2 191:6 205:23 207:8 208:11,14,16 209:7,19 **privileged** 21:6 22:3 22:7 49:4,10 50:4 51:2 52:1 63:17 65:9 66:15,18 72:11 73:9,23 98:13 192:1 206:12 privileges 206:23 **probably** 9:4,12 89:16 97:14 103:25 113:4 187:17 205:21 209:7 212:4 **problem** 178:9,15 180:19 193:1 procedures 107:7 107:13 process 84:9 194:3,4

204:9,12

[236]

processes 205:21,25	208:10,14 209:7	129:21 172:2	117:3 142:10	reason 66:25 67:1,4
produce 25:8,12	209:18	185:6,7	questions 43:19	67:10,11,16 68:10
102:19 103:3	protection 32:13,21	purpose 21:2,16	53:16 72:13 73:12	68:11 105:5
produced 25:19	32:24 51:18	22:1,15,20 26:19	82:17 97:19 98:9	118:14 189:13,14
72:12 73:10,21	protective 7:11	123:20 126:17,19	100:22,24 107:22	214:11 218:9
74:9 91:15 100:20	53:22 61:1 191:7	155:19 179:10	118:21 144:18	reasonable 67:17
100:24 101:1	192:2 195:4	202:6	169:3 177:12,15	reasonably 16:21
102:13 104:3	197:23 207:9	pursuant 2:15 7:10	206:13 209:21,24	reasons 8:2 78:23
product 69:17 70:3	provide 11:11,15	push 122:1	215:19,21	179:25 214:18
70:24 86:5,7 92:14	15:16 17:6 21:23	pushed 210:7	quick 24:22	rebates 175:8
92:16,17 94:16	47:10 64:12 65:17	put 76:19 137:11	quickly 116:3	recall 9:3 11:21 12:1
95:3,8,18 96:3	84:10,14 88:22	212:3	quite 112:12	12:5,10 13:6,14,15
97:5,20 98:18	95:15 98:22		quote 61:25 104:8	13:24 19:24 20:12
99:20 111:21	108:10 123:22	Q	210:6,6	24:13,19,20,22
189:19 190:2	147:8 166:20	quality 128:19	quoting 61:19	25:11,20 27:2,5,9
191:6 193:21,24	189:21 200:7	162:1,9,10		27:10,11 29:16
194:25 195:3,11	204:14 207:9	quantifying 87:19	R	31:7,9 37:14 38:9
196:5 197:8,22	215:2,7	queries 182:18	Rader 5:11 6:13	38:11,15,17,18,22
203:23 204:9,13	provided 13:12	query 88:13 132:21	10:8,9 149:8	38:23 39:1,4,6,8
205:23 206:7,23	16:23 17:5 20:1,2	132:24,25 133:5,8	radio 137:2,17	39:13,16,19 43:15
207:8 208:11	22:4 28:25 29:4	question 7:13 8:4,8	raised 175:21	43:20,23 44:8,23
209:5,10,18	30:8 64:10 67:1	8:14 18:7 19:17,18	ran 28:5,6,9,10	47:19 52:14,18,21
213:10	116:1 125:13	21:5,7 22:4 23:8	146:12	53:11 54:23 58:3
production 103:5,6	128:10 148:12	30:1 31:8,16 38:7	Randall 154:25	60:7 62:13,13 65:2
119:4 129:4	202:1,5,11 209:18	41:1 43:6 47:10	range 89:16 112:10	68:17 83:14,15,18
142:15	provider 77:14,16	48:9 49:2,20 50:5	127:14 212:3,5	83:21 89:4,7,17,20
products 136:24	136:14 141:3	50:20,20 52:5,8	re-ask 173:5	89:23 94:1 95:20
162:21	providers 79:14	59:11,14 63:23	reach 208:7	98:19,23 99:3
Professional 217:4	80:15	64:10 76:25 80:5	reached 182:4	106:3,9,15,18,23
profits 6:11	provides 115:25	80:12 82:7 87:13	201:14 208:1	107:1,3,8,15,15,16
program 125:10	118:17,18	88:9 92:10,19,25	read 16:5 18:5,8,11	110:4,12,13,17,21
promises 162:10	providing 8:11	93:4,5,15,22 94:2	31:23 55:6 57:10	118:2,24 120:6,8
promoting 136:23	22:15,20 31:11	94:11,18 95:20,20	62:8 94:3,11 95:22	122:4,12,13,15
promptly 136:15	64:16 65:16	95:24 100:6	95:24 105:2,3	125:7 126:18
pronounce 155:1	189:25	111:22 115:7	131:9 174:13	127:6,17 131:22
proposal 121:13	provisions 166:6	116:16 161:19	195:19 196:24	134:4 138:1,3
proposed 210:14	public 7:17 43:21	162:4 170:4	217:13 218:6	144:21 151:19
prosecuting 7:17	126:25 160:20,23	177:23 181:13,23	reading 18:15 83:18	156:8 165:7,9
prosecution 10:5	162:7,8,21 163:3	188:21 189:1,6,18	104:24 120:21	167:8,10,16 168:8
95:12 122:14,15	202:8 206:18	190:1 191:12,13	130:14 173:2	170:5,6 177:23
protect 8:9,11,24	217:5,22	191:16,17,21	217:12	183:19 190:12,13
22:7 52:8 96:14	publicly 73:19 98:21	192:4,6,19 194:4,7	reads 104:13 194:14	199:16 202:9
100:3 190:1	147:6	194:24 198:2	ready 193:10	205:13 206:15
protected 7:14 70:9	pull 98:10	199:22 200:18	realize 32:4	210:19 211:10,13
95:17 98:18	purchased 148:15	206:4,6,25 207:20	really 18:6 100:20	211:18,19,24
189:18 191:5,7	purchases 127:21	208:15 214:15	200:7	212:2,8,9,12,20
206:22 207:7	purchasing 84:6	questioning 73:13	realtime 177:13	recalls 34:13
	<u> </u>			

[237]

receipt 149:11 190:7	record 13:3 18:11	203:4 214:22	213:16,25 215:3,8	127:17
received 62:18,20	19:10,14 42:22	referring 20:19	215:12	remove 104:9
90:9,15 109:12	43:12,22 47:14,20	41:14 47:17 62:21	regardless 209:10	removing 183:21
124:9 128:12	48:2,11 53:20	62:24 67:19,22	regards 33:10 61:15	rephrase 176:21
130:19 147:4	72:15,15 73:2	79:15 80:7 87:25	66:2 79:21 87:21	replace 172:5 174:5
157:18	92:23 93:18,21,24	88:1 95:1 134:13	163:9 203:13	176:6 185:1,9,17
receiving 69:6 158:7	98:2 100:9 114:5	134:14 135:4,5,11	registered 109:4,4	185:23 186:16
Recess 71:7 73:3	115:10 116:11,17	135:13 136:8	120:17 122:23,24	187:5,12
93:25 142:12	128:8 142:13	137:6,9 152:1	145:12 146:11	replacemy 174:22
177:7 193:9	145:5 177:6	154:12	172:6 217:4	replacemycontact
215:18	192:16,18 204:21	refers 141:10,12	registrant 145:13	39:9 172:15,22
recipient 130:15	204:22,23 205:6,7	201:22	146:4,7	173:16
recognition 47:24	215:17,22	reflect 93:21	registration 122:10	report 20:11 22:11
79:1,2,10,13 81:14	record's 114:7	reflected 64:6	123:7 147:18,24	23:20 24:2,10,14
81:16,17 83:19	167:3	reflects 63:22	regular 25:3	24:15,17,24 27:7
135:14 137:11	recorded 112:7	146:13 218:8	reiterate 85:1	27:25 28:1,3,4,5,6
158:3	113:11,13,14	reframe 168:4,6	relate 29:14 31:12	28:8,24 29:21 30:3
recognize 53:23	rectify 175:25	reframing 168:6	40:1,10,19 41:4	110:18 140:19,22
61:6 74:4,5 101:15	red 77:25	refuse 96:2 196:15	100:24 105:22	141:1 183:14,17
108:3 125:21	redact 74:3	refused 149:12	124:14 147:25	203:11,15,20
139:3,24 140:10	redacted 73:11	190:8	148:1 214:25	204:3,17 208:23
142:1 171:10,11	redirect 36:13	regarded 136:5	related 35:5 42:5,15	209:2 211:15,21
recollect 99:14	redirected 76:16,20	regarding 8:21	43:16 68:9 79:9,12	213:8,17 214:1
recollection 11:3	redline 121:18	11:19,23 12:11	128:11	reporter 82:3
13:4,21 20:8 27:22	redress 171:19	13:1 14:11,16 15:6	relates 34:23 43:3	113:25 119:3,7
29:19 41:17 43:25	reduced 156:17,21	15:17,20 16:10	48:3 79:22 98:7	124:4 125:16,19
44:9 45:17 46:2,14	157:2 181:23	17:14 22:16,21	124:17	129:2 139:21
46:16,20 47:1,4,22	reducing 181:16	23:3,11 28:19 29:1	relating 45:19 46:3	140:7 141:23
54:9 55:18 56:9	182:13	30:11 37:8 38:2	53:6 68:21 70:8	142:14 171:4,7
60:2 61:13,22 62:4	refer 56:11 84:3	46:11 47:8,23	96:8	175:10,13 217:5
62:10 68:17 89:2	147:18 157:8	48:25 50:16 51:14	relation 63:1 79:6	218:2
89:23 98:22	158:12 212:24	51:22,24 63:8,11	relationship 10:7,8	REPORTER'S
101:17 104:21	reference 131:21	63:24 66:15,17	10:14 46:12 51:17	217:1
105:10,20 106:12	136:9,10 140:18	69:11 71:10,13	relationships 81:17	reports 17:25 18:3
106:21 107:6	154:24 156:9	80:7 82:22 83:7,10	relative 181:23	19:21,22,25 20:5
108:20 118:3,16	157:20 164:19	84:20 87:11,16	relevant 86:20	20:13 21:3,17 22:1
120:1,9 121:25	referenced 73:15	96:20 98:5 100:22	152:10,20	23:3,10,18 25:2,9
125:5,8 127:9,10	134:6 140:22	106:5 107:2,4	relief 165:12,14	27:14,16,23 30:22
128:21 134:7	144:12 150:19	108:11,24 110:6	166:20 167:7,21	30:25 33:15
141:13 144:22	164:13	120:11 121:22	167:22 169:3,4,6,7	109:12,13 110:3,6
157:22 167:5	referred 22:11	124:11 126:8	169:17	110:8,16,25 111:7
169:13 170:19	23:10 24:18 26:4	128:20 140:15	remain 65:10	176:14 179:8,17
173:4 176:10	55:12 56:6 57:4	143:19 181:8	remedy 158:7	180:4 211:2,8
178:1,7 185:18	68:3,7 102:11	185:4 202:2,13,24	175:24 180:15	represent 6:24 7:5
199:5,7,14 201:18	104:5 119:23	203:20 209:15,25	remember 12:8	17:13 22:15,20
203:8,13 205:5,15	139:8,9 155:7	211:9,16,22	39:11,22 47:2,3	54:12 104:1 153:7
206:14,17 210:22	177:19 189:2	212:14,21 213:6	83:5 117:3 126:15	representation 8:21
				F- 333-344-334-334-334-334-334-334-334-33

[238]

17:21 20:4 51:17	60:13 64:10 74:9	154:5 187:18,20	195:3 206:7,11	106:25 107:5
109:18 162:8	75:15 88:13 91:18	188:18,19 189:3,4	reveals 193:24	166:13 183:24
representations	95:14 101:20,22	215:13	review 14:23 18:2	199:20 200:4
7:14	101:23 102:16	resulted 57:1	19:25 20:14,17	211:4
represented 4:12	103:11,15 104:19	results 20:14,18,20	21:2,9,13 25:1	rise 78:16,24 79:5
6:22 7:2,23 17:19	109:8 111:5	20:23 21:10,20	27:14 28:23 41:7	risk 61:25 63:13
54:10,11	117:22 120:16	23:15 26:16,23	53:16 61:4 72:6	64:1
representing 32:4	124:22 125:1,24	29:7,8,9,10,11	74:18 83:16 98:8	rivals 23:12,22 24:4
166:3 176:9	125:25 126:3,21	36:2,9,16 55:3,10	107:23 115:9,12	24:8 68:21 69:13
represents 59:2	133:5 134:16	55:13,19 58:16	115:16,24,25	181:7
reputation 162:19	136:20 138:6	70:11 74:9 76:23	116:2 118:9 119:9	rivals' 22:21 23:4
162:20	139:15,16 140:2	77:6,13 79:25	180:3 215:24	182:17
request 1:20 104:9	140:14 142:4,7	80:10,23 82:10,24	217:12	RMR 217:22
166:11,15 210:7	148:17 149:24	83:12 90:3 96:6,19	reviewed 17:24	role 208:25
217:11	155:13 156:2,4,5	97:14 98:20	19:20 22:1,11	Roman 117:25
requested 128:23	157:1,12,17,25	104:19 105:23	24:24 63:21 99:16	118:1,1
167:7 217:12	159:11,20 161:18	106:7 109:16	107:3,9,17 178:22	room 93:20,22 94:2
requesting 138:7	162:5 163:18	111:17 131:16	179:16 183:13	roughly 212:7,8
155:22	171:14 172:17	132:21 133:4	205:15	routes 86:17
requests 103:7	174:21 175:19,20	141:16,19 145:18	reviewing 21:16	Roy 13:22 129:17
require 210:1	176:18 178:2,5,16	145:19 146:15	30:22,24 116:6	134:9
required 114:14	178:20 179:12,20	154:6 161:1 166:1	reviews 53:18 61:2	rule 67:4,10,11,16
research 66:3 68:18	179:25 180:7,20	174:21 178:5	72:9 101:14 108:1	68:11
87:19,22 99:8	181:18,22 182:18	181:18 182:18	119:10	ruling 205:9,11,12
reserve 116:14	182:23,24 186:15	183:9,22 188:24	Richard 62:23	run 111:10 141:9
177:4	187:5 188:14	198:12 199:9,18	rid 183:8	RX0064 1:14 119:6
Residing 217:23	189:17,25 190:16	200:2 201:1 205:2	right 10:20 16:19	119:8 120:1
resist 122:1	194:4 198:19	207:5,18 208:8	17:10 21:8 25:6	RX0065 1:14 124:2
resisted 210:6	201:1,9	215:14	29:12 30:22,25	124:3,5 126:3
resolution 125:7	responsibilities	retailer 24:11,13,16	37:9 49:11 55:3	RX0066 1:15 125:17
resolved 143:25	143:24	24:20 211:13,20	58:7,11,18 62:16	125:18,20 126:3,9
144:2	responsible 74:21	retailers 17:21	77:24 85:10,14	RX0067 1:15 129:3
resource 118:18,20	75:21	29:18,20 39:25	90:6 93:17 103:24	129:6,16 138:10
resources 136:23	responsive 95:15	40:8,17 41:3 211:6	105:11 116:5,9	139:10
respect 73:16,23	103:7	return 217:13	150:13 179:8,13	RX0068 1:16 139:1
168:25	responsiveness	Returning 109:10	179:21 180:18,24	139:2,3,5
respond 8:16 94:18	162:11	reunited 11:6	182:19 183:14	RX0069 1:16 139:22
94:19,20 121:13	rest 177:4	reveal 63:16 64:5	185:1 191:24	139:23,24
responding 7:13	restate 23:7 30:1	69:11 92:16 94:15	194:17 200:20	RX0070 1:17 140:8
125:3 140:5	31:16 41:1 80:4	100:3,9,12 191:5,6	201:2 203:2,5,17	140:9,18
response 8:21,23	87:13 211:17	191:18 193:20	204:17 211:4,5	RX0071 1:17 141:24
20:15 21:9,10	restraints 64:25	194:3 197:24	214:25	141:25 142:1,14
23:17 25:9,13,16	65:22 66:7	205:24 206:11	right-hand 131:22	RX0072 1:18 142:17
26:25 27:3 35:12	restrict 117:20	209:22	150:24 151:3	142:19 190:5
35:12,21 36:10	result 36:11,23	revealing 52:7 68:23	160:14,15 172:18	RX0073 1:18 171:5
52:7 54:23 56:12	80:21 82:23 83:11	94:18 191:19	173:11 175:6	171:6,8,17 184:19
57:2,16 58:17	83:24 111:12	192:1 194:25	rights 106:11,16,20	184:20 188:5
	I	I	I	I

[239]

RX0074 1:19 175:11	94:22 96:5 107:10	146:13,13,15	212:25 213:3,15	184:6 196:9
175:12,14 186:21	110:15,18,25	148:15,21 149:22	215:17	201:11 211:1
RX64 119:3	130:22 150:1,6,9	149:24 150:11,12	secondly 166:2	selectable 36:10
RX67 132:1	150:17,18,25	150:21,21 154:5,6	section 62:1 63:14	selected 109:21
	159:14 172:9	154:7 158:24	64:2 150:14	sell 136:13
S	200:14	159:6,11,20 166:1	154:20 165:19	seller 58:1
S 1:9	screenshots 73:12	172:3,12,14 173:7	secure 165:24	send 54:5 61:11
S.W 3:5	98:8,12 100:2	173:14,17 174:4	see 6:19 8:16 26:16	102:3 108:18
Sale 154:11,20	109:14 111:7,11	174:20,21 176:18	26:22,24 33:25	176:16,22 215:23
sales 196:22 197:12	135:6 153:23	178:5 179:11	75:18 90:1 118:13	sending 33:23,24,25
Salt 2:11 217:3,23	scroll 177:13	181:9,17,17	118:18,20 119:1	107:14 108:24
Satisfaction 174:1	scrutinize 84:16	182:12,18 183:9	120:13,19 122:8	sense 34:8 112:6,10
174:14	se 64:25 65:23 66:8	183:22 186:2,6	123:18 129:9	112:18,20
satisfactory 215:25	67:14,14	188:19,24 189:4	131:3,4,6 132:14	sent 20:13 27:17,21
save 123:14 151:7	search 13:1 15:6	190:17 198:12,19	132:20 133:4,25	54:6 61:9,9 74:12
151:11,13,17	20:19,20,23 21:19	199:9,17 200:2	134:2,19 136:2	74:14,18,20
160:6 214:9	22:22 23:4,11,15	201:1 207:5,18	137:4,23,24	106:13 111:11
savings 175:8	23:17 26:12,13,16	208:8 215:14	140:20 142:25	120:9,23 121:3,18
saw 24:2,10,14	26:19,23 28:11,20	searched 102:24	144:7,9,10,15	139:13 154:25
33:25 34:16 89:7	28:22 29:7,8,9,10	103:1,15 173:15	145:4,18,21	155:3 157:14,19
139:9 211:20	29:11 35:12,18	searches 16:15 18:2	146:10,25 147:1	158:1 171:14
213:7,17 214:1	36:2,10,13,16,19	20:15,19 21:1,10	147:19,20 148:25	176:25 217:12
saying 94:21 192:7	36:22,25 37:4 40:2	26:1,4,6,7,10,25	149:14,15 150:15	sentence 16:18,20
says 17:11 26:3	40:10,19 41:4 42:5	27:3 30:23 34:25	151:4 153:4 154:4	25:24 27:12 31:19
50:20 76:13,24	42:16 43:3,17	35:21 54:23 83:17	154:6 155:3 156:9	32:11 66:24
77:21 120:14	44:13,17 45:3,6,14	104:10,19 108:23	156:18 157:6,15	104:12 120:21
131:4 133:23	45:20 46:4,19 47:5	109:4,8 120:17	157:19 158:10,25	121:2 137:4
144:7 145:4 151:3	55:2,20,21 57:3,16	134:16 139:17	159:7,22 160:13	186:23 190:6
151:7 154:20	58:16,17 59:17	141:9 148:18	162:24,25 171:21	194:12,13 195:19
157:4,17 158:2	60:14 74:10 75:15	157:1,12 158:19	171:22 172:16,18	196:19 198:4
163:11 171:25	76:23 77:6,12 79:7	178:6,17,20	173:18 176:14	sentences 105:3
172:18 173:24	79:25 80:10,22	179:12,21 180:1,7	177:16 179:25	September 130:11
174:11 175:6,20	82:10,23 83:12	180:21 181:18	183:16 192:15	133:13 134:12
185:5	86:11 87:12,17	182:24 186:15	202:23 208:15	138:21 157:4
scheme 129:20	88:13 90:2 91:18	187:5,11 201:1	seeing 46:15 141:19	198:5
school 4:25 5:10,15	92:6 94:8 96:6,8	searching 132:11,13	183:19	server 109:20
10:14	96:18 102:18,22	140:19 141:11,14	seek 169:17 171:19	service 37:21 136:13
scope 17:13 87:14	103:3,11 104:18	141:15	seeking 190:21	136:14 141:3
88:9 103:8 166:7	105:23,23 106:6,6	second 16:18 25:24	191:1 192:23	146:1 160:7 161:3
168:2 169:9	109:15,16 110:8	31:19 32:10 61:18	seen 13:9 15:12 17:2	161:5,7 162:1,9,10
202:24	111:17 117:22	86:8 89:25 104:7	23:19 27:25 28:1,4	services 135:3 136:1
Scott 61:10,11 63:3	124:23 125:1	109:6 111:18	35:22 76:14,16	136:8,24 151:21
screen 32:6 35:11,15	126:21 127:13	118:11 120:14	88:24 101:6,9	153:3 162:21
35:20 144:18	130:25 131:1,1,16	123:16 133:22	119:12 124:6	163:10,13 195:18
150:14	132:7,8,20,21,24	154:18,19 164:3	126:5 133:17	set 129:3 176:21
screenshot 74:8,9,11	132:25 133:4,5,7	166:2 173:11,11	135:7 137:13,15	217:10
75:4,6 92:1,7 94:9	141:18,19 146:2,3	191:6 192:17,25	137:18,19 138:1	setting 187:18
	I	1	1	1

[240]

settled 64:22 166:19	141:22 144:23	199:23 201:4,5	spaces 150:22	36:18,21,24 54:24
200:20	149:25 150:18	210:20	158:22 159:20	55:1,20,23 75:14
settlement 15:4	159:15 178:4	site 125:2	174:6,22	75:15,17,18,22
16:11 32:18 37:8	184:13 187:11	sits 97:21	span 20:3	76:3,14 77:3,3,25
37:11 64:23 65:21	showed 176:18	sitting 49:5 50:24	speak 11:22 161:22	78:3,11,16 79:4
66:6 67:2 120:11	205:3	90:18 91:3,6	speaking 87:20	80:22 82:9,23
120:15,22 121:2,6	showing 101:5	104:23 184:15	speaks 34:19 105:1	83:12,24 85:5,10
121:6,8,22 124:12	108:23 135:6	190:13,23 191:14	108:15 117:8	85:13,18 86:9,20
124:19 144:2,4	178:2 187:5	192:13,20 199:4	195:22 204:21	86:23 88:12,22
166:19 167:5,19	shown 55:10,13,19	207:11,23	205:6	89:5 90:1,5,10,19
167:22 168:7,15	116:23 120:13	situation 84:11	specific 15:8,13	91:10,17 96:18
168:25 169:4,16	138:12 150:9,22	situations 125:14	25:16 26:6 27:2,5	104:9 107:11
169:18,23 176:5	154:4 159:13	six 9:4 89:11,14	31:8 36:21 52:4	109:14 117:21
177:1 200:23	174:19,20	six-year 89:16	58:3 68:17 78:7	120:16 126:21
201:14 210:14	shows 59:18 132:14	slightly 132:21	83:20,21 89:15	131:4,10,15,21,25
settlements 210:8	159:19 174:9	slip 101:9	91:17 96:13	132:8,11,13
settling 169:25	sic 62:1	snapshot 90:2	104:21 105:20	134:15,15 135:5
seven 170:20	side 97:23 98:20	109:19	106:12,21 107:1,1	139:15 150:14,24
shaded 75:11	131:22 132:1	soak 115:11	107:6,18 140:25	151:4 154:8,10,13
share 6:11 128:6	150:13,24 160:14	society 67:24 68:8	141:13 199:24	154:19 156:24,25
204:12	160:15 172:18	software 141:2	210:19	159:25 160:4,10
shared 97:23,23,25	173:11 175:6	sold 24:21	specifically 12:9	160:14 165:23
98:19,24,24 99:5	sign 121:24 217:13	solely 94:22 111:15	13:13 15:12 20:12	166:4 172:2 174:8
127:1,3 189:20,23	signature 142:25	Solutions 145:24	25:22 29:16 38:18	175:6 200:18
Sher 61:10,11,15,20	143:2	146:1	39:6 42:10 52:14	sponsors 79:5 81:10
61:22 62:16 63:3	signed 115:8 124:12	somebody 33:16	74:5 85:1,24 89:6	92:6 94:8
Sher's 62:7,12 63:9	143:3 190:6	34:1,2,16	89:9 90:16 99:3	sponsorship 79:6
63:12,24 64:20	signing 122:2 177:1	Sonsini 61:10	111:6 124:10	81:8 85:3,8 86:10
Sherman 62:1 63:14	215:24	sorry 45:14 56:25	132:7,8 134:10	161:15 163:10,21
64:2	signs 215:25	77:1 80:24 82:3	153:1 175:25	Spy 18:4 27:15,17
ship 136:15	similar 109:5	84:13 85:13	182:10 195:16	27:24,25 28:1,12
ship-my-contacts	132:20 164:16	146:21 174:17	196:1 212:8	28:13 29:1,4,21,25
143:11	165:20 176:19	201:20	speculation 12:17	30:2,25 99:6,12
Shipmycontacts	similarly 163:17	sort 114:12	54:14 59:24 74:16	100:2 140:19,22
143:10	single 133:10 150:6	sought 165:15	75:24 180:12	141:2,7
shipmycontacts.c	sir 14:21 18:9 53:24	167:12,17,23	184:2 187:22	Spy's 30:7
144:19 146:14 150:22 154:11	61:4 69:19 70:5 71:1 73:5 94:2	169:5,6,7 sound 177:16	188:10 194:23 197:17 199:2	ss 217:2 stack 137:22
159:24	95:20 97:7 101:16	Sounds 142:11	200:8	staff 32:15 33:1
shipped 144:24	108:3 111:25	source 51:13,16	spent 10:23 47:23	Standard 39:4,7
shipping 151:21	194:9 195:13	76:16 81:15 85:4,8	48:4 114:8	start 129:7
154:22 160:7	194.9 193.13	86:6,14 118:5	spite 158:3	state 5:4 55:6 64:20
162:9,10 173:25	sit 43:1 49:16 53:10	123:23,23 161:11	spoken 203:7	64:21 66:3 67:5
show 32:1,6,7	74:6,17 76:4 99:13	sources 107:16	sponsor 89:18,21	164:14 217:2,5
109:23 110:1	102:12 103:24	South 2:10 3:11	91:21	218:21
115:21 119:1	107:2 118:17	space 133:2,10	sponsored 16:17	stated 45:16 184:3
124:1 138:25	187:7 188:12	174:22	35:2 36:6,8,9,15	statement 45:4 68:9
121 100.20	10, 100.12		22.2 20.0,0,7,13	
-				

[241]

171:16,18 193:16	93:6,11,13,16,19	stop 19:4,12 93:10	succeeded 183:21	tab 132:8 146:2
statements 161:21	94:3,10,13 95:2,7	158:5 180:24	success 50:13	147:7
162:8	96:9,22 97:4,9	190:19	successful 183:8	tags 165:22
states 17:8,18 19:20	98:15 99:19 100:5	stopped 180:23	successfully 194:20	take 21:18 50:6
25:25 27:13 30:10	101:1,4,8,12 102:5	stopping 56:2	195:7	53:15 70:20 71:3
32:11 66:25 104:8	104:25 105:15,24	Store 123:14	suffered 163:2	72:6,12 77:6,11,13
186:23 190:7	108:14 110:9	strategies 51:18	215:12	85:9 93:18 95:23
196:19 198:5	111:2,18 112:25	181:25 184:4	suggest 45:25 97:19	115:13,15 116:22
218:21	114:3,9 115:1,15	strategy 56:23	120:22 207:4	119:8 122:5 142:9
stating 175:21	115:21 116:8,16	Street 2:10 3:5	suggested 207:16	142:20 144:5
stenotype 217:9	116:19 117:10,24	strength 83:6,19	210:24	153:16,25 165:5
Stone 1:6,21 3:9	118:22 121:5,21	strike 15:24 20:17	suggestion 205:19	165:11 171:9
4:14,14 7:9 8:13	125:16,19 127:23	45:16 57:13 63:10	suggests 77:5	175:14 184:19
9:2 10:10 12:16	128:4,16 129:2,7	88:10 90:8 110:22	suit 94:21	190:5 193:3
13:2 14:3,13,18	130:1,15,21	176:9 194:18	sum 149:2	200:11 206:1
16:2 18:6,10,15,20	136:21 137:15	204:15 208:4	summary 128:10	takeaway 121:1
18:22,23,25 19:3,6	139:21,24 140:7	211:12,18 212:18	210:8	taken 48:15 87:21
19:10,14,16 21:5	140:10 142:8,13	string 109:20	supplier 81:12	87:24 88:5 109:19
21:21 22:1,24 23:5	142:18 161:24	strong 81:15	support 118:21	109:22 142:6
23:13,23 24:5	162:13,15 167:8	struck 82:5	supported 194:17	143:15,16,24
27:19 28:14 29:24	167:18 168:4	studies 79:2,9,12,15	suppose 182:9	175:25 217:8
30:5,17 31:5,14,20	169:15,22 171:4,7	79:20 82:16,18	supposed 192:8	218:2
32:7,9 33:2,14	173:3 174:2	83:21	sure 23:1 32:2 34:12	talk 11:18 33:24
34:14,21 37:13	175:10,13 177:4	study 79:16,19,22	34:20 59:11 72:24	117:6 135:12,25
40:3,12,21 41:6,16	177:12,18 178:8	80:6,8,14 81:19,24	77:10 81:22 89:23	165:21 168:22
42:7,17 43:5,18	178:11,23 179:5	82:8,20,21 83:2	100:9 113:25	193:3,21
44:18 45:7,21 46:5	179:14,22 180:10	87:23 203:4	114:7 123:11	talked 30:21,23,24
46:22 48:5,19 49:7	180:25 181:10,11	sub-page 86:15	167:3 176:7 189:1	43:21 50:11
49:20,25 50:18	181:20 182:6,20	subject 15:15 33:6	193:5 213:1	131:12,19 183:5,5
51:24 52:23 53:8	183:10,25 185:2	64:25 65:23 66:8	survey 83:3,8 84:4	188:15
53:19 54:13 55:15	187:21,25 188:8	66:15,17 97:16	110:14,19,20,21	talking 18:14
56:18 57:8 58:19	188:20 189:5,16	131:16 195:3	110:23,24 111:8	talks 43:22 149:6
59:4,6,23 60:16,25	191:3,16 192:3,14	207:8	111:10,13 126:11	160:24 163:17
62:2 63:15 64:3	192:25 193:20	subjects 15:10	126:14,17,19,24	targeted 129:20
65:8,24 66:9,13	194:13,22 195:10	submits 123:1	127:3,6,11 135:17	tecum 25:6,10,13,17
67:20 68:4,12,23	195:21 196:4,13	submitted 203:11	205:2 208:22,25	103:9,12,16
69:15 70:1,15,23	196:25 197:7,16	subpoena 25:5,9,13	209:16,25	Tel 3:6
71:6,14 72:10,17	198:9,14,24	103:9,11,15	surveys 83:9	television 137:2,19
72:24 73:4,6 74:1	199:11,21 200:5	subsequent 157:23	suspected 57:4	214:23
74:15,24 75:7,23	201:3,15 202:4,17	158:1	sworn 4:4 217:7	tell 12:7 13:25 31:24
76:5,25 77:18 78:4	202:21 203:22	subsequently	Synovate 79:16,17	48:17 49:18 52:22
78:13,18 80:2,11	204:6,18 205:6,20	157:19 211:8,15	83:1 135:17	63:8,11,24 70:14
80:19,24 81:20	206:9,24 207:6,19	211:22 212:13,20	system 113:17,20,23	72:20 97:18
82:11 84:22 85:12	208:10 209:3	subsidiary 78:8	114:2,8,16	129:15 141:11
85:20 87:1 88:17	210:16 212:15	substance 68:24		142:21 158:15
89:1 90:12,22 91:4	213:9,19 214:3,8	184:23 191:19	$\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T}}$	160:22 171:10
91:22 92:8,22 93:2	214:12,16 215:20	substantial 171:1	T 1:9	173:14 191:25
		I	I	<u>I</u>

[242]

				[242]
210:13 217:7	45:8 47:8 48:24	93:10 95:16,22	57:19 62:13,16	tool 28:18,21
tells 186:22	59:25,25 77:19	96:9 97:21 99:2,6	63:5 66:9,12 68:13	top 90:6 145:23
temporary 114:18	78:19 87:2,10,15	100:5 104:23	68:14,16 71:4 72:6	146:15 150:24
114:18,20	88:9,19 90:23	111:5 114:3 115:6	73:24 83:15,22	151:1 200:17
ten 9:17 116:15	91:23,24 131:8	115:25 129:3	85:16 89:10,11	topic 49:2 71:13
term 37:2 58:18	135:18 145:7	131:7 145:7	104:22 105:15	totally 32:7
59:2 60:15 79:7	179:15 180:13	150:11 152:10	104.22 103.13	track 101:9 112:2
86:11 88:14 91:18	182:21 184:2	167:4 168:5	100.3,19 107.9	128:19
131:2,15 132:7	185:4 188:10	176:10 179:1	113:8,11,17,19,19	tracked 195:23
133:10,11 141:19	193:16 198:25	181:22 182:3	113:8,11,17,19,19	197:2
150:21 154:7	193.10 198.23	184:5,14 193:1,25	113.22,22 114.1,2	
	•	194:4 199:24		tracking 114:16 trade 1:1 2:2 3:2,4
159:6,11 172:14	202:13,24 204:19		114:25 115:3,9,16	,
174:4,21 185:1,17	207:14 209:15,17	201:20 204:11,24	122:25 123:1,5,6,8	4:9,11 10:24 11:12
186:6,10 188:5,24	210:9 215:2,7	205:20 207:11,22	123:10 131:13	11:19,23 12:12,24
192:22 199:18	217:10 218:8	207:24 209:5,9,17	134:22 139:18	13:17 14:2,11,17
200:2 201:12	Texas 146:9	209:19,20 214:12	152:21 160:9	14:24 15:5 16:3
terminated 125:9	text 73:7,8,13 74:2	thinking 42:23	161:25 170:10,25	58:9 69:8 71:11
terminology 131:21	76:23 86:19,23	89:15 161:14	172:25 176:8	135:9
terms 7:11 33:22	107:25 183:7,18	205:13	177:5 185:18,19	trademark 7:18
35:3,22 60:8 81:4	Thank 19:16 73:6	third 15:18 64:19,19	189:9 203:1,16	8:22 10:3 16:14
94:16 104:11,20	thanks 74:1 177:10	144:6 145:1 164:6	205:14 209:6	17:24 19:21 21:17
108:23 109:9	216:3	173:23 174:10	212:3,25 213:3	22:11,16,17 23:2,9
121:20,21 122:3	theories 163:22	212:25 213:3,24	214:9 216:3	23:20 24:2,10,24
125:12 131:13	164:23,25 165:2	third-party 123:23	times 26:14 37:4,6	25:2,8 27:6 30:22
167:18 176:19	thereof 104:11	thought 72:18 82:12	50:11 99:5 109:18	33:15 34:23 47:9
178:6 179:13,21	176:3 187:1	94:12 128:12,16	109:20 145:12	47:13,15,16,20,24
180:8,21 181:8,19	217:16 218:7	128:17,23 164:24	214:22	47:25 48:4,14,16
182:19 190:25	therewith 162:19	194:2,3 204:9,12	timing 20:3 123:12	48:18 49:1,6,18,23
197:14,23 200:23	they'd 161:9	205:21,25	title 19:23 86:6	50:11,17,25 51:7
201:2	thing 32:19 72:18	threaten 64:17	183:7	51:14,19 53:7
tested 80:14	things 21:15 36:4	65:16	today 8:3 49:5 50:24	57:16,22 59:3,17
testified 4:4 45:1	49:25 50:3 67:25	threatened 64:23	53:10 56:20 74:7	60:14 81:15 91:19
177:17 193:13	76:12 83:18 84:3	67:3	76:4 90:18 91:3,7	91:20 96:21
211:1,5	85:2 86:4 87:8	three 53:17 55:5	97:22 98:2 99:14	106:11,16,20,25
testify 15:11 16:10	91:13 94:23,25	93:23 100:19	102:12 104:23	107:5 109:12
28:15 30:11 34:5	95:4,25 99:13	133:14 212:8,17	112:21 115:4	122:10,21,22
34:11,13 37:17	107:14 114:19	212:19,22 214:9	126:11 181:6	123:13 129:21,22
131:17 177:11	136:18 141:17	214:10,18,18	183:6 184:15	134:19 135:10,15
202:20 210:3	160:18 162:6,12	Thursday 2:8	190:24 191:14	135:19 141:16
215:11	177:11 183:1,7	time 7:2,21 9:5,15	192:13,20 199:23	147:24 148:20,20
testifying 32:23	186:4 210:23	9:19,20 10:23	207:24	152:15 153:21
46:11	think 21:7 31:2 37:4	17:24,24 18:1,1	told 49:23,25 50:3,9	158:21,23,24
testimony 7:10	37:12 42:9,11 52:3	19:20,21 21:1,1	50:16 51:21,25	164:1,7,9,17,21
15:15 16:4,5 17:14	65:12 72:16 73:20	22:10 24:1,9 25:25	203:20	166:8 172:6,22
30:10 31:10 32:12	81:4 85:24 86:17	26:1,18 30:1 41:1	Tolles 3:10 4:15,17	173:8 176:2,14,19
32:24 33:6,10	86:22 87:5 88:7,21	44:22 47:23 48:4	9:6 71:23 72:1	179:7,13,16,21
34:23 37:7 38:1,8	91:6 92:10,13,15	53:15 56:21 57:14	Tony 44:2	180:4,21 181:3,8
	I	l	I	1

[243]

	1	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>
182:13 183:14,17	trial 87:22,24 88:4,5	56:11 73:14 76:12	93:6 98:6,11 99:21	132:18 145:16
183:18,23 185:1	88:5,8 202:25	85:3 93:23 100:21	100:21 104:16	146:12 151:23
186:25 190:25	204:23 205:1,8,11	100:23 116:12	114:3 123:4	160:5 175:9
192:22 199:19,20	205:12,18	117:25 119:25	124:14 145:16	URLs 36:9,10
200:3,4 201:13	trick 152:6,23	127:18 129:15	173:8,10,19 174:7	120:18 131:13
211:1,4,7,14,21	194:15,20	147:18 155:24	177:14,17 178:14	use 16:10 17:15,22
213:7,17 214:1	tricked 195:7	168:21 176:11	179:19 181:12	21:13 28:21 30:11
trademarked 58:17	tricking 152:9	186:4 190:15	185:15 186:3	30:15 31:3 35:12
88:14 104:11,20	trigger 35:14 129:23	191:3	187:2 188:4	35:15 44:10,12,16
126:22 178:6	149:13,17 166:8	type 26:12 42:22	202:19	45:2,5,13 46:18
180:8 181:19	190:9,11,14	81:11 84:15	understanding	47:3 48:16 74:22
182:19 201:2	triggered 148:16	145:25 163:11,20	15:14,17 16:3,8	79:4 83:6 84:7,15
trademarks 16:14	172:4 184:24	typed 148:20 186:1	17:12,17 28:16	86:12 91:9,12
17:16,23 22:23	185:8,21,25 186:5	186:5	30:6 35:8,9,10,19	96:21 99:6,7 123:2
23:18 30:12,16	186:6 188:5,13	types 91:13 125:14	36:8 39:24 40:6,8	123:3 134:18
31:4 51:22 74:23	199:18 200:2	164:18	40:15 51:8 56:15	149:17 162:16
109:5 111:15	201:12	typing 141:18	56:22 58:10 59:13	163:17 165:18
120:4,17 122:6,10	triggering 134:19	158:22	59:20 60:3,5,20	181:8 196:19
122:13,18 123:9	134:22,24 190:18		67:12 73:18 80:13	197:5,13
134:16 139:17	triggers 134:25	U	104:3 117:18	user 35:11 85:7
149:23 152:6	true 185:13 192:12	Uh-huh 123:19	118:6,8 123:6	104:10 136:16
158:20 165:18	192:13 217:10	ultimately 143:25	124:16 139:11,12	149:22
178:3,20 180:1	218:22	166:19 176:5	139:14 145:15	user's 35:15,20 91:8
182:25 183:6	truly 218:7	200:19 204:16	170:15 171:3	117:20
198:20,23 199:10	truth 161:21 217:7,7	unacceptable 61:25	173:1,23 174:10	users 32:17 153:1
202:3,15	217:7	63:13 64:1 88:15	179:11 187:7	195:17 196:2,10
Tram 39:10 171:18	try 31:18 33:4,7	90:20	188:11,22 192:4,5	uses 16:15 34:23
171:23 172:6	122:2 177:13	unaided 79:13,17,18	198:8 200:21,22	USPS 101:9
184:21 185:12,15	trying 31:22,24	79:21,22 80:7,14	201:5,7	USPTO 122:24
transcribed 217:9	33:13 38:11 42:9	81:24 82:1,19	understood 161:19	Utah 2:11 4:24 5:4
transcript 1:20	51:5 72:23 81:23	unauthorized 16:10	170:25 172:21,24	144:24 164:14,14
18:12 19:1 202:22	82:2,20 88:5,8	17:15 30:11,15	174:25 191:17	164:15 217:2,5
206:16 215:23	97:9,18,19 98:6,11	31:3 74:22 96:21	unfair 152:18 164:3	Utley 69:24
217:12 218:6,6,7	103:3 110:17	196:19 197:5,13	164:6,12,15,18	
transcription	126:15 135:20	Unbeatable 173:25	uninterrupted	V
217:10	186:13 192:4	174:13	123:2	vague 22:24 23:5,14
transmission 17:7	194:2	unclear 203:17	united 11:7 218:21	23:23 24:5 30:17
transmit 20:10	Tuesday 22:13	underlined 75:12	universe 58:11	31:6,14 40:3,13
transmitted 20:5	24:12,14,23,25	151:4	unjust 152:16	46:5,22 76:6 83:15
55:11,13 111:1	183:14	underneath 75:18	164:10	88:18 96:23 102:6
trap 81:23	turn 116:10 132:19	75:19	unpack 16:20 18:13	110:9 177:16
travel 11:1	146:19 155:5	understand 8:3,19	18:16 32:19	178:11 180:25
traveled 10:18 11:3	174:2,16	16:5 29:5 34:9,20	unredacted 15:2	182:7 183:10
traveling 10:23	turning 75:3 89:25	35:3 67:9 74:6,8	unsure 190:3	valuable 49:12,18
11:15	90:5 186:21	75:12,14 77:4	URL 37:2 77:15,17	49:23 50:16,21
treatise 65:7,15	tutorial 118:9	81:24,25 82:2,16	85:15 118:12,15	51:3,6,7 201:22,25
trebled 166:16	two 32:3 35:22	82:19 87:14 88:5	123:16,17,21	valuation 48:8,10
u chicu 100.10	LWU 34.3 33.44	02.17 07.11 00.5	143.10,17,41	,

[244]

value 47:8,13,19,25
51:14,22 53:7
182:5,10 202:2,14
variables 84:9
variants 172:5
184:25 185:23
186:16
variation 104:11
117:23
variations 20:16
109:5 141:15
153:10 157:2
158:20,23 159:1,4
165:20 176:3
187:1
varied 20:3 109:17
variety 17:20
various 67:23
136:25 148:9
149:6 176:13
vary 60:6 109:17
varying 127:18
version 15:2
versions 121:18
Vicky 217:4,22
218:2
view 14:10,16 64:17
65:2,5,20 67:5
81:19 82:21 83:2,5
83:10,22 84:2,18
85:17 86:3,20
88:11 90:7,10,19
91:3 132:2 152:21
213:2 214:24
viewed 15:2 85:16
85:23 199:6,8
211:7,14 212:14
212:21 215:4
Vincent 11:7,15,22
violate 58:6,15,25
65:13 66:21 67:3
67:10,11 68:11
· ·
125:14 197:25
violated 57:13,17,21
125:12 166:13
violating 61:25
63:14 64:1 106:10

106:20 162:7 violation 59:18 164:1 **violations** 67:14,15 Vision 38:6,10,11 39:14 54:10,11,12 54:19 55:9,23,24 56:13,15 57:1,15 57:21 58:1 59:20 60:10 61:15,15,23 63:18 Visionworks 38:13 38:16 visit 35:13 visiting 152:7 194:15,20 195:8 vulnerable 204:4

W

waived 96:16 Walgreens 39:17 walk 148:8 **Walmart** 27:10 want 8:15 16:6,19 18:11,13,18,21 19:10,14 26:22 29:3 32:1,9,19,20 33:5,7 34:10,12,20 35:3 49:20 59:11 81:22 82:16,17 97:17 98:3 115:7 115:13 129:7 151:2 167:2 193:20,21 194:3 200:17 202:19 210:10.13 wanted 12:7 115:24 180:23 210:23 **wants** 32:7 warrant 92:2 Washington 3:5 10:18,23 11:1,16 wasn't 32:2 101:10 161:7 205:16 way 19:9 22:3 50:22 82:25 92:10 93:13

97:17 98:3,16

121:7 136:11 145:11 188:17,25 189:2 191:18 193:25 194:5 212:25 ways 109:6 118:19 158:22 165:22 188:16 190:15 we'll 8:16 95:23 124:1 142:9 202:23 we're 8:1,2 16:1,18 18:14 58:8,24 59:12 74:3 81:23 82:6 93:2,12,14,18 104:1,2 115:6,15 116:8 149:16 181:13 192:10 212:23 213:1 we've 8:15 16:23 71:4 99:5,7 100:16 105:3 107:19 119:7 124:4 125:20 142:19 164:19 171:8 181:6 182:14 183:1.5 web 39:19,21 118:4 131:13 137:3 144:19 153:1 195:16 196:2,10 website 28:17,18 35:13 36:14 77:14 125:2 141:8,9 145:12,13 147:7 152:7 194:16 200:16 websites 144:8 145:16 148:17 194:21 195:8 week 22:13 weeks 9:4,4,13 went 30:10 34:1 103:25 156:24 weren't 72:23 **Whois** 99:7,12 100:2 145:4,8,10,11,19

146:5,15 wide 137:3 212:5 **willfully** 166:12 **Wilson** 61:10 window 35:23,24 36:1,5 withhold 8:7,23 22:6 witness 1:3 3:8 4:16 4:19 7:16 8:17 9:9 9:16 10:13 12:19 13:4 14:5,14,18 21:8,23 23:1,7,15 23:25 24:7 27:21 28:16 29:25 30:6 30:19 31:7,16,24 33:9 37:14 40:5,14 40:25 41:7,17 42:9 42:20 43:7,20 44:21 45:11,23 46:8,25 48:7,19 49:11 50:8,19 52:3 53:10 54:15 55:18 56:20 57:10 58:21 59:9 60:2,20 61:2 62:4 63:16,20 64:5 65:12 66:1,14,16 67:22 68:14 69:1 69:16 70:2,16,19 70:23 71:15 72:9 73:11 74:17 75:2,8 75:25 76:7 77:20 78:6,21 80:4,13 81:3 84:25 85:23 87:5 88:21 89:2 90:15,24 91:6,25 92:20 93:19,23 94:20 95:2,7 96:11 97:1,4,21 99:2,20 100:7 101:14 102:8 105:2 106:1 108:1,16 110:12 111:5,19 117:9,18 119:10 121:1,17 127:9 128:3 130:13,18,20 137:9 162:6 167:4

167:16 169:13,21 173:1,22 175:4 178:13 179:1,6,16 179:24 180:14 181:2,22 182:9,23 183:12 184:3 185:5 188:1,11,22 189:7,22 191:11 193:2,4,8 195:2,23 197:2 198:1,17 199:4,14,23 200:7 200:10 201:4,17 202:9 203:22 204:11,22 205:13 205:24 206:1 207:11,22 209:4 210:19 212:16 213:10 214:17 217:6,12,17 218:4 218:6 witness's 45:8 77:19 87:3 179:15 182:21 185:3 205:21 witnesses 53:18 word 46:10,13 79:4 126:22 127:13 174:23 words 126:25 151:5 174:6 190:18 work 69:16 70:3,24 92:14,16,17 94:15 95:3,8,17 96:3 97:5,20,22,24 98:16,18 99:20 111:21 123:25 189:19 190:1 191:5 193:21,24 194:25 195:3,11 196:5 197:8,21 203:23 204:9,13 205:23 206:7,22 207:8 208:11 209:4,10,18 213:10 worked 10:1 118:6

161:9 180:18

1-800 Contacts

PUBLIC

Γ	2	4	5	1
L	_	-	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	J

101.2	124.1 120.20	20.15 21.4 11 12	160.05 170.0	11 24 05.11
181:3	134:1 138:20	30:15 31:4,11,13	169:25 170:8	11.24 85:11
working 183:20	141:8 143:14	32:5,22 33:16,19	171:19,24 172:2	116 1:6
world 115:9 137:2	157:24,24 165:2	34:1,4 39:25 40:9	172:16 173:9	119 1:14
World's 123:13	175:18 177:23	40:18 41:3,23 42:1	176:6,19 178:3,6	12 139:22 146:23
worried 115:20	179:25 191:16	42:5,15 43:3,16	178:17,20 179:13	157:4 184:17
wouldn't 209:22	198:17 201:4,10	44:11,13,16 45:3,5	179:21 180:1,8,21	12:02 142:12
wrapped 115:6	204:22 207:22	45:13,18 46:3,12	181:7,19 182:2,4,5	12:52 142:12
write 108:8 113:16	213:3	46:18 47:4,6,9,13	182:10,12,19	124 1:14
133:20	year 112:7,12,14,15	47:20 49:17,23	183:6,17,21,23	125 1:15
writing 62:5 68:3	112:16,16 138:10	50:12,17 53:7	184:17,24 185:5,7	129 1:15
written 31:20 42:10	years 44:10 46:15	54:24 56:12 57:3	185:16 186:14	12th 101:24 102:10
42:13 52:15 67:7	89:14 155:24	57:16 58:5,14,17	187:3,15 189:11	104:2,5 106:22
76:23 113:15	170:20,22 171:1	59:2,17 60:14 63:4	190:9,11,14,16,25	138:22 198:5
wrong 127:10 194:2	yellow 75:11 160:1	68:21 69:13 70:10	192:22 194:14,21	13 147:11
wrote 34:15 54:3	160:11,13	70:12 71:9 74:10	195:8,17,18 196:3	139 1:16,16
55:25 67:8	yesterday 113:7	74:19,23 79:8,19	196:11,20,21,23	13th 171:5
www.1800contact	114:8	81:6,9,10,13 86:11	197:5,13,14 198:6	14 147:17
175:9		86:16 88:12,14	198:19,23 199:10	140 1:17
www.LensDiscou	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{Z}}$	91:19 94:7 96:7,20	199:19,20 200:3,4	141 1:17
90:7	Zeidner 10:17 11:7	96:21 101:2	200:20 201:2,13	141-0200 2:4 218:1
www.lensforless.c	11:18 12:6,11,15	104:20 105:14,19	201:23 202:3,15	142 1:18
85:15	20:7 34:11,15	109:13 111:15	203:1 210:6 211:4	14th 142:24
www.LensWorld	52:12,22 53:4	112:19,22 113:8	214:25 215:3,8,12	15 2:8 144:7 147:18
76:24	124:11 130:10	118:10 120:4	218:1	217:9 218:2
www.shipmycont	134:8 153:18	121:9 123:7	1-800's 48:4 161:3	15th 126:1
150:13 151:1,24	155:3,10,14	124:10,13,18,21	181:8	16 134:12
154:22 160:5		125:11,13 127:1	1-800-contacts	17 1:13 127:16
200:16 201:12	0	128:13,18,24	133:1	148:4
	0074 184:20	129:18,21,22	1-800F_00081723	171 1:18
X	0724 170:3	130:10 131:2	119:5	175 1:19
X 1:2,9	1	132:9,12 134:6,16	1-800F_00084017	177 1:7
Y	1 62:1 63:14 64:2	135:15,16,23	129:4	17th 159:17 175:11
	165:19	136:10,17 137:10	1-800F00082023	18 1:21 148:11
Yahoo 172:4,12	1-800 2:5 3:8 4:15	139:17 142:23	142:15	1800 133:10 134:18
173:7 185:8	4:18 6:22,25 7:3,5	146:25 147:5,13	1,700 112:11	135:10,10,12
yeah 7:7 9:24 26:14	7:24 8:22 9:9,16	147:15 148:1,18	1,800 112:11	1800cantacts 159:7
28:16 33:9 36:5	10:2,7,15,22 12:15	148:20 149:8,13	1:43 177:7	1800contacts 104:10
37:1,25 38:3,22	12:25 13:5,12,13	149:17,22 150:10	1:54 177:7	150:21 159:20
39:12 40:25 41:17	13:18 14:1 15:6,11	151:18 152:6,22	10 127:14	1800contacts.com
42:9,20 43:7 44:21	15:15 16:9,13	153:2,3,8,9,19,20	10:14 71:7	75:12,13,16,19
50:8 52:3 59:13	· ·	154:9 157:1,5,12	10:16 73:3	154:8 160:2
60:2,9 63:20 65:4	17:15,19,23,25	160:3,19,23 161:6	10:20 73:3	172:19 173:12,24
65:12 66:1,16	19:22 20:7,15	161:13 162:2,17	10:47 93:25	1800CONTACTS
72:17,24 74:17	21:18,24 22:15,20	162:18,18,20	10:49 93:25	174:12 175:7
78:6 85:14 92:20	22:22 23:4,12,21	163:13,19 165:18	100 1:13	19 149:10 150:1
94:3 95:9 101:5	24:3 25:3 26:10,12	165:19,25 166:5,8	107 1:12	152:2 190:5
105:2 113:24	27:18,22,23 30:12	166:13,18 169:18	11 44:10 61:12 63:1	1st 130:11
				1

[246]

	1	1
2	23 143:5 154:24	8
2 144:6	2316 174:17	8 200:13
2,675,866 123:8	23616 174:17	8:29 2:15
2,731,114 123:7	23rd 138:21	82025 145:1
2:16 193:9	25 1:21 120:23	82040 144:13,17
	156:15,18	82042 146:15
2:18 193:9	26 146:20,21 157:3	
2:48 215:18	198:3	82067 142:16
2:54 215:18 216:4	26th 217:17	84017 137:23
20 116:12 133:13	27 153:13 156:10	84021 133:13
152:4 194:11	157:19	84035 130:3,7
20024 3:5		84036 130:8
2003 5:1,3,13,16	28 129:10 158:2	84037 130:22 132:2
2005 7:1 9:7 103:25	29 140:8 158:16,17	84038 132:20
129:10 130:11	3	84039 133:4
133:14 138:10,17		84042 129:9
138:18,21 177:20	3 101:9 144:7	84043 129:5
2006 212:4	30 141:23 150:10	
2007 54:18 157:5	159:14,15 200:15	9
198:5	31 160:16 196:18	9 139:1,18
2008 5:19 61:12	32 160:17 163:6,7	9:58 71:7
63:1 65:3 67:6	326-2435 3:6	90 71:5
143:5 150:10	33 160:17 163:16,17	90071 3:11
156:10 170:11	355 3:11	9th 140:3
	35th 3:11	7th 1 10.5
2009 5:19 101:25	3614 174:3	
102:11 104:5	38 201:19,21	
105:16,17 106:11		
106:16,22 138:13	4	
138:16,19,22,22	41:5	
139:1,19,22 140:8	40 154:21	
141:23 177:22	400 3:5	
178:10,18,21	41 144:13	
179:2,4 180:6	71 1 111 .13	
2010 120:23 124:10	5	
125:17 171:5	53 1:11	
175:11 212:5	55 154:1	
2012 6:20	33 134.1	
2016 2:8 9:21,25	6	
217:9,17 218:2	60 1:12	
202 3:6		
	67 129:3	
2035 165:13	683-9255 3:12	
20th 124:9	7	
21 152:24 195:15		
213 3:12	7 127:17	
22 149:4,5 153:12	70 151:7,11,13,17	
222 2:10	160:6	
22nd 125:17	72 1:11	
	7th 3:5	
	I	1

EXHIBIT A-1

In the Matter of:

1-800 Contacts

January 5, 2017 Bryan Pratt - Confidential

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

	219			221
1	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	1	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
2		2	INDEX	
	IN THE MATTER OF: :	3	WITNESS:	PAGE
3	: D09372	4	BRYAN G. PRATT	
4	1-800 CONTACTS :	5	Examination by Mr. Matheson	222
5		6	Examination by Mr. Stone	240
6 7		7		
8		8	EXHIBITS	
9		9	NUMBER	PAGE
10		10	CX-1125	233
11	CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	11		
12		12		
13		13 14		
14	Thursday, January 5, 2017	1		
15		15 16		
16		17		
17	Holland & Hart	18		
18	222 South Main Street, #2200	19		
19	Salt Lake City, Utah 84101	20		
20		21		
21		22		
22 23	The above-entitled matter came on for investigational	23		
24	The above energies marker came on for investigational	24		
25	hearing, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.	25		
	220			222
1	APPEARANCES:	1		
2	ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION:		Thereupon,	
3 4	DAN MATHESON	2	BRYAN PRATT,	
_	GUSTAV P. CHIARELLO	3	was called for examination and, after havi	
5	Federal Trade Commission 400 7th Street, S.W.	4	been sworn by the court reporter, was examined	and
6	Washington, D.C. 20024	5	testified as follows:	
_	Tel: (202) 326-2435	6 7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC	
7	dmatheson@ftc.gov gchiarello@ftc.gov	8	BY MR. MATHESON:	
8		9	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Pratt. This is	Dan
9 10	ON BEHALF OF 1-800 CONTACTS AND THE WITNESS:	10	Matheson again. Thank you for joining us.	
10	GREGORY P. STONE JULIAN MICHAEL BEACH	11	Could you pull out CXOO78, please?	
11	Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP	12	A. Yes, I have that.	
12	355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	13	Q. Do you recognize this document?	
12	Tel: (213) 683-9255	14	A. I do.	
13	gregory.stone@mto.com	15	Q. What is this document?	_
14	julian.beach@mto.com	16	A. So this document is an email from E	
15		17	Dansie to David Zeidner and myself, copying Amy	7
16 17		18 19	Larson and Bryce Craven.	Anril
18		20	Q. All right. This is an email dated 9, 2007?	Thir
19		21	A. Yes, I see that.	
20 21		22	Q. And turning your attention to the f	irst
22		23	sentence after the salutation, Mr. Dansie repre	
23		24	he's sending you "a new list of companies adver	
24 25		25	on our trademarks in search engines."	

25

understand "viable" to mean as you sit here today?

A. A more viable competitor. A larger

223 225 1 Did I read that correctly? 1 company, possibly. 2 A. That's how I read it, yes. 2 Q. A greater competitive threat; is that 3 3 Q. Did you understand him to mean that the 4 list to which he refers identifies companies whose 4 MR. STONE: Objection, improper to form, advertisements appear on a search engine results page 5 5 argumentative. in response to a search for 1-800 Contacts trademark 6 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I don't term? 7 7 really have an opinion on a company's threat to the 8 8 MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to company. To me, a viable company is one that has a 9 form, but you can answer. 9 certain size or a health to it. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. As I read that, it 10 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What do you understand appears that he means companies -- I mean, just what 11 11 a "viable competitor" to mean? it says, companies that are advertising on trademarks 12 12 MR. STONE: Objection, asked and answered. 13 in search engines, and by "our trademarks," I think 13 You can answer. 14 he means, my impression is he means 1-800 Contacts 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. Again, I don't 15 trademarks. 15 know what Brandon intended. As I sit here, it's a 16 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And by "advertising on competitor, someone who provides a similar product. 16 17 trademarks," what do you mean by that? 17 And viable, again, is a company that has company 18 MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to 18 health or is more likely to be around or larger in 19 form, calls for speculation. 19 size. 20 You can testify to your understanding. 20 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you ask Mr. Dansie 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. As I look at it, my 21 what he meant? 22 impression is that he means companies that are 22 A. Not to my recollection. 23 Q. Do you recall discussing with any of the 23 causing their advertisements or sponsored ads to 24 appear in response to a search for 1-800 Contacts 24 other individuals copied on this email what a "viable 25 trademarks. 25 competitor" meant? 224 226 1 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And by "appear," you 1 A. Not that I recall, no. 2 mean appear on search engine results page, fair? 2 Q. Which are the competitors who you believe 3 A. That's fair, yeah. 3 were viable competitors in 2007? Q. Turn your attention to the first or next MR. STONE: Objection, improperly calls 4 4 5 for opinion testimony, lacks foundation, calls for 5 paragraph. The second sentence, Mr. Dansie 6 communicates that Lens.com, and I quote, "is a more speculation. 6 7 important offender because they are a more viable 7 You can answer to the extent you had 8 8 opinions that were not revealing of your attorney competitor." 9 9 Did I read that right? work product or any privileged communications you had 10 MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to 10 with the client which provided a basis for your form. The document speaks for itself. 11 belief at the time. 11 12 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Given that discussion, Dan, 13 can you please reask that question just so I 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think you read it understand, so I can think through that? 14 right off the document. 14 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) And you understood it 15 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) I believe the question 15 -- actually, which other companies do you believe to mean that Lens.com was a more viable competitor 16 16 than LensWorld? were viable competitors to 1-800 Contacts in 2007? 17 17 MR. STONE: Okay. Same objections and 18 MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to 18 19 form, calls for speculation. 19 instructions, which I will repeat if you need me to. 20 THE WITNESS: You know, as I sit here, I 20 THE WITNESS: No. I don't think I need 21 don't recall what my impression was of what he meant you to repeat the objections or instructions. 21 You know, as I sit here today thinking 22 there. 22 23 23 back to 2007, yeah, the only impression that I would Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. What do you

have had would have been from my own -- my own

experience of optometrists and other online

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

227

229

230

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 retailers. 2 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. Do you recall --3 strike that. 4 Would the desire to protect a privileged 5 communication impact the answer you just gave? 6 A. Yes, there were -- yes. 7 Q. So there were communications your client 8 had with you that influenced your view of which 9 competitors were viable, but revealing those 10 communications would threaten the attorney-client 11 protection; is that fair? 12 MR. STONE: I instruct the witness not to 13 answer on the grounds the question as framed constitutes a disclosure of attorney-client 14 15 privileged communications.

So I instruct you not to answer, Mr. Pratt.

THE WITNESS: I'll take that instruction.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. Just to be clear, I'm just asking were there any communications with your client that impacted your view of which competitors were viable competitors in 2007?

MR. STONE: I think he answered that he was -- that there was privileged information that he withheld from the prior answer. I think he answered and calls for speculation, but you can answer.

THE WITNESS: As I sit here today, my recollection is I would have had a general understanding of competitors due to the nature of my work for them, but not a specific discussion or understanding of most viable competitors based on a discussion with the client, no.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Now, in this email, CX0078, Mr. Dansie suggests that you prioritize contacting Lens.com over contacting LensWorld; is that fair?

MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: So as I read it, he says that he -- he would prioritize the list with Lens.com at the top.

- O. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you understand that to be a request to you to prioritize contacting Lens.com over contacting LensWorld?
- A. Not as I recall. I didn't take direction from him, from Brandon.
- Q. Do you recall any other occasions on which Mr. Dansie asked you to prioritize contacting a particular competitor whose advertisements appeared on a search engine results page?

228

that question, if I recall correctly. I don't have LiveNote, so I'm sort of basing it on my recollection.

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Yeah. And I'm in the same boat. I understand his answer. I was trying to confirm what that answer meant. I'm just trying to confirm that there was any privileged communication. I'm not trying to pry into the privileged communication. So you can strike that little speech I just gave and see if this question is one you can answer.

Was there a communication in 2007 that impacted your view on which competitors were viable competitors of 1-800 Contacts?

MR. STONE: Let me think about that for a minute, Mr. Pratt.

I'll allow you to answer yes or no. THE WITNESS: I can't recall a specific

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall that in 2007 -- or strike that.

In 2007, was your view of which competitors were viable competitors impacted by information you received from your client?

MR. STONE: Objection, lacks foundation

A. Not that I recall as I sit here, no.

Q. Do you recall ever receiving any instruction from any other employee of 1-800 Contacts to contact a competitor?

MR. STONE: You can answer that yes or no without getting into the substance of any instruction you received which might be privileged.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Matheson) When was that?
- A. So my understanding is you're asking when I would have received instructions from an employee of 1-800 Contacts to contact a competitor?
 - Q. Yes, that is my question.
- A. Okay. I can think of various ranging from 2005 to probably 2008, 2009.
- Q. On how many of those occasions was the request to contact a competitor based on the appearance of the competitor's advertisement on a search engine results page?

MR. STONE: Mr. Pratt, if you think that reveals privileged information you should be careful not to reveal any privileged information. I would also object that as the question is framed is overbroad, lacks foundation and improper as to form, but you can answer as best you can, to the extent you

21

22

23

24

25

trademark terms?

to take any action to prevent advertisements from

A. I don't recall a specific request. I do

Lens.com Complaint that was about this time,

recall some communication that was attached to the

appearing in response to searches for 1-800 Contacts

231 233 can do so without revealing anything that you 1 1 notifying him that they were coming up. 2 Q. Did you take any other action in responses consider to be privileged. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can't -- I couldn't 3 to receiving CXOO78? 4 speak to an exact number, but I know there were 4 A. Not that I recall directly in response to 5 5 multiples. I mean, there were -- at times they would this email, no. 6 Q. You mentioned the Complaint against fall into that category. 6 7 7 Lens.com. Do you have that there with you? Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Were any of those 8 8 requests, other than this one, based on the viability 9 Q. Okay, so I believe this is a document with 9 of the competitor? 10 Bates number 1-800F 0008879 through 8997. 10 MR. STONE: Objection, calls for speculation as to whatever the question is based on. 11 Can we mark this as CX-1125, please? 11 12 And if you're asking whether somebody said 12 (EXHIBIT CX-1125 WAS MARKED.) 13 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) This is a very long 13 those words to him, you need to determine, Mr. Pratt, document, Mr. Pratt. Take whatever time you need. 14 whether anybody that said those words to you was 14 doing so in the context of a privileged 15 My only question will be directed to the page that 15 16 ends 896O. 16 communication, in which case you should not reveal 17 17 A. Okay. And begins? 18 18 Q. Do you recognize the document displayed on I object to the question as to improper as 19 page 1-8OOF_00008960 through -- a long document --19 to form, but subject to that question, you can 20 20 8991? answer. 21 A. That is a long document. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't recall any Q. Yes. The question is related to 8960. If 22 specific discussions about the viability of a 22 23 I understand you correct, this is just an attachment. 23 competitor. 24 A. Give me just a second to look at it, 24 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What did you understand 25 25 Mr. Dansie to mean by "contact Lens.com" in CX0078? please. 234 232 1 MR. STONE: Objection, lacks foundation, 1 Q. Sure thing. 2 improper as to form. 2 (Reading) Okay. I have read that page. 3 You can answer. 3 Q. Great. Do you recognize the email that THE WITNESS: (Reading) You know, as I 4 begins on page 1-8OOF 00008960? 4 A. I do. 5 5 read through it today again, he says, "I'm sure you 6 Q. What is this email? have very limited time to contact these 6 A. So this is an email dated April 16th of organizations," so I don't know that he expressly 7 7 8 2007, an email from me to Tony DeGidio, who was 8 asked me to contact anyone. 9 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Did you take any action 9 counsel for Lens.com. 10 as a result of receiving CXOO78? 10 Q. Why did you write this email? A. My recollection is that I likely did. 11 MR. STONE: Again, Mr. Pratt, just I 11 Q. What did you do? 12 caution you to not disclose any privileged 12 13 A. My recollection is that I would have 13 communications in response to that question. 14 reached out to counsel for Lens.com. 14 THE WITNESS: Sure. As it says in the Q. Why did you do that? 15 email, it was sent to inform Lens.com that they are 15 A. To discuss their client coming up in a 16 showing up in response -- in sponsored advertisements 16 search for 1-800 Contacts as shown on this on both Google and Yahoo, triggered by search terms, 17 17 18 screenshot. 18 various search terms related to 1-800 Contacts. 19 Q. When you say "discuss," did you ask them 19 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Was your response to my

question influenced by your desire to protect the

Q. Do you recall any communications you had

between April 9, 2007 and April 16, 2007 with any

employee of 1-800 Contacts that related to Lens.com?

attorney-client privilege?

A. No.

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Okay. Fair enough.

paragraph, in the last sentence you ask Mr. DeGidio

discussing, your email to Mr. DeGidio in the

confirmation of its receipt and a detail of the

action you plan to take to remedy the situation."

to, quote, "reply to this message with the

second -- directing your attention to the second

Okay. In CX-1125, the page we have been

235 237 1 A. I don't. 1 Did I read that right? 2 2 A. That's how I read it. Q. Do you recall any communications at all 3 between April 9th and April 16th of 2007 with any 3 MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to 4 employees of Lens.com? 4 form, the document speaks for itself. 5 A. I don't recall any. 5 You can answer. 6 THE WITNESS: That's how I read it. 6 MR. STONE: Can I have that back? I'm 7 7 sorry. Could I have the reporter read that back, Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What actions did you 8 8 please? have in mind? 9 THE REPORTER: Question: "Do you 9 MR. STONE: Objection, lacks foundation, 10 10 recall any communications at all calls for speculation. between April 9th and April 16th 11 You can answer. 11 12 of 2007 with any employees of 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't recall any Lens.com?" 13 specific actions that I may have had in mind other 13 14 MR. STONE: Thank you. 14 than for his client to identify why they were coming 15 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. 15 up and to prevent those ads from coming up, however Q. (By Mr. Matheson) Do you recall any he felt was appropriate. 16 16 17 communications between April 9th and April 16th of 17 O. (By Mr. Matheson) The previous sentence 18 2007 with any employee of 1-800 Contacts? 18 states, "We appreciate the prompt action you have 19 19 taken in the past in resolving these situations with A. Yes. 20 Q. Which communications do you recall? 20 vour affiliates." 21 MR. STONE: So, Mr. Pratt, in responding 21 What did you mean by "prompt action you 22 to this question, you can identify the person with 22 have taken in the past"? whom you had the communication if you recall that. 23 A. My recollection is that in the past when 23 24 You can identify the date or time of the 24 these advertisements had come up and we had contacted 25 communication, but don't reveal the substance of the 25 Mr. DeGidio, that they had -- he had worked with his 238 236 1 client to have the ads removed. communication to the extent it might be privileged. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Understood. I recall a 2 Q. And you wanted him to take those same 3 discussion with David Zeidner in that identified time 3 actions in this instance to produce the same result? MR. STONE: Objection, improper as to 4 4 5 5 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) What did you discuss form. with Mr. Zeidner? 6 THE WITNESS: I think it is possible the 6 MR. STONE: So you need to -- you can give 7 -- you know, I asked him to provide information on 7 8 what actions they planned to take. 8 a very, very general description, Mr. Pratt, if you 9 can do so without revealing the substance of the 9 O. (By Mr. Matheson) You wanted him to 10 communications. But if you think even a general 10 produce the same result he had produced in previous description would reveal the substance of a instances to whatever actions were necessary? 11 11 privileged communication, don't disclose that. If 12 MR. STONE: Objection as to form, asked 12 and answered, argumentative. 13 you need to confirm with me, let me know and we can 13 14 14 THE WITNESS: As it says in the document, 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Given that counsel, I 15 I asked him to detail what actions they plan to take based on the information I provided him. will decline to answer based on attorney-client 16 16 Q. (By Mr. Matheson) By "remedy the 17 privilege. 17

5 (Pages 235 to 238)

situation," the situation you are referring to was

response to searches for 1-800 trademark terms?

my understanding is that this situation was the -- as

I said in the email, they are showing up as a

You can answer.

that the appearance of advertisements for Lens.com in

MR. STONE: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. As I sit here today,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	239		241
1	sponsored advertisement on Google and Yahoo, being	1	A. Okay. Is that the page that has "A44" on
2	triggered by those terms related to 1-800 Contacts	2	the bottom?
3	trademarks.	3	Q. Yes, it is.
4	Q. Lens.com failed to take action that	4	A. Okay.
5	satisfied 1-800 Contacts, fair?	5	Q. Look, if you would, at the search results
6	A. That's my recollection.	6	page that is shown there and on the right-hand column
7	Q. Turn your attention to the first page of	7	under "Sponsored Links," do you see another one at
8	this document. Your recollection is that 1-800	8	the bottom which says, "1-800 Contacts - Simple
9	Contacts filed suit against Lens.com in August of	9	online ordering of lenses. Compare our prices and"
10	2007?	10	something.
11	A. Yes.	11	A. "Save."
12	Q. Looking at CXOO78 strike that.	12	Q. I can't read the last word. Can you read
13	You represented 1-800 Contacts in the	13	that?
14	lawsuit filed in 2007 against Lens.com, right?	14	A. I believe it says "save."
15	A. Yes.	15	"Compare our prices and save."
16	Q. Now, CXOO78, that was made part of the	16	Q. And then the URL at the bottom is again
17	record in the Lens.com litigation; is that correct?	17	"www.JustLenses.com"?
18	A. That's my understanding.	18	MR. MATHESON: Object to the question.
19	Q. How did it come to be part of the record?	19	The Complaint speaks for itself.
20	A. I'm not sure.	20	THE WITNESS: I do see that.
21	Q. Do you have any recollection of whether	21	Q. (By Mr. Stone) And was that, in paragraph
22	this document was produced by 1-800 Contacts to	22	21 of this Complaint, which is on the top of the page
23	Lens.com in that litigation?	23	you're looking at, 8885 and the bottom of the
24	A. I don't have a recollection of that, no.	24	preceding page, is this particular ad that we have
25	MR. MATHESON: That is all I have for the	25	just been discussing the one that is referred to in
	240		242
1	moment. I'm happy to turn it over to Mr. Stone.	1	the text of paragraph 21 of the Complaint?
2	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR 1-800 CONTACTS AND THE	2	MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation,
3	WITNESS	3	the document and Complaint speaks for itself.
4	BY MR. STONE:	4	THE WITNESS: It is.
5	Q. Thank you. Just take a look, if you	5	Q. (By Mr. Stone) W have no further
6	would, at Exhibit CX0078, which is the email.	6	questions. Well, I do for a moment. I'm sorry.
7	A. Okay.	7	Back to some questions you were asked
8	Q. There's a sponsored link on the right-hand	8	earlier. Did you ever take direction from
9	side showing on the search results portion of this	9	Mr. Brandon Dansie; in other words, did you consider
10	email that is in a box and it starts at the top "1800	10	him to be your client for purposes of giving you
11	Contacts: Buy Online."	11	direction as to what legal services you should or
12	Do you see that?	12	should not provide?
13	A. I do see that.	13	A. No, I did not.
14	Q. And then below that at the very bottom it	14	Q. Prior to being shown Exhibit CXOO78 at the
15	says, "www.JustLenses.com."	15	first session of your deposition and being shown it
16	Do you see that?	16	again today, did you have any independent
17	A. I do.	17	recollection of receiving that email from Mr. Dansie
18	Q. Was JustLenses.com related in any way to	18	back in April of 2007?
19	Lens.com?	19	A. No, I did not.
20	A. Yes. My understanding was that	20	Q. Who was it at 1-800 Contacts in 2007 who
21	JustLenses.com was a dba of Lens.com.	21	you considered your client for purposes of providing
22	MR. MATHESON: Objection to foundation.	22	you direction or requesting that you perform
23	Q. (By Mr. Stone) And look, if you would, at	23	particular legal services?
24	Exhibit CX1125, and turn if you would to the page	24	A. It would have been either David Zeidner,
25	ending in 8885.	25	Joe Zeidner, or Roy Montclair.
		I	

	243	245
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. STONE: Thank you. I have no further questions at this time. Thank you. MR. MATHESON: That's all we have as well. Thanks for your time, Mr. Pratt. We appreciate it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, guys. (Deposition concluded at 11:34 a.m.) -000-	Case: Re: 1-800 Contacts Reporter: Lisa Bernardo Date taken: January 5, 2017 WITNESS CERTIFICATE I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE-LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON PAGE-LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON No corrections were made. I, BRYAN G. PRATT, HEREBY DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF UTAH THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. BRYAN G. PRATT Signed this day of , 2017.
	244	
1 2	244 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
1 2 3		
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH)) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)	
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH)) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That said deposition was taken down by me	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That said deposition was taken down by me in stenotype on January 5, 2017, at the place therein named, and was thereafter transcribed and that a true	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) , ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That said deposition was taken down by me in stenotype on January 5, 2017, at the place therein	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That said deposition was taken down by me in stenotype on January 5, 2017, at the place therein named, and was thereafter transcribed and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages; I further certify that, in accordance with Rule 30(e), a request having been made to review the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) I, Lisa Bernardo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness, BRYAN G. PRATT, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; That said deposition was taken down by me in stenotype on January 5, 2017, at the place therein named, and was thereafter transcribed and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages; I further certify that, in accordance with Rule 30(e), a request having been made to review the transcript, a reading copy was sent to Mr. Stone for the witness to read and sign and then return to me	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF UTAH	

[246]

A
a.m 219:25 243:7
A44 241:1
above-entitled
219:23
accurately 245:6
action 232:9,20
233:2 236:25
237:18,21 239:4
244:16
actions 237:7,13
238:3,8,11,15
ad 241:24
ads 223:23 237:15
238:1
advertisement
230:18 239:1
advertisements
223:5,23 229:24
232:20 234:16
237:24 238:19
advertising 222:24
223:12,16
affiliates 237:20
afternoon 222:9
allow 228:17
AMERICA 245:17
Amy 222:17
Angeles 220:12
answer 223:9
224:12 225:13 226:7 227:5,13,16
227:25 228:5,6,11
228:17 229:1
230:5,25 231:20
232:3 236:16
237:5,11 238:22
answered 225:12
227:23,25 238:13
238:21
anybody 231:14
appear 223:5,24
224:1,2
appearance 230:18
238:19
APPEARANCES

220:1 appeared 229:24 appearing 232:21 **appears** 223:11 appreciate 237:18 243:5 appropriate 237:16 **April** 222:19 234:7 234:24,24 235:3,3 235:11,11,17,17 242:18 argumentative 225:5 238:13 asked 225:12 229:23 232:8 238:7,12,15 238:21 242:7 **asking** 227:20 230:10 231:12 associated 244:16 attached 232:24 attachment 233:23 attention 222:22 224:4 236:21 239:7 attorney 226:8 attorney-client 227:10,14 234:21 236:16 **August** 239:9 **Avenue** 220:11 В **B** 221:8 back 226:23 235:6,7 242:7,18 **based** 229:6 230:17 231:8,11 236:16 238:16 **basing** 228:2 **basis** 226:10 Bates 233:10 **BEACH** 220:10 begins 233:17 234:4 **BEHALF** 220:3,9

belief 226:11

believe 226:2,15,16

233:9 241:14

Bernardo 244:5,22 245:1 best 230:25 **boat** 228:5 **bottom** 240:14 241:2,8,16,23 **box** 240:10 **Brandon** 222:16 225:15 229:21 242:9 **BRYAN** 221:4 222:2 244:8 245:4 245:16,21 **Bryce** 222:18 Buv 240:11 \mathbf{C} California 220:12 **called** 222:3 calls 223:19 224:19 226:4,5 229:1 231:10 237:10 careful 230:21 case 231:16 245:1 category 231:6 cause 244:16 **causing** 223:23 **caution** 234:12 certain 225:9 **CERTIFICATE** 244:1 245:3 certify 244:6,12,15 CHANGE/CORR... 245:7 **CHIARELLO** 220:4 City 219:19 **clear** 227:20 client 226:10 227:7 227:21 228:24 229:7 232:16 237:14 238:1 242:10,21 **column** 241:6 come 237:24 239:19 **coming** 232:16 233:1 237:14,15

Commission 219:1 220:3,5 221:1 communicates 224:6 communication 227:5 228:7,9,12 231:16 232:24 235:23,25 236:1 236:12 communications 226:9 227:7,10,15 227:20 234:13,23 235:2,10,17,20 236:10 companies 222:24 223:4,11,12,22 226:16 **company** 225:1,8,8 225:17,17 company's 225:7 **Compare** 241:9,15 competitive 225:2 **competitor** 224:8,16 224:25 225:11,16 225:25 229:24 230:4,12,17 231:9 231:23 competitor's 230:18 competitors 226:2,3 226:17 227:9,22 227:22 228:13,14 228:23,23 229:4,6 Complaint 232:25 233:6 241:19,22 242:1.3 concluded 243:7 **CONFIDENTIAL** 219:11 **confirm** 228:6,7 236:13 confirmation 236:24 consider 231:2

242:9

considered 242:21

constitutes 227:14

contact 230:4,12,17

231:25 232:6,8 contacted 237:24 contacting 229:10 229:10,18,19,23 Contacts 219:4 220:9 223:6,14,24 226:17 228:14 230:3,12 232:17 232:21 234:18,25 235:18 239:2,5,9 239:13,22 240:2 240:11 241:8 242:20 245:1 contents 245:5 **context** 231:15 copied 225:24 copy 244:13 **copying** 222:17 **correct** 233:23 239:17 244:11 245:18 corrections 245:5 245:15 correctly 223:1 228:1 counsel 222:7 232:14 234:9 236:15 240:2 **COUNTY 244:4 court** 222:4 **Craven** 222:18 CSR 244:22 **CX-1125** 221:10 233:11,12 236:19 CX0078 229:9 231:25 240:6 CX1125 240:24 CXOO78 222:11 232:10 233:3 239:12,16 242:14 D **D** 221:2 **D.C** 220:6 **D09372** 219:3

Dan 220:4 222:9

226:12

Joe 242:25 joining 222:10

[247]

Dansie 222:17,23	due 229:4	Floor 220
224:5 225:20	duly 244:8	follows 22
229:9,23 231:25		foregoing
242:9,17	E	form 223
date 235:24 245:2	E 221:2,8	224:11,
dated 222:19 234:7	earlier 242:8	229:13
David 222:17 236:3	either 242:24	231:19
242:24	email 222:16,19	237:4 2
day 244:18 245:23	225:24 229:8	forth 244
dba 240:21	233:5 234:3,6,7,8	foundation
DECLARE 245:4	234:10,15 236:20	228:25
245:16	238:25 240:6,10	232:1 2
decline 236:16	242:17	240:22
DeGidio 234:8	employee 230:3,11	framed 2
236:20,22 237:25	234:25 235:18	230:23
deposition 242:15	employees 235:4,12	FTC 222:
243:7 244:9	ends 233:16	further 2
description 236:8	engine 223:5 224:2	244:12,
236:11	229:25 230:19	
desire 227:4 234:20	engines 222:25	
detail 236:24 238:15	223:13	G 221:4 2
determine 231:13	exact 231:4	245:16,
directed 233:15	examination 221:5	gchiarell
directing 236:21	221:6 222:3,7	220:7
direction 229:20	240:2	general 2
242:8,11,22	examined 222:4	236:10
directly 233:4	244:7	getting 2
disclose 234:12	Exhibit 233:12	give 233:
236:12	240:6,24 242:14	Given 22
disclosure 227:14	experience 226:25	236:15
discuss 232:16,19	expressly 232:7	giving 24
236:5	extent 226:7 230:25	Good 222
discussing 225:23	236:1	Google 2
236:20 241:25		239:1
discussion 226:12	F	Grand 22
228:19 229:5,7	failed 239:4	Great 23
,	fair 224:2,3 225:3	greater 2
236:3	227:11 229:11	GREGO
discussions 231:22	236:18 239:5	gregory.
displayed 233:18	fall 231:6	220:13
dmatheson@ftc.gov	Federal 219:1 220:3	grounds
220:7	220:5 221:1	GUSTA
document 222:13,15	felt 237:16	guys 243:
222:16 224:11,14	filed 239:9,14	guys 243
233:9,14,18,19,21	filing 244:14	
237:4 238:14	first 222:22 224:4	H 221:8
239:8,22 242:3	239:7 242:15	HAND 24
doing 231:15	437.1 444.13	

	_
Floor 220:11	happy 240:1
follows 222:5	Hart 219:17
foregoing 245:4,18	health 225:9,18
form 223:9,19	hearing 219:25
224:11,19 225:4	Holland 219:17
229:13 230:24	nollana 219:17
	I
231:19 232:2	identified 236:3
237:4 238:5,12	identifies 223:4
forth 244:11	identify 235:22,24
foundation 226:5	237:14
228:25 230:24	= 1 1
232:1 237:9	impact 227:5
240:22 242:2	impacted 227:21
framed 227:13	228:13,23
230:23	important 224:7
FTC 222:7	impression 223:14
further 242:5 243:1	223:22 224:21
244:12,15	226:23
	improper 223:8,18
G	224:10,18 225:4
G 221:4 244:8 245:4	229:12 230:24
245:16,21	231:18 232:2
gchiarello@ftc.gov	237:3 238:4
220:7	improperly 226:4
general 229:3 236:8	independent 242:16
236:10	individuals 225:24
getting 230:6	influenced 227:8
give 233:24 236:7	234:20
Given 226:12	inform 234:15
236:15	information 227:24
giving 242:10	228:24 230:21,22
Good 222:9	238:7,16
Google 234:17	instance 238:3
239:1	instances 238:11
Grand 220:11	instruct 227:12,16
Great 234:3	instruction 227:18
greater 225:2	230:3,6
GREGORY 220:10	instructions 226:19
gregory.stone@m	226:21 230:11
220:13	intended 225:15
grounds 227:13	interested 244:16
GUSTAV 220:4	investigational
guys 243:6	219:23
Gu ys 2 13.0	
Н	J
H 221:8	January 219:14
HAND 244:18	244:10,18 245:2

JULIAN 220:10 julian.beach@mt... 220:13 JustLenses.com 240:18,21 K kin 244:15 know 224:20 225:15 226:22 231:4 232:4,7 236:13 238:7 245:5 L lacks 226:5 228:25 230:24 232:1 237:9 **Lake** 219:19 244:4 larger 224:25 225:18 **Larson** 222:18 **LAWS** 245:17,17 **lawsuit** 239:14 legal 242:11,23 **Lens.com** 224:6,16 229:10,15,19 231:25 232:14,25 233:7 234:9,15,25 235:4,13 238:19 239:4,9,14,17,23 240:19,21 lenses 241:9 LensWorld 224:17 229:10,19 **limited** 232:6 link 240:8 **Links** 241:7 Lisa 244:5,22 245:1 list 222:24 223:4 229:15 **litigation** 239:17,23 little 228:9 LiveNote 228:2 **LLP** 220:11 long 233:13,19,21

[248]

3.6
Los 220:12
241:23
looking 239:12
<i>'</i>
240:5,23 241:5
look 223:21 233:24
1 1 222 21 222 24

\mathbf{M} **Main** 219:18 mark 233:11 **MARKED** 233:12 Matheson 220:4 221:5 222:8,10 223:16 224:1,15 224:23 225:10,20 226:15 227:2,19 228:4,20 229:8,17 230:9 231:7,24 232:9 233:13 234:19 235:16 236:5,18 237:7,17 238:9,17 239:25 240:22 241:18 242:2 243:3 244:14 matter 219:2,23 mean 223:3,11,17 224:2,16,24 225:6 225:11 231:5,25 237:21

223:22 meant 224:21 225:21,25 228:6 mentioned 233:6 **message** 236:23 **MICHAEL** 220:10 mind 237:8,13 minute 228:16 **moment** 240:1 242:6 Montclair 242:25

means 223:11,14,14

multiples 231:5 Munger 220:11 N

N 221:2 named 244:10 **nature** 229:4 necessary 238:11 need 226:19,20 231:13 233:14 236:7,13 new 222:24 **Notary** 244:6 noted 245:6 notice 219:25 notifying 233:1 number 221:9 231:4 233:10

0

o0o- 243:8 **object** 230:23 231:18 241:18 **Objection** 223:8,18 224:10,18 225:4 225:12 226:4 228:25 229:12 231:10 232:1 237:3,9 238:4,12 238:21 240:22 242:2 objections 226:18 226:21 occasions 229:22 230:16 offender 224:7 **OFFICIAL** 244:18 Okav 223:10 224:23 225:14 226:18

227:2,19 230:14 231:3,21 233:9,17 234:2 236:18,19 240:7 241:1,4 **Olson** 220:11 online 226:25 240:11 241:9

opinion 225:7 226:5 opinions 226:8

optometrists 226:25 **ORDER** 219:11 ordering 241:9

organizations 232:7 **outcome** 244:17

overbroad 230:24

P **P** 220:4,10 page 221:3,9 223:5 224:2 229:25 230:19 233:15,19 234:2,4 236:19 239:7 240:24 241:1,6,22,24 **PAGE-LINE** 245:7 pages 244:11 paragraph 224:5 236:22 241:21 242:1 part 239:16,19 particular 229:24 241:24 242:23 **parties** 244:16 **PENALTIES** 245:17 **perform** 242:22 **period** 236:4 **PERJURY** 245:17 **person** 235:22 **place** 244:10 plan 236:25 238:15 planned 238:8 **please** 222:11 226:13 233:11,25 235:8 **portion** 240:9 possible 238:6 possibly 225:1 Pratt 221:4 222:2,9 227:17 228:16 230:20 231:13 233:14 234:11 235:21 236:8 243:4 244:8 245:4 245:16,21

prices 241:9,15 **prior** 227:25 242:14 244:7 **prioritize** 229:9,15 229:18,23 privilege 234:21 236:17 privileged 226:9 227:4,15,24 228:7 228:8 230:7,21,22 231:2,15 234:12 236:1,12 **probably** 230:15 produce 238:3,10 **produced** 238:10 239:22 **product** 225:16 226:9 **Professional** 244:5 **prompt** 237:18,21 protect 227:4 234:20 protection 227:11 **PROTECTIVE** 219:11 **provide** 238:7 242:12 **provided** 226:10 238:16 provides 225:16 providing 242:21 pry 228:8 **Public** 244:6

pull 222:11 purposes 242:10,21 pursuant 219:11,25

0

question 226:13,15 227:13 228:1,10 230:13,23 231:11 231:18,19 233:15 233:22 234:13,20 235:9,22 241:18 **questions** 242:6,7

243:2

quote 224:6 236:23

R

ranging 230:14 reached 232:14 read 223:1,2,10 224:9.13 229:14 232:5 234:2 235:7 237:1.2.6 241:12 241:12 244:14 245:5 reading 232:4 234:2

244:13 **really** 225:7

reask 226:13 **REASON 245:7** recall 224:21 225:23

226:1 227:2 228:1 228:18,20 229:20 229:22 230:1,2 231:21 232:23,24 233:4 234:23

235:2,5,10,16,20 235:23 236:2

237:12 receipt 236:24

received 228:24 230:7,11

receiving 230:2 232:10 233:3

242:17

recognize 222:13 233:18 234:3

recollection 225:22 228:3 229:3 232:11.13 237:23 239:6,8,21,24 242:17

referred 241:25 referring 238:18 **refers** 223:4

record 239:17,19

reflects 245:6 Registered 244:5 **related** 233:22

234:18,25 239:2 240:18

remedy 236:25

preceding 241:24

prevent 232:20

previous 237:17

244:11

237:15

238:10

[249]

238:17	S 221:8	size 225:9,19	239:20	Tony 234:8
removed 238:1	S.W 220:5	somebody 231:12	sworn 222:4 244:8	top 229:16 240:10
repeat 226:19,21	Salt 219:19 244:4	sorry 235:7 242:6		241:22
reply 236:23	salutation 222:23	sort 228:2	T	Trade 219:1 220:3,5
reporter 222:4	satisfied 239:5	South 219:18	T 221:8	221:1
235:7,9 244:6	save 241:11,14,15	220:11	take 227:18 229:20	trademark 223:6
245:1	says 223:12 229:14	speak 231:4	232:9,20 233:2,14	232:22 238:20
REPORTER'S	232:5 234:14	speaks 224:11 237:4	236:25 238:2,8,15	trademarks 222:25
244:1	238:14 240:15	241:19 242:3	239:4 240:5 242:8	223:12,13,15,17
represented 239:13	241:8,14	specific 228:18	taken 237:19,22	223:25 239:3
represents 222:23	screenshot 232:18	229:5 231:22	244:9 245:2	transcribed 244:10
request 229:18	SEAL 244:18	232:23 237:13	Tel 220:6,12	transcript 244:13
230:17 232:23	search 222:25 223:5	speculation 223:19	tell 244:8	245:5,5,6
244:13	223:6,13,24 224:2	224:19 226:6	term 223:7	transcription
requesting 242:22	229:25 230:19	229:1 231:11	terms 232:22 234:17	244:11
requests 231:8	232:17 234:17,18	237:10	234:18 238:20	triggered 234:17
resolving 237:19	240:9 241:5	speech 228:9	239:2	239:2
responding 235:21	searches 232:21	sponsored 223:23	testified 222:5	true 244:10 245:18
response 223:6,24	238:20	234:16 239:1	testify 223:20	truly 245:6
232:21 233:4	second 224:5 233:24	240:8 241:7	testimony 226:5	truth 244:8,8,8
234:13,16,19	236:21,21	ss 244:3	244:11 245:6	trying 228:5,6,8
238:20	see 222:21 228:10	starts 240:10	text 242:1	turn 224:4 239:7
responses 233:2	240:12,13,16	State 244:3,6 245:17	Thank 222:10	240:1,24
result 232:10 238:3	241:7,20	states 237:18 245:17	235:14 240:5	turning 222:22
238:10	sending 222:24	stenotype 244:10	243:1,2,6	
results 223:5 224:2	sent 234:15 244:13	Stone 220:10 221:6	Thanks 243:4	<u>U</u>
229:25 230:19	sentence 222:23	223:8,18 224:10	thereof 244:17	understand 223:3
240:9 241:5	224:5 236:22	224:18 225:4,12	245:5	224:24 225:10
retailers 227:1	237:17	226:4,18 227:12	thing 234:1	226:14 228:5
return 244:14	services 242:11,23	227:23 228:15,25	think 223:13 224:13	229:17 231:24
reveal 230:22	session 242:15	229:12 230:5,20	226:14,20 227:23	233:23
231:16 235:25	set 244:11	231:10 232:1	227:25 228:15	understanding
236:11	showing 234:16	234:11 235:6,14	230:14,20 236:10	223:20 229:4,6
revealing 226:8	238:25 240:9	235:21 236:7	238:6	230:10 238:24
227:9 231:1 236:9	shown 232:17 241:6	237:3,9 238:4,12	thinking 226:22	239:18 240:20
reveals 230:21	242:14,15	238:21 240:1,4,23	threat 225:2,7	understood 224:15
review 244:13	side 240:9	241:21 242:5	threaten 227:10	236:2
right 222:19 224:9	sign 244:14	243:1 244:13	Thursday 219:14	UNITED 245:17
224:14 237:1	Signed 245:23	Street 219:18 220:5	time 226:11 232:6	URL 241:16
239:14	similar 225:16	strike 227:3 228:9	232:25 233:14	Utah 219:19 244:3,6
right-hand 240:8	Simple 241:8	228:21 239:12	235:24 236:3	245:17
241:6	sit 224:20,24 225:15	subject 231:19	243:2,4	\mathbf{V}
Roy 242:25	226:22 229:2	substance 230:6	times 231:5	various 230:14
RPR 244:22	230:1 238:23	235:25 236:9,11	today 224:24 226:22 229:2 232:5	234:18
Rule 244:13	situation 236:25	suggests 229:9	238:23 242:16	viability 231:8,22
S	238:18,18,24	suit 239:9	Tolles 220:11	viable 224:7,16,24
situations 237:19		sure 232:5 234:1,14	101165 220.11	14010 22 1.7,10,27
	•	•	•	<u> </u>

[250]

	1	
224:25 225:8,11	Zeidner 222:17	3
225:17,24 226:3	236:3,6 242:24,25	30(e) 244:13
226:17 227:9,22		326-2435 220:6
228:13,23 229:6	0	355 220:11
view 227:8,21	1	35th 220:11
228:13,22	1 900 210:4 220 0	
	1-800 219:4 220:9	4
<u>W</u>	223:6,14,24	400 220:5
W 242:5	226:17 228:14	
wanted 238:2,9	230:3,12 232:17	5
Washington 220:6	232:21 234:18,25	5 219:14 244:10
way 240:18	235:18 238:20	245:2
withheld 227:25	239:2,5,8,13,22	
witness 220:9 221:3	240:2 241:8	6
223:10,21 224:13	242:20 245:1	683-9255 220:12
224:20 225:6,14	1-8OOF_00008960	6th 244:18
226:12,20 227:12	233:19 234:4	7
227:18 228:18	1-8OOF_0008879	
229:2,14 230:8	233:10	7th 220:5
231:3,21 232:4	11:00 219:25	8
234:14 235:15	11:34 243:7	84101 219:19
236:2,15 237:6,12	16 234:24	8885 240:25 241:23
238:6,14,23 240:3	16th 234:7 235:3,11	8960 233:22
241:20 242:4	235:17	8960 233:16
243:6 244:7,14,18	1800 240:10	8991 233:20
245:3,4	2	8997 233:10
word 241:12	20024 220:6	0771 233.10
words 231:13,14	20024 220:0 2005 230:15	9
242:9	2005 230:15 2007 222:20 226:3	9 222:20 234:24
work 226:9 229:5		90071 220:12
worked 237:25	226:17,23 227:22	9th 235:3,11,17
write 234:10	228:12,21,22	200.0,11,17
www.JustLenses	234:8,24,24 235:3	
240:15 241:17	235:12,18 239:10	
	239:14 242:18,20	
X X	2008 230:15	
X 221:2,8	2009 230:15	
Y	2017 219:14 244:10	
	244:18 245:2,23	
Yahoo 234:17 239:1	202 220:6	
yeah 223:21 224:3	21 241:22 242:1	
224:13 225:6,14	213 220:12	
226:23 228:4	2200 219:18	
236:15 237:12	222 219:18 221:5	
238:23	233 221:10	
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	240 221:6	

EXHIBIT B

In the Matter of:

1-800 Contacts

February 8, 2017 Mark Miller - Confidential

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

			Τ		
1	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	1	,		3
1 2 3	INDEX MARK MILLER	PAGE	1 2	FEDERAL TRADE COM	MISSION
4 5	Examination by Mr. Chiarello Examination by Mr. Stone	5 164	3 4	IN THE MATTER OF: : F	ile No. 141-0200
6 7	Further Examination by Mr. Chiarello Further Examination by Mr. Stone	221 250	5	: 1-800 CONTACTS :	
8 9	EXHIBITS NUMBER PAGE			:	
10 11	RX0250		6 7		
12	RX0252		8 9		
13	RX0254			Wednesda	y, February 8, 2016
14	RX0256		10		
15	RX0258		12	Holland	
16	RX0260		12		h Main Street e City, Utah
17	RX0262 207 RX0263 209		13 14		
18	RX0264		15	Deposition of Mark Miller was ta	
19	RX0266		16 17	matter, pursuant to notice, at 8	:46 a.m.
20 21	CX310		18 19		
22	CX314		20		
23	CX317		21 22		
24	CX320		23		
25	CX322 85		24 25		
		2	+		4
		2	١.	ADDEADANGEG.	4
1 2	E X H I B I T S (Continue NUMBER	PAGE	1 2	APPEARANCES:	
3	CX323 CX324	83 49	3	ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL TRADE	COMMISSION:
4	CX325	101 91	4	GUSTAV P. CHIARELLO NATHANIEL HOPKIN	
5	CX638	121 141	5	Federal Trade Commission 400 7th Street, S.W.	
6	CX709 CX796	183 160	6	Washington, D.C. 20024 Tel: (202) 326-2435	
7	CX799 CX827	149 138	7	gchiarello@ftc.gov nhopkin@ftc.gov	
8	CX828 CX1071	138 124	8	ON BEHALF OF 1-800 CONTACTS AN	D THE WITNESS:
9	CX1347	11	9	GREGORY P. STONE	
10	CX1429 CX1430	7 36	10	JULIAN MICHAEL BEACH Munger, Tolles & Olson L	LP
11	CX1441 CX1442	115 12	11	355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California	35th Floor
12	CX1468 CX1470	149 141	12	(213) 683-9255 Gregory.Stone@mto.com	-
13 14	* * *		13 14	Julian.Beach@mto.com	
15 16			15 16		
17 18			17		
19			18 19		
20 21			20 21		
22 23			22 23		
24 25			24 25		
-					

5 1 Q. Is there any other reason that you cannot Thereupon, 1 2 2 testify truthfully today? MARK MILLER 3 was called for examination and, after having been 3 A. No. 4 sworn by the notary, was examined and testified as 4 Q. Who is representing you here today? 5 A. Munger, Tolles attorneys here, Greg and 5 follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE FTC 6 6 Julian. 7 7 BY MR. CHIARELLO: MR. CHIARELLO: And, Counsel, you also 8 Q. Would you please state your name for the 8 represent 1-800 Contacts? 9 record. 9 MR. STONE: Yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is 1-800 Contacts 10 A. Mark Miller. 11 Q. Mr. Miller, my name is Gus Chiarello, and paying for your appearance here today? 11 I'm here on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission. A. They are not paying me, no. 12 12 13 Also on behalf is --13 Q. Do you still -- do you represent 14 MR. HOPKIN: Nate Hopkin. 1-800 Contacts as an attorney? 14 MR. CHIARELLO: And, Counsel, would you --15 15 A. They are still a client of Holland & Hart, MR. STONE: Gregory Stone of Munger, 16 16 Tolles & Olson on behalf of 1-800 Contacts and also Q. I'm going to give you an exhibit premarked 17 17 CX1429 --18 on behalf of the witness. 18 MR. BEACH: Julian Beach of Munger, Tolles 19 19 (Exhibit CX1429 was identified.) 20 & Olson, also on behalf of the witness. 20 Q. -- and ask you to review it. And my first 21 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Mr. Miller, have you 21 question is, what is this? 22 been deposed before? A. Well, it looks like my bio that Holland & 22 23 A. No. 23 Hart has up on their website. 24 Q. Have you ever been to a deposition? 24 Q. As you look at it today, is it accurate as 25 A. Yes. Several. 25 to representing your educational background? 8 1 Q. You know we're making a record of today's 1 A. Well, let me see here. Where's the 2 hearing. Please provide verbal answers to my 2 educational background? 3 questions. Please avoid nodding and gestures which 3 Q. Oh. To the left under your picture. 4 4 can't be recorded. A. 5 5 Do you understand? Q. Okay. And you're admitted to the bar in 6 Utah? 6 A. Right. 7 7 Q. To get the record clear, let's avoid Α. 8 8 talking over each other. Please wait for me to Q. Are you admitted to any other state bars? 9 9 finish my question before answering, and I'll try to A. I don't -- I don't believe so. 10 wait for you to finish your answer before I start my 10 Q. Have you ever applied for admission to any next question. 11 11 other state bars? 12 A. Sounds great. 12 A. I'm pausing because I have this vague 13 Q. If you don't understand my question or 13 recollection that I may have done some sort of it's not clear, please let me know and I'll clarify. reciprocity application once. But I might have only 14 14 And we are scheduled to take breaks today. 15 been thinking about that. Whether I was admitted to 15 If you need to take a break at any time, please let D.C. or not through reciprocity, I don't recall. I 16 16 me know. If there's a question pending, please 17 17 don't think so. answer the question before we take the break. 18 18 Q. Do you presently pay dues to any other 19 19 A. Okay. state --20 Q. And you understand you're under oath? 20 A. No. 21 21 Q. -- bar besides the Utah State Bar? A. No, I don't. 22 Q. Are you taking any medication that would 22 23 interfere with your ability to testify truthfully 23 Q. Did you meet with 1-800 Contacts' counsel today? 24 before today's deposition? 24 25 25 A. Yes. A. No.

11 A. I would do IP litigation matters for them. 1 Q. Who did you meet with? 1 2 A. Greg Stone and Julian -- is it Beach? 2 So trademark matters, patent infringement matters. MR. BEACH: That's right. 3 There's some copyright cases. Just a number of 3 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) When did you meet with 4 4 things like that. 5 5 Q. I'm handing you an exhibit marked CX1347. them? 6 (Exhibit CX1347 was identified.) 6 A. Yesterday. 7 Q. This is Complaint Counsel's Notice of 7 Q. And any other times before yesterday? 8 Deposition to 1-800 Contacts, Inc. Have you seen 8 A. I have met Greg before, I don't recall how 9 9 long ago, just briefly. this document before? Q. What did you discuss with counsel 10 10 A. Yeah, I think I have seen this before. yesterday? 11 Q. It's our understanding that you're here to 11 testify on behalf of 1-800 Contacts pursuant to the 12 MR. STONE: Instruct the witness not to 12 answer on the grounds of attorney-client privilege. 13 Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 13 THE WITNESS: I'll follow that Section 3.33(a) and (c)(1), and specifically to 14 14 15 the -- these topics identified below. 15 instruction. The first one is No. 1. O. (By Mr. Chiarello) Other than any 16 16 Do you see that? 17 discussions with outside counsel, did you discuss 17 18 this deposition with anybody else? 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 A. No, other than the fact that I am having a 19 Q. Are you prepared to testify as to that 20 deposition. But substantively, no. 20 topic today? 21 Q. Who did you discuss the fact that you're 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. The second topic I understand you're having --23 A. Well, just my partners here. I've 23 testifying to is No. 2. I'll give you a second to mentioned I'm being deposed today. read that if you need to. But are you prepared to 24 24 25 testify to that topic today? 25 Q. Did you discuss this matter with anyone 10 12 A. I believe so, yes. 1 else besides counsel? 1 2 A. When you say "this matter," what do you 2 Q. And the third topic area I understand 3 mean? Just the case in general? 3 you're to testify to is No. 5, which is on page 2. Q. This case in general, yes. 4 4 Do you see that? 5 A. Well, I mean, I've discussed the case with 5 MR. STONE: I don't know that that's the Bryan Pratt before. I may have mentioned some 6 6 case. 7 aspects of the case with some other of my partners 7 THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall 8 here. That's about it. 8 discussing topic 5. 9 O. Did vou discuss it with any employees of 9 MR. CHIARELLO: Let's go off the record 10 1-800 Contacts? 10 for a moment. 11 A. Yeah. I probably -- over the years I (A discussion was held off the record.) 11 12 probably have. But that would be privileged MR. CHIARELLO: We just had a conversation 12 13 communication, I would think. off the record, and counsel indicates that Mr. Miller 13 Q. Have you discussed this present FTC action 14 14 was not designated to testify as to No. 5. with any individuals at 1-800 Contacts? 15 15 And just on the record, we'll take this A. I don't believe I have. 16 matter up later in the day and resolve it one way or 16 Q. And what is your current relationship with 17 17 the other. 18 1-800 Contacts? 18 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I'm handing you an A. They are a client of the firm, Holland & 19 19 exhibit marked CX1442 --20 Hart, so we still represent them in certain matters. 20 (Exhibit CX1442 was identified.) 21 I don't have any current cases I'm handling for them, 21 Q. -- which is entitled Respondent's 22 so I'm not currently actively representing them in 22 Preliminary Witness List, and I draw your attention 23 any matter. So --23 to page 4, under Roman numeral II, No. 1, which 24 Q. In what types of matters did you represent 24 identifies you, Mr. Miller. 1-800 Contacts? 25 25 Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Are you prepared to testify today on the topics discussed and described herein in this paragraph Roman numeral No. II, No. 1?

A. Yeah. I have, you know, a knowledge and understanding that I can testify in that -- in this category of information.

Q. You can set that aside. I'm going to give you a document marked CX310 and also CX311. These are two settlement agreements with different parties, both dated in 2004.

(Exhibits CX310 and CX311 were identified.)

Q. Okay. My first questions are going to focus on CX310.

A. Okay.

Q. Have you seen this document before?

A. Yeah, I believe I have.

Q. What is it?

A. Looks like a settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Coastal Contacts from 2004.

Q. Did you represent 1-800 Contacts in the -- when it undertook this settlement agreement?

A. No. I was not part of this case or the negotiation of this agreement at all.

Q. If you would please turn to page

was there was software out there available that would -- if you installed it on a computer and you rolled over a certain term on a website or on a document, you would roll over a certain word and then an advertisement would just pop up over the top of whatever you're looking at relating to that word.

So my understanding of a pop-up advertisement is that it's an advertisement that actually comes up over the top of whatever screen you're looking at in response to clicking on a certain word or typing in a certain word or something like that.

Q. If you would advance down to the next page and to paragraph c. The header above says "Specifically, the Prohibited Acts include," colon.

And then letter c reads, "using the other Party's URLs, trademarks, or brand name, as listed on Exhibit 2 hereto, to target or trigger the appearance or delivery of Pop-Up Advertisements on top of, over, underneath, or behind, or in any way that obstructs the on-screen view of the other Party's Websites."

Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. What does the "using" -- term "using" mean here?

CX310-002. Under the third paragraph it says "Prohibited Acts."

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And the third line -- well, I'll read into the record the first sentence.

It says, "from the Effective Date of this Agreement, each Party, its subsidiaries, agents, servants, employees, officers, and other entities controlled by such Party mutually agree to refrain from and not to cause in the future any other entity to engage in Internet advertising that causes or displays advertisements to appear on top of, over, underneath or behind the other Party's websites" -- there's a parentheses in the middle that says "hereafter 'Pop-Up Advertisements'" -- "as listed in Exhibit 2 hereto."

And then it says, "or to modify or alter the other Party's Restricted Websites as described more fully below," period. And I skipped over the two parentheses there.

What I'm wondering is, what are pop-up -if you could define what this means, particularly to what pop-up advertisements are.

A. Well, my understanding -- I wasn't part of this case. Back in that time frame, my understanding

1 A. In c?

MR. STONE: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

But you can answer as to your understanding.

THE WITNESS: Just the word "using" in paragraph c, the very first word?

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Yeah. What does it mean to use the other party's URLs, trademarks, or brand name?

A. I think it just means what it says, to use their trademark or brand name. I don't understand what you're trying to ask there. I think it's pretty clear.

Q. Okay. In what ways would one use another party's URL, trademark, or brand name to target or trigger the appearance of delivery, or delivery of a pop-up ad?

A. Well, they would -- they would implement, you know, software procedures or, I don't know, some sort of technical device that would cause a pop-up ad to come up in response to somebody -- I mean, with pop-up ads it could be in response to somebody clicking on the trademark or somebody typing in the trademark. That's how they would use it to trigger

their ads; they would implement some sort of technical feature that would cause their pop-up advertisement to come up when the user interacts with the trademark somehow. Whether it's already on the screen and they click it or whether they type it in, that's how you would use it.

Q. If you look at letter d below, it says, "causing a Party's website or Internet advertisement to appear in response to any Internet search for the other Party's brand name, trademarks, or URL but not through" -- "but not through a search employing Generic or Descriptive Terms."

Do you see where I read that?

A. Yeah.

Q. What does that mean?

A. Well, you know, I think that one's pretty clear too. It's, you know, causing your Internet advertisement to come up when somebody searches for the other party's trademark, but doesn't -- you know, but not through just a generic or descriptive term search, but somebody searches for that trademark as a source and then using that search to trigger the appearance of your Internet advertisement. That's what that means.

MR. CHIARELLO: Could you read back -- I'm

ambiguous. Improper as to form. And calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Nobody can know exactly what's in every user's head. But when -- I think it's pretty intuitive and common sense that if somebody goes into Google and types in somebody's trademark, they're searching for that brand.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And why is it intuitive and common sense?

MR. STONE: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: I just think it is. If I
want to -- if I want to find Delta Airlines, I go in
and I type in "Delta Airlines" because I'm looking
for Delta Airlines. That's just how search engines
work. I mean, I think it's pretty intuitive. I know
I've read a lot of -- there's been scholarly articles
and there's been articles written for years just
about search engines, how they work, how users
interact with them. And I think that's generally
what a user's thinking.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) But I take from your answer that -- I believe you said you can't know what is in someone's head. Is that -- I don't want to misstate your testimony.

A. Well, you can -- you can infer what

sorry.

Q. Your -- your phrase, I'm reading back: But somebody searches for that trademark as a source and using that search to trigger the appearance of your Internet ad.

Unraveling that a little bit, when you say "but somebody searches for that trademark," what do you mean by "searches for"?

A. They type in the trademark. They type in "1-800 Contacts" or they type in "Vision Direct," or in this case they type in "Coastal Contacts." That -- if that's that party's trademark or brand name and somebody types into a search engine that trademark or brand name, they're searching for that source.

And so what this means is in the prohibited acts, the purpose of this provision appears to be not allowing either party to trigger the appearance of their advertisements when a user searches for the other party's brand name or trademark.

- Q. How -- how does a party know what a user is searching for when they enter a trademark into the search query?
 - A. Well --

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and

someone's -- what's in someone's head by what they're searching for. You know, if somebody types in "1800Contacts," I know they're not searching for, you know, microwave ovens, and I know they're not searching for Vision Direct and I know they're not searching for Walgreens, or else they would have typed in "Vision Direct" or "Walgreens" or "microwave ovens." So you can infer what they're likely thinking.

Q. Is anywhere in agreement that term -- is it defined in the agreement what the term "for" would mean as far as searching for a party's brand name or trademark?

MR. STONE: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

THE WITNESS: I can't give you a definition for the word "for." I think it's pretty clear.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And I know you testified earlier that you weren't part of the discussions in this agreement, but are you aware of discussions between the parties as to what that term would mean?
- A. I'm not aware of any discussions that specifically discussed the meaning of the word "for,"

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

21

because to include misspellings, common misspellings of the trademark that would indicate the user is searching for that brand name even though they misspelled it slightly.

And so they started adding that into the attached exhibit. It wasn't part of this early agreement, but the intent and the mindset was the same. They just started addressing it more, I guess, specifically later on.

- Q. And you're talking about settlement agreements that they entered into after this one?
- A. Subsequently, yes. With other parties over similar issues.
- Q. But this one, you're not aware of any defined brand names or trademarks as to what this agreement says?
- A. No. I don't -- I'm not aware of it listing them out in an exhibit specifically other than just on that page it mentions the party's brand name trademarks -- and trademarks. So just can figure those out without it being listed, I guess.
- Q. Okay. You can set that exhibit aside for now. If you would please look at CX311 --
 - A. Okay.
 - O. -- which has the title "Settlement

no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Are you aware of any discussions related to any other terms in this settlement agreement?
- A. No. I can't off the top of my head think of any. I -- it may come to me later, but right now I can't think of any specific written negotiations or discussions that I've reviewed relating to this.
- Q. In the course of any of your representation with 1-800 Contacts, have you been involved with any modifications, or are you aware of any changes to this settlement agreement since -since the date it was enacted, October 29th, 2004?
- A. Of the Coastal Contacts settlement agreement?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. No. I know I've read it and considered it before, but I don't remember a modification to the Coastal Contacts settlement agreement.
- Q. If you would please turn to the back of the exhibit, Exhibit 2. And do you see where it says "Restricted Websites of 1-800 Contacts"?
- Q. And then below it, it says "Restricted Websites of Coastal Contacts"?
 - A. Right.

22

Q. Are you aware of any additions to the restrictions on this settlement agreement?

A. It's possible. I can't say for certain, but it's possible there may have been later on a request to add a website. I know with -- with other settlement agreements there was a time where the Glasses.com website was requested to be added to the list of some of the agreements. I don't know if this is one of them.

Q. I also noticed that this agreement also has recited websites but does not include brand names or trademarks listed. Are you aware if those terms were ever added to the settlement agreement?

A. I don't -- I'm not sure. I'm not sure if they were or not. I know that back in this time frame the evaluation on how to settle these trademark lawsuits was an evolving thing, and this is one of the early efforts. And these provisions in subsections d and e, I guess, one of them that you just read, are intended to just focus on parties' brand names and trademarks as -- as triggering -searches for the brand name or trademark as triggering Internet ads.

And I think as time progressed, they started adding specific trademark terms, probably Agreement," and it's dated June 24th, 2004. It appears to be between 1-800 Contacts and Vision Direct.

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

- O. Okav. If you would please look to page 4 and 5.
- A.
 - Q. And I'm -- 4 into 5. I'm looking at the prohibited acts.

Do you see those?

- A. Yeah. Which -- what are you asking? Which one?
 - Well, I'm just asking, do you see those?
 - Well, I see page 4.
 - Q. Okay. If you would please -- at the bottom of page 4 it reads "The Prohibited Acts include."

Do you see that?

- A. Oh. You know what, I was looking at the CX311, page 4. Sorry. The actual page 4.
 - Q. I'm sorry. Yes, page 4 of the agreement.
 - A. I gotcha.
 - O. Okav. Yes. It's CX003 at the bottom.
 - Yeah.

Q. And it says "The Prohibited Acts include." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Turn the page over to the next page --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. -- and where it says -- in letter e it says, "causing a Party's brand name, or link to the Party's Restricted Websites to appear as a listing in the search results page of an Internet search engine, when a user specifically searches for the other Party's brand name."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. And what does it mean, the term "specifically searches for the other party's brand name"?
- A. Well, the -- the purpose of this agreement and these type of settlement agreements 1-800 entered into is to restrict searches where the indication is the user is searching for that party, that party as a source. So when a user specifically searches for the other party's brand name, if somebody is searching for 1-800 Contacts, they -- that's what they search for. Or they search for Vision Direct. As opposed

representing 1-800 or any of the people involved would know when a user goes out to, say, Google or what was at the time MSN to conduct a search, what the searcher would specifically be searching for when they enter a brand name into a query?

A. Well, I think --

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. Calls for speculation.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: The intent -- I've read some communications relating to this agreement, and -- and the intent in reaching this agreement was to limit the appearance of your advertisements when somebody searches for your brand, types in "1800Contacts" or "Vision Direct." But, you know, that's about it.

So I think the use of "specifically searches for the other party's brand" is meant to limit it to searches where the search is for that brand rather than contact lenses in general or comparative understanding of the industry in general.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) What -- what communications did you read related to this settlement agreement?
- A. Well, I believe -- and, you know, this might be a memory quiz, so don't hold me to this, but

to somebody searching, you know, more in a comparative sense, we want to search for 1-800 Contacts versus Vision Direct or who's cheaper, or somebody doing a search like that that may include 1-800 Contacts in it. But that's not a specific search just for 1-800 Contacts; it's more of a comparative search.

So I believe the intent when it says "specifically searches for the other party's brand name" is they're trying to restrict or target searches where the user is searching for that party and that party's brand. And those are -- that's generally done because the party has some sort of goodwill or brand recognition that they've developed through their advertising and that leads a user to have the ability to know that I'm going to type in their brand name. I'm not just searching for contact lenses in general, I'm searching for a specific retailer because I know their name.

- Q. At the point when this agreement was entered -- and let me go back to establish the foundation. Did you participate in this settlement agreement?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - O. Do you know if 1-800 or the counsel

I believe there were some communications back in 2004 between 1-800's in-house counsel and Vision Direct's in-house counsel about this agreement, and they talked about how comparative advertising is not something that should be prohibited.

They talked about -- after the implementation of this, I think they talked about, you know, the best way to accomplish this goal of subsection e would be using negative keywords for each other's brand name. I think it was Vision Direct's suggestion is we're using a negative keyword for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks, and -- and we should have them do the same thing. That's the easiest way to comply with this and make sure we're not doing this.

So that indicates to me that the intent was if you just prevent the ad from coming up on searches for the brand name itself, that's it. Not just like a big -- a big phrase that may include the brand name, but for the brand name, that's what they're intending to do, and negative keywords could accomplish that. That's my understanding from reading those communications and reading this term.

- Q. What is a negative keyword?
- A. My understanding is a negative keyword is

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

31

32

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lenses.

29

1 understanding. I'm not, you know, a Google expert 2 and I don't draft their algorithms, but my 3 understanding is if you phrase match it, your ad will 4 come up when "contacts" is part of the phrase, but 5 other words can be there. 6

a term that you can implement in Google's AdWords program and maybe other search engines that will make it -- that will prevent your advertisement, your -your paid advertisement from coming up when somebody searches for that keyword, that negative keyword. So it's a way that the advertiser can control when their ads come up and when they don't come up. And that's so that they can -- it's -- they can target and -surgically target and carve out areas where they don't want their ad to come up that may be encompassed by their other settings.

When you broad match it your ad will come up when the search term relates to contacts, even if the word "contacts" isn't necessarily in there. If it relates to contact lenses or even sales contacts, you know, things like that could potentially be encompassed by broad-matching "contacts."

Q. Do you have an example you could share?

So that's my understanding of exact match, phrase match, and broad match. And, you know, broad match lets you easily target a big universe of searches with one term and then surgically carve out what you don't want with negative keywords. Alternatively, you can exact match 5,000 terms you're interested in relating to contact lenses individually, which is more of a hassle. So there's different ways you can accomplish the same goal.

A. Yeah, I can. I mean, I can give you one specific to this issue, 1-800 Contacts. If you -when you go into your Google AdWords account, Google has structured it -- and back in 2004 was actually changing their policies to make sure advertisers were the ones that could control when their ads came up so that Google could say, it's not on us, you guys have full control, here's all the buttons and levers you can push. One of those is you pick a keyword. Another one of those is you pick a match type. You can exact match it, you can phrase match it, you can broad match it. And by choosing the match type, you

Q. Is it fair to say under your understanding that "exact match" means to match to a user query? MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

And I would just say that this is an area

in which -- you're welcome to examine the witness as

you are, but this is outside his area of expertise

and not a topic on which he's been designated.

30

enter into, and those are the searches you're using to trigger your advertisement.

are choosing a subset of searches that a user will

And then within that group of searches you can go in and target and carve out things that would be encompassed by your match type that you don't want your ad to appear in. So if you broad match "contact lenses," that's going to encompass a bunch of stuff, including 1-800 Contacts, including Coastal Contacts, including the brand names of retailers. And then a negative keyword tool is one where you can go in and take out, surgically, those brand names you don't want to be encompassed by your broad match of contact

But you can still --Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) You can answer. You said "exact match," so I'm trying to understand what you mean by "exact match" from what your understanding is.

So there's just a lot of different ways to accomplish the same thing. You could exact match a thousand different keywords that you're interested

A. What is -- is exact match a user query? Is that what you said?

Q. What does "exact match" mean?

Q. Yeah. You said that if you pick exact match, then if the user types in exactly that keyword, then it will -- it will deliver an ad to that -- that -- the keyword as long as that's exactly what the user typed in. I'm trying to understand if vou were saving the keyword matches the query.

A. It means that you are targeting one -- the search of one term exactly, and your ad will only come up if the user types in that exact term and nothing else. If you exact match "contacts," your ad will never come up unless the only thing in the search bar is "contacts."

A. I believe -- I believe that's -- that's my understanding of exact matching the keyword would only deliver the ad if the user search exactly matched the keyword that you selected.

If you phrase match it -- this is my

Q. Okay. And back to this Vision Direct agreement. As it's written here, there's no provisions for negative keywords; is that correct?

MR. STONE: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if the word
"negative keyword" appears in this agreement. I
think subsection e, when it talks about each party is
not supposed to cause their websites to appear as a
listing in response to a user specifically searching
for the other party's brand names or trademarks, I
believe that's just an overarching -- under the
prohibited acts, negative keywords would be one tool
in which the parties understood they could implement
that prohibited act. But it doesn't say "negative

- Q. I'm handing you an exhibit marked CX313. (Exhibit CX313 was identified.)
- Q. And my first question, what is this?

A. This looks like the settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and EZ Contacts.

Q. Did you represent 1-800 Contacts when they entered into this agreement?

A. No, I did not.

keywords" that I can see in here.

Q. Would you please turn to paragraph 5 -- MR. STONE: Can we go off the record for one second?

MR. CHIARELLO: Yeah.

(A discussion was held off the record.)

THE WITNESS: Yeah, my understanding is that the -- the goal and intent and meaning of what the parties tried to accomplish when they had these trademark infringement issues and they wanted to prevent any confusion or problems with their trademarks, their goodwill, their brand names was just to make it so that when a user searches for the other party's brand, the -- their advertisements wouldn't be triggered by a search for the other party's brand. I think that's always been the goal. That's always been the intent.

And the way they implement it may have become more sophisticated over time, meaning, you know, maybe later agreements expressly put in negative keyword issues, but the use of negative keywords was always an available tool that was understood to be part of being able to accomplish the goal here of making sure that there wouldn't be any trademark infringement or consumer confusion when -- when somebody's searching for one brand name and they're seeing placement of a link to another website that they may think might be affiliated with or sponsored with the brand name they searched for.

So that is always the intent and goal, and that's what this subsection (A) seeks to accomplish.

Q. If you would please turn to paragraph 5(A) under "The Prohibited Acts include."

Do you see that at the bottom of the page?

A. Yeah. I'm just reading the first part of 5(A) first.

Okay.

- Q. And I'm going to ask you a similar question I asked you on the other two agreements as far as what -- what is meant by causing the party's website, Internet link, or Internet advertisement to appear in response to any Internet search for the party's brand name, trademarks or URLs.
 - A. Where are you reading that?
- Q. I'm -- I'm sorry. Paragraph (A), sub a under "The Prohibited Acts include."
- A. Okay. It -- it's the same concept. They don't -- they want to -- this is preventing each party from causing their advertisements to appear when a user searches for the other party's brand name or trademarks. Yeah, I think it's the same thing.
- Q. Has -- has the meaning for this changed over the years since this agreement was adopted?

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

You can answer.

And I don't think that's changed materially over the
 years.
 Okay. I'm handing you an exhibit mark

Q. Okay. I'm handing you an exhibit marked CX1430.

(Exhibit CX1430 was marked.)

Q. And I'll describe the exhibit as something we've created to help facilitate discussion today. And the first page represents a Google search page with the query "1-800 Contacts."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

Q. This is meant to be a screenshot of that -- it's a screenshot of that page. You're welcome to look through this if you'd like.

A. It doesn't look like it's a real screenshot because there's no content below it. I mean, I don't know how this was created, so --

- Q. I'll describe it to you. It's meant to be where the user has entered the query but has not hit "enter" yet.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. You see the Google name?
- A. Okay
 - Q. At the top in the left-hand corner it says "Google," and underneath it says "1-800 Contacts."

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

37 39 Do you see that on the first page? EZ Contacts agreement, so where it lists the 1 1 2 2 A. Sure. keywords. 3 3 Q. Where it says "User Query No. 1," we've Q. Uh-huh. 4 added that. Again, it's to facilitate discussion 4 A. So I don't think if you -- if you were today. And do you see underneath "1-800 Contacts" 5 implementing these negative keywords in Exhibit 3 for 5 6 1-800 Contacts, I don't think it would really there are two things, one that says "Google search" 6 7 7 preclude that search. But if later on it was on the left-hand side --8 8 A. Uh-huh. determined that this search was performed enough and 9 9 Q. -- and the second word says "I'm feeling there was indications that that's likely somebody lucky." 10 searching specifically for 1-800 Contacts that could 10 Do you see that? 11 lead to confusion, then there's a mechanism in the 11 12 A. Right. 12 agreement to request that that be added to the list 13 of keywords, this phrase "contact lenses 13 Q. Do you know what that means? 1-800 Contacts." 14 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation; 14 Q. Okay. In the phrase "contact lenses 1-800 calls for speculation. Not a topic on which this 15 15 Contacts," 1-800 Contacts is included in that query, 16 16 witness has been designated. right? Is that correct? 17 You can answer. 17 18 A. Sure. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know what that 19 Q. Okay. And you said if later it was 19 button does, how Google uses that button. I don't 20 know when they first started using that. So I really 20 determined that this search was performed enough and 21 there was indication that that's likely somebody 21 don't have any idea. 22 searching specifically for 1-800 Contacts that could 22 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) But going back to the 23 lead to confusion, what did you mean by that? 23 EZ Contacts settlement agreement where you're talking 24 Let me strike that. 24 about a search for another party's brand name, you 25 What did vou mean -- how would it be 25 see the query "1-800 Contacts." Is that what you 40 38 1 mean --1 discovered that -- how would it later be determined 2 That would be --2 that this search was performed enough that there was A. 3 -- by a search for another party's brand 3 indications that it's likely somebody searching --Q. somebody was searching specifically for 4 name? 4 That would be a search for 1-800 Contacts' 5 5 1-800 Contacts? A. 6 MR. STONE: You misstated his testimony 6 brand. 7 Q. And then if you would flip to Query No. 5. 7 slightly. I think he had those in the -- I think 8 8 those were two separate concepts that he included a A. 9 And do you see where the query reads 9 conjunctive "and" between. 10 "contact lenses 1-800 Contacts"? 10 But you can go ahead and answer. 11 A. Uh-huh. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I don't know O. Is that a search for another party's 12 12 how it would be determined. My point was just this 13 trademark or brand name? phrase -- when this says in the EZ Contacts 13 MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for agreement, subsection "a," causing your internet 14 14 speculation. Improper as to form. 15 15 advertisement to appear in response to any internet You can answer. search for the other Party's brand name, trademarks 16 16 17 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And by the limitation as listed in Exhibits 3 and 4. 17 18 on that question, I'm asking as it pertains to the So you go to Exhibits 3 and 4, it's the 18 19 EZ Contacts settlement agreement. 19 search for one of those. And -- and my understanding 20 A. Oh. I know it could potentially be one. 20 is this is not a search for something listed in 21 I don't think that search would necessarily have been 21 Exhibit 3. This is a search for something for a 22 prohibited by the EZ Contacts agreement. I think 22 different search query. 23 if ---23 So my -- my understanding would be if this O. What are you looking at here? 24 24 search query became something 1-800 Contacts wanted 25 A. I'm looking at Exhibit 3 to the 25 to be part of this agreement, there's a mechanism

they could have it added to Exhibit 3 if they wanted it to be. I don't think this search query is necessarily precluded by this agreement.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And for clarity: by "this search query is precluded by this agreement," does that mean EZ Contacts is -- you're saying is not precluded from delivering an ad in response to this?
- A. Yeah, I don't think they are necessarily precluded from delivering an ad when that's the search. I think if they just implemented, or if -- the express intent of this agreement, as I read it, is if somebody types in these searches, these search queries listed on Exhibit 3 or 4 for the websites specifically, that is what they are not allowed to serve up an ad for, and that's not what query No. 5 shows
- Q. If the query said -- and it's not written in No. 5 right here -- but the query says expressly, "I am looking for 1-800 Contacts," would they be able to deliver an ad to that query?

MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.

You can answer as best you know.

THE WITNESS: The problem with your hypothetical is these -- these agreements are written

MR. STONE: This particular version is missing Exhibit 1.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it does seem to go straight to Exhibit 2. Unless there's really not an Exhibit 1. Yeah, there should be. The proposed dismissal should be Exhibit 1.

MR. CHIARELLO: Well --

MR. STONE: That's fine. I just noted it.

MR. CHIARELLO: No, no, no. My questions are -- weren't going to focus on Exhibit 1.

MR. STONE: I know they weren't.

MR. CHIARELLO: But I noticed that the page sequence was at least sequentially on the Bates number. And, Counsel, I'm not sure if that's one of ours or one of your Bates numbers, but it's -- it is lacking Exhibit 1. It doesn't matter for our purposes here. And --

MR. STONE: I'm fine.

MR. CHIARELLO: -- this might be how it was produced to us.

MR. STONE: I just noted it.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And, Mr. Miller, what is this exhibit?

A. This looks like the settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Lensfast.

with a context of real-world understanding of what happens. And there's probably not a thought that somebody sits down to Google and says, "I am looking for 1-800 Contacts." Who's going to take the time to type out that sentence? If you're looking for Delta Airlines, have you ever typed in "I'm looking for Delta Airlines"? So based on the real-world operation of search engines, that's what they have in their mindset.

So could they put in Exhibit 3 thousands of iterations? They could, but they -- I believe the intent was let's put in the ones that are meaningful with relation to searching for our trademark and -- and navigational in the context of looking for us. And that's what we want to preclude.

Are there -- are there searches that could be looking for us that we're not expressly precluding here? Yes; but, you know, you don't want -- you don't have to preclude everything to get the protection of your trademark that you're trying to get and to avoid the consumer confusion you're trying to avoid.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I'm handing you an exhibit marked CX315.

(Exhibit CX315 was identified.)

1 Q. And did you participate in representing 2 1-800 Contacts in this -- in terms of resolution of 3 this dispute in this settlement agreement?

A. Yes.

Q. In what way did you participate in the -- in reaching this settlement agreement?

A. Well, I wasn't part of this case when it was originally filed; but sometime in 2009 when 1-800 Contacts became a Holland & Hart client, I took over this case and interacted with Lensfast counsel through some litigation and discovery issues and then resolving it through this settlement agreement.

Q. Were you involved in drafting this settlement agreement?

A. Yes, I believe I was.

Q. If you would please turn to page 3. I think in the paragraph that begins "The Prohibited Acts include" --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- in this Lensfast agreement, does the term "causing a Party's website, Internet link, or Internet advertisement to appear in response to any Internet search for the other Party's brand name, trademarks or URLs" -- is the definition there any different than in the previous example you talked

about with EZ Contacts and Coastal and Vision Direct?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. And the documents speak for themselves.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think the language says what it says. I think the intent is the same. So I don't know how to answer you any further than that.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Does the term "causing" -- strike that.

Does a party have to actually actively cause -- do something to cause their party's website or Internet link to appear in response to an Internet search query, or can they do something passively that would cause it to appear?

MR. STONE: Object.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I think that question actually came out vague.
 - A. I was going to say --
- Q. I object to the form of the question.

 Do they have to actually bid on the

 1-800 Contacts keyword, for example, to cause a

party's website or Internet link to appear in response to an Internet search for the other party's

brand name?

THE WITNESS: My limited experience with AdWords indicates that when you get into your AdWords account, like I said, you have all these tools. You can pick the keyword, you can pick the match type, and then you can use negative keywords. And there are areas in AdWords where you can determine -- for example, let's say you broad match a term. There are areas where you can analyze what that's doing and you can bring up a search query report, which actually shows the top user searches that were entered into the Google search engine that were being triggered by your broad matching of a keyword. So you can understand what search queries you are using via your broad match keyword to trigger your advertisement.

So, you know, an advertiser certainly could use or cause their ad to appear in response to 1-800 Contacts by broad matching "contacts," for example. And they would know they're doing that. It's not out of their control. It's -- it's right in their AdWords data. And they could stop it from happening by using a negative keyword for 1-800 Contacts in keeping the broad match in place.

So, yes, in my view, from my experience -- and I'm not a guru in how Google's algorithm works, but from my experience with AdWords, you can cause

- A. You know, I'm not sure how the bidding all works in Google. That was never my area of focus. Or choosing a keyword or selecting a keyword in the AdWords program. That's one way they can cause it. It's not the only way you can cause it.
- Q. Well, go back to CX1430 that I showed you before that has the query on the front of "1-800 Contacts," on the front -- first page.

A. Okay.

- Q. User Query 1. If Lensfast never bid on the term "1-800 Contacts," could they still cause their advertisement to appear in response to a search query such as this one on Internet User Query No. 1?
 - A. Absolutely.

MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for sort of a technical expertise about AdWords that is outside this witness's area of expertise. And I object that it calls for speculation.

But you can answer based on your understanding.

THE WITNESS: My understanding is absolutely you can.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) How? MR. STONE: Same objection.

You can still answer.

your ad to come up in response to a search like that User Query No. 1 without identifying 1-800 Contacts as the keyword itself.

Q. And is it fair to say the way you cause your ad to come up in response to a search like User Query No. 1 would be by not adopting negative keywords?

MR. STONE: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: No, not just by not adopting negative keywords. By using a keyword that will encompass that search, that's how you cause it. That's my understanding.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) In our conversation on this point, the keyword was the generic term "contacts."

A. Well, that was the keyword; but when you combine -- you know, that's not the only search term you're actually targeting with that keyword if you choose a broad match. So, I mean, this is just me. This is just Mark Miller. But when I look at keywords, keywords are not the only issue. It's the combination of a keyword and a match type that identifies what search terms you are going to use to

49 51 says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts." trigger your advertisement. And so it's that two 1 1 2 2 Do you see that? combination. If you just focus on the keyword, 3 that's not your only use -- that's not the only thing 3 A. Yes. 4 you're using to trigger your ads. It is if you do an 4 Q. Okay. Under "Prohibited Acts include," it 5 5 says, small letter "a," "engaging in internet search exact match type, then you can say just the keyword 6 matters. 6 advertising that causes any website, advertisement, 7 7 MR. CHIARELLO: Let's take a break. including pop-up advertisement, and/or a sponsored 8 (Recess from 9:55 a.m. to 10:02 a.m.) 8 link to any website to be displayed in response to or 9 MR. CHIARELLO: We're back on the record. 9 as a result of any internet search that includes the 10 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I've handed you CX-- a 10 Party's trademarks or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2)." document marked CX324. 11 11 Do you see that? 12 (Exhibit CX324 was identified.) 12 A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. What is this? 13 Q. Similar questions I was asking before, but A. Looks like the settlement agreement 14 what is meant in the Web Eye Care agreement with this 14 15 between 1-800 Contacts and Web Eye Care. phrase that says "advertising that causes any 15 O. And the date at the top of the agreement, website, advertisement" -- or, I'm sorry -- "search 16 16 17 September 3rd, 2010, is that accurate, to the best of 17 engine advertising that causes any website." Again, 18 vour recollection? going to the term "cause," what does -- what does it 18 19 As far as I recall. 19 mean here to be engaged in internet search engine 20 Q. And on page 8 of the agreement, under the 20 advertising that causes an advertisement to appear in 21 signature for 1-800 Contacts, it says -- the title is 21 response or as a result of any Internet search that 22 "legal counsel." Do you know whose signature that 22 includes the other party's trademark or keywords? 23 is? 23 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 24 A. Oh. I think it's probably David Zeidner. 24 form. 25 O. And who is David Zeidner? 25 You can answer. 50 52 1 He was in-house counsel for 1 THE WITNESS: I think it's pretty clear. 2 1-800 Contacts. 2 I mean, it says what it says. I don't know how to 3 3 Q. Did you work with David -explain any better. 4 4 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Did you draft this Q. -- on these matters? 5 5 language? 6 A. I did. 6 A. You know, I recall being involved in 7 Q. Who at 1-800 Contacts came to hire your 7 drafting this language. 8 law firm to represent them in these matters? 8 Q. What does the word "includes" mean in the 9 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 9 fourth line down in sub letter "a" where it says "as 10 Calls for speculation. 10 a result of any internet search that includes the 11 You can answer. other Party's trademark"? 11 12 THE WITNESS: 1-800 Contacts used Bryan A. Again, I think "includes" is a pretty 12 13 Pratt as outside counsel when he was at Rader plain term. I think it means what it means. I mean, 13 Fishman; and after Bryan Pratt decided to come here 14 14 at a minimum it would say that the search term or the to Holland & Hart, they decided they wanted to come 15 15 search query box is filled with one of these terms on here too with him. They had a strong relationship 16 16 Exhibit 2. 17 with Bryan. Who makes that decision inside, I don't 17 Q. So looking back at CX1430 and User Query 18 know. 18 No. 1, does that query include "1-800 Contacts"? 19 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Was Mr. Zeidner, 19 A. User Query No. 1? 20 Mr. David Zeidner, your primary contact at 20 Q. Yeah. 21 1-800 Contacts? 21 A. 22 A. He was the one I had the most contact 22 Q. And if you look at User Query No. 5, does 23 with. 23 that query include "1-800 Contacts"? 24 O. Okav. In this agreement, if you would 24 A. Well --25 please turn to the second page. And in section 4 it 25 MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for

speculation.

THE WITNESS: Well, it has "1-800 Contacts" as part of the query.

You may answer.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Looking down at lower case letter "b," it reads, "using the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2) to target or trigger the appearance or delivery of advertisements or other content to the user."

What is meant in this phrase by using the other Party's trademarks to trigger the appearance or delivery of advertisements?

- A. Well, again, I think it's -- it's plain from the language. It's similar to what we discussed earlier. It's -- it's implementing your AdWords settings in a way that uses a search for the other party's trademark keywords to trigger your advertisement.
- Q. Okay. Now, paragraph c, lower case letter "c," on the same page reads, under "The Prohibited Acts include: using generic, non-trademarked words as keywords in any Internet search engine advertising campaign that causes any website, advertisement, including pop-up advertisements, and/or a sponsored link to any website to be displayed in response to or

it's worded in this one.

- Q. In the beginning of the sentence it says "using generic, non-trademarked words." What does that mean, to use generic non-trademarked words in this context here?
- A. Well, I think -- it says "using generic, non-trademarked words as keywords."

Q. Okay.

A. So what it's saying is if you're going to pick a generic term as the keyword in your AdWords setting, like "contacts" or "contact lenses," and then it says if you use those keywords in a way that's going to cause your ad to come up in response to a search that includes the other party's trademarks.

So, in other words, you pick that keyword. If you're going to broad match it or something so that it's going to encompass searches for the other party's trademark, then you need to use a negative keyword to carve out the party's trademark from that universe of searches that you're targeting.

And -- and that's really easy to do, and Google AdWords teaches the user exactly what searches they're encompassing. You can do a list and find your top ten. And usually the top ten searches,

as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2) without also using negative keywords as set forth in subsection (C) below, unless the particular internet search provider does not permit use of negative keywords."

A. Right.

Q. Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, you did.

Q. And what does that mean?

A. Well, I think that is -- you know, means similar to what we were discussing earlier. When you go into an AdWords account, the user, the AdWords advertiser, knows what searches their settings are going to use to trigger an advertisement.

And so in this -- this is saying that if you're going to use settings broad matching "contacts" or "contact lenses," for example, that are going to encompass searches for the other party's trademarks, then you need to use a negative keyword for the other party's trademark. That -- that's what it means.

Q. Okay.

A. And that was -- that was the -- I think that's always been the mindset, and that's the way

search terms that are being encompassed are not going to be the exact keyword that you've chosen when you broad match.

Q. Do you know -- with AdWords, are you familiar with the concept of bidding on a keyword?

A. I -- I've heard that. I don't know exactly how the bidding works. I know that you have to pay Google. You know, I don't -- my understanding, sometimes it's pay per click or you can choose other ways to pay. But I don't know how their bidding structure works. I don't think I've ever been familiar with that.

Q. I'm wondering if -- in this sentence, if using generic, non-trademark keywords as keywords -- trademarked words as keywords as written here is a way of saying, telling Google to deliver an ad if that keyword appears in a query for requesting. Is "using" the same as "requesting" --

MR. STONE: Objection.

Q. -- delivery of an ad for that keyword?

MR. STONE: Sorry. Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: The import of this, using the generic or non-trademarked words as keywords, is just selecting that as the keyword in your AdWords

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

59 57 1 setting. 1 party's trademark keywords as listed in Exhibit 2 to 2 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Okay. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. That's all I know. Because you've got those three tools. You get the keyword, you get the match type, and you get negative keywords. That's my understanding of the primary three tools. I know there's geographic limitations you can put on it as well; but my -- the import of this is what keyword do you select.

Q. Okay.

A. And then the import of the next phrase where it talks about if it causes it to come up in response to a trademark term, that's going to refer to what match type did you select.

Q. Going back up to letter b where it says "using the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2) to target or trigger the appearance," does "using" there also mean selecting the other party's trademarks as you were using it to describe it in subparagraph c?

MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the witness's prior testimony.

You can answer.

Also asked and answered, but you can answer it again.

trigger.

So one way you use it for sure is selecting that trademark as the keyword. Another way to use it -- and maybe c is a little bit duplicative or redundant. Another way to do it is broad matching a generic without using negatives. Both of those are using the trademarked keywords the same.

Q. Okay. If you look at capital letter B in the next paragraph down, what does -- what does this paragraph mean?

A. This -- this is a paragraph that clarifies that none of the prohibited acts are going to prohibit anything that is akin to -- you know, that is not a trademark infringement concern. So comparative advertising or parodies or things like

So, in other words, if -- if a user is doing a search that is demonstrating an intent to compare different retailers or different products, that's not something you have to, you know, try to foreclose using your AdWords settings. That's allowable. That's -- that's comparative advertising.

So if you -- you have to take the prohibited acts 4(A) in conjunction with 4(B). Say,

58

THE WITNESS: Well, it -- it's phrased a little differently, so I think I already answered. It says what it says, "using the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2) to target or trigger the appearance of the advertisements." That -- that part c says using generic trademark words as keywords.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Right. And what I'm asking is when you say using -- "using generic, non-trademarked words as keywords" -- "using generic, non-trademarked words as keywords," you described it as selecting the generic.
 - A. As a keyword, right.
- Q. And now what I'm asking here is in the context of above, is using the other party's trademarked keywords the same as selecting the other party's --

A. That's part of it. This doesn't say using the other party's trademarked keywords as the keyword. This says -- because you've got to look at this -- the definition of -- in this agreement. When it says the term "trademarked" -- "the Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2)." Okay. So it's not saying using the other party's trademark as the keyword; it's saying using the other

even if something may appear to have been prohibited under the plain language of 4(A), it isn't if it falls into the nature of a comparative advertisement or a search that wouldn't result in potential trademark infringement issues.

O. The last sentence of paragraph B reads, "The Parties acknowledge that any advertisements triggered by such keywords are not prohibited under this agreement as long as the appropriate negative keywords are also being used as set forth in subsection (C) below."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

O. And what does that mean? MR. STONE: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, B -- 4(B) gives three different carve-outs from the prohibited acts. One of them is comparative advertising. Next one says using descriptive terms. The next one says using generic terms. And then it says if you're going to use those keywords like those descriptive terms and generic terms in sub-- you know, Roman numeral ii and iii, you've got to use negative keywords as explained

below.

form.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Okay. And in

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

THE WITNESS: I think it speaks for

keywords, then that's what the purpose of that clause

sentence, it says, "From the Effective Date of this

and other entities controlled by such Party mutually

MR. CHIARELLO: Appreciate the correction.

agree to use the Party's trademarked keywords" --

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) "The other Party's

trademark keywords and URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2)

keyword advertising campaigns for any internet search

Agreement, each Party, its parent, subsidiaries,

agents, servants, employees, officers, affiliates,

MR. STONE: The other party.

as negative keywords in all of their respective

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Okay. To understand

the implementation of negative keywords?

itself. If it talks about implementing negative

the clause a little bit better, reading the first

You can answer.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

61

paragraph (C) below, is it fair to say that describes

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14 15

20 21 22

23

24 25

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have -- we can't use any more negative keywords, then the party wouldn't have to implement more or bump others that they're using for other purposes. It was just if they allow you unlimited negative keywords, like Google I believe did.

So the intent with that provision was, you know, if you can implement a negative keyword, then you can implement it. And the negative keywords you have to implement are in Exhibit 2, and as long as you put these as your negative keyword, then you're in compliance.

That was always the understanding. But I remember in this context that there were some search engines that would put a cap on how many negative keywords you could use. So "the fullest extent" just meant if you have room for more negative keywords, then you are obligated to use these. That's my understanding.

Q. But there was no priority -- in that instance you're thinking of with that search engine provider, there's no priority that 1-800 Contacts had to supplant perhaps other negative keywords that might have been selected?

A. You know, it just never came up as an issue. I think we put that language in there just

62

provider that allows the use of negative keywords, to the fullest extent allowable by the internet search provider, in order to prevent the display of advertisements and/or internet links in response to or as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as listed in Exhibit 2)."

Did I read that correctly --

A. Yes, you did.

Thank you, Greg.

Q. -- with Mr. Stone's correction there? What -- what do the parties mean by "the fullest extent allowable by the internet search provider"?

A. Well, I didn't have an understanding as to whether all, you know, search engines did negative keywords and how they allowed negative keywords. So we were just saying if it's -- if it's a feature that's there and they allow you to do that, some -some Internet search engines capped the negative keywords numerically, like you could only use -- you could only use 20 negative keywords. That's it. Like, I don't know if that was Google or I have a vague -- not Google, but I have a vague recollection of maybe Yahoo or somebody had a cap. And if it got to the point that we don't

because we knew that there -- you know, it might have been put in there mostly for 1-800, because 1-800 is using negative keywords for a lot of different advertisers, and they may run into a search engine that said you've got to cap it. And we're like -- so we'll do the best we can.

But we just knew that some engines -maybe today they're unlimited with all the engines. I don't know. Because maybe things have evolved. Back then we knew that there were some that limited how many negative keywords we could use, and that's what we put in there.

Q. After that clause, "in order to prevent the display of advertisements and/or internet links in response to or as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords," the word "include" as we talked about before, does it mean the same thing here as you testified earlier?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if I really testified as to what "includes" means. I just said "includes" has a pretty common meaning. It's something that's included.

64

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

2/0/201

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) What would be an Internet -- an advertisement that -- and/or Internet link that appears in response to or is a result of any Internet search that includes the other party's trademark? What would be an example?

A. Well, any -- any search for those terms in Exhibit 2. That was the focus. That's why we put Exhibit 2 there. In other words, those terms are included in the search bar when you -- when you search. I mean, the focus and intent was always just if you prevent searches for those terms, those exact searches, that's how you comply.

Now, I know that from time to time, in fact, pretty often, the opposing parties that I would talk to in these cases would indicate, you know, we don't really care if we come up in response to a search for 1-800 Contacts. It's not a big deal to us. So we're happy to do negative keywords.

And so, you know, some may implement more negative keywords than is required in Exhibit 2. Some may implement them as more of a broad match negative keyword when they didn't have to. So some may have taken that course just because, from their perspective, it wasn't that much of a commercial benefit or really helpful to anybody to -- for them

keywords are part of a search performed on the internet search provider's website."

Do you see that? Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yeah, uh-huh.
- Q. It says -- the last clause of that sentence says, "such that the advertisements and/or links will not be displayed when the negative keywords," and I'll stop there. By "negative keywords," those are those listed in Exhibit 2; is that correct?
 - A. I believe so, yeah.
- Q. Okay. "Are part of a search performed on the internet search provider's website." What is meant by "part of a search performed on the internet search provider's website"?
- A. I think it means just what it says, are part of the search.
- Q. I want to go back up to paragraph (B) above, and I believe you used the term "comparative advertising." It's written here in the text.
- A. Right.
 - Q. I failed to ask you, but what is meant here by "comparative advertising"?
 - A. Well, the term "comparative advertising"

to pay Google to come up on those. So they would do that themselves.

But I think the requirement here is just if you -- if you implement the terms on Exhibit 2 as a negative keyword of any kind, a negative keyword of any match, you're in compliance as long as it is a negative keyword. That's why it doesn't expressly state you have to exact match it or broad match it as a negative.

If that term is listed as a negative keyword of any match type, you're in compliance. And that's the only thing that was required by these agreements. That's the only way -- my understanding of how it was ever monitored or checked was just exact searches like that.

So that's the context of using the term "included," from my perspective.

Q. And the next sentence reads,
"Specifically, for each internet search provider from
which a Party purchases keywords to display
advertising and/or internet links, the other Party's
trademark keywords and URLs listed in Exhibit 2 shall
also be provided to such internet search provider as
negative keywords, such that the advertisements
and/or links will not be displayed when the negative

and the term "parodies," that's -- that's language just taken from trademark infringement case law that identifies uses of another trademark that is not a trademark infringement. And the whole concept of these agreements is we're trying to prevent trademark infringement. We don't want to prevent anything that is fair use and non-infringing uses, such as comparative advertising; for example, we are better than 1-800 Contacts or we -- we are -- we're cheaper than 1-800 Contacts or -- you know, you're using the term "1-800 Contacts," but you're using it in a way to compare yourself to them. So that's not something that leads to confusion.

So the reason those terms are used in here is because that's how the case law has described non-infringing fair use of a mark, and that's not what was intended to be prohibited by any of these agreements.

Q. Is it fair to say that -- that -- your testimony is that the terms "comparative advertising" and "parodies" as used here are the -- as defined under trademark law? Is that -- is that a fair summary of your understanding?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

69 71 where it says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts." 1 But you can answer. 1 2 2 THE WITNESS: Well, it was -- it was used A. Okay. 3 to explain examples of non-infringing uses. 3 Q. The term -- the first term here that says 4 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Back to paragraph (C). 4 "Prohibited Acts include engaging in or participating In the middle of the first sentence it reads that the 5 in internet advertising or any other action that 5 6 parties "mutually agree to use the other Party's causes any website, advertisement, including pop-up 6 7 7 advertisements," do you see that paragraph? trademark keywords and URLs as negative keywords in 8 8 all of their respective keyword advertising campaigns A. Uh-huh. 9 9 for any internet search provider." Q. Does that paragraph mean about the same as 10 vou testified about as to the Web Eve Care agreement? 10 What did -- what is meant by "all of their respective keyword advertising campaigns"? 11 A. Yeah. I think they have the same 11 language, and I think they would have the same intent 12 A. What -- my understanding of what we had 12 13 13 encountered in the past, or actually what and meaning. 14 Q. Okay. Is that true across the board for 1-800 Contacts may have encountered in the past, is 14 15 many of these settlement agreements? 15 when parties had agreed to use negative keywords for 16 A. I believe in this time frame we tried to 16 certain terms and then suddenly they would find some 17 advertisements coming up when that search is done, 17 use -- we used very similar language most of the time they'd contact that party. And it was a common thing 18 in that context. 18 19 Q. In paragraph b, which reads, "using the 19 for the party to say, oh, we started a new campaign. 20 And a campaign in your AdWords account 20 other Party's trademarks or URLs," does that phrase 21 "using the other Party's trademarks or URLs" under 21 is -- you can create as many ad campaigns as you 22 the prohibited acts mean about the same thing that it 22 want. You create ad text, and then you pick all your 23 says in -- that you testified to as to Web Eye Care? 23 keyword and match type and negative keyword settings 24 A. I think it's the same language, so it 24 for that ad text. That's a campaign. 25 would have the same meaning. 25 And when you implement negative keywords, 70 72 1 you can select it so that the negative keywords apply 1 Q. And I believe that paragraph c, or small 2 to all of your campaigns. And sometimes people would 2 letter "c," using generic, non-trademarked keywords 3 implement one campaign and put the negative keywords 3 in an internet advertising campaign in that paragraph in, and when they started a new campaign they 4 4 is about the same language. wouldn't carry over the negative keywords. 5 5 A. If that's the same as Web Eye Care, it So it was a pretty common thing for people 6 6 would have the same meaning. 7 to say, oh, we started a new campaign, sorry about 7 O. And the requirement to add negative 8 that, and then they'd do it. So we just wanted to 8 keywords in the second half of that section means 9 have some clarity in here that we're talking about 9 about the same thing as in Web Eve Care? 10 all -- every advertising campaign you have in any 10 A. In subsection (C) in -- in -- at the search engine is -- is what we're looking for here. bottom of page 2, is that what you're talking about? 11 11 12 MR. CHIARELLO: You can set that exhibit 12 Q. I was looking at small letter c. 13 13 A. Oh, small letter c. aside. 14 Off the record for a moment. 14 O. It says "using generic, non-trademarked (A discussion was held off the record.) 15 keywords"; and then jumping ahead in the clause, 15 "without also using negative keywords as set forth in O. (By Mr. Chiarello) I've handed you an 16 16 exhibit marked CX317. What is this? subsection (C) below." 17 17 Does that have the same requirement as in 18 (Exhibit CX317 was identified.) 18 19 A. This looks like the settlement agreement 19 the Web Eye Care agreement? 20 between 1-800 Contacts and Arlington Contact Lens 20 A. Yeah, I believe so. 21 Q. And in capital letter C, 4(C), Service from March 2010. 21 Q. Did you work on this settlement agreement 22 22 paragraph 4(C), the last sentence -- this begins on on behalf of 1-800 Contacts? 23 23 page 3 at the top of the page -- one, two, three, 24 24 four -- fifth line down reads, "specifically, for A. I did. Q. If you would please turn to the first page 25 25 each internet search provider from which a Party

purchases keywords to display advertising and/or Internet links."

Do you see that sentence?

- A. Oh, okay. Yeah.
- Q. Does that sentence mean the same as the same sentence in the Web Eye Care agreement?
- A. Well, if it's the same language, then yeah, I would think so. I mean, I think I can generally agree that if the clauses are the same from one agreement to the other, they're going to have the same application.
- Q. Okay. You can set the AC Lens agreement aside. And CX319.

(Exhibit CX319 was identified.)

- Q. What is this?
- A. Looks like a settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Empire Vision, May of 2010.
- Q. Did you work on this settlement agreement on behalf of 1-800 Contacts?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. And did you draft this agreement?
 - A. I believe I assisted in drafting it, yeah.
- Q. Under the Prohibited Acts on page 2 of the agreement, read them, particularly subsections (A), (B), (C), and tell me if they mean substantively the

There wasn't any legitimate reason to do that. This is a trademark infringement issue.

So we went through and checked all the terms they gave us to make sure that they had trademark rights in them, and they had so many different entities that had trademarks that their list was huge. And as long as we could confirm that they had legitimate trademark rights -- I don't -- I don't remember if they were all registered trademarks, or some of them they could show we have common law trademark rights in this or something like that, we would agree to include them.

So that's the thing I remember most about Empire Vision is we did a lot of due diligence to make sure we were limiting this to, you know, websites' names, which are distinct, and then trademark rights.

I don't recall any view of it being the -the prohibited acts or things operating differently. I don't recall negotiating a different operation for those.

Q. So these sections under paragraph 2, "Obligations and Prohibited Acts," (A), (B), and (C), but particularly B and C, do you recall any negotiations with them on the requirements of

same as the agreements that we've just talked about with AC Lens and Web Eye Care.

A. They appear to have the same import from what I'm comparing the Web Eye Care to the Empire Vision one. If similar language, it would seem to have the same general meaning and restrictions, from my view.

Q. Do you recall any terms being negotiated differently?

A. With regard to Empire Vision?

Q. With regard to Empire Vision.

A. Well, the -- the thing I recall the most about Empire Vision is they had such a broad reach, they had so many subsidiaries and companies involved that they wanted to be involved in this that their -- their list of trademark keywords was really long, and we had to include multiple signatories and multiple entities. And we had to do some due diligence where we evaluated all of the terms that they were giving us to make sure they were registered trademarks and not just terms they wanted to throw out there.

Because one thing that was really important in these agreements is we were limiting them to actual trademark rights. It wasn't just pick a term that we want you to not to come up under.

prohibited acts and how to treat them and with the requirements of negative keywords?

A. No, not specifically. I don't recall specific negotiation -- I mean, I recall discussing them with them like, this is the concept; this is what we're looking for if you just throw these terms into negative keywords. I would often have the other side request or ask, what does negative keyword mean? Like, this wasn't common knowledge to everybody. We'd just say, you just add it as a negative so if somebody searches for that it doesn't come up.

And some of them were wondering -- there was a couple times that it would come up. You know, early on I didn't even know negative keywords could be matched differently. I always thought it was just that term. But we would have discussions on that. We would always explain as long as you exact match that, that's all you need to do. That's the intent.

Q. By "early on," what do you mean?

A. I don't know. When I first started dealing with this stuff with 1-800, I didn't really -- when they first became a client and I first started learning about their keyword advertising trademark infringement lawsuits and doing my own research on the case law to figure out, you know, how

this all worked, I didn't understand negative keywords that well.

- Q. They came to you when Bryan Pratt joined the firm?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you turn to page 8 and 9, you mention that they had many sub-entities. Is that right?
- A. Yes, they did. This one was a very -- I remember this one took a long time to finalize just because of -- like, where's the signatory page? Yeah. See, we had to have Empire Vision, ECCA, Davis, Viva, Eye Care Centers of America, Eye Care Holdings. This is just a really big one with a lot of different entities that held all those trademark rights. And they were all held under this umbrella of -- ECCA Enterprises was what I remember being the main one. Maybe it was Empire Vision. But --
- Q. So you had to get all these signatures as well?
- A. Yeah. We had to get all those signatures so that they -- they kind of wanted to have all the signatures there. Maybe it was us, but we wanted to make sure that every party, if -- if the trademark that they wanted in the agreement in Exhibit 2 was

recall negotiations on that issue -- not negotiations. I recall discussing what these provisions mean, maybe, with them. But I don't recall negotiations where they were pushing back or asking for different language, necessarily. If there's e-mail communications that show that, maybe

I know that we had lots of phone calls. I don't

did.
Q. Do these provisions mean anything differently -- different substantively than they did in the Web Eye Care agreement?

it happened; but I don't have a recollection that it

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, like I said, I -- if you compare the language, it's relatively similar. I think they probably have the same import and intent, yeah. If you can point me to any specific difference that you're concerned about, I can see if I feel like there's a different interpretation. But --

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I'll represent that I didn't see a difference. Just trying to understand what the meeting of the minds between the parties was.

owned by a different entity, we just wanted the entity that owned the trademark rights to be a signatory.

And that's how this happened, because they had all these -- I mean, tons and tons of trademarks that we had to verify were legitimate trademarks; and then we said, whoever owns a trademark on this list has to sign the agreement. We don't want it to just be through one entity and all these trademarks are part of it.

Q. Did it take a while to get that done?

A. I don't know how long it took. If I saw when we first sent the letter out and this date in the agreement, that would help me. I remember it felt like it took a long time, or at least it felt like it was a large undertaking.

- Q. If you would please turn to CX320.
- A. Okay.
- Q. I'm sorry. Go back to the Empire Vision agreement, 319. I believe I asked you if there was negotiation over paragraph 2, subsections (A), (B), and (C) that would make these terms -- I believe at first I asked if there was a negotiation, and didn't sound like there was. Is that accurate?
 - A. Well, I mean, this was seven years ago, so

A. Right. I think the language says what it says.

Q. Okay, back to CX320. (Exhibit CX320 was identified.)

A. Okay.

Q. What is this?

A. Looks like a settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Lenses For Less, March 2010.

Q. Did you work on this settlement agreement?

A. Yes.

Q. If you'd turn to the second page, paragraph 4, it says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts."

A. Uh-huh

Q. Paragraphs (A), (B), and (C) appear identical to the last three settlement agreements we've been talking about with AC Lens, Web Eye Care, and Empire Vision.

A. Yeah, I think that's about right. We were using --

Q. Do you recall any negotiation as to the terms of this with Lenses For Less?

A. With Lenses For Less? Don't specifically recall negotiations about --

Q. These terms?

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

83

of these guys.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

81

use our trademark just for a period of time because our trademark rights are going to expire. Now, you know, we did negotiate one that

was limited in time with -- I can't remember which one. It may have been Standard Optical. But the settlement agreements are based on

enforcing trademark rights, and trademark rights don't expire. So as long as you're using the trademark, 1-800 Contacts isn't going to stop using the trademark "1-800 Contacts." If they did, then I guess these settlement agreements would turn out to be moot and not important anymore if they abandoned that trademark for some reason. But that's why there was no reason to add a temporal portion in it.

Q. I've just handed you Exhibit CX323. (Exhibit CX323 was identified.)

A. Okay.

Q. And what is this?

A. Settlement agreement between

1-800 Contacts and Contact Lens King in March 2010.

Q. Did you work on this settlement agreement?

22 A. I did. 23

Q. And if you turn to page 2, it says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts."

A. Yeah.

(C) mean the same as they do in the other settlement agreements with Web Eye Care, AC Lens, Empire Vision? MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: To the extent they use the same language, I would say they have the same meaning, yes. Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Please turn to CX321.

(Exhibit CX321 was identified.) Q. What is this?

A. -- language alterations that they

requested. I know that we provided them in the

requested changes or -- not that I recall. I know we

O. Do the terms in paragraphs 4(A), (B), and

settlement agreement, and I don't recall if they

would have discussed what it means if they had

questions, but I don't have a specific recollection

A. Settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Tram Data, May 2010.

Q. Did you work on this settlement agreement?

A. I believe I did. I don't have a huge recollection of dealing with Tram Data that much. Maybe Bryan dealt with them a little bit too, but --

82

or maybe they were just a really quick one where we didn't interact a whole lot. But I believe I would have worked on this, yes.

Q. If you turn to page 2, paragraph 3, it says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts." Paragraphs (A), (B), and (C) there are the same as the ones the Lenses For Less, Web Eye Care, and Empire Vision and AC Lens.

Do you see that?

A. Yeah. To the extent they have the same language, I would say they have the same meaning.

Q. These settlement agreements that contain the restrictions on search -- using terms or keywords in search advertising that require negative keywords, they don't have term limits on them, do they?

A. I don't believe --

MR. STONE: You mean in time? MR. CHIARELLO: In time.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe most of them have any temporal limitation, no.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is there any reason why?

A. Well, trademark rights don't have an expiration date. So I've never seen a settlement of a trademark action where they say you -- you can't 1 Q. These terms in paragraphs 4(A), (B), and 2 (C) appear to be identical to those in the Lensfast 3 and -- I'm sorry, Lenses For Less, Web Eye Care,

AC Lens, and Empire Vision settlement agreements? A. Yeah. And -- and just to be clear, you

keep saying 4(A), (B) and (C). I think you mean 4 a, little a, b, and c, right? Those are the paragraphs you're talking about?

Q. No. Let's be perfectly clear. 4 capital A, capital B, and capital C.

A. Okay. Those entire ones. Okay. Yes. Yeah, to the extent those carry the same language, they're going to have the same meaning. And I think in these -- all the ones you've been showing me are all in this spring of 2010 time frame, and so we were operating under these agreement terms. And so I would say they -- they carry the same meaning to the extent they have the same language.

Q. Okay. Is it fair to say, just so we're clear about what you were testifying before on the other settlement agreements, that to the extent they have the same language in the relevant obligations and prohibited acts paragraphs, that they have the same meaning?

A. Yes.

84

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

negative keyword. That's it.

He says, if that's it, th

He says, if that's it, then let's just say that. And so he -- he struck out a bunch of the more wordy paragraphs and said, if that's what we mean -- he wanted to word it differently. And so he put it in these two simple things on page 2.

So I remember --

- Q. "These two simple things," you're looking at paragraph 3, "Obligations and Prohibited Acts"?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so under 3, paragraph "a," it reads, "refrain from purchasing or using any of the terms the other Party has listed in Exhibit 2 as triggering keywords in any internet search engine advertising campaign."

Do you see that?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So what does he mean -- what -- what does it mean to say "refrain from purchasing"?
- A. Well, he -- he -- I guess the concept is keywords, you pay Google every time the keyword is -- or that keyword campaign triggers your ad. So, you know, some people use the term "purchasing a keyword" sometimes. It's just common parlance. So that's what he put in there.

Q. In some of those settlement agreements it was paragraph 2, in some it appears to be paragraph 4, but the content below is the same?

- A. Right, uh-huh.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah. To the extent they have the same language, they would have the same meaning and import.
- MR. CHIARELLO: Okay. Let's take a quick break.

MR. STONE: Sure.

(Recess from 10:55 a.m. to 11:07 a.m.)

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I've handed you an exhibit marked CX322.

(Exhibit CX322 was identified.)

Q. What is this?

A. This is the settlement agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Walgreens from June 2010.

- Q. Okay. And did you work on this settlement agreement?
 - A. I did partially, yes.
 - O. Who else worked on it?
- A. I know that earlier on I think Bryan Pratt interacted with these guys a lot, because this is in a time frame when I was involved in preparing for

being in a patent infringement trial, so I wasn't always available. So I think Bryan Pratt may have communicated with these guys for part of the time, and then I came in and communicated -- I do recall having calls with their counsel and -- and negotiating this agreement with them. And Bryan might have done some of that too while I was involved in trial.

Q. Did you -- did you draft the agreement?

A. Well, I think -- I think their counsel drafted some of this agreement. My recollection is -- and, you know, I'm horrible with names on memory and stuff like that, but their in-house guy I think -- or whoever I was interacting with was a real -- real commonsense guy, and he saw our proposed agreement and he says, "This thing is huge. What does all this shit mean?" Sorry about the language. But he was like, this is -- this is -- what -- what's the purpose of this agreement?

And I would say, here's the purpose. We list out these keywords in Exhibit 2. Says, this is the intent and purpose of all these agreements. All it is is you can't select these keywords and websites as your triggering keyword in search engine advertising, and you also have to use them as a

Q. Does "purchasing" mean the same thing as "using," where it says "purchasing or using"? Or do you know if they're different terms?

A. Well, I think they -- they refer to the same thing. They refer to selecting that as your keyword that will trigger your advertisements.

- Q. Okay. Subparagraph b says "implement all of the terms the other Party has listed in Exhibit 2 as negative keywords in all internet search advertising campaigns."
 - A. Right.
- Q. You see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What does that mean?

A. That means just what it says. You take the list of -- from Exhibit 2 and use those as negative keywords in all the search engine advertising campaigns. And that just means as long as those terms are identified as a negative keyword in your engine -- search engine advertising campaigns, then you're in compliance with the agreement.

Q. If you could please look at Exhibit 2 at the back of the agreement. And the list on the right-hand side says "Walgreens' Trademark Keywords."

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

information you have is or is not privileged, we can

THE WITNESS: I have an understanding of how it happened, but my understanding just came from communications between me and David Zeidner on how that list was generated. So that's what --

MR. STONE: I don't think you should reveal it.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Okay. So --
- A. So I'm following that advice, and I think it's privileged that I can't reveal.
 - Q. Okay. I'm handing you CX326. (Exhibit CX326 was identified.)
 - Q. And first question is, what is this?
- A. This is a settlement agreement between Memorial Eye and 1-800 Contacts from November 2013.
- Q. And did you work on this settlement agreement?
 - A. I did.
- Q. If you look at page 2 and 3, it says "Obligations and Prohibited Acts."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the first paragraph under 3, 3a says, "refrain from purchasing or using any of the terms

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Are those words that they supplied to the agreement?
- A. I believe so, yeah. We wouldn't have -we would have them choose whatever they want on
 there. And we would always explain to them, it's got
 to be a registered trademark or URL website. Those
 were our focus. It couldn't just be any term they
 were interested in.
- Q. So is "Walgreen" and "Walgreens," do you know if those are both registered trademarks?
- A. Well, when I say "registered trademarks," the concept here -- if you look over at 1-800 Contacts' list, those aren't all registered trademarks, but they're confusingly similar misspellings. In other words, somebody typing in "1 800 contact" I think has just misspelled "1 800 contacts." And so registered trademarks and/or confusingly similar misspellings or common misspellings of it, that's how these are selected.
- Q. The term "confusingly similar," what does that -- I mean, does that have a legal meaning as well --
 - A. Well, yeah. It comes from --
 - Q. -- as a trademark lawyer?

A. Sorry. I didn't mean to talk over you.

It comes from trademark case law.

That's -- that's just kind of a legal term that refers to a term that is -- that could infringe the mark, because it could cause consumer confusion because it's so similar.

So that's -- that's just the language we're using to identify this is how we're selecting what goes on Exhibit 2. It's your registered trademarks; and if there's any common misspellings or things out there that you want to include related to your trademark, then that's okay too.

- Q. And this list that 1-800 Contacts has supplied here, how did -- how did that list get generated?
- A. I don't know if that's a privileged issue or not.

MR. STONE: Can we take a short break?
MR. CHIARELLO: Well, I've got the -- an answer could be "I don't know." So --

MR. STONE: Well, but I don't know if that's his answer. So I'm going to instruct him not to reveal any privileged information in response to that.

And if you need a break to discuss whether

the other Party has listed in Exhibit 2 as triggering keywords in any internet search engine advertising campaign."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What does that mean?
- A. Well, I think that's similar to the Walgreens agreement. It's going to mean the same thing. I think when we settled with Memorial Eye, we used the Walgreens agreement because they also wanted a more simplified explanation. And so I think this agreement was kind of patterned after the Walgreens agreement.
- Q. In paragraph b it says, "implement all of the" -- I'm sorry. Paragraph 3b, which reads, "implement all of the terms the other Party has listed in Exhibit 2 as negative keywords in all internet search engine advertising campaigns with respect to those internet search engines that allow the implementation of negative keywords by the Party."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does that mean the same thing that it does in the Walgreens exhibits?

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

n

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's worded a little

different. I think it has the same general meaning. I remember the Memorial Eye counsel, you know, wanted the caveat of making sure it's just as long as it's allowed to do it. And that kind of goes to that same concept of sometimes, you know, Internet search engines can change their policies and some of them had limits on how many negative keywords you could use, and he just wanted to make sure there was that caveat that said to the extent it's allowed, then you got to use them.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) If you would please turn to Exhibit 2 of the Memorial Eye exhibit -agreement. I'm sorry. On the left-hand side it says "1-800 Contacts' Trademark Keywords."
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I notice that that list appears shorter than it did in Exhibit 2 attached to CX322, the Walgreens exhibit.
 - A. Oh, yeah. Yes, it is shorter.
 - Q. My question is going to be why?
- A. I'm -- I don't recall if Memorial Eye counsel asked for that or pushed back on anything, or

Q. And just to make sure the record's clear, 1-800 Contacts didn't seek federal registry of all of these terms in this list under 1-800 Contacts' Trademark Keywords. Again, we're looking at the Walgreens exhibit. Is that right?

A. Well, if you -- if you go for the first group that all has 800 in it, that's all the registered trademark of 1-800 Contacts and misspellings or common misspellings that are going to be, you know, basically indicating somebody searching for 1-800 Contacts, for that trademark.

The other ones from Aquasoft down I believe are registered trademarks.

- Q. The other terms that have I guess spawned from 1-800 Contacts' trademark that were not carried over to the Memorial Eye agreement -- do you follow me?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Those terms, was there a reason that they wouldn't apply to potential queries for Memorial Eye as they do for Walgreen?

A. Again, I don't exactly recall why they were removed. It could have been part of the negotiation pushback, and those trademarks are not as commonly searched and so it's less important to have

if -- or if we had just decided to simplify it based on probably stuff I can't reveal, privileged analysis or thoughts. I don't specifically recall.

It is something I'm sure I spoke with Anthony Hong about, who was Memorial Eye's counsel. So I wish I had a specific recollection.

- Q. If -- if it's helpful for this next question or two, you may want to lay the Exhibit 2 for the Walgreens side by side with this one. I noticed that in the Walgreens exhibit there's the phrase "We Deliver You Save." Is that a trademarked term?
- A. Yes. All of these -- anything in this -- my understanding is anything in this list is something that 1-800 had obtained a federal registration for -- or possibly applied for a federal registration, but I think had obtained a federal registration for. I know early on there was a term in there called -- I can't even remember the term, but there was a term that they used on their list early on that they had a registration on, but then they had kind of decided it wasn't a trademark they wanted to keep pursuing. And so in subsequent agreements we took it off and it wasn't there. I

can't remember exactly what it was, but --

them on there. And that was kind of a give-and-take in order to get Memorial Eye to settle. That could be the case.

- Q. By saying that those trademarks are not as commonly searched, do you mean those spellings of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks?
- A. Oh, are you talking about the misspellings that were not carried over, the 1-800 misspellings?

MR. STONE: I think he was answering Aquasoft.

THE WITNESS: I was thinking -- yeah, I was thinking of the Aquasoft down.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) When I asked you before, the -- the list of keywords -- and let's look at the -- let's just be precise about this. And on the Walgreens exhibit, the -- one, two, three, four, five --- first 17 keywords listed in 1-800 Contacts, Inc.'s trademark keywords.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. It begins with 1 space, 800 space, Contact and goes down to "800contacts."
- A. Right.
- Q. Do you see those?
- A. Yeah.
 - Q. Limiting our conversation to those

97 99 1 keywords --1 sometimes we'd do some informal -- sometimes I'd do a 2 2 A. Okay. letter to a party that says, please add this to 3 Q. -- not all of those carried over to the 3 Exhibit 2. Sometimes we'd do an informal phone call 4 Memorial Eye agreement. 4 and say, coming up on this, it's not on Exhibit 2, A. Correct. 5 but are you willing to add it as a negative. And 5 6 6 Q. And my question is, why not? they'll just say yes. 7 7 A. Again, it could be a similar concept. You And we may not formally -- we may not 8 know, there's data available to figure out how often 8 formally modify it because a lot of the -- the these misspellings are used, and over time it may 9 implementation or, I guess, compliance with these 9 10 agreements was done party to party, marketing 10 change. 11 department to marketing department between the two 11 So there may be a high rate of searches parties of just coordinating and agreeing to do --12 that misspell "1-800 Contacts" a certain way that 12 13 you know, agreeing how to -- how to accomplish 13 would make 1-800 Contacts want that included as a 14 things. Lawyers weren't involved and there weren't 14 misspelling. Over time some of those misspellings 15 express revisions to the agreements. 15 may not happen as often, and they're not as important 16 Q. When you said sometimes you do an informal 16 in protecting the trademark rights to the call to say "coming up on this," what does it mean, 17 1-800 Contacts trademark, so you would need less 17 misspellings on the list for 1-800 Contacts. 18 "coming up on this"? 18 19 A. Well, we'll send a notice of breach, and 19 So, you know, that's -- I can see that as 20 a reason to simplify it, take it down, lessen the 20 then they want to comply and correct it. And a lot 21 21 of times that would be done just through -- their burden on the other party to put in negative 22 marketing department would call ours or vice versa. 22 keywords, if necessary, things like that. So --23 Like Bryce Craven, for example, at 1-800 Contacts 23 Q. Is it fair to say, then, that by lessening 24 would talk to their marketing guy, and their 24 the burden, this agreement from Memorial Eye was less 25 marketing guy would say, what's the best way to make 25 restrictive than the agreement on -- for Walgreen 98 100 sure this happens across all -- is there a way you 1 because the list was not as long? 1 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to 2 can do it so that it automatically applies to all 3 form. Vague and ambiguous. 3 campaigns when we start a new campaign? And Bryce You can answer. 4 4 might say, yeah, this is how we do it. And they 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean 5 would just coordinate on best practices, on a best by "less restrictive." I mean, it is true that there 6 6 practices concept to comply with the agreements on an 7 are less keywords identified in Exhibit 2. I think 7 informal basis. 8 the application of the agreements themselves require 8 Q. As outside counsel, did you have any 9 the same thing. You have to include a list of these 9 supervisory role as to what these best practices were 10 keywords as negative keywords in all your campaigns, 10 or how to implement them? and you can't select these terms as a keyword itself. 11 11 A. No. 12 So I don't think "less restrictive" is really a good 12 Q. Did you provide any guidance as to when such a call to say you're coming up should be made? 13 term unless you're just saying it had -- there 13 14 were -- there were less keywords identified in 14 A. Well, I may have. I think that would be Exhibit 2. 15 privileged. I know I interacted with Bryce Craven. 15 O. (By Mr. Chiarello) Looking at both the Dave Zeidner obviously supervised Bryce Craven in 16 16

been changed or modified? A. What do you mean? By adding terms to Exhibit 2?

Walgreens and the Memorial Eye agreement, are you

aware of whether or not these agreements have ever

Q. That could be one example.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. It could have. I don't have a specific recollection if we have. But I know there are times when we would talk about potential breaches, and

compliance with these agreements. But --

Q. Did you ever -- did you provide formal training to 1-800 Contacts?

A. Did I personally?

Q. Yeah, on implementation of these agreements.

A. I don't know what the word "training" would imply. I'm not a Google expert. I -- I might counsel them on the scope of the agreement and -- and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

101

what their obligations were, but to get specific into that would be more privileged that I shouldn't reveal.

But I would -- I know that I interacted with Bryce Craven and Dave Zeidner on the nature of these agreements or on the potential for a breach by another party or on whether they were identified as having breached and having to remedy that.

Q. Were there any compliance or audit obligations between the parties relative to these agreements?

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I don't recall any audit obligations.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I hand you CX325. (Exhibit CX325 was identified.)

Q. I want to ask you, what is this?

A. Settlement agreement between

1-800 Contacts and Standard Optical, February 2011.

Q. Did you work on this agreement with 1-800 Contacts?

A. Yes.

O. And if you would please turn to the "Obligations and Prohibited Acts" on page 2,

that back. Under "Obligations and Prohibited Acts," you see it says "refrain from purchasing or using any of the terms."

Do you see that.

A. Yeah.

Q. And here it says "engaging in internet search engine advertising that causes any website, advertisement, including a sponsored link," et cetera.

A. Right.

Q. And if you could explain, how is the phrase as used here in the Standard Optical agreement "engaging in internet search advertising that causes any website" different from "refrain from purchasing or using any of the key terms" that was agreed to in the Walgreens?

I can simplify the question and say, how are these two prohibited acts different?

A. Well, I think substantively, in practice, I don't think there's really a difference. There's -- there's a difference in phraseology. Sometimes you'll say, are you engaging in Internet search engine advertising that causes an

24 advertisement to come up, or are you using a term to 25

trigger your advertisement? I think those are two

102

paragraph 5.

A. Yeah.

Q. And the phrase that -- the first one says, "engaging in internet search advertising that causes any website, advertisement, including a sponsored link to any website to be displayed in response to or as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs, as specifically identified in Exhibit 2 hereto."

Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. What does that mean?

A. I think it means pretty much what it says. Very similar to the -- the intent of all the other agreements, that you can't engage in Internet advertising that causes your sponsored link to be displayed when they search for one of the terms listed on Exhibit 2.

Q. The prohibited acts, if you go back to CX322, the Walgreens exhibit --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And I believe that was entered into in June 2010. Right?

A. Right.

O. That -- that prohibited acts -- I take

different ways of saying the same thing.

And so I know that in this agreement with Standard Optical the opposing counsel, you know, did some edits of his own, and I don't know if this is language that I proposed or that he edited and proposed or not. I don't know if he changed the language that we sent him. I can't recall.

But I believe those two -- those two provisions are saying the same thing, that you can't, you know, implement your search engine settings in a way that is going to cause your advertisement to be displayed when there's a search for one of the terms in Exhibit 2. I think that's what they both mean.

Q. If you would, please turn to the bottom of page 3. Paragraph (C) says, "For the term of this Agreement, each Party, its parent, subsidiaries, agents, servants, employees, officers, affiliates, and other entities controlled by such Party mutually agree to use the other Party's trademark keywords and URLs as specifically identified in Exhibit 2 hereto as negative keywords in all of their respective keyword advertising campaigns for any internet search provider that allows the use of negative keywords, to the fullest extent allowable by the internet search provider without additional monetary charges, in

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

103

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PUBLIC 1-800 Contacts 2/8/2017

105

order to prevent the display of advertisements and/or internet links in response to or as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords or URLs (as specifically listed in Exhibit 2)."

Do you see where I read that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

Q. The question here is, "other entities controlled by such Party," what does that mean?

A. Well, I think it just means what it says. There's-- there's a common practice in search engine advertising where you hire out a third-party firm to manage your search engine advertising. If -- if you have control over them on how they manage your advertising, then, you know -- or just if you control the way a party does search engine advertising on your behalf, then you should be required to have them implement these negative keywords. I mean -that's -- that's my understanding. It was just kind of standard contract language in there where you're talking about a party, and anybody that that party controls or their subsidiaries or anything like that should have to follow the obligations of this agreement.

O. The next sentence reads similar to the

specifically you have to use these negative keywords to make sure you're not displayed when they search for the --

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) It says, "when the negative keywords are part of a search performed on the internet search provider's website," which I believe is the same language in those other settlement agreements. Does it mean the same thing here?

A. Yeah. It's got the same -- the same intent, that a search for those terms on Exhibit 2 should not be triggering the other party's advertisements. And so if you implement those as a negative keyword, then -- then you're good to go. That was always the view and intent.

Q. Okay. So the next sentence says, "Provided that the Parties understand that the applicable Internet search provider's actions thereafter are beyond the control of the respective Partv."

What does that mean?

A. I think that's language that Standard Optical wanted in there. In other words, they -he -- I recall talking to John Delaney at Parsons Behle. He represented Standard Optical, and he

106

108

107

ones we read about with Web Eye Care and Lensfast and others before that begins, "Specifically, for each internet search provider from which a Party purchases keywords to display advertising and/or internet links, the other Party's trademark keywords and URLs listed in Exhibit 2 shall also be provided to such internet search provider as negative keywords, such that the advertisements and/or links will not be displayed when the negative keywords are part of a search performed on the internet search provider's website." And then there's a semicolon, "provided that the Parties understand that the applicable Internet search provider's actions thereafter are beyond the control of the respective Party." First I want to ask you about that

sentence up to the semicolon. It reads very similar to those other agreements in that -- again, with the "specifically." And I want to know, does it mean something different than here as far as the -- what it means to adopt a negative keyword relative to user queries?

21 22

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

23 form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it says

just -- one of his concerns was Google is in charge of its algorithm and Google is in charge of how things work on its search engine, and Google can change its search engine any day. And so he wanted to make it clear that as long as we have these terms, and he was very specific on the scope of, you know, our intent -- the intent of these agreements was always to just, if you implement these terms as negative keywords, these exact terms, an exact match negative keyword, you're using the negative keyword and you're in compliance.

And he -- I remember a conversation with him where he was saying, well, if I put -- how do these negative keywords work? If I put in "the world's largest Contact Lens Store," is that going to make "contact lens" somehow negative out somehow? And he was just like, I want to just make it clear that all we have to do is these exact terms as a negative keyword.

So he put in this clause at the top of Exhibit 2, and that was his way of expressing all we have to do is make sure that a search -- this exact search, "1-800 Contacts," in these quotes doesn't trigger our ad, and as long as we're doing that, we're in compliance. And if Google changes how their

thing operates but we still have these as negative keywords, that's not our fault. That's -- we're in compliance.

That was his big concern. So he added that language. He added this.

And I said, that's totally fine. That's how all these agreements are intended to be applied. That's how everything is. If you want to add that in there to give you a comfort factor, we don't have any objection, because that's how we've been operating under all these agreements anyway.

So that's how those -- that's my recollection of those negotiations.

Q. Did you, if you recall, suggest the similar terms that you did with Walgreens, which were those -- basically those two clauses to simplify?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall if I used Walgreen as a template for this one or not. I may have. You know, and as you progress through, you're doing these settlement agreements over a period of, you know, from 2004 to 2012 and '13. So you're over a decade. The overall import and intent of the

Q. Why did you put a term in this agreement?

A. Standard Optical asked for it. They're the only party that ever asked for a limit on term. And similar to most other parties, they said, listen, this doesn't really -- this isn't something that we try to do. We don't -- we don't want to come up when somebody searches for 1-800 Contacts. That's not something that will help our consumers or help our business. It's not something we're interested in doing with our AdWords campaign.

So in one context they were the same as everybody else, saying this isn't something that gives us a benefit or provides the marketplace a benefit. But they said, we want to evaluate it more, and we would rather just have this limited to two years and then we can regroup if we want to and talk about it.

And my understanding is, even though this expired, I don't think that they -- I think they maintained the same practice. I don't think they come up when somebody searches for 1-800 Contacts. Maybe I'm wrong. I don't monitor it, but --

Q. Do they communicate with you that we're still -- that they're still maintaining the agreement?

agreements was always the same. Language and stuff modified understanding of how Google may work or simplified language or different parties suggesting things that we hadn't thought of that helps.

That's why the agreements alter over time, but the intent and operation and compliance with the agreements from my perspective never changed. It was always, if you don't -- you know, we'll identify our trademark keyword list. If you don't choose those as your triggering keyword and you also make those a negative keyword of any kind -- it's up to you, just a negative keyword -- you are in compliance with the agreement. And that is what we felt would prevent the trademark infringement and protect each other's goodwill and trademark rights. And that was always the intent.

- Q. Bottom of the -- turn to the first page of the agreement, paragraph 2 at the bottom.
 - A. Standard Optical agreement?
- Q. Standard Optical agreement. I'm sorry. Bottom of the first page, it says the term. "The term of this Agreement shall be the period of two years from the Effective Date."

Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

A. No. I guess that's just coming from my communications with John Delaney. He was -- he was saying my client wants a two-year term, because it's kind of a logistics thing to always make sure you're in compliance with a written agreement all the time. He's all, they're going to implement these negative keywords and they'll probably just keep them around. They're not going to change it. They don't have any reason to; they don't want to. They just don't want a formal agreement hanging over them longer than the two years. So they're the only ones that requested that, and we agreed.

Q. And 1-800 Contacts -- did 1-800 Contacts have concern of future infringement after the two years?

MR. STONE: Okay. So to the extent you have an understanding as to what 1-800 Contacts' concern was that came from a privileged communication, if you had one, you shouldn't reveal any privileged communications. But to the extent you had any concern that you either had or didn't have in your own mind, you can share what was in your mind.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I don't think I've ever been aware of any further infringement concerns about Standard Optical. I do know from my

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

discussions with John Delaney that 1-800 and Standard Optical have a pretty good relationship, just business relationship in general. They -- some of their principals knew each other and thing like that,

So the two-year agreement was similar to -- I mean, there are parties that we identified as, you know, that we saw come up that sometimes we would send a demand letter to and they would say, we'll implement negative keywords. And we would never actually file a complaint or require a formal settlement agreement.

at least back in this time frame.

And this is a context where they represented that they don't have any interest in doing -- in -- in triggering their ads by searches for 1-800 Contacts. So a two-year agreement was enough of an expression of good faith, we're willing to do this. And John Delaney said, I mean, the likelihood is that's what they're going to do in the future. They don't have any interest in it. If they did, they know they'd be picking a trademark infringement fight. So a two-year agreement was enough of an assurance that even after the two years it's likely not going to happen again.

And so that's where I get my sense of

company than some that we thought might file a declaratory judgment action.

Q. Let's move on to the next document, and then we'll take a break.

I'm handing you CX1441, which is 1-800 Contacts' Responses to Complaint Counsel's First Set of Requests for Admissions. And I'd like to draw your attention to Request for Admission No. 4.

(Exhibit CX1441 was identified.)

A. Okay.

Q. I asked earlier today if you were prepared to testify to this. And I'll just read for you that the request says, "Admit that 1-800 Contacts admitted [sic], at the time it executed each Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement would prevent a Settlement Partner's advertisements from appearing on Search Engines Results Page in response to User Queries containing a term on which 1-800 Contacts claims a trademark."

And respondents objected. And then the second sentence of the response says, "Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts admits that it anticipated, at the time it executed each Settlement Agreement, that the Settlement Agreement

assurance. I don't know if any issues ever came up at 1-800 with Standard Optical again. I don't know of any.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is there any reason where on or about July 13th, 2010, wherein they filed a lawsuit -- wherein 1-800 Contacts filed a lawsuit against Standard Optical for infringement?

A. Yeah. The reason we sent him a demand letter and the reason for the settlement agreement was we saw actions that we felt were trademark infringement, so we filed a lawsuit. And -- and it's kind of -- it's not an uncommon practice to file a lawsuit, not serve it, not -- it wasn't intended to be a threatening thing. I mean, it can always come across as threatening, but sometimes jurisdiction is an issue.

So one of our practices was if we were going to send a demand letter to a party that we thought might file their own declaratory judgment action in a different jurisdiction in response to our demand letter, we would first file a complaint. We wouldn't serve it. We would send it with our demand letter and just say, we would like to resolve this amicably, but we preserve our jurisdiction here. That was common. Standard Optical is a bigger

would prohibit a Settlement Party from presenting paid ads or sponsored links (as opposed to organic links or other listings or information) on Search Engine Results Pages in response to certain User Queries, but not all User Queries, containing a term on which 1-800 Contacts claims a trademark. Except as expressly so admitted, 1-800 Contacts denies this Request for Admission."

My question to you is, what -- what does this mean that there were some queries for which -- that the settlement agreement would prohibit a settlement party from presenting paid ads or sponsored links on search engine results page in response to certain queries, but not all user queries, containing a term in which 1-800 Contacts claims a trademark? What were those certain queries?

A. Well, I think what we've talked about earlier, the queries -- any query that's a search for the terms in the exhibits to the settlement agreements, the -- a search for these trademark terms would be precluded, would -- would be -- that it would prevent them from placing their sponsored links on those searches. So that's some but not all, because not every query that would reference one of their trademarks is something that was ever intended

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

or thought would be a problematic trademark infringement or prohibited.

So, I mean, the agreements identify the queries that would be prohibited. The overall concept was if somebody is searching for 1-800 Contacts' trademark as a source, they're searching for us, that's the type of search they wanted to prevent.

If somebody's doing a search that is not necessarily a search for 1-800 Contacts as a source as a comparative search, as a search for multiple parties at the same time or something like that, that would not be something that would implicate trademark rights. It would not be something that they wanted to prohibit.

And then even in the context of searches that are looking for 1-800 Contacts, they -- the settlement agreements are defined narrowly to prevent certain searches based on those exhibits and also provides the opportunity to supplement those exhibits with additional negative keywords if the need arised [sic], if -- if an issue presented itself.

So I think we were focused on what's happening out there that we see in the real world and what we contemplated users might be searching for,

display of advertisements and/or internet links as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark."

How does that square with your last answer that they could advertise when it says here they have to use negative keywords when the -- when the negative keyword is included in the query?

A. Well, you have to read these agreements in the context of the entire agreement. I mean, there's a provision in page 2, subsection (B), that says use of the party's trademarks in a manner that would not constitute infringing. Comparative advertising, parodies, is excluded. You have to take into account that the term "party's trademarks," it says "as listed in Exhibit 1."

And the -- and with that, you know, context, the import is here are -- here are the searches we are specifically identifying in this agreement. And there can be searches that would include these terms, potentially, but would be excluded by that comparative advertising, fair use type stuff. And it was always the intent that we just want to make sure that this type of a search in this exhibit, any of these searches, don't trigger our ads, you know. And that's the language we use.

and that's what we based our settlement agreements on. But it certainly doesn't preclude providing a sponsored link on any search that would include one of our trademark terms in the search. To me, they're pretty narrowly tailored.

Q. Well, you just -- in the last sentence you said, "but it certainly doesn't preclude providing a sponsored link on any search that would include one of our trademark terms in the search."

 $\begin{tabular}{l} If you would please turn to -- go back \\ to 317, CX317, which was the AC Lens agreement. \\ \end{tabular}$

A. Okay.

Q. It says -- I'm looking at paragraph 2, capital letter C, where it describes the implementation of negative keywords. And I'm at the top of page 3 of the agreement. And the second line says, "in order to prevent the display of advertisements and/or internet links in response to or as a result of any internet search that includes the other Party's trademark keywords."

- A. And which paragraph was that, again?
- Q. Sorry. I'm at the top of page 3. It's paragraph section 2, paragraph capital C.
 - A. Right. Well --
 - Q. And it says, "in order to prevent the

That was always the intent.

That's the only thing that my understanding is that was ever monitored is exact searches for these terms in Exhibit 1. And the purpose of adding a provision where you can -- where you can supplement Exhibit 1, the purpose of that is so that you can narrowly tailor it as we're putting here. And if something becomes a problem, we can add it to Exhibit 1.

So I don't agree with the interpretation of the agreement that says, you know, you take that language out of context and say anything that includes one of these terms is prohibited. I don't think that's the import of this agreement or how the parties intended it to be. And if you take the agreement in its entire context, that's not how the parties implemented it or complied with it or understood it.

MR. CHIARELLO: Okay. Let's go off the record.

(Recess from 11:56 a.m. to 1:16 p.m.).

MR. CHIARELLO: Go back on the record.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Mark, I've handed you an exhibit marked CX638. Invite you peruse it. And when you're ready, please tell me what this is.

form. And compound.

(Exhibit CX638 was identified.)

A. It looks like a demand letter that I sent to Tram Data for 1-800 Contacts for their -- enforcing their trademark rights.

- Q. Do you -- do you remember sending this letter?
- A. Well, I mean, I can't tell you I have a distinct recollection of doing it, but I know I did it, if that makes sense. I can't tell you what the weather was like that day, in other words.
- Q. On page 2 of the letter at the top of it, it reads, "It has come to our attention that you are infringing upon our client's trademark rights through your purchase of sponsored advertisements at Google, Ask, AOL, and possibly other search engines."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

Q. What was the basis for your asserting that they were infringing upon your client's trademark rights?

MR. STONE: So we need to be careful about privilege issues, Mr. Miller. So to the extent there were facts that came to your attention only in a privileged context or things you did that you would consider work product, you should not disclose those.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't have a specific recollection other than, you know, we would have -- there would have been prior searches performed on those terms to, you know, reveal that their ads are coming up. And so that's why we put that in the letter, I guess.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Who would have performed those prior searches?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. And calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: It wasn't always the same. It varied. Could have come from trademark monitoring reports that 1-800 Contacts performed on -- as part of their normal course of business. It could have been something we got out of a Keyword Spy report, BrandVerity report. We used a bunch of different tools to evaluate use of the trademark as a triggering keyword or search term. So I can't tell you exactly how this one played out.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) What was the -- what was the BrandVerity report?

A. I remember the name. It was -- it was, you know, an independent company that did some -- some sort of analytics to evaluate other parties, you

But to the extent there were things that you shared, for example, with Tram Data's lawyers or things you would have shared with them if they'd asked because you didn't consider them privileged, you can provide all that.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, I think the basis, you know, I state it in this letter. I state it in the complaint we filed. And the basis is they were using their search engine advertising in a way that triggered their sponsored links in response to searches for the 1-800 Contacts trademark. And, you know, that can create a likelihood of confusion and lead to trademark infringement, and that was the basis.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) What was the way they were using -- strike that.

What was the basis for your contending that they were -- that the advertisements were triggered by at least the following keywords, as you wrote in the end of the first paragraph on page 2?

And there's a list of "1800 contacts," "1 800 contacts," "1-800-contacts," "1-800 contacts," "1-800 contacts," "MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to

know, keyword use on a certain keyword and see what was coming up. And they would put it in a report that would show the keyword and then the corresponding ad text and the corresponding URL, you know, landing page and things like that so you could evaluate it.

Keyword Spy was similar. I don't -- I just can't remember specifically how BrandVerity reports actually looked in detail, but I remember the name. I remember using BrandVerity reports.

- Q. Do you know how these reports, BrandVerity and you mentioned Keyword Spy, how they would assess the keyword use?
- A. I don't know the details of their, you know, algorithm or their software or how they did it. I mean, I -- I don't know. I don't know how they implemented it.
 - Q. Handing you an exhibit marked CX1071. (Exhibit CX1071 was identified.)
 - Q. My first question will be, what is this?
- A. Well, I don't know if I've ever seen this e-mail before. So -- I mean, looks like an e-mail from Jordan Judd to Bryce Craven with trademark monitoring reports attached.
 - Q. Did you receive trademark monitoring

21

22

23

24

25

basis from 1-800 Contacts?

getting them on a regular basis, yeah.

Q. What was that period of time?

A. Yeah, I did. For a period of time I was

of 2009, but 2010. Might have been '12. I mean, I

A. It would have been in 2010 and maybe part

125 127 reports from 1-800 Contacts? 1 didn't always review every one I got. I would get 1 2 them and, you know, sometimes I'd look at them. 2 A. Yeah, I did from time to time. 3 3 Q. If you flip through the document, you'll Sometimes I'd just put them in a folder on my e-mail. 4 see some attachments. 4 Q. Would you receive them weekly? 5 A. I don't recall how often they came. 5 A. Right. 6 Q. Unfortunately, they're not labeled by the 6 Q. Was this something you requested from 7 7 1-800 Contacts? document as indicated on the front of the e-mail. 8 But if you would please turn to -- unfortunately, it 8 A. No. I don't think so. O. You mentioned the BrandVerity report and 9 doesn't look like it's marked, but it is the fifth 9 10 10 page of the document. It's got -- it's in color. It the Keyword Spy reports. says "Google" at the top, and then there's like a A. Uh-huh. 11 11 Q. Were those reports that came to you 12 spreadsheet coming down, a little halfway down. 12 13 directly, or were they something that was sent to you 13 A. Right. from 1-800 Contacts? 14 Q. Do you see this? 14 15 Uh-huh. 15 A. I may have gotten some BrandVerity reports A. Q. And the date says 4/16/2010. from them from time to time. Keyword Spy I think was 16 16 17 A. Okav. 17 something that at some point in time they set up an 18 Q. Do you see that? 18 account and gave me the log-in/password information 19 19 so I could log into Keyword Spy myself and generate a Yeah. A. 20 Q. Have you seen a table like this before? 20 report if I wanted to for any reason, enforcement or 21 Yeah. I remember seeing these. 21 things like that, or I needed to get something for a A. 22 letter or evaluate whether we should send a letter on 22 And what are these? Q. 23 23 I think these are the trademark monitoring my own. 24 24 reports created in house at 1-800 Contacts by their So I remember being able to generate 25 25 Keyword Spy reports myself. I'm not sure if they group. 126 128 1 Q. Okay. You see the first term under Google 1 ever sent me those or if I only -- or if they used 2 savs "1800contacts"? 2 BrandVerity for their stuff. 3 A. Uh-huh. 3 Q. When you say you would generate a report 4 on your own, what does that mean? 4 Q. And over to the right under column 2 it 5 says "Replacemycontacts" --5 A. Boy, it's been a long time. I don't A. Right. 6 6 remember how the system works. But in general, I O. -- ".com." 7 7 think it was like I'd log into Keyword Spy, and then 8 8 I could -- I could, you know, create a report, Do you see that? 9 A. Uh-huh. 9 produce a report based on a keyword, you know, 10 Q. Going back to the Tram Data, the letter 10 myself. And it would -- it would generate like an 11 you sent to Tram Data, and it says "d/b/a Excel spreadsheet type of report based on the 11 12 ReplaceMyContacts.com." I'm wondering if looking at settings I put in there. I don't even remember all 12 this table maybe refreshes your recollection as to 13 13 the details of how it worked. how you learned about or assessed that Replace My 14 14 Q. And could you use that Keyword Spy report Contacts was infringing upon 1-800's trademark 15 15 that you generated to form a basis to determine if a rights. party was infringing upon 1-800's trademark rights? 16 16 A. I mean, I don't -- it could have been. I MR. STONE: So I think that may call for a 17 17 18 don't recall specifically. 18 legal opinion that would -- you could be protected by O. Did you receive these reports on a regular 19 19 work product if he came to it on his own, or

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

privileged if he communicated it to the client.

say if he did use it. But the question as it was

I think you can answer the question, is

that something that you did look at in formulating

that? Is that something you did use? I think he can

framed was could you use that to form a basis, and

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

131

I --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

129

1 So the frequency is one data point that 2

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I would correct it to say "would you." Would you use the Keyword Spy

Do you have in mind the basis, Mr. Miller?

- report that you generated to form a basis to determine if a party was infringing upon 1-800's trademark?
- A. I think I can say just in general certain tools that I would reference without going into what my analysis of what the work product was. Right?

It was one of the tools that I would use in formulating or in evaluating potential trademark infringement.

Q. And what were the other tools that you would use in addition to Keyword Spy?

- A. Well, screenshots, evaluation of screenshots and these trademark monitoring reports, BrandVerity reports and, you know, frequency of appearances, placement on the page and nature of the search, all those factors. And then just, you know, plugging those into a legal test are factors. So -but those tools are the tools that -- some of the tools that I could use and reference.
- Q. You said "frequency of appearances." What did you mean by that?

can point to that.

- Q. You mentioned placement on a page. By "page," did you mean the search engine result page?

Q. And what did placement on a page matter, in your assessment?

A. It's just one data point. The higher they are in the placement -- you know, ordinary consumers, I still think even to this day there's -- there's a significant percentage of ordinary consumers that don't fully understand how Google works. They don't fully understand the distinction between the organic results and the paid advertising results and how those are searched and how those are put up. And -and people think that the content of that website that's being advertised must have a relation to the term I searched. So the higher up the content, sometimes people may think that's more relevant. So if they're in a number one position, I think that can lead to more confusion, too.

That's just one data point. It's not exhaustive or exclusive, but it's another data point.

Q. So you mentioned the BrandVerity reports and the Keyword Spy reports and these trademark

130

A. Well, is this somebody placing a sponsored link? Do they come up -- are they coming up every time, the top -- you know, if you look at these top four or five 1-800 Contacts searches, are they coming up every time in all these searches in high placement on misspellings? Are they a one-off that came up once and you didn't seen again, and it came up -- you know, that's one data point factor.

Q. How does the frequency that they come up affect the analysis of trademark infringement?

A. Well, in my view, if -- if somebody is coming up more often on 1-800 search -- 1-800 Contact's searches and misspellings on a -- on an extensive or regular basis, that can show they're targeting that term more.

It can also show there's -- there's more likelihood of consumers thinking there is an affiliation, because every time somebody searches for 1-800 Contacts they're right there, and it's not very distinctive term. If it's like ShipMyContacts.com, consumers could think if they're always coming up when I search for 1-800 Contacts, maybe they're a fulfillment center. Maybe they've got some agreement with them. Maybe they've got some affiliation. Who knows.

monitoring reports, the frequency that you saw them, placement on the page, screenshots. Anything else that you would analyze in assessing whether or not a party was infringing upon 1-800's trademark?

A. Yeah. I mean, you look at the ads themselves, and you would analyze -- you've got to take into account the nature of the market, the nature of the parties, how strong the trademark is, consumer awareness of brands and retailer brands in the marketplace. There's a lot of factors that -outside of just looking at a search results page that you take into account when you're evaluating potential infringement.

And then if you have a basis to argue there's a likelihood of confusion or we've got a good faith basis to allege trademark infringement here. then you've got a claim. And then discovery will prove out what kind of evidence you have.

Q. How long would this analysis generally take?

A. Well, I don't --

MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. Improper as to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, every case is

different, and I can't really go through how long I

spent analyzing each issue first. There's no way. Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) When you -- did you undertake such an analysis before you sent this

- letter to Tram Data?

 A. Well, we would always evaluate the case before we made the allegations or filed the complaint, absolutely.
 - Q. The second page lists these six keywords. Do you see that?
 - A. Which page?
- Q. The second page of the letter that you sent to Tram Data.
 - A. Oh, yeah.
 - Q. At the back of the entire Exhibit CX638, page 024 is -- appears to be an attachment as Exhibit 2 to a draft settlement agreement.

Do you see that?

- A. Right.
- Q. You include here on this table many more words than were listed in the letter.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, because those are also our trademark rights that -- are 1-800's trademark rights they

that's privileged -- that's a privileged communication. I don't -- sitting here today, I don't really recall any details of what their process would be. So --

Q. Is any part of that answer being withheld because of privilege?

A. Yeah, a portion, a small portion of what I recall is. I don't know if you want to confer to see if I need to worry about that or not, but --

MR. STONE: Do you want us to confer? MR CHIARELLO: Yeah.

MR. STONE: Okay. Let's go off the record.

(Recess from 1:38 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.).

MR. CHIARELLO: Back on the record.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I can answer it a little bit without revealing communications that were for the purpose of giving advice. This is -- what this triggers in my mind is I remember them explaining that when they run these searches, one thing they would often do is they'd clear -- they said they would clear their cache and other cookies or whatever, you know, search engine history data type of thing. They'd clear all that before they did

the search so it didn't impact the search.

would want to protect, other misspellings that, for whatever reason, are included as meaningful misspellings based on -- I don't know exactly the evaluation of picking them. I don't recall. But they're included in there because they are relevant to 1-800's trademarks.

Q. If you would look back at CX1071. We were looking at that table. It's right there in front of you. If you would turn to the next page.

A. Okay.

Q. And I'm wondering if on this page and the subsequent two or three pages, are these examples of screenshots that you were talking about?

A. Yeah. These are some examples of screenshots that I would -- these come from 1-800's internal trademark monitoring reports that they would share with us.

Q. And were you aware of how they would assemble their trademark monitoring reports?

A. I don't know the process they went through.

Q. You don't know how they conducted the searches?

A. No. I may recall them communicating to me something about how they did it, but I don't know if

And then they would click on the websites or on the links and go there, and they would create this -- they would show -- they would have it displayed as privacy report for each link. And my understanding was the purpose of this privacy report is it would help you understand whether, for example, ShipMyContacts.com was the party that paid for this sponsored link or whether it was an affiliate that was putting it up there on behalf of ShipMyContacts.com to get a commission. That's what the privacy reports are for. That's all I recall.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Do you know what the purpose behind the trademark enforcement program and these searches was for 1-800 Contacts?

A. Well, trademark protection. I mean, from my perspective, the -- the sole focus we had was intellectual property is a valuable asset; and 1-800 Contacts, their most valuable asset, in many respects, is that name. They had invested hundreds of millions of dollars in that name. And the purpose of this program was to maintain the goodwill that they had developed in that brand to avoid any dilution, to avoid it becoming I guess generic, genericized or genericness applying to it, or -- and to avoid trademark infringement, to avoid people

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

139

140

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

137

misappropriating the goodwill they'd invested in -in that mark. Competitors doing that.

That was it. That was the sole focus. It was -- this trademark is -- I mean, I remember seeing their surveys where they would get -- I mean, I don't have the numbers right any more, it's been so long, but something like 40 percent unaided awareness of their name. You go up to consumers in a survey and you say, identify a company that sells contact lenses online or over the phone or through a catalog or something; and 40 percent, their first response would be 1-800 Contacts. And the next highest response of any retail brand was down in the like single digits, 1 or 2 percent. Might have been LensCrafters.

So that brand recognition was a huge asset, and that's why internally it makes sense that a company would put together resources to make sure that brand name stayed strong, was protected and wasn't being infringed and misused by any competitors. That's my understanding of the purpose.

Q. And is it your understanding that the employees, that these screenshots that we're looking at here were generated by the employees of 1-800 Contacts?

MR. STONE: The ones in this particular

Q. I think I handed them to you out of sequence as far as chronological. But if you could, please, let's start with CX828.

A. Okay.

Q. And what is this?

A. Looks like a letter sent to me from Kevin Drucker, who was counsel for Tram Data.

Q. And do you recall this May 6th letter that vou had sent?

A. Well, I don't know if I -- I think that's the one we just looked at.

MR. STONE: CX638?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's the one we were just talking about a little while ago.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) That's right. The first sentence in the third paragraph, he writes, "Tram Data is aware that 1-800 Contacts has, since at least as early as June 2009, been engaging in the very activity 1-800 Contacts now complains of."

Do you know what he's referring to there?

A. Well, I think you just read --MR. STONE: Objection. The document

23 speaks for itself.

Go ahead and answer.

25 THE WITNESS: That's what he's referring

138

1 to is what you read.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) By "very activity 1-800 Contacts complains of"?

A. Yeah. I mean, he attached screenshots too. So he's saying that 1-800's sponsored links are coming up when somebody searches for ReplaceMyContacts.com.

Q. Do you know if 1-800 Contacts was using Tram Data's intellectual property to deliver search advertising?

A. Well, it appears that's what he's pointing out here. And that's why he says we should do these agreements, so neither of us is causing trademark infringement. I mean, that's what he's saying there.

Q. I'm asking you, were you aware if 1-800 Contacts was causing trademark infringement?

A. Before he sent this letter?

O. Yes.

A. No.

Q. After he sent that letter?

MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for a legal opinion as to whether or not it constituted trademark infringement.

So I caution you not to share any opinions that you shared with your client about whether or not

exhibit?

MR. CHIARELLO: In this particular example.

THE WITNESS: That's my understanding. I think certain employees in their search marketing department, Bryce Craven or Jordan Judd or people with -- I don't know how they train them or how it worked, but that's who would generate these.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is it fair to say that they were generating this to see who else came up in response to that search?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. And calls for speculation.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: They were generating these to see if anything was happening that -- that could negatively impact their trademark rights or result in confusion or infringement. That's my understanding. I mean, I wasn't internal there for any of their training, but that's what they were -- that's what it seems to me that their purpose was. That's what my understanding was.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Handing you CX0827 and CX828.

(Exhibits CX0827 and CX0828 were identified.)

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1 MR. STONE: I don't think the complaint -- 2 oh, it is attached. I'm sorry.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) It's behind the settlement agreement.

A. Well, yeah. I think the complaint speaks for itself. We allege what their actions are, and we explain in the general allegations what they're doing. And then we explain the law and how it applies to the Lanham Act. So --

Q. If you would turn to CX1470, and page 6 of -- actually, let's start at the first page. Do you know what this is?

A. It looks like another e-mail about the trademark monitoring reports from Jordan Judd to Bryce Craven. I don't recall seeing that before.

Q. Would you please turn to CX1470-006. And if you look at the first line on the top of that page, it says "1800contacts," and then if you go over to column 3, it says "Webeyecare.com."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

Q. Do you know if that -- this report helped form the basis for your allegation that Web Eye Care was infringing upon 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights?

A. It could have. I can't say definitively.

it was trademark infringement. But you can otherwise answer.

THE WITNESS: I was aware after this letter that they were identifying behavior that they felt could give rise to a claim for trademark infringement potentially, similar to my letter that I sent him. That's what he's saying. That's my understanding of what he's saying.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Are you withholding any part of the answer based on privilege?
 - A. No, not that I can think of.
- Q. Okay. You can set those two letters aside, then.

I'm handing you an exhibit CX643. (Exhibit CX643 was identified.)

Q. I'm also handing you CX1470. (Exhibit CX1470 was marked.)

- Q. And with respect to CX643, what is this document?
- A. Looks like a letter I sent to Web Eye Care, August 10th, 2010.
- Q. If you turn to the second page, top of the page, it reads, "It has come to our attention that you are infringing upon our client's trademark rights

through your online advertising campaigns with Google, and possibly other search engines, that are designed to use at least the following keywords/search terms to trigger advertisements for your directly competitive services and links to your directly competitive website www.webeyecare.com."

Do you see that?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. First of all, what did you mean by "directly competitive services"?
- A. Web Eye Care sells -- is a retail -- retailer of contact lenses online, and that's the exact same services 1-800 Contacts provides. So I don't know. I call that directly competitive services.
- Q. And the list below here of -- of the keywords that you listed, what was your basis for alleging trademark infringement based on those keywords?
- A. I think it's the same as I testified in my last letter. I mean, same thing. I think my basis is here. It's in the complaint. And it would be the same as I explained in regards to the last letter.
- Q. Where in the complaint and attachment is your basis?

I don't recall.

Q. And if you go to the next line, it says 1800, space, contacts; and then in column 3 it has "Webevecare.com" again.

Do you see that?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. This list of terms that forms -- I guess it's the first column on the left on the report. Do you know how that list of terms was assembled?
- A. I don't know what you mean by "assembled." I understand that those are the terms that they searched through Google. And then the next column would show the results page. And then the next columns would show what other, you know, who -- who was placing the ads. So how they chose the terms in that first column, I don't know.
- Q. If you go down to row 17, it says 1800contacts, space, coupon, space, code.

Do you see that?

- A. Yeah.
 - Q. Do you know how that was included as a search term?
 - A. No. I mean, I'm sure they have their ways of deciding what terms were important and potentially more confusing than others, or more impactful on

145 147 1 their trademark rights than others --1 bar and hit "search" and get a results page that was 2 2 I think what you referred to in that second column? Q. How would they know --3 A. -- but I don't know. 3 A. Well, like I said, I -- I told you I 4 Q. How would they determine what was more 4 wasn't part of the process. I told you what I 5 impactful on their trademark rights than others? 5 recalled about how they did it, how they would clear their cache and then do a search. That's -- that's 6 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 6 7 7 Calls for speculation. the best I know. I wasn't actually the one doing it, 8 THE WITNESS: I just said I wasn't part of 8 so --9 that. I don't know how they chose the words or the 9 Q. Do you know if they ever communicated with 10 10 terms searched in that column. Google or Yahoo that they were doing these searches? 11 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) You used the term 11 A. I have no idea. 12 "trademark rights," and it sounded like a legal term. 12 Q. If you would turn to CX1470-007. If you That's why I was wondering if they -- they did some 13 look at the top screenshot there, it says 13 "1800contacts" next to the Google brand name. 14 sort of analysis to determine words that would most 14 15 15 likely be most infringing on their trademark rights. Do you see that? A. Like I said, I don't know how they chose 16 A. Uh-huh. 16 17 that. 17 O. Do you see that there's two ads on the 18 Q. Do you know if they had separate trademark 18 right side? And I apologize because it's very small 19 counsel other than yourself and Mr. Pratt? 19 print. 20 A. I don't. I know that they understand 20 A. Right. Q. Do you see that kind of gray word up 21 their trademark rights. I mean, and when they would 21 22 ask us for counsel on that, we'd give it to them. I there? I believe it says "Sponsored Links." 22 23 can't tell you -- I can't reveal any of those 23 A. Yes. 24 communications, but I don't recall how they would 24 Q. Okay. And the second one down, do you see 25 have -- how this particular group would have been 25 where it says guaranteed lower prices -- "lowest 146 148 1 selected for searching. 1 prices"? 2 Q. Would that be something you would inquire 2 A. Uh-huh. 3 about in determining -- in analyzing this report 3 Q. And there's a green link that says 4 "www.WebEyeCare.com"? 4 that's being sent to you by your client? 5 5 A. I mean, I may have, and I may have had A. Yeah. discussions with them about it. I don't recall 6 O. As you look at the ad on that search 6 specifically. I don't know. I talked about these 7 results page, does that lead you to believe that Web 7 8 8 reports with them from time to time. I know that. Eye Care is infringing upon your client's trademark 9 And we would have discussed them. 9 rights? 10 I mean, just looking at them, these are 10 A. Yeah, potentially. all searches that indicate a user looking for Why? 11 11 Q. 12 12

I mean, just looking at them, these are all searches that indicate a user looking for 1-800 Contacts as a source for contacts, specifically looking for 1-800 Contacts. That's what these terms reflect to me. So that could have been their aim for we've got to find searches that indicate the user is looking for us.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q. And they were implementing these terms to see who else was appearing in response to these searches for 1-800 Contacts?

A. Well, I think they were implementing to see who else was using their trademark to trigger their advertisements, which can cause problems with your trademark rights.

Q. And is it your understanding that they would implement these terms into the Google search

A. Well, the -- the user has typed in "1800contacts." This link comes up with kind of a generic-looking source and language in it about contact lenses retailers, and it's under the heading of a sponsored link. And I think somebody looking for 1-800 Contacts that sees that could think these guys may be affiliated with 1-800 Contacts or maybe they help 1-800 Contacts sell their over supply or -- you know, there's all kinds of ways that people could mistakenly believe, you know, why would this come up if I searched for 1-800 Contacts? Maybe 1-800 Contacts is on their website somewhere in the content and that's what brought it up.

So people could mistakenly believe there's

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1-800 Contacts 149 1 a sponsorship as a sponsored link or an affiliation competitive services and website." 1 2 or something like that. So yeah, that could 2 What did you mean by "directly competitive 3 potentially lead to trademark infringement. 3 services and website"? 4 Q. And the user we know here was actually 4 A. Well, same thing I said before. Directly 5 1-800 Contacts who conducted the search. Is that 5 competitive services is, you know, one of the factors correct? in evaluating trademark infringement is the nature of 6 6 7 7 the services or goods. Are they the same? Are they A. Somebody at 1-800 Contacts, yes. 8 Q. Okay. Going back to CX643, again, to this 8 different? Are they selling -- you know, are they 9 list. Is there anything else since we've had the 9 selling watches or are they selling contact lenses? 10 discussion, looked at some of the report here, that 10 Are they a retailer? Are they a manufacturer? You know, trades of channel. So that's one aspect that 11 would have informed your -- or maybe refreshes your 11 12 recollection as to whether or not the -- basis that 12 goes into a trademark infringement analysis. you made the allegation that Web Eye Care was 13 These guys are doing the exact same retail 13 infringing upon 1-800's trademarks? 14 services for the exact same product through the exact 14 same retail channels. All of that in decades-old 15 A. I -- I don't have a specific recollection 15 of any specific analysis. What I've said before is 16 trademark infringement law are factors that show --16 17 the general tools we would use and things that would 17 that -- that tilt the scale towards trademark 18 apply here too. So I can't tell you exactly other 18 infringement. 19 than what I've said went into that analysis. But --19 Q. What steps, if any, did you take to assess Q. I'm handing you CX1468. 20 20 whether or not Contact Lens King's services were 21 (Exhibit CX1468 was identified.) 21 directly competitive? 22 Q. And also CX799. A. Well, they were an online contact lens 22 23 (Exhibit CX799 was identified.) 23 retailer. I mean, you can tell that by just going to 24 MR. BEACH: Mr. Chiarello, the document 24 their website. 25 states there's a complaint attached, but there is in 25 Q. Was there anything else besides going to 150 fact no complaint attached. 1 1 the website that --2 MR. CHIARELLO: It is incomplete. 2 A. Well, no, I didn't talk to them, but the 3 MR. BEACH: Okay. 3 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) The first document I 4 they were. So that's how you start a case is you 4 handed you, CX448, what is this? 5 5 A. CX what? 6 6 7 and you assert the claim. If they came back and 7 MR. HOPKIN: 1468. I think. 8 THE WITNESS: 1468? 8 9 9 O. (By Mr. Chiarello) 1468. 10 10

A. It's a letter I sent to Contact Lens King in February 2010.

Q. And if you turn to the second page, this reads, "It has come to our attention that you are infringing on our client's trademark rights through your purchase of sponsored advertisements on Google, and possibly other search engines."

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And what is the basis for your allegations that they were infringing upon your client's trademark rights?

A. Again, it's the same thing I said in response to the other letters. We would have evaluated it and the same type of analysis.

Q. And it says, "for your directly

information we had showed that that's likely what look at what evidence you have and the inferences you can make and you see if you've got a viable claim, responded and said, hey, we're not a contact lens retailer, we just put pictures of them on our website but we don't sell any, then probably would have been a different story.

Q. The list below that you provided for the following keywords, the first one says 1, space, 80, space, contacts. The next one says 1, space, 800 space, c-i-n-t-a-c-t-s. The next one says 1, space, 800 c-o-n-a-c-t-s. Where did this list come from?

A. I don't recall. They're -- they're all just misspellings, variations of the 1-800 Contacts trademark. So I don't recall exactly where they came from. Probably through analysis of what searches were triggering the advertisements.

Q. It seems to be a different list than that which we saw on the previous letters.

A. Right.

Was there different -- a different source

152

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

155

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

153

for that list?

A. Again, I don't know. I don't know if I used a Keyword Spy report, BrandVerity report. I relied on 1-800's internal trademark monitoring report. You know, not every -- not every case was exactly the same, you know, analysis or process or -every player was different. So --

Q. Okay. It says over on the third page, "We, therefore, demand that you immediately cease and desist from further use of 1800 Contacts marks, and confusingly similar variations thereof in all of your advertising campaigns and implement negative keywords to ensure further infringement and consumer confusion does not occur."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

Q. And then you have down here as the third bullet point -- well, you say, "Specifically, we demand that you provide us written certification that within the next ten days that you have," colon; and the third bullet point says, "implemented the above-listed keywords and the following list of terms and negative keywords" -- and it says, "For information on implementing" -- you give looks like a footnote to a website.

meant? Or likelihood of confusion? Are you saying did we have actual consumer confusion at the time?

I -- I don't know. I don't recall. We would have conferred with the client. I don't recall specific conversations. I wouldn't reveal them anyway.

But my answer is we rely on the same, you know, factors of nature of the market and all those factors I said earlier and data points and other information we'd consider in evaluating the potential for a claim.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Would you send a letter alleging infringement if the evidence of consumer confusion was speculative?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation. And vague and ambiguous and improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't even know what you mean by that, "speculative."

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Well, if -- you used a term "potential infringement" in your prior answer. So what would be evidence of potential infringement?
- A. Same things I've said before. I mean, I think I've explained that.
 - O. So would you send an infringement letter

154

A. Right.

Q. "In all of your keyword advertising campaigns."

So this list of keywords that you've demanded here, where did this come from?

A. Again, I don't specifically recall. I assume it came from the client. Or maybe from our -we would have conferred with the client to create a list of keywords that relate to the trademarks and misspellings thereof and put it in here. But I don't -- I don't recall exactly where it came from or how it was assembled.

Q. Okay. With respect to Contact Lens King's letter here, what evidence did you possess to assert a claim of infringement relative to -- well, what evidence of consumer confusion did you have to assert the claim of infringement here?

MR. STONE: Be careful -- be careful of any privilege issues, but otherwise you can answer.

I also would object on relevance grounds.

THE WITNESS: We would have considered the same tools and sources and information that I said earlier with regard to evaluating potential infringement. I don't know if you meant -- what evidence of actual confusion? Is that what you

if you had evidence that consumers were potentially infringed even if you didn't have evidence that they were actually -- I'm sorry -- potentially confused, even if you didn't have evidence that they were actually confused?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. Calls for speculation. Beyond the scope of the issues that complaint counsel has put in dispute. Because you've said, A, none of the cases were sham, and, B, all that you're challenging are the settlement agreements. So I don't know why we're investigating and spending all this time on cease and desist letters, which are not part of your claims.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Nobody files a trademark claim only after they've proven that it's a slam dunk, guaranteed to win, and they've got a judgment type of claim. When you assert a trademark claim you believe somebody is misusing your trademark rights, and you do your analysis and figure out you've got a claim. You've got -- they're using your trademark rights. It's going to harm. It's going to a likelihood of confusion. And -- and you assert those rights.

So if I have a client that has a trademark

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

1-800 Contacts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

157

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I think that's enough to give you a very well-founded belief that that's likely happening that they are using it.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Would the appearance of the ad on the search engine result page, would you know whether or not the alleged infringing party actually purchased or bid on the 1-800 Contacts trademark?
 - A. Based on the appearance of the ad?
- Q. Based on the fact that they appeared on the search engine result page.

A. Just based on one appearance of one search results page, it wouldn't tell you definitively whether they had or not. It would give you an idea that maybe they had.

Like I said, there's also factors of frequency. How many different misspellings of 1-800 Contacts they come up on or how often they're coming up on can give you a better feel of are they using it in the sense of broad matching it, or are they using it in the sense of designating it as the keyword itself? You can -- you can get a good feel, not a guaranteed knowledge, but certainly enough to have a -- have a strong basis to assert the claim that they're using the trademark to trigger their

158

Google on that. I think the search itself shows that they're using our mark. I've already explained that you can use it in a lot of different ways. You can make it be the keyword itself. You can broad match it and target it that way. Either way, you can use

and somebody else is using that exact same trademark,

and that party using it sells the same product or

there on the factors of showing likelihood of

in no way says you can't have a trademark

around the country does all the time.

infringement claim.

campaigns?

question.

Improper as to form.

confusion. And the case law is very clear that

offers the same services and they do it through the

same channels of trade, then you're already halfway

there's -- the absence of actual confusion evidence

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) When you were

your practice to verify from the search engines

1-800's trademark in their search advertising

whether or not the alleged infringers were using

in evidence that the search engines would tell you.

would. I'm asking if he contacted them. I asked a

preparing this and other infringement letters, was it

MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not

MR. CHIARELLO: I'm not assuming that they

THE WITNESS: No. I would never contact

So, yeah, I would assert a trademark claim without having actual confusion evidence as everybody

And I'm pretty confident from things I've read that if I was to call Google and just request them to tell me that, they would say, no, send us a subpoena. Well, I can't send them a subpoena without filing a lawsuit.

Q. But appearance was enough for you to satisfy the use factor?

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Improper as to form. Incomplete hypothetical. And overbroad.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by "appearance was enough."

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Well, you said that -that you saw on the search page that they were -that they appeared in the search engine result page and that you knew that was used. And I'm just confirming, I guess, that --

MR. STONE: Same -- sorry. Same objections as to the preceding question.

advertisements. Because advertisers have complete control -- I mean, a significant amount of control over what searches are going to trigger their advertisements.

MR. CHIARELLO: Let's take a quick break. (Recess from 2:15 p.m. to 2:26 p.m.).

MR. CHIARELLO: Back on the record.

- Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I'm handing you CX0796, which has several attached pages. And I'm going to ask you, what -- what is this document? (Exhibit CX0796 was marked.)
- A. This looks like a letter I sent to Contact Lens King about the settlement agreement, about a breach of the settlement agreement.
- Q. Is this -- this type of breach letter something you did on behalf of 1-800 Contacts with respect to this and the other settlement agreements?
 - A. Yeah, when there was a need.
- Q. And you sent an attachment here. If you look at CX796, page 002, it looks like a screenshot. Is that right?
 - A. Yes, it looks like it.
- O. And is the search term at the top of this page "1800contacs" without the T?
 - A. 1800 -- looks like it might be. It's kind

160

159

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

161 163 of blurry. I mean, that's the best I can see, I 1 agreement required them to implement that term as a 1 2 2 negative keyword, and our understanding was -- at 3 Q. Okay. And is the first ad underneath 3 least my understanding is that ad could not come up 4 here, does it -- is it 1800Contacts? 4 if they were using that term as a negative keyword in 5 compliance with the agreement. So the fact that it 5 A. The first ad, sponsored link? 6 came up would indicate a breach, and that's why I 6 Q. Yes. 7 would have sent the letter. 7 A. Yeah, looks like it. 8 8 Q. Okay. And do you see below that ad it Q. The last four pages of this Exhibit CX796, 9 says "Acuvue Official Site"? 9 what is this? 10 A. It looks like -- are you talking about 10 Do you see that? A. Yes. 11 CX796 page 7? 11 Q. Is that an ad for Acuvue, do you know? Q. Yeah. 12 12 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. A. It looks like it's a BrandVerity report 13 13 14 that was created in April 2010. 14 Calls for speculation. 15 THE WITNESS: It's an ad that says "Acuvue 15 Q. And do you know if you created this report? 16 16 Official Site." 17 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And then right below 17 A. I don't recall. 18 it are the words "Did you mean," and it spells out 18 Q. Okay. And do you know how this report was 19 "1800contacts." 19 assembled? 20 Do you see that? 20 A. I don't. Q. And the sixth column over where it says 21 A. Yeah, I do. 21 22 22 "Position," do you know what that means? Q. Do you know how that got there? A. I don't. That's -- I don't know how 23 A. No. I'm not -- I'm not certain. It could 23 24 Google runs its stuff like that in detail. 24 be position on the search results page, but I don't 25 O. Do you think that that's something Google 25 know if it's organic results or not. I don't know. 162 164 1 1 supplied on its search engine result page? I don't remember how to read these reports sitting 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for 2 here today as well as I used to. 3 3 MR. CHIARELLO: At this time I'll reserve speculation. 4 the balance of my time. 4 You can answer. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know. MR. STONE: Okay. O. (By Mr. Chiarello) And then on the 6 Let me ask the reporter to mark RX0250. 6 7 7 right-hand side of this search engine result page (Exhibit RX0250 was marked.) 8 8 screenshot it reads "1-day Acuvue Clearance." **EXAMINATION** 9 9 Do you see that ad? That's at the top of BY MR. STONE: those four ads that are there. 10 10 Q. Mr. Miller, do you recognize this as a 11 A. Yes. 11 series of e-mails between Mr. Zeidner and Ms. Pinney? 12 Q. And then it looks like the bottom -- and 12 A. Yes, I've seen this before. 13 it's faded, but it looks like the URL says Q. And earlier today you were asked about the 13 WWW.ContactLensKing.com. initial settlement agreement with Vision Direct and 14 14 Do you see that? 1-800 Contacts. Do you recall that? 15 15 A. Yeah. 16 16 A. Yes. Q. Is that ad's appearance on this page what 17 Q. And is this part of the information you 17 gives rise to the allegation of breach of contract in 18 reviewed to be prepared to testify today as the 18 designated witness with respect to that settlement 19 vour letter? 19 20 A. Well, I believe that the term 20 agreement? 21 "1800contacs" without the T there was one of the 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 terms listed in Exhibit 2 with the Contact Lens King 22 Q. Is it your testimony that that settlement 23 settlement agreement. 23 agreement, as best you know, was negotiated largely O. Are you looking at CX323 right now? 24 24 between the in-house lawyers at 1-800 and at Vision 25 A. Yeah. And so -- and I believe that 25 Direct?

165 167 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for language that carried through to later settlement 1 1 2 2 agreements as well to make sure that no speculation. 3 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding. 3 non-infringing uses of anybody's trademarks would Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. Let me direct your 4 4 actually be prohibited in any of these agreements. 5 attention to the first page of Exhibit RX0250. About 5 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. You can put that to two-thirds of the way down you'll see where it says 6 6 one side if you would like. "Also, I have deleted." 7 7 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to 8 A. Yeah, I see that. 8 mark as RX0251 a three-page e-mail chain. 9 Q. And is that part of the text of an e-mail 9 (Exhibit RX0251 was marked.) 10 from Ms. Pinney to Mr. Zeidner? 10 Q. Do you recognize this document as an 11 A. Yes. e-mail chain between Mr. Craven of 1-800 Contacts and 11 12 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 12 a representative of Contactlens.com? speculation. Document speaks for itself. 13 13 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 14 Q. (by Mr. Stone) And do you see there where speculation. The document speaks for itself. 14 it says, "We should both retain the right to 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I do. I think that 15 participate in lawful comparative advertising, 16 16 contact -parodies," et cetera? 17 17 MR. CHIARELLO: Lacks foundation. 18 A. Yes, I do. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I interrupted. 19 Q. And is seeing this language here 19 I believe this is -- contactlens.com relates to 20 consistent with any understanding you have as to 20 Lensfast, and I remember the Lensfast agreement, that 21 where the language that shows up in many of the 21 I was part of negotiating that. 22 settlement agreements about comparative advertising Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. And let's go back 22 23 and parodies comes from? 23 and find the Lensfast agreement, if we can. MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 24 24 A. Okay, I've got it. 25 speculation. Lacks foundation. 25 Q. Which number is it? 166 168 A. CX0315. 1 THE WITNESS: I believe the language in 1 2 the Vision Direct agreement at this time included a 2 O. Got it. And the effective date of that 3 provision that would allow comparative advertising, 3 settlement agreement is what? and that's a provision that carried through to the 4 4 A. December 18th, 2009. settlement agreements later on, including the ones 5 5 Q. Okay. And then what's the date of the -that I personally worked on. So my understanding is this e-mail chain? 6 6 7 this negotiation with Vision Direct and Vision 7 A. Well, the first e-mail is January 7th, 8 Direct's suggestion that -- about comparative 8 2010. So a few weeks after the agreement was -- the 9 advertising was the origin of -- of that concept. 9 effective date of the agreement. 10 Q. (By Mr. Stone) So take a look at what was 10 Q. Okay. And look, if you would, at the earlier marked as CX0311, if you would. bottom of page 1 of Exhibit RX0251. 11 11 12 A. All right. Okay. 12 A. Okay. Q. And turn, if you would, to page 5 of the 13 13 Q. Do you see where it says "I think you document, which is numbered CX0311-004. should be fine with making the negative keywords 14 14 15 15 exact match (putting them in brackets like you show A. Okav. O. And look down at subparagraph (B) which is below)"? 16 16 17 in paragraph 4. 17 Do you see that language? 18 A. Yeah, I see that. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And in the language in subparagraph (B), 19 Q. And what does that refer to? 20 little i, do you see language that is related to the 20 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 21 language we just saw in the e-mail from Ms. Pinney? 21 speculation. 22 THE WITNESS: Well --22 A. Yes. 23 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 23 MR. CHIARELLO: Foundation. speculation. Lack of foundation. 24 24 THE WITNESS: Well, my understanding is 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the similar 25 that's referring to them implementing the negative

2/8/2017

keywords associated with the settlement agreement. I recall when I discussed this settlement agreement with Lensfast, the counsel's name was Dan something. Dan --

Q. (By Mr. Stone) Did it start with a G?

A. Dan Garrity.

Q. Garrity?

A. Dan Garrity. So he was one that would -he was one that called me and actually asked me
about -- we had discussions about negative keywords
and how they work, and he actually raised issues of,
you know, what about this type of search or
comparative advertising, or what about if somebody
searches for -- I don't know the exact examples he
gave, but he would give examples like, you know,
"1-800 Contacts competitors" or something other than
that. Is negative -- are negative keywords going to
preclude that kind of stuff? He raised that issue.

So I remember discussing that issue with him and explaining all we're interested in is having these exact phrases used as negative keywords. You can kind of do that however you want.

And he said, how do those work? And I think we talked about that a little. I may have sent him an e-mail with a link to Google on how negative

didn't do that. He says, I don't think we should be able to -- I mean, I don't think this should be something that broadly precludes searches that just might include 1-800 Contacts in any respect. That was his concern.

And I said, well, I recall vaguely a discussion about the type of negatives that you can use; I'll find out for you. This was early on in me working on these issues with 1-800 Contacts. So in his first call, I don't think I really appreciated that negative keywords could be matched differently, just like the keyword matching.

So I believe I told him, let me find out. And I said, here's -- here's the intent of the agreement is that these terms right here, if somebody searches for just this term, you don't come up --

Q. When you say --

A. -- and that's it.

Q. -- "these terms right here," what are you referring to?

A. I'm referring to Exhibit 2 of the Lensfast settlement agreement, and Exhibit 3 where each party identifies their keywords -- their trademark terms and their website.

Q. And that's CX0315?

keywords work. And then I -- I said, if -- if your marketing person needs help in how negative keywords

work, they're free to contact Bryce Craven at 1-800 Contacts, who does our negative keywords in our

search advertising, so -- he understands Google better than I do.

And so I assume that's what happened here was Lensfast directed their guy to Bryce Craven, and Bryce helped them use the negative keyword exact match, which is what this e-mail shows.

Q. Okay. Tell us -- go over it again, if you would. Tell us what conversations you recall having with Mr. Garrity regarding the implementation of the settlement agreement in terms of how it should be implemented.

A. He -- the best I can recall is he expressed concerns. Mostly he's expressing concerns based on his clients' concerns of what is a negative keyword going to do. And I got the impression that this negative keyword concept was a new thing to them. So they said, if we put in, for example, some of these terms, one, space, 800, space, contact, how does the negative keyword work? Is it going to include more than just that term?

And -- and he wanted to make sure it

1 A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. So I recall telling -- that's the intent of this. I recall pointing out to him, there's this provision that says anything that's comparative advertising or non-infringing definitely can't be included. We're trying to keep it narrow but protecting trademark rights. And then said, I'll figure it out and get back to you.

And I believe I later sent him an e-mail with a link to Google that kind of said, here's how Google does matching for negative keywords; here's some information if you need more. I remember -- I don't know if I told him in an e-mail or on the phone, you know, you can contact Bryce Craven for help at 1-800 Contacts.

But that -- that's the gist of the discussion I remember with Dan Garrity was we're just focusing on these terms in Exhibits 2 and 3 and we're not trying to carve out any search that would be broad matched or even necessarily phrase matched with these.

O. Okav.

A. And that was his concern.

MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

173 175 RX0252. 1 mark as Exhibit RX0252 an e-mail from you to 1 2 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Garriott.

- Q. You need to give it to her to mark it, if you would.
 - A. Oh, Dan Garriott, not Garrity. Sorry. (Exhibit RX0252 was marked.)
- Q. Can you tell us what RX-- well, do you recognize RX0252?
- A. Oh. Yup, I do. This is an e-mail. This is the e-mail I sent to Dan Garriott about the different ways to match negative keywords.
- Q. So earlier today when you and I might have said "Garrity," we were both misspeaking?
 - A. We were both misspeaking.
 - Q. The last name is G-a-r-r-i-o-t-t?
 - Yes. Dan Garriott. A.
- O. Okav. And what is this e-mail? What's it cover? What's it about?
- A. This is where I just sent him a link to Google's explanation of how negative keyword match types work, and it's because we had had a phone call where he was expressing some concerns. And, you know, he also had some concerns with some of the keywords in here that are like long phrases and how that works. And so this is where I'm sending him

I believe we ended up throwing in a footnote somewhere in this agreement where he found out that that really long term, that really long phrase, "exact same contact lenses delivered to your door for less than you're paying now," could not be added as a negative keyword because it was so long. And so we just said, well, then you don't have to include that as a negative keyword.

- O. And that's the footnote on page 4 of CX0315?
- A. Yes. That's footnote 1 of the Lensfast agreement.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So, yeah, he had raised those concerns. And honestly, that was kind of one of the learning points for me being kind of early on in the process of -- of this type of trademark infringement and understanding Google. And it was always our intent to have these pretty narrowly tailored, and that's what I told him and that's how he resolved it with his client.
- Q. So, for example, taking that phrase "exact same contact lenses delivered to your door for less than you're paying now" in Exhibit RX0252, were you

174

that, to help him understand how negative matching works. And he was free to use either exact match or phrase match, whichever his client wanted to use based on what his client wanted to -- how they wanted to tailor their AdWords campaign.

O. In the next to the last paragraph the first sentence reads, "It is clear that complete phrases can be implemented as negative keywords without each word of the phrase also operating as a negative keyword."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And what was -- what were you trying to communicate with that sentence?

A. You know, I remember him looking at -- if you go to the CX315 Lensfast agreement, if we go to Exhibit 2, some of these registered trademarks from 1-800 Contacts are kind of some long phrases, like "The world's largest Contact Lens Store" or "exact same contact lenses, delivered to your door, for less than you're paying now," these -- these long phrases. And he was wondering how to do that whole phrase as a negative keyword, if that's -- if that's possible. And so that was one of the issues he raised, and that's what I respond to there in that e-mail of

telling him that if he made that a negative keyword it would not prevent him from putting up his ads in response to individual words within that phrase, such as the words "contact lenses"?

A. Yes.

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's what we were trying to convey to him. He was worried that if you added that big phrase, or even any of the other phrases in that Exhibit 2 of the Lensfast agreement as a negative keyword, would the individual terms in those phrases operate to exclude certain searches.

So, for example, he also raised even the one like -- there's all these variations of 1-800 Contacts on Exhibit 2 of the Lensfast agreement. And some of them are 1, space, 800, space, contact, and he even talked about that as would that prevent anything coming up that had one of those words in it; how do these negative keywords work. And we were explaining to him, no, you just use -- you can bracket those and make an exact match. It would have to have that exact search in order to block your ad.

O. (By Mr. Stone) And then the e-mail we marked earlier as RX0251 from Mr. Craven, did that

PUBLIC 1-800 Contacts 2/8/2017

177

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come later in time subsequent to your e-mail RX0252?

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it did. It came later, I believe after I sent this to him. I had already told him he can contact Bryce Craven anytime, and it looks like he directed his client to get in contact with Bryce to make sure that they understood how negative keywords worked.

MR. STONE: Okay. Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0253 a letter from Mr. Miller to Mr. Delaney.

(Exhibit RX0253 was marked.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that?

Q. Can you identify this document?

A. Yeah. Looks like a letter I sent to John Delaney, who was counsel for Standard Optical at the

Q. Looking at the second paragraph, you say, "Your client's recent actions have demonstrated that it has complete control over whether its advertisements are triggered by a search for my client's trademarks, contrary to your contention that such a result is," quote, "'not a decision by an advertiser like Standard Optical," close quote.

What did you have in mind when you said

informed you that such negotiations are far more likely to be fruitful if your client implements the negative keywords within its control to prevent its ads from being displayed in response to a search for my client. Your client did so in mid-August 2010, and its advertisements stopped appearing."

Do you see that language?

A. Yes.

Q. What did that refer to?

A. It referred to something that we had noticed in other situations, too, where the party would say they use negative keywords, then later on their ads would start coming up in response to the terms that they said they were using as negative keywords. And then when we'd bring it to their attention, they'd go -- they'd stop again.

And so based on 1-800's monitoring, they could tell when somebody essentially, you know -sometimes we used the phrase "turn it on" and "turn it off." They could just -- they'll activate their negative keywords or they'll turn them off. And it was -- it's easy to do at the click of a button.

And, you know, that was something that was problematic for my client because of the concept of initial interest confusion. You're diverting traffic

178

This followed some prior letters and even a telephone call with Mr. Delaney, and his initial reaction was -- he talked to his client, and their initial reaction was, we don't have any control over this, this is all Google's algorithm, we're not choosing 1-800 Contacts as the keyword, and then we pick our keywords and Google runs with it. And I was trying to convince him, I don't think that's the way it works, John. If you talk to your client and talk to their AdWords people, you'll find out they have a lot more control than you're -- than you're telling me.

And so those are some early discussions we had. And so I was -- I was telling him in this letter after we kind of did some more research where we were looking at some searches and stuff that they have control. Google allows the advertisers to control when -- when their sponsored links come up in a variety of ways. And it isn't really out of their hands. Google kind of puts it all into their hands as much as they can.

Q. And at the bottom of page 1 of Exhibit RX0253 you say, "After you and I spoke about the possibility of reaching an amicable settlement, I

1 that is intending to find 1-800 Contacts based on 2 their trademark. And when you divert that traffic 3 based on some initial interest confusion, that's 4 problematic. And some people would just turn it on 5 and turn it off at will until we raised it.

> So when we saw that happen, that just is evidence of how much control the advertisers have over the search terms that they're using to trigger their ads.

Q. Do you recall any discussions with Mr. Delaney about how to implement negative keywords?

A. Yes. Mr. Delaney had similar -- he expressed some similar concerns, kind of like Mr. Dan Garriott earlier on, about making sure that we're just talking about these exact phrases or these exact terms that are listed in the agreement. And we want -- he wanted to make sure the agreement was clear that when we were talking about negative keywords we were talking about just those terms, nothing else. And he didn't want it to be interpreted too broadly.

And I said, Dan, I have no problem with that. We've always had a very narrow view that you can exact match these terms and match the only negative keyword you need to comply with to be in

179

compliance with the agreement.

And that's why he wanted to add a little bit of extra stuff on that Exhibit 2 in their settlement agreement. I don't remember where it is.

Q. We can pull that. The Standard Optical agreement?

A. The Standard Optical agreement. Here it is. It's CX325. He wanted to add on Exhibit 2, which is page 12 of the agreement, this little statement at the top saying, "The 'keywords' and 'websites' listed below are intended to only be the unitary phrase identified within quotation marks, and not the individual component parts of any such phrase."

He just wanted to make sure that there was no requirement for them to do any kind of matching that would go beyond that phrase itself. So he drafted that language, and I said, that's always been the intent. If you want to put that in here expressly, we don't have an objection. And that's what that was.

Q. Thank you.

MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to mark as Exhibit RX0254 a one-page e-mail chain. (Exhibit RX0254 was marked.)

1 so --

He also -- if you see, Bryce was showing exact match keywords.

Q. Which ones are exact match?

A. The ones in brackets. So at the top e-mail there's brackets around "1-800 get lens," "1 800 lens.com."

Q. And what do those brackets signify?

A. My understanding is those signify an exact match negative keyword.

Q. And this is August of 2010?

A. Yes.

Q. Now let's look at what has previously been marked as CX0709.

MR. STONE: Ask the court reporter to mark it with that number, because my copy isn't stamped. (Exhibit CX0709 was identified.)

Q. Do you recognize Exhibit CX0709 as an e-mail chain on which you were copied?

A. Yes. This is an e-mail from Bryan Pratt to Matt somebody. Don't recall which company he would have been with.

Q. Do you see the subject line says "Lenses for Less"?

A. Oh, Lenses for Less. So this must have

Q. Do you recognize Exhibit RX0254 as an e-mail chain on which you were copied?

A. Yes. Yeah, I've seen this before.

Q. Okay. And there's a reference here to a Park Studebaker. Who's that?

A. Which one was he related to? I've got a -- it may be Lenses For Less. I don't recall exactly. Have we looked at the Lenses For Less agreement yet?

Q. Yeah. It is 320, CX0320.

A. Let's see. That doesn't help me.

Q. Take a look at CX0320-005 for the notices.

A. Yeah, there you go. Park Studebaker. So he was -- he was related to Lenses For Less, and we had a settlement agreement with them dated March 23rd, 2010.

Q. Okay.

A. So this e-mail is where he reached out to Bryce Craven again about the negative keywords relating to the settlement agreement. And Bryce gave him some best practices advice and suggested that he apply the keywords across all the campaigns, not just the ad groups, so that every time he had a new ad group you don't accidentally fall into a breaching situation, which happened a lot with others. And

been relating to that one as well. And he's kind of addressing two issues here. He kind of explains that the purpose of the settlement agreement is to prevent sponsored ads from being displayed when they search for registered trademarks or exact URLs. Because the --

Q. Can I just ask you, that sentence that you just were paraphrasing, the first sentence of the second paragraph, "The general intent and focus of the settlement agreement is to prevent sponsored ads from being displayed in response to searches for the other party's registered trademarks and exact URLs," was that your understanding of the intent and focus of the Lenses for Less agreement?

A. That was the general intent and focus of every settlement agreement we entered into with regard to this keyword search engine advertising type of trademark cases. That was the general intent and focus across the board.

And that -- that issue came up from time to time when another party would propose when it was their turn to identify what keywords and websites they wanted in the exhibit. They -- sometimes they would throw out some general terms, and we would do our research and we would -- we would have to respond

1-800 Contacts

and say these agreements are limited to registered trademarks, trademarks that you can show you have established trademark rights in and specific websites, not just any word that may be related to you. You can do misspellings, common misspellings of your trademark, but it's got to be based on a registered trademark, and as Bryan says here in this e-mail, or confusingly similar variations. So that came up a lot. So that was always the intent and focus of all these settlement agreements.

And then he also provided him with a similar link to Google's negative keyword page that explains what type of matching is used to show that it's clear that phrases can be implemented as negative keywords without each individual word also operating as a negative keyword.

- Q. So you're quoting there from the second page the sentence that reads, "Please note that it is clear that complete phrases can be implemented as negative keywords without each individual word of the phrase also operating as a negative keyword"?
- A. Yes. And that's similar to what I had provided to Dan Garriott from Lensfast.
- Q. Was it the case during the course of the negotiations of various settlement agreements that

any term you're interested in.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) Why would -- why would you not agree to include terms that were broader than just trademarks or misspellings of trademarks or URLs?

A. Well, that's problematic. That's -that's when you get into an area that could
potentially be called trademark misuse under the
guise of trademark rights. Then you're trying to
preclude somebody from doing something that the
trademark laws couldn't arguably accomplish.

So the focus was here's the nature of our trademark infringement claims, here's the nature of our legal theory, and this is the type of injunctive relief we think that our theories could justify; and so we want to tailor our settlement agreement to that type of injunctive relief that is going to be designed to protect trademark rights.

And -- and we were willing to offer them the same -- you know, the same type of activity from our end. We were willing to do the same thing to protect their trademark rights in response so that everybody's protecting their rights the same.

So that's how we tried to focus the settlement agreements. And if you go beyond that,

the other parties would sometimes ask you to include as words in response to which 1-800 Contacts would not present and add words that were not federally registered trademarks or URLs?

A. Yes.

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection to form. And compound.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's what I was saying is that happened from time to time. I may not recall the exact parties. Contact Lens King I think might have done that; and we had to push to say, we're only using -- we can only use terms that are -- that are registered trademarks or that are clearly a misspelling or variation of that registered trademark, but we can't just use "king" by itself because that -- you don't have trademark rights in "king." That would be like us trying to use "contacts" by itself as a negative keyword, which would be unfair and unrelated to trademark rights.

So that happened from time to time. I know it happened more than -- more than a few times. And sometimes there was written communication on it, sometimes it was in telephone calls. But it happened often enough we were -- that we would clarify, these are focused on protecting trademark rights, not just

then you can get into problems. And that's why we would explain to them that we can't add more than just trademarks.

MR. CHIARELLO: Counsel, can I interrupt for a second? I don't believe you gave us a copy of the exhibit you're using, CX0709.

MR. STONE: Didn't I just hand it to you? MR. HOPKIN: I don't think we have it.

MR. CHIARELLO: I don't think so.

MR. STONE: I'm sorry.

MR. CHIARELLO: We're checking our files here, and we didn't see that one.

MR. STONE: Here you go. I'm sorry. You should interrupt me if I don't do that. Don't hesitate.

MR. HOPKIN: We weren't sure.

MR. CHIARELLO: I thought it might have been -- when you start asking, I thought it might have been one we referred to earlier, so we were checking our --

MR. STONE: I'm so sorry. My bad. Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0255 an e-mail chain back and forth to Mr. Miller.

(Exhibit RX0255 was marked.)

Q. Do you recognize what the reporter has

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

191

25

listing or advertising of one party from appearing in

1 marked as RX0255, Mr. Miller? 1 response to a search conducted using the trademarks 2 2 A. Yes. Looks like an e-mail communication and trademark variations of the other party." 3 between me and Matt Jenkins, who was counsel for 3 Do you see that language? 4 Lenses for Less. 4 A. I do. 5 5 Q. Is that consistent with your understanding Q. Let me draw your attention to the bottom of the first page, the second -- the numbered 6 of the scope of the Vision Direct agreement that you 6 paragraph 2 that says "Keyword List." 7 were shown earlier today? 7 8 8 A. Bottom of the second page? A. Yeah, I think in practice that's how the 9 Q. Bottom of the first page. 9 parties implemented the prohibited acts was they 10 A. Bottom of the first page. Okay. Oh, yes. 10 would use the tool of negative keywords in order to Q. It says, "As you know, the keyword list comply with not causing their ads to -- to be 11 11 needs to be limited to registered trademarks and triggered by a search for one of the parties' 12 12 13 confusing variations as well as domain names owned by 13 trademarks. And so, you know, my understanding was your client." that was the parties' practice in implementing that 14 14 15 settlement agreement for the period of years. 15 Do you see that? MR. CHIARELLO: I'm sorry. I didn't A. Yeah. And that's what I was talking about 16 16 earlier. This is one example of a party that wanted get -- jump in fast enough, but my objection is to 17 17 18 to -- or proposed a lot of terms in their keyword 18 foundation. 19 lists that were not trademark terms. They were just 19 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to terms they were interested in blocking ads for, it 20 20 mark as RX0257 an e-mail chain from Mr. Pratt and 21 seems. So we had to push back and say, you need to 21 others. 22 have just your trademark and any confusing variations 22 (Exhibit RX0257 was marked.) Q. (By Mr. Stone) Earlier today, Mr. Miller, 23 and misspellings. 23 you were asked some questions about whether a party 24 Q. Okay. So this is an instance in which you 24 25 resisted somebody's efforts to expand the list of 25 was causing its advertisements to be triggered if it 190 192 1 prohibited or restricted keywords beyond trademarks? 1 was not purchasing the search term as a keyword. Do 2 A. Right. 2 you recall that line of questioning? 3 MR. STONE: Okay. Take a short break. 3 (Recess from 3:12 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) 4 Q. Does this e-mail speak to that topic? 4 5 5 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Lacks MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0256 a letter from Bryan Pratt. 6 foundation. Calls for speculation. 6 7 (Exhibit RX0256 was marked.) 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe it does. 8 Q. Do you recognize this document? 8 Q. (by Mr. Stone) Why do you say that? 9 9 A. I have seen this before. A. Well, the language they quote from the 10 Q. What is this? 10 Vision Direct agreement in -- I'm kind of looking at A. Looks like this is a letter Bryan Pratt Bryan's e-mail dated October 22nd, which starts at 11 11 12 sent to Vision Direct about a breach of the 2004 12 the bottom of page 1 and goes onto page 2; and he 13 cites that language of causing a party's website to 13 settlement agreement they had with 1-800 Contacts. Q. Okay. Ultimately, did this dispute ripen 14 14 appear in response to an internet search for the or get -- end up in further litigation? 15 15 party's brand or trademarks. He says there's no A. I think it -- I think it did. I'm trying requirement in these prohibited acts that state that 16 16 to remember the details, but I don't recall the Drugstore.com will specifically use a trademark to 17 17 achieve -- "We believe that the terms of the 18 details. 18 19 Q. Okay. Take a look at the second page of 19 agreement that are currently being breached...are 20 the letter where it says, "Specifically, in the more 20 clearly detailed in the letter received by your 21 than three years since the Settlement Agreement was client." So he's referring to his prior letter. 21 22 executed, the parties have taken care to avoid these Q. Right. Which we looked at earlier, which 22 was RX0256? 23 particular," quote, "'Prohibited Acts' by, for 23 24 example, using negative keywords that prevented the 24 A. Right.

MR. CHIARELLO: Can I just interrupt?

193 195 1 Were you reading from the page --1 keyword matching strategy for all the iterations of 2 2 MR. STONE: Page 2. the keyword 1800 in a successful effort to avoid 3 MR. CHIARELLO: 2? 3 showing up on any searches for 1800contacts.com. 4 MR. STONE: At the top. 4 Please ask them to do the same." 5 5 THE WITNESS: So then she follows up and Do you see that? 6 says, how -- how are they causing it, and Bryan A. Yes. 6 7 explains in his final e-mail there at the top of 7 Q. And then is this one of -- in terms of the 8 8 page 1 that by ceasing your neglect negative keywords document you've reviewed with respect to the 9 9 you're causing your results to be shown in response settlement agreements, is this one of the earliest 10 instances you saw of somebody asking for negative 10 to searches for our trademarks. And that just goes to what my explanation 11 keywords to be implemented? 11 was earlier, that if you're going to broad match a A. It is. This is something that would have 12 12 term that you know is going to encompass the party's 13 been consistent with my view of the settlement 13 14 trademark and you don't do the negatives, you are agreements once I got involved in moving forward. 14 That was always my view of what the intent and object 15 using their trademark, or you're causing your ads to 15 come up in response to that search for the trademark. 16 of the settlement agreements were. Reviewing this 16 e-mail shows -- shows that at a very early stage with 17 MR. STONE: Okay. 17 18 Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0258 18 Vision Direct, Vision Direct actually recommended the use of negative keywords in order to comply with the 19 an e-mail chain from 2004. 19 causing language in the settlement agreement. 20 (Exhibit RX0258 was marked.) 20 21 Q. (By Mr. Stone) Is this a document you 21 Because the settlement agreement isn't worded in the 22 reviewed in preparation for your testimony today to 22 sense of what keywords can you choose in your AdWords 23 testify as the designee of 1-800 Contacts? 23 campaign. The settlement agreement is worded into 24 A. It is. 24 whether your AdWord settings are causing your ad to 25 25 Q. Okay. Take a look at the second page of come up in response to the searches. That's the 194 196 1 RX0258. Do you see this is a Google search engine 1 language, And that was always the analysis and intent 2 results page? 2 of the settlement agreement. So --3 A. Yeah. It looks like a search for Vision 3 MR. CHIARELLO: I'm sorry. Are you Direct on Google. 4 referring to a settlement agreement that you're 4 5 5 Q. Okay. And do you see where 1-800 Contacts looking off of now? Or -comes up in response to that search? THE WITNESS: No. I was just referring 6 6 7 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. It looks like it's been highlighted over on the right side.
- Q. Okay. So two different entries, perhaps, or maybe --
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And then turn, if you would, to the earliest in time of the e-mails to Mr. Joe Zeidner from Alesia Pinney.

Do you see that one?

A. I do.

- Q. And do you see where she writes to Mr. Zeidner, "Will you handle this one, please?"
 - A. Yes.

Q. And then she's forwarding an e-mail that was sent to her in which it says, "Looks like they (and one of their affiliates) are either buying our trademark or are buying the keyword 'vision' and not adding the negative keyword," quote, "direct," close quote, "on Google. We have implemented a negative

THE WITNESS: No. I was just referring to -- this is the e-mail about Vision Direct. The Vision Direct 2004 settlement agreement uses language causing a sponsored link to appear in response to a search. That's the language. It doesn't say -- it doesn't define it in terms of what keywords you select. It's-- it's what are your settings causing your ad to do.

And this e-mail here shows that Vision Direct understood and implemented -- to comply with that, implemented negative keywords, and felt that if you're using a generic keyword without a corresponding negative keyword, you're not going to be complying with the restriction on causing your ad -- or not causing your ad to come up in response to the other party's trademark.

So this shows that that -- that mindset that Vision Direct expressed in 2004 was the same mindset that I had throughout my entire time working with these settlement agreements later on and

197 199 1 understanding what they meant -- what the earlier 1 connection with --2 A. Yes, it is. settlement agreements meant when I was representing 2 3 1-800 Contacts. 3 O. And what is this document? 4 Q. (By Mr. Stone) So go back in the stack of 4 A. This is -- it's an e-mail chain that 5 the settlement agreements, if you would, and go down 5 has -- one of the e-mails is the same e-mail that is to the -- near to the bottom and pull out CX0311. 6 6 included in the prior RX0258. It's between A. Yeah. 7 7 1-800 Contacts' in-house counsel, Joe Zeidner, and 8 8 Q. I think you were asked this earlier, but Alesia Pinney from Vision Direct about this negative 9 this doesn't -- this particular agreement is the one 9 keyword issue. 10 with Vision Direct; is that right? 10 Q. So did Mr. Zeidner -- in the bottom of 11 A. Right. 11 page 1, did he write to Ms. Pinney, "We are prepared to take the step of requiring our affiliates to use a 12 Q. And it does not talk about the use of 12 negative keywords explicitly? 13 negative keyword on all vision searches if you are 13 A. It doesn't say it explicitly, no. willing to do the same with your affiliates"? 14 14 Q. And the provision you were referring to in MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Foundation. 15 15 your earlier answer is where? 16 Document speaks for itself. 16 17 A. It's d. So if you go to page 5, lower 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, he said that. It 18 case d. 18 appears that when Joe looked into this there was --19 Q. So page 5, which is CX0311-004? 19 they found some of their affiliates were the ones A. Yes. 20 20 where the ads were being triggered by the search for 21 Q. Okay. 21 Vision Direct, and he said, you know, they don't have 22 A. Lower case d and e are provisions that --22 a problem requiring their affiliates to use the 23 that say that you are prohibited from -- d says 23 negative keywords. Vision Direct obviously was 24 "causing a Party's website or an Internet 24 already doing that as a method of complying with 25 25 advertisement to appear in response to any Internet those causing provisions we read earlier in the 198 200 1 search for the other Party's brand name, trademarks 1 Vision Direct agreement. 2 or URLs"; and e says "causing a Party's brand name, 2 Q. (By Mr. Stone) How did Vision Direct 3 or link to the Party's Restrictive Websites to appear 3 respond to this information from Mr. Zeidner, if it as a listing in the search results page of an 4 4 Internet search engine, when a user specifically 5 5 A. She said, "We already have our affiliates searches for the other Party's brand name, 6 apply the '1-800' negative and would appreciate your 6 7 trademarks, or URLs." 7 doing the same. Thank you." O. And what do you understand the "negative" 8 So they're -- they're defining the 8 9 prohibited act in terms of what your search engine 9 to mean in that context? 10 settings are causing your ads to do with respect to 10 A. Negative keywords relating to the search term entered by the user into the search 1-800 Contacts' trademark. And my understanding is 11 11 12 engine. And the way you accomplish that is you all of this is for the purpose of complying with 12 use -- you strategically use negative keywords to 13 13 those provisions in the parties' settlement 14 ensure it doesn't occur if you're going to broad 14 agreement. match some generic terms that may rope in your 15 Q. Okay. 15 competitor's trademark. MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to 16 16 And that's clearly what Vision Direct's mark as RX0260 a one-page e-mail chain between 17 17 18 understanding was in this e-mail, RX0258, and that 18 Mr. Miller and Mr. Delaney. 19 was always my understanding of the import and purpose 19 (Exhibit RX0260 was marked.) 20 of any of the settlement agreements from that time 20 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit RX0260? 21 21 A. Looks like an e-mail between me and John forward. 22 22 MR. STONE: Okay. Let me ask the reporter Delaney, 2011.

O. And what's the subject matter addressed

The settlement agreement with Standard

23

24

25

here?

to mark as RX0259 a two-page e-mail chain.

Q. Is this a document that you reviewed in

(Exhibit RX0259 was marked.)

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

201

2/8/2017

203

204

Optical.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And what does this particular e-mail relate to, if you know?

A. Let's see. Let me read it for a second. Oh, okay. I recall this. This is kind of in the same context of what are the appropriate terms to be used in the settlement agreements that are resolving keyword advertising trademark infringement. And they had included terms that go beyond the retail sales of vision products business to include, like, health plan/network type of trademarks. One of them was Opticare, Optiport. And so we said we're only willing to include terms and websites owned by Standard Optical relating to vision care and retail business. And that's what I was telling him there.

And that kind of goes towards the intent of these being trademark infringement settlement agreements. And I don't think 1-800 -- you know, if you go beyond the retail sale of contact lenses into general insurance plan and network area products or trademarks, it's going a little bit beyond the nature of the claims that were asserted in the case. And if they weren't parties to the case or signatories on it and there wasn't really trademark infringement directed towards that type of an industry, then we

of negative keywords or the non-use of negative keywords. Nobody ever raised that with me. I never raised that with anyone else. It was just never a concept that was considered in the context of these settlement agreements.

- Q. (By Mr. Stone) Complaint counsel in the Federal Trade Commission refer to these settlement agreements as bidding agreements. You're aware of that?
 - A. I think I did hear that a long time ago.
- Q. Did you ever refer to these as bidding agreements?
- A. No. No, we never talked about bidding at all. It -- the concept of bidding was never a concept that was even a consideration when we raised these claims and pursued these settlement agreements.
- O. Did the lawyers or parties you negotiated with ever refer to these as bidding agreements?
 - A. No.
- Q. Had you ever heard these referred to as bidding agreements until the Federal Trade Commission and complaint counsel came up with that term?
 - A. No.
- O. In discussions you had with the settling parties and their lawyers, did they ever mention to

202

didn't want to include those in the settlement agreement, so we would keep it narrowly tailored to the nature of the trademark infringement claims. If that makes sense.

Q. Did you ever have any conversations with any of the settling parties or their lawyers in which vou talked about whether the purpose or effect of these agreements was to save the parties' money that they otherwise might spend in bidding for keywords on Google or Bing or Yahoo?

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Vague. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: No. I never had conversations about the bidding, the bidding practices within Google AdWords. I -- I was really never even familiar with how the bidding process worked. What I understood is you could select a keyword, you could select a match type, you could select negative keywords. Because my focus was on preventing, you know, trademark infringement and use of a trademark to trigger an advertisement.

I never had a conversation with anyone I can think of that talked about how much -- you know, each keyword costs or what the price per click was or how -- how the price per click is affected by the use

you anything about any financial benefits that either they would achieve or 1-800 Contacts would achieve by not bidding on particular keywords?

A. No.

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: No, nobody ever raised any issues of financial impact. It was always just, let's avoid using each other's trademarks to trigger advertisements so we avoid trademark infringement issues, and that was it. There was never a discussion of whether this could be detrimental or helpful from a financial perspective to either side other than avoiding trademark infringement.

MR. STONE: Okay. Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0261 an exchange of e-mails between you and Mr. Garriott.

(Exhibit RX0261 was marked.)

- Q. The reporter has handed you what we've marked as RX0261. Can you tell us what this is?
- A. An e-mail between me and Dan Garriott. Have we not seen this today? Maybe we haven't.

MR. CHIARELLO: I believe it's the same as --

MR. BEACH: I don't think it's an

identical document. There was a --

THE WITNESS: Maybe similar -- a different version of a similar e-mail chain.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) Tell us what this e-mail chain relates to, if you would.

A. Yeah. So this is an e-mail chain where we had received Lensfast's proposed keyword and website list for the settlement agreement and went through it, and this was our response. And so we start by explaining -- I started by explaining to Dan that the agreement has to be tailored to just trademarks and domain names or URLs, federally registered trademarks and -- and website addresses.

And so we explained to him, look, these are -- these are the federal registrations we hold that relate to the terms that we are listing on our list for the settlement agreement, and we also own some websites listed in Exhibit 2. And we even identify that there are some websites we own that we didn't identify and we haven't identified some of these generic terms as part -- that are in our websites.

But what they proposed to us went beyond just their trademark rights. So we were just explaining to him, you've got to stick with the pursue. And, you know, any intellectual property litigator knows that when you're settling an intellectual property case, you don't go beyond the rights the intellectual property allows you, because that give the -- that can make a settlement agreement problematic down the road for enforceability.

So that's what this e-mail was about is explaining to them we've got to confine it to the domain names and to registered trademark rights. And I think we would also accept if they could show that they had common law rights in something that had been adjudicated, they had proven in court they had established common law rights of something, you could -- you could use those as well.

MR. STONE: Okay. Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0262 an e-mail chain between you and Mr. Dan Harkins.

(Exhibit RX0262 was marked.)

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. I do, yeah. This is an e-mail chain with Dan Harkins. He was counsel for the Empire Vision group of companies that were part of that settlement agreement, and this is a similar issue. He -- he proposed his client's keyword list, and we -- like I said, I remember this one. We did a lot of due

actual website name or a registered trademark or confusingly similar variations and misspellings of it, but you can't break your trademark up into some of these generic terms like "optometrists" or "1-800" separately and apart from anything else.

Q. So what -- what were some of the other trade -- some of the other words that they were asking to be put on the restrictive list for use of negative keywords?

A. Well, just from looking at this, I don't have the attachment here with me to see what their exhibit -- or what the proposed was, but it looks like they wanted "1800" all by itself and "1877" all by itself as negative keywords. And we said, you don't have trademark rights in those terms.

They wanted "optometrists" all by itself because they -- they own the website 1800-optometrists.com, but they don't own trademark rights in the term "optometrist" or the term "1800" by itself.

So those were the terms that they wanted; and we explained, you know, if we went your route, we could just require "contacts," and -- and that's where you get into this problematic issue if you're going beyond what your trademark rights allow to you

diligence where we had to go through all of their -they proposed so many. We went through to find out
which ones they would have trademark rights in that
could be validly included in the agreement.

And so we sent him a list back that showed here's where we don't find any registered trademark rights. And -- and I told him it's got to be a registered trademark or an actual domain name that you own; and we need -- if there's these subsidiary companies, everybody has to be a party to the agreement and a signatory. And that's what this e-mail is about.

I don't remember exactly what terms they proposed or how many. I know it was a lot. And so we had to go through and find out which ones were genuine trademarks and which ones weren't.

Q. And if I just show you CX0319, that's the settlement agreement that relates to this list of restricted terms?

A. Yeah. That's the finalized settlement agreement.

Q. Okay.

A. So that would include the ones that we had already verified these are appropriately included. I think in his e-mail he sent me he had a list that was

beyond this, and we had to weed them out to make sure we're only including relevant trademark rights or

MR. STONE: Okay, thanks.

domain names that they owned.

I'll ask the reporter to mark as RX0263 a three-page e-mail chain between you and Mr. Slifkin. (Exhibit RX0263 was marked.)

- A. Okay. Yeah, this is an e-mail between me and Neal Slifkin, who was counsel for Contact Lens King. We're negotiating the settlement agreement. And this again addresses a similar issue.
- Q. So go back to the earliest in time, if you would, of the e-mails that make up this chain --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. -- and sort of explain to us what was going on.

A. The e-mail on page 2 or on the second page of the exhibit is from Mr. Slifkin to me. And he had proposed some changes to the settlement agreement, and then he added his list or the terms that he wanted added to their list of terms for Exhibit 2.

And we responded -- revised the agreement. In the next e-mail up I responded, and I said --

Q. You see where it says "Section 4.(A)a has been revised to alleviate your concerns for being too

Q. And then you went on to say, "My client wants" -- "With respect to the term 'using' in the subsequent sections, my client wants to keep that term. The Lanham Act discusses trademark 'use.' We want to keep that language consistent with the statute. I have revised it to specific 'sponsored' links and 'search engine' advertising."

Do you see that reference?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

A. I believe what I'm recalling is as far as 4(A)a, the part that he may have felt was too vague and broad, I believe it may have earlier been worded "engaging in" or "participating in," and he didn't want the "participating in." He thought that was a little too broad and he wanted that out. Something to that nature.

And then I think, you know, he had expressed a problem with "using," and I had to explain to him "using" is an important word because this settlement is about settling trademark infringement issues. "Use" in commerce is part of -- is the first element of trademark infringement. We wanted to keep that language to be consistent with the purpose of the agreement. And so that's why I

vague and broad"?

A. Yeah.

- Q. So let's go take a look at 4(A) of this agreement.
- A. Yeah, I don't recall exactly what his concerns on that were. Maybe if I read 4(A), that would help me.

This is Contact Lens King, right?

Q. Right. And it's CX323.

MR. CHIARELLO: Are you referring to the signed agreement?

MR. STONE: Yes.

MR. CHIARELLO: Are you referring to the signed agreement?

THE WITNESS: Yes. There it is. So 4(A).

- Q. (By Mr. Stone) So you'll notice here it talks about engaging -- 4(A)a, which you reference in your e-mail. It says "engaging in internet search advertising that causes."
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Do you see that language?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the first provision you addressed in your e-mail to him, right?
 - A. Yes, it is.

explained that to him there.

Q. Because there was an earlier agreement we looked at today that had the language "engaging and participating"?

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I think so.

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection to form.

And for clarity, were you talking earlier of the Contact Lens King agreement?

MR. STONE: No.

THE WITNESS: No, no. But I think there's another settlement agreement. And it could be subsequent to this one. I don't know if it was before this one or the other one.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) Look at 320. Look at the Lenses for Less one, Exhibit CX0320, if you would.

A. Because I think at this period of time, you know, spring of 2010, we were trying to use basically the same standard agreement. And so we would have started with the same agreement with both Lenses for Less, which is CX0320, and Contact Lens King, which is CX0323. They were both executed near the same time, March 2010.

So we provided both of these companies with the same standard settlement agreement to start

there was no problem triggering your ads based on a search for these descriptive terms as long as you're using the proper negative keywords to prevent coming up in response to a search for the trademarked terms in a settlement agreement.

He was concerned with participating in, that that could potentially be used too broadly in an enforcement action. So we agreed to pull it out and just have "engaging in" for the Contact Lens King

Q. Okay. Now go back to the e-mail chain, RX0263, and go to the first page. You'll see he responded to your e-mail saying, "Mark, we are fine with the revised agreement. However, we would like to add to the list of Exhibit 2 the following: King, Kings, Lensking, Lenseking," spelled differently, and then two URLs.

So that was his concern in that e-mail about that provision being too broad, and we had --we had no problem with -- it was almost -- it wasn't an issue for us, so we took it out.

with. Contact Lens King was one that didn't like

at Lenses for Less, the CX0320, and you go to

that language of "participating in." So if you look

section 4(A)a, it says "engaging in or participating

Do you see that?

But then we wanted "using" to be in there so that it maintained the character of its purpose as resolving trademark infringement, focusing on use of trademark in commerce, and so we kept "use" in the other clauses.

A. Yes.Q. And what was your response?

Q. And then if you go back to the e-mail, RX0263, still on the second page, you'll see where you said, "I also included your proposed section 4(B)(ii)."

A. This is -- this is another one of those issues where they tried to add words that went beyond what their trademark rights would justify. The first inclusion of terms seemed okay based on their registered trademark rights, but we pushed back on those and said we don't agree to "king" and "kings" because those are too generic, too broad. That would be like 1-800 Contacts including just "contacts" or just "1-800."

Do you see that --

A. Uh-huh.

in internet advertising."

one, CX0323.

And so we said we won't use those, but the

O. -- in the e-mail?

And then look, if you would, at the settlement agreement, which is CX0323, with Contact Lens King. And do you see that language?

A. Yes.

Q. What's the language that you added at his request?

A. So that little Roman numeral ii had the use of descriptive words on the internet search such as contact, contacts, contact lens, lenses and lens. He wanted that in there.

Q. And that's on page 3 of CX323?

A. Yes. And now that I read it, I think I recall thinking that's pretty redundant of the next phrase, which talks about using generic terms such as "contacts" or "contact lenses." He wanted a section that specified descriptive words rather than just generic, and I think that went to the concept of in trademark law trademarks are categorized on a spectrum of generic, descriptive, suggestive, or arbitrary on strength of the mark. And generic and descriptive are the weakest type of terms, and you can use descriptive terms.

And so he wanted to use the word "descriptive" and "generic" in there to show that

Lens King ones seemed okay to us because they were Contact Lens King, and that was -- it would seem unlikely somebody's going to use "lens king" unless they're thinking of the trademark Contact Lens King. So we were okay with that. That was -- that was close enough to their trademark rights.

O. Okav.

MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0264 a one-page e-mail from to you Mr. Dreitler.

(Exhibit RX0264 was marked.)

Q. Do you recognize this document?

A. Yeah. Looks like an e-mail between me and counsel for Arlington Contact Lens Service, or AC Lens. Let me read it and just kind of familiarize with it.

O. Sure.

A. (The witness reviews the document.) Okay.

Q. So do you recall this discussion?

A. Well, yeah. It -- vaguely. I mean, I think -- it appears we had prior communications where they said, okay, we're good with the agreement, we accept it, we'll move forward and give you our trademark terms and we'll do it. And then they sent

us a settlement agreement where they had redlined it a lot of all the terms.

And so I was explaining to him, I think we've already reached an agreement on everything except you just adding your trademark terms to the exhibit. So I felt like we had already resolved and reached an agreement on the issue.

Q. Do you recall any issues that later arose with respect to their list?

A. Yeah, I think -- not specifically, but I'm guessing it's probably a similar issue that was raised with a lot of other settlement agreements where they would try to include terms that went beyond actual trademark rights, and we had to push back and limit it to just registered trademarks and websites. Because in this e-mail I say, we'll wait for your client's list of trademark terms to add to Exhibit 1.

And so I was telling him, listen, we have a settlement agreement, and the only thing missing is your list of terms. So I was just waiting for that.

Q. Okay. And let me ask the reporter to mark as RX0265 a letter to you from Arshil Abdulla, the CEO of LensDiscounters.com.

(Exhibit RX0265 was marked.)

1 agreement from them, if I recall right.

Now, if you can show me a settlement agreement with Lens Discounters, I could be wrong. This was a long time ago, but --

Q. So let me go back to this sentence. It says, "However, we will add '1800 CONTACTS' and '1-800CONTACTS'" without the space, "and any reasonable confusing variations thereof that you specify as 'negative keywords' in our ad campaigns to prevent our ads from coming up when a user is specifically searching for your client's website."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

MR. CHIARELLO: Just note that there were quotes around the term "negative keywords." But otherwise it read fine.

Q. (By Mr. Stone) Okay. And when he says we will do this "to prevent our ads from coming up when a user is specifically searching for your client's website," is that a fair statement of the general purpose and intent of your cease and desist letter to Lens Discounters?

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Lacks foundation. And calls for speculation as to whether or not what this person intended to write in their

A. Okay. This is a response to a demand letter I must have sent to LensDiscounters.com about, you know, alleging trademark infringement for keyword advertising practices. And their response was that they would add 1-800 Contacts and reasonable confusing variations thereof as negative keywords to prevent their ads from coming up when a user is specifically searching for our website.

Q. And was that description of the purpose of the settlement agreements and the request you were making of them in your cease and desist letter, is that consistent with the purpose of those requests in the settlement agreements?

MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation. The letter, if it exists, apparently speaks for itself.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was -- that was the purpose of us reaching out to them just like we did all the other parties, and they -- they quickly complied. And -- and I don't think we actually did a formal settlement agreement with these guys. I think they complied. They said, we have no problem adding negative keywords and confusing variations. And they did that, and didn't seem to have further problems with them. So we never demanded a settlement

e-mail equates to what he's saying.

THE WITNESS: Well, the point we raise in our trademark infringement claims and -- and the point we raise with everybody we talk to is the issue is to prevent competitor ads from coming up when a search is done. The type of search that is done is somebody looking specifically for 1-800 Contacts to go to their website. And that's the context.

And so, you know, his response is consistent with any communications we might have had that we're looking at -- at situations where users are looking to go to the 1-800 Contacts' website. They're navigating towards us. Their searches are driven by their understanding of our brand. They're not doing a comparative search. They're not doing just a market research type of inquiry. They're looking for us as a source.

And so that was always the intent and import of all the settlement agreements and our request to them to implement negative keywords. And they -- we -- you know, they just did it, and there wasn't a need for a settlement agreement with these guys, as far as I recall.

Q. So when you received this letter -- I understand you might not know what was in

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

you
in
com
for
what

Mr. Abdulla's mind when he wrote it, but when you received and read this sentence in the second paragraph that I just read into the record that closes with we will use these negative keywords in our ad campaigns, quote, "to prevent our ads from coming up when a user is specifically searching for your client's website," close quote, did you understand that language to be consistent with what you were describing in your cease and desist letter that you had sent him as your objective?

A. Yes, yes. I didn't respond and say, you're being too narrow, we need more than that. I didn't try to push back and say, we want you to do something broader than what you're suggesting. I read that and thought, that's great, that's -- that's what we're asking. That's all we've ever been asking.

MR. STONE: Okay. Can we take a short break?

(Recess from 4:10 p.m. to 4:17 p.m.)

MR. STONE: I don't have any further questions at this time. I'll reserve the remainder of my time.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. CHIARELLO:

forward. So that's what that was.

So we were willing to settle for \$8,000 payment for past trademark infringement at that point.

Q. Do you recall on this particular agreement what the initial demand was?

A. I guess it might have been 10,000. I'm not sure. I know that the e-mail right below that, Neal Slifkin put a blank space in place of the \$10,000 payment, so they asked for a reduced settlement agreement payment. We said, we'll go to eight. I don't know if the final agreement was 8,000. I think -- I don't know. What was it? If we look at it, it was 8,000. So they asked for -- they negotiated a smaller amount for the payment, and we did it

Q. In part of your practice to send out the infringement and demand letters, would you engage in some -- any analysis on the economic impact of that past infringement?

MR. STONE: So be careful about any privilege considerations. But you can maybe answer that yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, we may have. It's usually -- that would all be, you know, privileged

Q. Mr. Miller, if you can refer back to the e-mail -- I'm sorry, RX263 -- from Mr. Slifkin to you.

A. Okay.

Q. And, I'm sorry; this is from you to Mr. Slifkin. The e-mail started at the bottom of the page, dated March 25th at 10:57 a.m.

Do you see that?

A. Okay.

Q. The next paragraph on the next page says, "As far as the monetary component, my client is willing to settle at \$8K under the present contract."

What does that sentence mean?

A. There was often a demand for a monetary component to pay for, you know, past infringement as part of the resolution of the case. Sometimes the client wouldn't demand any money if the party was, you know, if it was something where the party cooperated really easy, they didn't have to put a lot into the enforcement. If there was more that was done in the enforcement, sometimes they'd demand more. But they always tried to demand something, some small amount, to show consideration for past infringement as part of the settlement and then the injunctive relief in the settlement agreement moving

interactions with me and the client. So I think there would be some analysis.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Are you withholding some information based on privilege in your answer?

A. Well, that's tricky, because my memory is I can't remember a specific analysis. I can remember discussions about it in a general sense, but I'm not withholding any specific information that I can recall that says I recall this type of analysis for this certain settlement agreement.

Q. In general, what would be -- the analysis be as to the economic cost of the infringement -- the past infringement?

A. That's what I'm saying. Beyond -- I -- I can't recall.

Q. What metrics or tools would you use to assess not specifically the Contact Lens King but any of the alleged infringers, of what the economic impact or the economic harm would be to -- or caused by the alleged infringement?

A. Again, I can't recall. When you're talking about trademark infringement, the damages analysis generally under the statute is you get lost sales, lost profits. So, you know, diverted sales and -- and maybe lost licensing royalties that they

1-800 Contacts 2/8/2017

225

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should have paid for use of the mark, other things like that. So that's the type of damages you get in a -- in a trademark infringement suit.

I can't -- you know, I don't recall or can't speak to any specific economic analysis we did at the time for these. But these were monetary components that would relate to, you know, both the cost of enforcement and maybe the -- maybe some lost sales or something due to the infringement, things like that. But it -- it wasn't a scientific down-to-the-dollar type of expert report type of analysis, I can tell you that much, which it never is in the settlement context in any IP litigation. It's more generalized.

Q. Do you know if you or 1-800 Contacts did any studies as to lost -- let me lay some foundation first.

Do you know what the term, if I use the term "click" means?

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What does it mean to you?

A. Well, when a person clicks on a link or something on a website, that's a click.

Q. In relation to determining the amount of the demand in a settlement agreement or in the demand

there could have been an analysis. I don't recall. There could have been a thought down that road, but I just don't know.

227

228

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Earlier with Mr. Stone you testified to communications between yourself and -- or 1-800 Contacts and Vision Direct. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. Handing you a letter, CX141. Ask you to look at that.

(Exhibit CX141 was identified.)

Okay.

What is this?

It's a letter from Wilson Sonsini to Bryan Pratt.

Q. Have you seen this document before today?

A. I may have seen it before today, but I'm not familiar with it or the circumstances of it. I wasn't involved at that time.

Q. If would you please look at what was previously marked as RX258, the one with all of the redactions at the top.

A. 258, okay.

Q. Do you recall your testimony at the bottom of the page regarding this e-mail from it looks like

226

letter, would any analysis be done as to the amount of foregone clicks due to the alleged infringement?

MR. STONE: Objection. Improper as to form. Calls for speculation. Move to strike the answer for the purpose of interposing the objection.

Your answer is on the record.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) So is it your understanding that in relation to your demand letters that neither you nor 1-800 Contacts or anyone related to 1-800 Contacts undertook an analysis of foregone clicks in relation to the alleged infringement?

MR. STONE: Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by "foregone clicks."

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is it your understanding that in relation to your demand letters that neither you nor 1-800 Contacts or anyone related to 1-800 Contacts undertook any analysis of lost clicks in relation to the alleged infringement?

MR. STONE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Improper as to form. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't know. There --

a Mr. Duerr to this person, Alesia Pinney?

A. Yes.

Q. And I believe you said that this was related to the implementation of negative keyword matching strategy that's becoming part of the agreement between 1-800 Contacts and Vision Direct. Is that accurate of your testimony?

A. No.

MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the witness's testimony.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, there's an e-mail that indicates Vision Direct indicating that they were negative matching and wanted 1-800 Contacts to do the same in order to comply with those causing provisions of the settlement agreement.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And was it your understanding from reviewing this document that an agreement existed as to negative keywords between Vision Direct and 1-800 Contacts?

MR. STONE: Objection. Misstates the witness's prior testimony. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if this e-mail is negotiating the scope of the agreement or talking about, like, negotiating issues that led up to the

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

1-800 Contacts

24

25

part of any of the earlier discussions. I don't know

what Mr. Sher was thinking. I just don't know. I

229 231 1 first agreement or talking about compliance with the 1 can't give you an opinion on what I think he was 2 2 referring to. I don't know what that November 5th, agreement. I'd have to look at the original 3 agreement and look at the dates. 3 2007 letter is off the top of my head. So --Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) If you look at CX311, 4 4 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Proceeding on though, 5 it reads, "Vision Direct believes that any agreement 5 it's the settlement agreement between Vision 6 Direct --6 between the parties with regard to the implementation 7 7 of negative keywords creates an unacceptable risk of A. Okay. 8 Q. -- and 1-800 Contacts. Do you see where 8 violating" -- of violation "of section 1 of the 9 it says this agreement -- "This settlement agreement 9 Sherman Act." 10 10 is made and entered into as of June 24th, 2004"? Do you see that? Do you see that? 11 A. I do. 11 12 Q. It goes on, "Any such agreement would 12 A. Yes. So this e-mail came afterwards. So 13 appear to represent a restraint unrelated to the 13 it would appear to me that they are talking about 14 compliance with this settlement agreement. 14 terms of the Agreement, and one that depresses the Q. And that would be the 2004 settlement 15 15 price of keywords to search companies such as Google, Yahoo! and Microsoft." 16 16 agreement? 17 A. That would be my understanding. 17 Do you see that? 18 Q. Okay. And then bottom of the page on 18 A. I do. 19 CX141, it reads --19 Q. In your role of interpreting the 20 A. CX141? 20 agreements between Vision -- between 1-800 Contacts 21 Q. 141, the exhibit I gave you, the letter 21 and the other parties, what does this tell you as to 22 22 from Wilson Sonsini. what Vision Direct's position is as to negative 23 Do you see that? 23 keywords at the time --24 A. Uh-huh. 24 MR. STONE: Objection. 25 25 O. And it looks like this is a letter from O. -- of this -- at the time that Mr. Sher 230 232 1 someone named Scott Sher. Do you see that on the 1 wrote this letter? 2 back of the page? 2 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 3 3 Calls for speculation. Improper as to form. A. Yes. THE WITNESS: I don't know the details of 4 Q. It looks like Mr. Sher is writing, 4 5 5 "Separate and apart from Vision Direct's position what he's alleging here. He's kind of in general regarding the interpretation of the contract, set 6 statements. But there's a violation. I mean, it 6 forth in Ms. Caditz's November 5, 2007 letter." 7 seems by 2008, Vision Direct had changed their view 7 8 8 Do you see that? of what they thought the agreement did or what they 9 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 9 thought the agreement required or what it could 10 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Do you see the 10 legally require. But I don't know what their basis reference to a letter? was for their change of view. 11 11 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) I am handing you an 12 A. I see -- I see that statement, yeah. 12 13 Q. Hyphen, "that is, that the Agreement does 13 exhibit marked CX314. 14 not contemplate the implementation of negative 14 MR. CHIARELLO: Counsel, we only have that keywords," end hyphen. By reading that sentence, 15 15 one copy. what does that tell you as to what Mr. Sher believed 16 16 (Exhibit CX314 was identified.) 17 was the understanding as to whether or not negative 17 MR. STONE: Oh. this is it. Is this the 18 keywords were part of the agreement from Vision 18 2010 --19 Direct's perspective? 19 MR. CHIARELLO: Yes. 20 MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 20 THE WITNESS: Effective date May 8th, 21 Calls for speculation. Improper as to form. 21 2009, Vision Direct Settlement Agreement. 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know 22 MR. STONE: So is this missing -- oh, got 23 the basis of what this letter is about. I wasn't 23 it. Sorry.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) And my first question

is going to be, what is this?

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

235

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

233

advertising for the sale of contact lenses. That's 1 paragraph 2 of -- or item No. 2 of the permanent 2 3 injunction on page CX0314-011. So that's --4 Exhibit A are the terms that the injunction was going 5 to require Vision Direct and Drugstore.com to

> 6 implement as negative keywords. Q. Okay. And if you would please turn to 8 page 15 of this, of CX314. At the top it says 9 "Exhibit 2."

> > Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

- Q. And if you look at paragraph D -- it's Roman numeral I, capital letter D.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you see that?

It reads, "The term 'Antitrust Opinion' shall refer to any judicial decision or any Department of Justice order, judgment, consent decree, or other statement issued finding an antitrust violation for actions substantially similar to those required by this Settlement Agreement."

What does that mean?

A. I think it's pretty clear what it means. It's just defining the term "antitrust opinion" for purposes of this agreement. It's just saying when

A. Well, it appears to be a settlement agreement between Vision Direct and 1-800 Contacts. The effective date is May 8, 2009, and it's resolving litigation that seemed to be initiated in February 2008.

- Q. If you would please turn to page 4 of the agreement. And as we work on this agreement, I'm referring to CX0314-004. And I'll use that as my page numbers since --
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. -- we'll go back and forth.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. Okay. You see paragraph 4 on page 004? It reads, The settlement agreement shall -- "The 2004 Settlement Agreement shall remain in full force and effect except that the Parties' sole obligations with respect to the use of negative keywords shall be to comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And what does that mean?
- A. Well, it means that the 2004 settlement agreement is going to remain in effect and -- but with the exception of the obligations on negative keywords is -- is limited to the terms of this

234

settlement agreement. So there's obviously an acknowledgement that there was some -- some portion of the 2004 settlement agreement that would incorporate an obligation relating to negative keywords; and they're saying moving forward, the negative keyword obligations are set forth in this 2008 settlement agreement.

- Q. Did you help draft or work on this agreement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. If you would please turn to CX0314-009, Exhibit 1, and the subsequent pages are page 010 through 012 -- I'm sorry -- 013 and 014. What is -- what is this Exhibit 1?
- A. Well, it looks like the permanent injunction, that the parties were agreeing to the entry of a permanent injunction by the Court, and that permanent injunction would order the parties to implement negative keywords.
- Q. And if you turn to page 13, what is Exhibit A?
- A. Well, let me go back and look. That's a list of the negative keywords that the injunction would require Vision Direct and Drugstore.com to implement in connection with internet keyword

you see the word "antitrust opinion" it's going to refer to this, a judicial decision or any Department of Justice order, judgment, consent decree, or other statement issued finding an antitrust violation.

- Q. Do you know why that term was added to this agreement?
- A. I don't. I wasn't part of those discussions. I'm not sure who requested it or why it was added.
- Q. Have you been part of discussions since about this term?
- A. No, not -- I haven't been part of discussions about that term. I've read it.
- Q. If you would please turn to page 16 of the agreement.
 - A. Okav.
- Q. And it reads -- at the bottom under "Antitrust Opinion" it reads, "Any Party may implement a Written Notice Procedure to notify another Party about an Antitrust Opinion."

Do you see that?

- A. Yeah.
 - Q. And the next sentence says, "If any Party in good faith believes after consulting with outside antitrust counsel that the Antitrust Opinion is

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

239

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

237

contact Bryce Craven with any questions regarding the 1 2 implementation of negative keywords. Is that a fair 3 assessment of your testimony?

> A. Well, in -- in -- I had several telephone conversations with Mr. Garriott, and I recall in some of those when he was asking about how negative keywords work and -- and questions like that, I said that his client could contact Bryce Craven who handles 1-800 Contacts' campaigns if -- if they wanted some help in understanding what type of negative keywords -- or how negative keywords work. 12 So --

Q. Okay. In your RX252 e-mail, that's where you had this term "negative phrase-matched keywords." Is that right?

A. I identified -- I think those are cut-and-pasted portions from Google's site about negative phrase-matched keywords and negative exact-matched keywords and how -- how they work.

Q. Okay. So in your e-mail where it says, "You can create a negative phrase-matched keyword by surrounding the term with quotation marks," and then it says, quote, "free trial" underneath --

A. Right.

Q. -- is that a piece that was cut and pasted

sufficiently related to some of the actions required by this Settlement Agreement and makes the required conduct illegal, that Party may suspend its implementation of those actions pending the outcome of the following steps."

Do you see that?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. And then on page 17 of the agreement, it lists those steps under paren (a) and (b)?
 - A. Yeah, it identifies certain steps.
- Q. Do you know why this component was added to the agreement?
- A. I mean, I don't know the back-and-forth negotiations. I think that the -- the provision itself is self-explanatory. It says what it says.
- Q. This is something 1-800 Contacts requested?
- A. I'm not -- I don't know which party requested it.
- Q. Is this something that 1-800 Contacts has ever requested in any other settlement agreement?

MR. STONE: Objection. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I haven't seen it in any other settlement agreement. And this -- this would

238

settlement agreement. I haven't seen it in any other settlement agreement.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is it something you've ever requested on behalf of 1-800 Contacts, to be included in any settlement agreement?

A. I don't recall requesting that.

Q. Okay, you can set that aside.

be part of -- oh, okay. It's in Exhibit 2, the

If I could return to the exhibit marked RX251, which is an e-mail from Bryce Craven to looks like someone named Randy. And please also look at RX252, because I believe you were looking at both of those documents in conjunction with each other.

A. Okay.

Q. I believe you testified before that the e-mail exchange between vourself and Mr. Garriott occurred on January 4th, 2010. Is that correct?

A. What?

Q. I'm sorry. In --

A. Oh, in RX0252?

Q. Yes.

A. Okay. There is an e-mail exchange on January 4th, 2010.

Q. And I believe your testimony was before, you suggested that Mr. Garriott tell his client to

in?

A. Yeah, I think so. That whole --MR. STONE: Do you mean -- I'm sorry. Just to be clear: do you mean was "free trial" cut and pasted into this, or was the whole paragraph cut and pasted into the e-mail?

MR. CHIARELLO: I mean the whole paragraph, including that sentence, "you can create a negative phrase-matched."

THE WITNESS: I -- I think -- so you see where it says "of note are the following options," and then there's a colon?

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Yes.

A. Everything following that down to the paragraph that ends, "The user would have to include those words in that exact order (free trial), in order for the ad to be blocked using a negative phrase match," I think that whole section is probably a cut-and-paste from Google's website help page that the link is above. I just cut and pasted that from there into the e-mail, and just to say, you know, here's what Google says. And then I -- I say, here are some more examples, and I give them another link. So all of that is just cut and pasted from Google's explanation of how those matching things work.

240

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

Q. The sentence at the bottom, just for clarity's sake, that ends, "The user would" -- the sentence reads, "The user would have to include those words in exact order (free trial), in order for the ad to be blocked using the negative phrase match," is that a phrase that you wrote, or was that part of the cut and paste?

A. That's part of the cut and paste.

O. Okav.

A. That -- that whole -- all the way down to the end of that sentence I believe is the cut and paste, starting with the bolded Roman numeral II, "negative phrase-matched keywords," down to that sentence.

Q. And now turning to RX251. Were you copied on this e-mail exchange?

A. Let me look. I don't -- I don't see myself copied on this.

Q. Was any lawyer from 1-800 Contacts copied on this, to your knowledge?

A. Doesn't -- doesn't look like it. I think issues, issues with regard to what the settlement agreements meant and what their obligations were would come up between lawyers often. And then issues of implementing the obligations would sometimes be

implementation of -- of the requirements of the settlement agreements. And I did have conversations with Bryce Craven about the settlement agreements at times.

I do know that when we would tell somebody if you need help understanding how Google works or how to use negative keywords, if we told the other party you can call Bryce Craven, we would contact either David Zeidner or Bryce and let them know that we said that and that -- you know, and potentially talk about here's the settlement agreement, these are the requirements, and potentially talk to them about those. So --

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Is there any part of your answer that you were withholding due to privilege?

A. Well, I'm trying not to. And honestly, maybe due to memory, I -- I probably can't get into specific conversations of what exactly I'd say, but I'm trying not to reveal a specific statement to Bryce or a specific contextual conversation with Bryce other than the fact that we would sometimes contact him, let him know that somebody relating to this settlement agreement might contact him. And then any details of that I'm trying not to get into

handled between, you know, the parties' marketing people following instructions from either in-house legal counsel or somebody else on -- on what the obligations were, and then how to best execute those obligations using Google's system. And the lawyers weren't the experts on Google's AdWord system. So --

Q. So back earlier today I asked you if there was any, and I used the term "training," but instruction provided as to how to implement the settlement agreement. Would you have provided that type of thing, type of instruction to 1-800 Contacts so that they could go implement the settlement agreement relative to the opposing party?

MR. STONE: Object.

I'm sorry. Are you done?

MR. CHIARELLO: I -- I didn't mean to say "opposing," but I meant to say the other party to the settlement agreement.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for speculation. Lacks foundation. Improper as to form.

THE WITNESS: Without revealing specific communications, I mean, I would -- I did have communications with Dave Zeidner about the agreement. I know Dave Zeidner oversaw Bryce Craven and the

for privilege sake. I don't know if that's okay.

Q. Let me ask it this way, then. What -what would -- what would you instruct Bryce Craven and others regarding the general application of the settlement agreement as it pertained to the other parties to the settlement agreement?

MR. STONE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. Lacks foundation. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: And I would also say I'm not going to respond to that based on privilege.

Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Okay. At the bottom of the page there's an e-mail from Bryce Craven to Randy, and it says, "I think you should be fine with making the negative keywords exact match (putting them in brackets like you show below). If I see any problems in Google, I will let you know and then you can change the negatives to 'phrase match' or broad match."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah.

Q. Why would he suggest putting the negatives in phrase match or broad match?

MR. STONE: Calls for speculation. Lacks foundation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

245

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. RX254.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what Bryce's conversations were with Randy specifically and what Randy's objectives were. Like I said, some -sometimes each party's objectives, if they use an exact match, then they're using a negative keyword in compliance with the agreements. But some parties might -- for purposes of their own preferences in their AdWords might want the match to be different. So I don't know what Randy and Bryce discussed.

O. (By Mr. Chiarello) It says, "If I see any problems in Google." Did Bryce or anyone else at 1-800 Contacts ever talk to you about potential, quote, problems with -- in Google in relation to search advertising that might give meaning to why the phrase match or broad match in negative keywords would be more appropriate?

A. Problems with Google. I'm not sure exactly what he's talking about there. I know that later on in his e-mail he's talking about adding negatives at the account level, and he's saying, I'm not sure you can do that in Google; you've got to do it at the campaign level.

Lensfast was one where in the agreement we had that footnote that said you can't use that huge, long phrase as a negative. That's another problem

A. RX254, sorry. There it is. Okay. And what else?

Q. CX320, the settlement agreement with Lenses for Less.

247

248

A. Okay.

Q. The RX254 top e-mail says -- it reads --Bryce Craven writes, "Park, looks like you've got your bases covered. As a best practice, and to ensure you don't miss any ad groups, I recommend adding negative keywords at the Campaign Level (see screenshot below). This is safer because you know that the negative keywords are applied across the entire campaign, not just the ad group. Let me know if you come across any other questions." And then you've got the screenshot.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. My question is, if you look at page --Exhibit 2 of CX320 --

A. Okay.

Q. And I'm now going back and forth here. In 254 there's a bracket, "1-800 get lens," end bracket. Do you know why Bryce Craven is sending that as an example of an exact match keyword, negative keyword

246

where they try to implement a negative that may not be allowable just technically, because it's too long. Those were some of the issues specifically with

Q. When you just testified about at the account level, were you referring to the e-mail -- I thought you said earlier, but it's at the top of the page?

Lensfast they encountered. So --

A. It's the continuation of -- of Randy's e-mail to Bryce saying he didn't think that was possible with Google. Right? No, no -- Bryce's e-mail to Randy that starts at the bottom of page 1 and goes into page 2 and Bryce was saying -explaining that.

Q. Okay.

A. I don't know the details of that. I know that we always specified trying to have the negative keywords be at the campaign level to make it easier.

Q. Okay. If you could please turn to RX0254, which is an e-mail from Bryce Craven to Park Studebaker, copying you and Dave Zeidner.

And also I'm going to ask you about CX320, which is the agreement by and between 1-800 Contacts and Lenses for Less.

A. Okay. CX254.

if it's not in the settlement agreement?

A. I -- I think the purpose -- if you read his e-mail, the purpose of this screenshot is to show Mr. Studebaker how you can implement the negative keywords at the campaign level, and he just took just a sample of negative keywords 1-800 is using to show that. And it shows that 1-800 had some exact match keywords for 1 800 lens -- or get lens.

Q. 1800 get lens?

A. And 1 800 lens.com. So those are just negative keywords 1-800 is using. And he was just using that as a sample to show this is how you can implement them at the campaign level.

O. And the second set of keywords it says, quote, "1 800 get lens," end quote.

A. Right. And that -- that looks like it would be a phrase match. And this is 1-800's negative keywords.

I don't think those keywords were specifically related to the Lenses for Less agreement. They were just -- he was just using the screenshot to demonstrate how you could apply them at the campaign level.

MR. CHIARELLO: Okay. Can we go off the record?

24

25

Q.

That was I think RX0264?

And do you recall in that RX0264 makes

249 251 MR. STONE: Sure. 1 1 reference to -- in your e-mail you say, 2 (Recess from 4:55 p.m. to 4:57 p.m.) 2 "Mr. Dreitler, I received your letter dated March 1, 3 3 Q. (By Mr. Chiarello) Mr. Miller, could you 2010." Do you recall that? A. Yes. 4 please turn to RX264. 4 O. Then take a look at RX0266. Is this the 5 5 A. Okay. 6 Q. Do you recall what terms Mr. Dreitler was 6 March 1, 2010 letter from Mr. Dreitler to you? 7 trying to add or modify in the settlement agreement? 7 A. Yeah, it appears to be. And that's where 8 A. No. No. I don't. 8 he mentions it's a one-sided draft and that they want 9 Q. And you wrote -- in the second paragraph 9 to make -- "there are several changes we want to down you wrote, "It is intentionally bilateral and 10 make." 10 poses the very same obligations, restrictions, and 11 So reading this letter, it doesn't look 11 penalties for breach on both sides." Does that like he actually presented any -- any changes. He 12 12 13 refresh your recollection as to what you might have 13 just said, we want to make changes, and I was saying been concerned about? in response in my e-mail that I think we already 14 14 reached agreement on the terms of the settlement 15 A. Well, I don't know if that has anything 15 to do with modifications he made to it. My next 16 agreement. So maybe he didn't propose any redline 16 17 sentence says, "I don't understand how you can call 17 changes, just requested that he wanted to. 18 it 'one-sided.'" So he obviously thought it was a 18 Q. Do you have any recollection of him ever 19 one-sided agreement. And I was explaining that the 19 proposing any changes other than giving you the list purpose of this agreement is basically both parties 20 20 of trademarks that he wanted included? 21 are agreeing to respect each other's trademark rights 21 22 and not infringe each other's trademark rights 22 Q. Okay. Let me ask you to pull out CX141 23 equally. And so it's tailored so that we're not --23 and CX0314. 24 in other words, we're not valuing our trademark 24 A. Okay. 25 rights above yours. So what we do to avoid 25 Q. To your knowledge, was the injunction that 250 252 is attached to CX0314 at pages 10 and 11 and 12 1 infringing your rights is the same as what we're 1 2 asking you to do to avoid infringing our trademarks. 2 entered by the Court? 3 Q. Okay. Let me ask this. Do you plan to be 3 A. I believe it was. in the United States in April and May of 2017? MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Lack of 4 4 5 5 A. Yeah. foundation. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: My -- my understanding is 6 MR. STONE: Unless you get a better offer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, we'll see. No, I do. 7 this settlement agreement was executed by the 8 8 parties, the -- the May -- wait. What's the date Yeah. 9 MR. CHIARELLO: Then I'll reserve the rest 9 here? The May 8th, 2009 settlement agreement with 10 of my time. 10 Vision Direct and 1-800 was executed by the parties, 11 MR. STONE: Okay, let's take a short 11 and pursuant to the settlement agreement the parties 12 break. I just want to grab one document. 12 stipulated to the entry of that injunction. So I 13 (Recess from 4:59 p.m. to 5:06 p.m.) assume that the Court eventually entered that 13 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** 14 14 injunction pursuant to the settlement agreement. 15 BY MR. STONE: 15 Q. (By Mr. Stone) To your knowledge, did any 16 MR. STONE: Let me ask the reporter to lawyer for Vision Direct, either Mr. Sher or any 16 mark as RX266 a letter dated March 1, 2010. 17 other lawyer, ever say to the judge, we think 17 (Exhibit RX266 was marked.) 18 entering this injunction might violate section 1 of 18 19 Q. Mr. Miller, we -- earlier you were 19 the Sherman Act? 20 questioned about an e-mail that you sent to 20 MR. CHIARELLO: Objection. Calls for 21 Mr. Dreitler. Do you recall that? 21 speculation. Lack of foundation. 22 A. Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. CHIARELLO: He testified he wasn't

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of anybody

part of the agreements.

23

24

	253	2	55
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ever representing that to any courts. Q. (By Mr. Stone) And you were asked earlier about the language in the Exhibit 2 to the agreement. Do you recall that where it defined the term "antitrust opinion"? A. Right. Q. And then you were asked about on page CX0314-16 carrying over to 17, you were asked about what happens in the event there is an antitrust opinion. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. To your knowledge, has anyone from Vision Direct ever claimed that there exists an antitrust opinion as that term is defined in Exhibit CX0314? A. No. And I've never been made aware of anything that would qualify as an antitrust opinion pursuant to that definition. Q. Okay. And if you note on the first page of Exhibit CX0314, do you see where it says that the settlement sum being paid will be \$475,000? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that that's described as reflecting a partial reimbursement of 1-800 Contacts' attorneys fees? A. I do.	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF UTAH 3) ss. 3 COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) 4 I, Vicky McDaniel, Registered Professional 5 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: 6 That prior to being examined, the witness, MARK MILLER, was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; 8 That said examination was taken down by me 9 in stenotype on February 8, 2017, at the place herein named and was thereafter transcribed, and that a true and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth in the preceding pages; 11 12 That a copy for the witness to read and 12 sign be sent directly to the witness at his law firm, Holland & Hart, 222 S. Main Street #2200, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. 1 I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action and that I am not interested in the outcome thereof. 16 17 WITNESS MY HAND this 14th day of February, 18 2017. 18 19 20 21 Vicky McDaniel, CSR, RMR Notary Public Residing in Salt Lake County	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. STONE: Okay. I have no further questions. MR. CHIARELLO: I have no questions. (The deposition concluded at 5:10 p.m.) ***	2. 1 Case: 1-800 Contacts File No.: 141-0200 2 Reporter: Vicky McDaniel Date taken: February 8, 2017 3 4 WITNESS CERTIFICATE 5 I, MARK MILLER, HEREBY DECLARE: That I am the witness in the foregoing transcript; that I have read the transcript and know the contents thereof; that with these corrections I have noted, this transcript truly and accurately reflects my testimony. PAGE/LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 No corrections were made. 19 1	56

[257]

		I		1
A	actions 106:13	186:3 188:2	advance 15:13	88:10,18,20 92:2
A)a 209:24	107:18 114:10	215:10,17 217:17	advertise 119:5	92:18 102:4,16
a.m 3:16 49:8,8	143:6 177:19	218:5 219:6 249:7	advertised 131:17	103:7,13,23
85:12,12 120:21	235:20 237:1,4	added 22:7,13 37:4	advertisement 15:5	104:22 105:12,13
222:7	activate 179:20	39:12 41:1 109:4,5	15:8,8 17:3,8,18	105:15,16 106:4
abandoned 83:12	actively 10:22 45:11	175:7 176:9	17:23 29:3,4 30:2	119:12,21 122:9
Abdulla 217:23	activity 139:19	209:20,21 214:6	34:10 40:15 44:22	131:14 140:10
Abdulla's 221:1	140:2 187:20	236:5,9 237:11	46:12 47:14 49:1	142:1 153:12
ability 6:23 26:16	acts 14:2 15:15	adding 22:25 23:5	51:6,7,16,20 53:18	154:2 157:17
able 35:17 41:19	18:16 24:10,17	98:20 120:5	53:23 54:15 60:3	165:16,22 166:3,9
127:24 171:2	25:1 33:9 34:2,15	194:24 217:5	65:2 71:6 102:5	169:13 170:5
above-entitled 3:15	44:18 51:1,4 53:21	218:22 245:19	103:8,24,25	172:6 184:17
above-listed 153:22	59:13,25 60:19	247:11	104:11 197:25	190:25 201:8
absence 157:7	71:1,4,22 73:23	addition 129:15	202:21	210:19 211:7
absolutely 46:14,22	75:19,23 76:1	additional 104:25	advertisements	213:5 218:4 235:1
133:8	80:13 82:5 83:24	117:21	14:12,23 15:19	245:14
AC 73:12 74:2 80:17	84:23 87:9 91:21	additions 22:1	18:18 27:13 34:18	advice 91:10 135:18
81:10 82:8 84:4	101:25 102:19,25	addressed 200:23	35:8 53:9,12,24	182:21
118:11 216:14	103:1,18 191:9	210:24	58:6 60:7 62:4	AdWord 195:24
accept 207:10	192:16	addresses 205:13	64:14 66:24 67:7	242:6
216:24	Acts' 190:23	209:11	69:17 71:7 88:6	AdWords 29:1,15
accidentally 182:24	actual 24:21 74:24	addressing 23:8	105:1 106:8	46:4,16 47:2,2,6
accomplish 28:8,22	154:25 155:2	184:2	107:13 115:17	47:20,25 53:15
30:15 31:20 35:3	157:7,11 206:1	adjudicated 207:12	118:18 119:1	54:13,13 55:10,23
35:17,25 99:13	208:8 217:14	admission 8:10	121:14 122:18	56:4,25 59:22
187:11 198:12	Acuvue 161:9,12,15	115:8 116:8	142:4 146:22	69:20 111:10
account 29:15 47:3	162:8	Admissions 115:7	150:15 152:21	174:5 178:11
54:13 69:20	ad 16:18,21 18:5	Admit 115:14	160:1,4 177:21	195:22 202:15
119:13 127:18	28:17 29:10 30:6	admits 115:23	179:6 191:25	245:8
132:7,12 245:20	30:20,22 31:3,6	admitted 8:5,8,15	204:9	affect 130:10
246:6	32:13,19 41:7,9,15	115:14 116:7	Advertisements'	affiliate 136:8
accurate 7:24 49:17	41:20 47:16 48:1,5	adopt 106:20	14:15	affiliated 35:22
78:24 228:7	55:13 56:16,20	adopted 34:22	advertiser 29:6	148:18
accurately 256:7	69:21,22,24 87:22	adopting 48:6,11	47:15 54:14	affiliates 61:16
achieve 192:18	108:24 124:4	ads 16:23 17:1 22:23	177:24	104:17 194:22
204:2,2	148:6 159:5,9	29:7,18 49:4	advertisers 29:17	199:12,14,19,22
acknowledge 60:7	161:3,5,8,12,15	113:15 116:2,12	64:4 160:1 178:18	200:5
acknowledgement	162:9 163:3	119:25 123:5	180:7	affiliation 130:18,24
234:2	176:23 182:23,23	132:5 144:15	advertising 14:11	149:1
act 33:11 143:9	195:24 196:13,20	147:17 162:10	26:15 28:4 51:6,15	agents 14:7 61:16
198:9 211:4 231:9	196:20 219:9 221:5 240:17	176:2 179:4,13 180:9 184:4,10	51:17,20 53:22 59:16,23 60:20	104:17
252:19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ago 9:9 78:25
action 10:14 71:5	241:5 247:10,14 ad's 162:17	189:20 191:11	61:25 66:21 67:21 67:24,25 68:8,20	139:14 203:10 219:4
82:25 114:20	ad \$ 162:17 add 22:5 72:7 76:10	193:15 198:10		
115:2 213:8	83:14 99:2,5 109:8	199:20 215:1	69:8,11 70:10 71:5 72:3 73:1 76:23	agree 14:9 61:18 69:6 73:9 75:12
255:15	120:8 181:2,8	218:7 219:10,18 220:5 221:5	82:14 86:25 87:15	104:19 120:10
	120.0 101.2,0	220.3 221.3	02.14 00.23 07.13	104.19 120.10

[258]

				[250]
187:3 215:21	168:9 169:1,2	80:16 81:10 82:12	alleviate 209:25	answer 6:10,18 9:13
agreed 69:15 103:15	170:14 171:15,22	83:6,11 84:4,21	allow 62:18 63:4	16:4 19:22 27:9
112:12 213:8	174:16 175:3,13	85:1 86:22 94:24	92:19 166:3	32:5 33:1 34:25
agreeing 99:12,13	176:10,16 180:16	98:8,18 99:10,15	206:25	37:17 38:16 40:10
234:16 249:21	180:17 181:1,4,6,7	100:6,17,22 101:6	allowable 59:23	41:23 45:4,7 46:19
agreement 13:19,22	181:9 182:9,15,20	101:11 102:15	62:2,12 104:24	46:25 48:10 50:11
13:24 14:7 20:10	184:3,10,14,16	106:17 107:8	246:2	51:25 53:2 57:23
20:11,21 21:3,11	187:16 190:13,21	108:7 109:7,11,23	allowed 41:14 62:16	57:25 60:17 61:7
21:14,18 22:2,10	191:6,15 192:10	110:1,5,7 116:20	93:7,12	64:21 69:1 79:15
22:13 23:7,16 24:1	192:19 195:20,21	117:3,18 118:1	allowing 18:17	81:13 90:20,22
24:22 25:18 26:20	195:23 196:2,4,8	119:8 140:13	allows 62:1 104:23	98:4 106:24
26:23 27:11,12,23	197:9 200:1,14,25	156:11 160:17	178:18 207:4	109:19 119:4
28:3 32:22 33:3,16	202:2 205:8,11,17	165:22 166:5	alter 14:17 110:5	123:1 128:21
33:19 34:22 37:23	207:5,23 208:4,11	167:2,4 185:1,10	alterations 81:1	132:24 135:5,16
38:19,22 39:1,12	208:18,21 209:10	185:25 187:25	Alternatively 31:17	138:14 139:24
40:14,25 41:3,5,11	209:19,22 210:4	195:9,14,16	ambiguous 19:1	141:2,10 154:19
43:24 44:3,6,12,14	210:11,14 211:25	196:25 197:2,5	34:24 56:22 98:3	155:7,21 156:14
44:20 49:14,16,20	212:2,9,12,19,20	198:20 201:7,18	101:13 155:16	162:4 197:16
50:24 51:14 58:21	212:25 214:3	202:8 203:5,8,8,12	158:15 226:24	223:22 224:4
60:9 61:15 70:19	215:5,9 216:23	203:16,18,21	America 77:13	226:6,7 228:11
70:22 71:10 72:19	217:1,4,7,20	217:12 218:10,13	256:21	243:15
73:6,10,12,16,18	218:21 219:1,3	220:19 231:20	amicable 178:25	answered 57:24
73:21,24 77:25	220:22 222:25	241:23 243:2,3	amicably 114:24	58:2
78:8,14,20 79:12	223:5,11,12	245:6 252:24	amount 160:2	answering 6:9 96:9
80:7,9 81:3,20,22	224:10 225:25	ahead 40:10 72:15	222:23 223:15	answers 6:2
83:19,21 84:16	228:6,16,19,24	139:24	225:24 226:1	Anthony 94:5
85:17,20 86:6,9,11	229:1,2,3,5,9,9,14	aim 146:14	analysis 94:2 129:10	anticipated 115:24
86:16,19 88:22,24	229:16 230:13,18	Airlines 19:12,13,14	130:10 132:19	antitrust 235:16,20
89:3 91:15,18 92:8	231:5,12,14 232:8	42:6,7	133:4 145:14	235:24 236:1,4,18
92:10,12,13 93:16	232:9,21 233:2,7,7	akin 59:14	149:16,19 150:24	236:20,25,25
95:16 97:4,24,25	233:14,15,18,23	Alesia 194:14 199:8	151:12 152:20	253:5,9,13,16
98:17 100:25	234:1,3,7,9 235:21	228:1	153:6 156:20	anybody 9:18 65:25
101:19,21 103:12	235:25 236:6,15	algorithm 47:24	196:1 223:19	105:21 252:25
104:2,16 105:24	237:2,8,12,21,25	108:2 124:15	224:2,6,9,11,23	anybody's 167:3
110:13,18,19,20	238:2,3,6 242:10	178:6	225:5,12 226:1,12	anymore 83:12
110:22 111:1,25	242:13,18,24	algorithms 31:2	226:21 227:1	anytime 177:6
112:5,10 113:6,12	243:11,24 244:5,6	allegation 143:23	analytics 123:25	anyway 109:11
113:16,22 114:9	245:23 246:23	149:13 162:18	analyze 47:8 132:3,6	155:6
115:16,25,25	247:4 248:1,21	allegations 133:7	analyzing 133:2	AOL 121:15
116:11 118:11,16	249:7,19,20	143:7 150:19	146:3	apart 206:5 230:5
119:9,19 120:11	251:15,16 252:7,9	allege 132:16 143:6	and/or 51:7 53:24	apologize 147:18
120:14,16 130:23	252:11,14 253:3	alleged 157:16	62:4 64:14 65:2	apparently 218:16
133:17 143:4	agreements 13:10	159:6 224:18,20	66:21,25 67:7 73:1	appear 14:12 17:9
160:13,14 162:23	22:6,8 23:11 25:19	226:2,13,22	89:18 105:1 106:4	25:9 30:6 33:5
163:1,5 164:14,20	34:8 35:14 41:25	alleging 142:18	106:8 118:18	34:11,18 40:15
164:23 166:2	66:13 68:5,18	155:13 218:3	119:1	44:22 45:13,15,23
167:20,23 168:3,8	71:15 74:1,23	232:5	Angeles 4:11	46:12 47:16 51:20
		l	l	l

[259]

				[232]
60:1 74:3 80:15	201:20	associated 169:1	137:7	142:18 159:9,10
84:2 192:14 196:9	areas 29:9 47:6,8	255:14		159:12 170:18
197:25 198:3	arguably 187:11	assume 154:7 170:7	В	174:4 179:17
229:13 231:13	argue 132:14	252:13	b 1:9 2:1 53:6 57:15	180:1,3 185:6
appearance 7:11	arised 117:21	Assumes 132:22	59:9 60:6,18 67:19	215:1,19 224:4
15:18 16:17 17:23	Arlington 70:20	157:19 244:7	71:19 73:25 75:23	244:11
18:4,18 27:13 53:8	216:14	assuming 157:22	75:24 78:21 80:15	bases 247:9
53:11 57:18 58:5	arose 217:8	assurance 113:23	81:8 82:6 84:1,6,7	basically 95:10
158:12,18 159:4,9	Arshil 217:23	114:1	84:10 88:7 92:14	109:16 212:19
159:12 162:17	articles 19:16,17	attached 23:6 93:20	119:10 156:10	249:20
appearances 4:1	aside 13:8 23:22	124:24 140:4	166:16,19 237:9	basis 100:7 121:18
129:19,24	70:13 73:13	143:2 149:25	back 14:25 17:25	122:7,8,14,17
appeared 158:21	141:13 238:8	150:1 160:9 252:1	18:2 21:19 22:15	126:20,22 128:15
159:10	asked 34:8 57:24	attachment 133:16	26:21 28:1 29:16	128:25 129:2,5
appearing 115:17	78:20,23 93:25	142:24 160:19	32:21 37:22 46:6	130:14 132:14,16
146:18 179:6	96:13 111:2,3	206:11	49:9 52:17 57:15	142:17,21,25
190:25	115:12 122:3	attachments 125:4	64:10 67:19 69:4	143:23 149:12
appears 18:17 24:2	157:23 164:13	attention 12:22	78:19 79:5 80:3	150:19 159:24
33:3 56:17 65:3	169:9 191:24	115:8 121:12,23	88:24 93:25	230:23 232:10
85:2 93:19 133:16	197:8 223:10,14	141:24 150:13	102:19 103:1	Bates 43:13,15
140:11 199:18	242:7 253:2,7,8	165:5 179:16	113:5 118:10	Beach 4:10 5:19,19
216:22 233:1	asking 24:12,14	189:5	120:22 126:10	9:2,3 149:24 150:3
251:7	38:18 51:13 58:9	attorney 7:14	133:15 134:7	204:25
applicable 106:12	58:14 79:6 140:15	attorney-client 9:13	135:15 149:8	becoming 136:23
107:18	157:23 188:18	attorneys 7:5	152:7 160:7	228:5
application 8:14	195:10 206:8	253:24	167:22 172:9	beginning 55:2
73:11 98:8 244:4	221:16,17 239:6	audit 101:9,15	188:23 189:21	begins 44:17 72:22
applied 8:10 94:16	250:2	August 141:22	197:4 208:5	96:20 106:2
109:7 247:13	aspect 151:11	183:11	209:12 213:20	behalf 4:2,8 5:12,13
applies 100:2 143:9	aspects 10:7	automatically 100:2	215:6,20 217:15	5:17,18,20 11:12
apply 70:1 95:20	assemble 134:19	available 15:1 35:16	219:5 221:13	70:23 73:19
149:18 182:22	assembled 144:9,10	86:2 97:8	222:1 230:2	105:17 136:9
200:6 248:22	154:12 163:19	Avenue 4:11	233:11 234:22	160:16 238:5
applying 136:24	assert 152:7 154:14	avoid 6:3,7 42:21,22	242:7 247:22	behavior 141:4
appreciate 61:20	154:16 156:18,23	136:22,23,25,25	back-and-forth	Behle 107:25
200:6	157:10 159:24	190:22 195:2	237:13	belief 159:2
appreciated 171:10	asserted 201:22	204:8,9 249:25	background 7:25	believe 8:9 10:16
appropriate 60:9	asserting 121:18	250:2	8:2	12:1 13:17 19:22
201:6 245:16	assess 124:12	avoiding 204:13	bad 188:21	26:8 27:24 28:1
appropriately	151:19 224:17	aware 20:21,24 21:2	balance 164:4	32:17,17 33:8
208:24	assessed 126:14	21:10 22:1,12	bar 8:5,21,21 30:24	42:11 44:15 63:5
April 163:14 250:4	assessing 132:3	23:14,17 98:18	65:9 147:1	67:12,20 71:16
Aquasoft 95:12	assessment 131:7	112:24 134:18	bars 8:8,11	72:1,20 73:22
96:10,12	239:3	139:17 140:15	based 42:7 46:19	78:20,22 81:23
arbitrary 214:21	asset 136:17,18	141:3 203:8	83:6 94:1 117:19	82:2,16,19 89:4
area 12:2 31:25 32:2	137:16	252:22,25 253:15	118:1 128:9,11	95:13 102:22
46:2,17 187:7	assisted 73:22	awareness 132:9	134:3 141:10	104:8 107:7
			l	l

[260]

				[200]
147:22 148:7,21	bit 18:6 59:5 61:13	123:22 124:8,10	100:15,16 101:5	178:3 243:8
148:25 156:19	81:25 135:17	124:11 127:9,15	124:23 138:6	249:17
162:20,25 166:1	181:3 201:21	128:2 129:18	143:15 170:3,8,9	called 5:3 94:19
167:19 171:13	blank 223:9	131:24 153:3	172:15 177:6,8	169:9 187:8
172:10 175:2	block 176:23	163:13	182:19,20 183:2	calls 19:1 27:8 37:15
177:5 188:5 192:7	blocked 240:17	breach 99:19 101:6	238:10 239:1,8	38:14 41:21 46:15
192:18 204:23	241:5	160:14,15 162:18	242:25 243:3,8,9	46:18 50:10 52:25
211:11,13 228:3	blocking 189:20	163:6 190:12	242.23 243.3,8,9	79:1 86:5 123:11
238:12,15,24	blurry 161:1	249:12	244:13 245:9,11	138:13 140:21
241:11 252:3	board 71:14 184:19	breached 101:8	246:10,13,20	145:7 155:16
believed 230:16	bolded 241:12	192:19	240.10,13,20	156:7 161:14
believes 231:5	bottom 24:17,24	breaches 98:25	Bryce's 245:1	162:2 165:1,12,24
236:24	34:3 72:11 104:14		246:11	162.2 163.1,12,24
benefit 65:25 111:13		breaching 182:24	= :	
	110:17,18,21	break 6:16,18 49:7	bullet 153:18,21	168:20 177:2
111:14	162:12 168:11	85:10 90:18,25	bump 63:2	186:23 192:6
benefits 204:1	178:23 189:5,8,9	115:4 160:5 190:3	bunch 30:7 87:3	202:11 218:15
best 28:8 41:23	189:10 192:12	206:3 221:19	123:17	219:24 226:5,14
49:17 64:6 99:25	197:6 199:10	250:12	burden 97:21,24	230:21 232:3
100:5,5,9 147:7	222:6 227:24	breaks 6:15	business 111:9	237:23 242:20
161:1 164:23	229:18 236:17	briefly 9:9	113:3 123:15	244:8,24 252:5,20
170:16 182:21	241:1 244:12	bring 47:9 179:15	201:10,15	campaign 53:23
242:4 247:9	246:12	broad 29:24 30:6,12	button 37:19,19	69:19,20,24 70:3,4
better 52:3 61:13	box 52:15	31:6,13,13 47:7,12	179:22	70:7,10 72:3 87:15
68:8 159:19 170:6	Boy 128:5	47:14,17,22 48:21	buttons 29:20	87:22 92:3 100:3
250:6	bracket 176:21	54:17 55:17 56:3	buying 194:22,23	111:10 174:5
beyond 106:14	247:23,23	59:6 65:21 66:8		195:23 245:22
107:19 156:7	brackets 168:15	74:13 158:4		246:18 247:11,14
181:17 187:25	183:5,6,8 244:16	159:20 172:21	c 11:14 15:14,16	248:5,13,23
190:1 201:9,19,21	brand 15:17 16:10	193:12 198:14	16:1,7 53:19,20	campaigns 61:25
205:23 206:25	16:12,16 17:10	210:1 211:13,16	54:4 57:20 58:6	69:8,11,21 70:2
207:3 209:1	18:12,14,19 19:7	213:12 215:22	59:5 60:11 61:3	88:10,18,21 92:18
215:17 217:14	20:12 22:11,21,22	244:18,23 245:15	69:4 72:1,2,10,12	98:10 100:3
224:14	23:3,15,19 25:8,12	broad-matching	72:13,17,21 73:25	104:22 142:1
bid 45:21 46:10	25:16,23 26:9,12	31:11	75:23,24 78:22	153:12 154:3
159:7	26:14,17 27:5,14	broader 187:3	80:15 81:9 82:6	157:18 182:22
bidding 46:1 56:5,7	27:17,19 28:10,18	221:14	84:2,6,7,10 104:15	219:9 221:5 239:9
56:11 202:9,14,14	28:20,20 30:9,11	broadly 171:3	118:14,23	cap 62:24 63:14
202:16 203:8,11	33:7 34:12,19 35:6	180:21 213:7	c-i-n-t-a-c-t-s	64:5
203:13,14,18,21	35:8,10,20,23	brought 148:24	152:15	capital 59:9 72:21
204:3	37:24 38:3,6,13	Bryan 10:6 50:12,14	c-o-n-a-c-t-s 152:16	84:9,10,10 118:14
big 28:19,19 31:14	40:16 44:23 45:25	50:17 77:3 81:25	cache 135:22 147:6	118:23 235:13
65:17 77:14 109:4	136:22 137:13,15	85:23 86:2,6	Caditz's 230:7	capped 62:19
176:9	137:18 147:14	183:20 185:7	California 4:11	care 49:15 51:14
bigger 114:25	192:15 198:1,2,6	190:6,11 193:6	call 99:3,17,22	65:16 71:10,23
bilateral 249:10	220:14	227:14	100:13 128:17	72:5,9,19 73:6
Bing 202:10	brands 132:9,9	Bryan's 192:11	142:14 158:8	74:2,4 77:13,13
bio 7:22	BrandVerity 123:17	Bryce 99:23 100:3	171:10 173:21	79:12 80:17 81:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l

[261]

82:7 84:3 106:1	191:25 192:13	232:7	167:13,17 168:20	212:8
141:22 142:11	193:6,9,15 195:20	changes 21:11 81:4	168:23 176:6	clarity's 241:2
143:23 148:8	195:24 196:9,12	108:25 209:19	177:2 186:6 188:4	clause 61:10,13
149:13 190:22	196:19,20 197:24	251:9,12,13,17,19	188:9,11,17	64:13 67:6 72:15
201:14	198:2,10 199:25	changing 29:17	191:16 192:5,25	108:20
careful 121:21	228:15	channel 151:11	193:3 196:3	clauses 73:9 109:16
154:18,18 223:21	caution 140:24	channels 151:15	199:15 202:11	213:19
carried 95:15 96:8	caveat 93:6,12	157:4	204:5,23 210:10	clear 6:7,14 16:14
97:3 166:4 167:1	cease 153:9 156:12	character 213:16	210:13 212:5,7	17:17 20:18 52:1
carry 70:5 84:12,17	218:11 219:21	charge 108:1,2	218:14 219:14,23	84:5,9,20 95:1
carrying 253:8	221:9	charges 104:25	221:25 224:3	108:5,17 135:21
carve 29:9 30:4	ceasing 193:8	cheaper 26:3 68:9	226:9,18 227:4	135:22,24 147:5
31:15 55:20	center 130:23	checked 66:14 75:3	228:17 229:4	157:6 174:7
172:20	Centers 77:13	checking 188:11,20	230:10 231:4	180:18 185:14,19
carve-outs 60:19	CEO 217:24	Chiarello 1:4,6 4:3	232:12,14,19,24	235:23 240:4
case 10:3,4,5,7 12:6	certain 10:20 15:3,4	5:7,11,15,21 7:7	238:4 240:7,13	Clearance 162:8
13:23 14:25 18:11	15:11,11 22:3	7:10 9:4,16 12:9	242:16 243:14	clearly 186:13
44:7,10 53:6,19	69:16 97:12 116:4	12:12,18 16:8	244:12 245:10	192:20 198:17
68:2,15 76:25 90:2	116:14,16 117:19	17:25 19:8,21	248:24 249:3	click 17:5 56:9
96:3 132:25 133:6	124:1 129:8 138:5	20:19 27:21 32:5	250:9 252:4,20,23	136:1 179:22
152:4 153:5 157:6	163:23 176:12	33:24 37:22 38:17	254:3	202:24,25 225:19
185:24 197:18,22	224:10 237:10	41:4 42:23 43:7,9	choose 48:21 56:10	225:23
201:22,23 207:3	certainly 47:15	43:12,19,22 45:9	89:5 110:9 195:22	clicking 15:10 16:24
222:16 256:1	118:2,7 159:23	45:17 46:23 48:15	choosing 29:24,25	clicks 225:22 226:2
cases 10:21 11:3	CERTIFICATE	49:7,9,10 50:19	46:3 178:7	226:13,17,22
65:15 156:9	255:1 256:4	52:4 53:5 57:2	chose 144:15 145:9	client 7:15 10:19
184:18	certification 153:19	58:8 61:2,12,20,22	145:16	44:9 76:22 112:3
catalog 137:10	certify 255:5,14	65:1 69:4 70:12,16	chosen 56:2	128:20 140:25
categorized 214:19	cetera 103:9 165:17	79:22 81:17 82:18	chronological 139:2	146:4 154:7,8
category 13:7	CFR 11:13	82:21 85:9,13	circumstances	155:4 156:25
cause 14:10 16:21	chain 167:8,11	90:19 91:9 93:14	227:18	174:3,4 175:22
17:2 33:5 45:12,12	168:6 181:24	96:13 98:16	cites 192:13	177:7 178:4,10
45:15,22 46:4,5,11	182:2 183:19	101:16 107:4	City 3:12 255:13	179:2,5,5,24
47:16,25 48:4,13	188:23 191:20	114:4 120:19,22	claim 132:17 141:5	189:14 192:21
51:18 55:13 90:5	193:19 198:23	120:23 122:15	152:6,7 154:15,17	211:1,3 222:11,17
104:11 146:22	199:4 200:17	123:8,21 129:3	155:11 156:16,18	224:1 238:25
255:15	205:3,5,6 207:16	133:3 135:11,15	156:18,21 157:9	239:8
caused 224:19	207:20 209:6,13	136:12 138:2,9,23	157:10 159:24	client's 121:13,19
causes 14:11 51:6,15	215:6	139:15 140:2	claimed 253:13	141:25 148:8
51:17,20 53:23	challenging 156:10	141:9 143:3	claims 115:20 116:6	150:14,20 177:19
57:12 71:6 102:4	change 93:9 97:10	145:11 149:24	116:16 156:13	177:22 207:24
102:16 103:7,13	108:4 112:8	150:2,4,9 155:12	187:13 201:22	217:17 219:11,19
103:23 210:19	232:11 244:18	155:20 157:13,22	202:3 203:16	221:7
causing 17:8,17 25:8	CHANGE/CORR	158:19 159:4	220:3	clients' 170:18
34:9,18 40:14	256:9	160:5,7,8 161:17	clarifies 59:12	close 177:24 194:24
44:21 45:10	changed 34:21 36:1	162:6 164:3 165:1	clarify 6:14 186:24	216:6 221:7
140:13,16 191:11	98:19 104:6 110:7	165:12,24 166:23	clarity 41:4 70:9	closes 221:4
	l	I	I	I

1-800 Contacts

[262]

Coastal 13:20 18:11 220:5 221:6 commerce 211:22 45:11 code 144:18 commercial 65:24 commission 1:1 3:2 240:12 42.5:12 136:10 203:7.21 203:7.21 201:155:10 203:7.21 203:7.21 201:155:10 203:7.21 203:7.21 201:155:10 203:7.21 201:155:15 203:7.21 201:155:15 203:7.21 201:155:15 203:7.21 201:155:15 203:7.21 203:7.21 203:7.22 203:15 203:7.22 203:7.2					
21:13,18,24 30:8 ds.14:18 code 144:18 colon 15:15 153:20 240:12 color 125:10 color	Coastal 13:20 18:11	220.5 221.6	220:15	100.6 117.5 166.9	234.25
45:1 213:18 commercial 65:24 commission 1:3:2 comparing 7:4* competitive 142:5.6 23:4:1.15 23:6:3 consider 121:25 240:12 20:37:21 4:2.4:5:12 136:10 20:37:21 5:10:20 4:2.4:5:12 136:10 20:37:21 5:10:20 122:4:155:10 consider 121:25 20:31:5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
code 144:18 colon 15:15 13:20 240:12 240:12 143:19 144:3,8,12 144:16 145:10 147:2 163:21 column 126:4 143:19 144:3,8,12 144:16 145:10 147:2 163:21 common 19:5,9 23:1 common 19:5,9 23:1 common 19:5,9 23:1 competitor 20:5 competitor's 198:16 competitor's 198:16 com					
colon 15:15 153:20 240:12 commission 1:1 3:2 42;4 5:12 136:10 203:7:21 competitive 142:5.6 142:10,14 15:1.2 concepts 40:8 12:14,18,21 203:15 22:23 consideration consideration 203:15 222:23 column 126:4 144:16 145:10 147:2 163:21 columns 144:14 2columns 144:14 2columns 144:14 2columns 144:14 2scolumns 14					
240:12					
color 125:10 color min 126:4 Commission's 11:13 151:5,21 112:14,18,21 203:15,222:23 considerations 22:23 143:19 144:38,12 64:24 69:18 70:6 137:20 169:16 213:11 conscerned 79:20 23:32 considerations 22:3:22 columns 144:14 89:19 90:10 95:9 105:11 114:25 complains 139:19 concerned 79:20 213:62 49:14 considered 21:16 154:21 203:4 come 16:22 17:3,18 185:5 207:11,13 commons 195:5 complains 139:19 concerns 108:1 110:18 172:15 180:13 122:21 130:2,24 220:10 22:18 consistent 105:20 191:5 195:13 consitute 19:2 consitute 105:20 191:5 195:13			_	_	
column 126:4 Commission's 11:13 competitor 220:5 171:5 172:24 considerations 143:19 144:3,8,12 143:19 144:3,8,12 143:10 145:10 64:24 69:18 70:6 75:11 76:9 87:24 137:20 169:16 213:11 233:22 considered 21:16 147:2 163:21 combination 48:24 89:19 90:10 95:9 105:11 114:25 137:20 169:16 213:6 249:14 considered 21:16 49:2 combination 48:24 49:2 185:5 207:11,13 commonly 95:25 113:11 114:21 170:18 173:22,23 211:5,24 218:12 20:5 29:7,710 combine 48:19 commonsesse 86:15 142:22,24 143:1,5 concluded 254:4 concluded 254:4 21:5 29:7,710 communicate 111:23 174:14 communicate 149:25 150:1 concluded 254:4 conduct 27:3 237:3 consulting 26:24		1			
143:19 144:3,8,12		*	,		
144:16 145:10 64:24 69:18 70:6 competitors 137:2 columns 144:14 common 126:7 105:11 114:25 105:11 114:25 combination 48:24 49:2 combination 48:19 60:5 207:71,10 30:21,23 31:4,6 48:1,5 50:14,15 55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 111:62 113:8 1					
137:20 169:16 137:20 169:1			_		
columns 144:14 cm 126:7 combination 48:24 fcom 126:7 combination 48:24 89:19 90:10 95:9 105:11 114:25 complaint 11:7 combination 11:25 170:17,17 combination 11:25 170:17,17 combination 48:24 commonly 95:25 p6:5 comp 16:22 17:3,18 commonly 95:25 p6:5 p6:5 p6:20 p6:5 point 11:23 174:14 properties 11:23 174:24			_		
com 126:7 105:11 114:25 140:3 112:25 170:17,17 191:5 195:13 combination 48:24 49:2 combination 48:24 (2) (2000) 48:19 (2000) 112:25 170:17,17 170:18 173:22,23 21:5 20:7,7,10 21:5 29:7,7,10 20:21 33:14,6 48:1,5 50:14,15 48:1,5 50:14,15 communicated 86:3 111:23 174:14 communicated 86:3 142:22,24 143:1,5 conduct 27:3 237:3 constitute 119:12 constitute 1					
combination 48:24 49:2 185:5 207:11,13 commonly 95:25 complaint 11:7 113:11 114:21 170:18 173:22,23 211:5,24 218:12 220:10 221:8 combine 48:19 come 16:22 17:3,18 21:5 29:7,7,10 30:21,23 31:4,6 48:1,5 50:14,15 5cmmunicated 86:3 30:21,23 31:4,6 66:1,74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1,74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 111:62 113:8 communicating 114:14 121:12 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:15 communications 15:9 18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 175:14 45:6 complet 15:2 20:10 227:5 242:23,24 241:24 247:15 compails 74:14 20:12 20:11 23:14 13:14 13:15 29:1 135:15 18:12 29:1 135:13 15:14 6:10 complet 15:1 component 18:13 16:52 19:4 27:20 28:4 59:16 component 18:13 10:21 12:22 13:12 13:22 11:15 23:11 15:24 20:19 20:9 21:11 12:21 16:16 179:13 21:5:3 complaint 11:7 114:21 175:15 180:13 20:10:20 concluded 254:4 conduct 27:3 237:3 conducted 134:22 consulting 236:24 conduct 27:3 237:3 conduct 27			_		
49:2 combine 48:19 commolive 95:25 96:5 113:11 114:21 115:6 122:8 133:8 12:29:210:6 175:15 180:13 209:25 210:6 220:10 221:8 constitute 119:12 consulting 236:24 (constitute 119:12 consulting 236:24 (consulted 254:4 conduct 27:3 237:3 conducted 134:22 consulting 236:24 (consulted 140:22 consulting 236:24 (consulted 140:22 consulting 236:24 (consulted 134:22 consulting 23					
combine 48:19 come 16:22 17:3,18 commonsense 86:15 commonsense 86:15 21:5 29:7,7,10 and 30:21,23 31:4,6 111:23 174:14 156:8 203:6,22 communicate 36:3 55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 111:6,21 113:8 114:14 121:12 1 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:17 101:9 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 134:15 141:24 135:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 155:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 155:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 155:16 154:5 27:12,22,00 135:2 186:22 20:10 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 compled 120:17 20:13 112:15 common 15:29 192:10 241:24 247:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 221:24 231:15 comply 38:17 29:4 69:17 99:4,17,18 100:13 112:1 20:1 123:24 137:9,17 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 154:16 163:22 130:2,4,12 130:24,12 140:6 123:24 137:9,17 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 154:16 163:22 166:3,8 compute 15:2 concept 34:16 56:2 165:4,8 119:12,21 165:16 159:19 176:18 119:12 component 181:13 138:13 136:24 constitute 119:12 consulting 236:24 consu		,	_	•	•
come 16:22 17:3,18 commonsense 86:15 142:22,24 143:1,5 concluded 254:4 constituted 140:22 consulting 236:24 30:21,23 31:4,6 48:1,5 50:14,15 156:8 203:6,22 conduct 27:3 237:3 consulting 236:24 48:1,5 50:14,15 communicated 86:3 86:4 128:20 147:9 complete 160:1 174:7 177:20 confer 135:8,10 153:13 154:16 155:2,14 66:1 74:25 76:11 communicating 134:24 compliance 63:11 155:4 confered 154:8 155:2,14 consumer 35:19 111:6,21 113:8 communicating 135:19 100:17 101:9 confered 154:8 155:4 consumer 31:18 114:14 12:12 10:13 112:19 100:17 101:9 confine 207:8 131:11 137:8 134:15 141:24 189:2 110:6,12 112:5 confirm 75:7 confirm 25:7 confirm 25:7 confirm 25:7 confirm 35:7 confirm 25:7 confirm 45:7 156:1 confirm 25:7 confi					
21:5 29:7,7,10 30:21,23 31:4,6 48:1,5 50:14,15 55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 111:23 174:14 111:6,21 113:8 111:23 173:14 111:6,21 113:8 114:14 121:12 113:13 130:2,9 114:14 121:12 114:24 189:2 134:25 150:1 100:17 101:9 100:17 101:9 100:17 101:9 100:17 101:9 114:14 121:12 118:21 150:13 159:18 163:3 159:18 163:3 179:7 91:5 112:2,20 178:19 193:16 179:13 215:3 169:24 220:10 227:5 242:23,24 209:2 108:11 229:14 247:15 20mplate 45:12 20mplate 63:11 218:29:9 221:5 29:14 241:24 247:15 20mplate 63:11 218:29:29 218:20,22 228:10 228:15 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:19 23:18					
30:21,23 31:4,6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
48:1,5 50:14,15 communicated 86:3 complete 160:1 149:5 191:1 42:21 90:5 132:9 55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 134:24 174:7 177:20 confer 135:8,10 153:13 154:16 76:13 103:24 134:24 communication 185:19 compliance 63:11 155:4 consumers 111:8 111:6,21 113:8 communication 10:13 112:19 100:17 101:9 confident 158:7 130:17,21 131:9 123:13 130:2,9 135:2 186:22 108:11,25 109:3 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 contact 26:17 27:19 148:21 150:13 135:17 145:24 229:14 245:6 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 20:02,22 54:18 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 17:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 241:24 247:15 compas 15:9 89:24 20:22 20:10 195:19 196:15 confusingly 89:15 88:20 89:17 96:20 90:2 148:13 123:24 1					S
55:13 57:12 65:16 66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 86:4 128:20 147:9 communicating 134:24 174:7 177:20 tompliance 63:11 compliance 63:11 compliance 63:11 d6:6,11 88:21 99:9 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:17 101:9 t13:13 130:2,9 135:2 186:22 108:11,25 109:3 134:15 141:24 189:2 110:6,12 112:5 t13:13 130:2,9 135:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 135:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 135:15 161:13 135:17 145:24 216:22 220:10 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 comply 15:2 190:2 208:10 90:2 148:13 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 comparative 26:2,7 complied 120:17 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 123:6 124:2 125:2 123:6 124:2 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 123:6 124:2 130:2,1416 68:20 117:11 130:11 191:12,21 165:16 165:15 119:12;25 130:11 100:13 112:1 130:21 140:6 159:19 1976:18 119:12,21 165:12 109:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 165:15 119:12;21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 165:15 119:12;21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 165:15 119:12;21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 165:15 238:13 20:14:10 165:22 166:3,8 165:23 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 123:6 124:2 159:19 176:18 19:12,21 165:16 169:18 70:20 138:13 154:16 155:2,14 consumers 111:8 155:2,14 confident 158:7 confiden			,		
66:1 74:25 76:11 76:13 103:24 communicating 134:24 185:19 compliance 63:11 conferred 154:8 155:2,14 consumers 111:8 111:6,21 113:8 communication communication 66:6,11 88:21 99:9 100:17 101:9 confident 158:7 confine 207:8 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 75:7 confirm 158:23 131:11 137:8 confirm 75:7 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 158:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:7 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:2 confirm 75:7 confirm 158:23 confirm 75:2 confirm 158:23 confir			_		
76:13 103:24 134:24 compliance 63:11 155:4 consumers 111:8 111:6,21 113:8 10:13 112:19 10:13 112:19 10:17 101:9 10:17 101:9 13:11 137:8 123:13 130:2,9 135:2 186:22 10:16,12 112:5 confine 207:8 13:11 137:8 134:15 141:24 189:2 10:6,12 112:5 confirming 158:23 confused 156:3,5 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 30:6,12 31:9,18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 38:10 39:13,15 50:20,22 54:18 40:21 40:24 40:21 40:24 40:21 40:24 40:21 40:25 30:6,12 31:9,18<				•	
111:6,21 113:8		C			1
114:14 121:12 10:13 112:19 100:17 101:9 confine 207:8 131:11 137:8 123:13 130:2,9 135:2 186:22 189:2 108:11,25 109:3 110:6,12 112:5 confirm 75:7 confirming 158:23 contact 26:17 27:19 148:21 150:13 communications 27:11,22 28:1,23 229:14 245:6 confirming 158:23 30:6,12 31:9,18 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 compled 120:17 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 216:22 220:10 compled 120:17 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 50:20,22 54:18 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 compley 28:14 65:12 emply 28:14 65:12 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 20:2 148:13 20:224 231:15 complement 10:19 68:13 390:5 122:12 15:12 152:8 20ming 28:17 29:4 comparative 26:2,7 27:20 28:4 59:16 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 125:12 130:2,4,12 59:23 60:3,20			_		
123:13 130:2,9 135:2 186:22 108:11,25 109:3 confirm 75:7 156:1 134:15 141:24 189:2 110:6,12 112:5 confirming 158:23 contact 26:17 27:19 148:21 150:13 communications 163:5 181:1 229:1 confused 156:3,5 30:6,12 31:9,18 152:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 229:14 245:6 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 complied 120:17 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 comply 28:14 65:12 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 20:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 complying 196:15 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 20ming 28:17 29:4 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 69:17 99:4,17,18 c			•		*
134:15 141:24 189:2 110:6,12 112:5 confirming 158:23 contact 26:17 27:19 148:21 150:13 27:11,22 28:1,23 29:14 245:6 confusing 144:25 30:6,12 31:9,18 152:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 229:14 245:6 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 complied 120:17 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 comply 28:14 65:12 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 155:12 152:28 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 125:12 130:2,4,12 59:23 60:3,20 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17					
148:21 150:13 communications 163:5 181:1 229:1 confused 156:3,5 30:6,12 31:9,18 152:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 229:14 245:6 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 227:5 242:23,24 29:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 83:20 89:17 96:20 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 290:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 213:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 68:13 30:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 20mfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 20mparative 26:2,7 27:20 28:4 59:16 component 181:13 154:16,25 155:1,2 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2	*		•		
152:16 154:5 27:11,22 28:1,23 229:14 245:6 confusing 144:25 38:10 39:13,15 159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 comply 28:14 65:12 confusingly 89:15 83:20 89:17 96:20 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 159:19 176:18 19:12,21 165:16 68:4 7				<u> </u>	
159:18 163:3 79:7 91:5 112:2,20 complied 120:17 189:13,22 218:6 50:20,22 54:18 171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 comply 28:14 65:12 confusingly 89:15 83:20 89:17 96:20 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16				•	
171:16 177:1 135:17 145:24 218:20,22 218:23 219:8 55:11 69:18 70:20 178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 20mply 28:14 65:12 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 component 181:13 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4					,
178:19 193:16 216:22 220:10 comply 28:14 65:12 confusingly 89:15 83:20 89:17 96:20 195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 212:24 231:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 complying 196:19 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 comparative 26:2,7 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 167:16 170:3,22 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 238:13 201:19 209:9 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3		,	_	*	•
195:25 196:20 227:5 242:23,24 99:20 100:6 89:19,21 153:11 108:15,16 137:9 241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 172:15 174:19,20 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4			•		
241:24 247:15 companies 74:14 180:25 191:11 185:8 206:2 142:12 148:15 comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 comparative 26:2,7 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 19:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10				0.0	
comes 15:9 89:24 207:22 208:10 195:19 196:15 confusion 35:5,19 150:10 151:9,20 90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 69:17 99:4,17,18 comparative 26:2,7 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4				· ·	· /
90:2 148:13 212:24 231:15 228:15 233:18 39:11,23 42:21 151:22 152:8 165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 69:17 99:4,17,18 comparative 26:2,7 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4		_			
165:23 194:6 company 115:1 complying 196:19 68:13 90:5 122:12 154:13 157:25 comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 69:17 99:4,17,18 comparative 26:2,7 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4					,
comfort 109:9 123:24 137:9,17 199:24 200:12 131:21 132:15 160:12 162:22 coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 69:17 99:4,17,18 comparative 26:2,7 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 59:23 60:3,20 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 components 225:7 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4				· ·	
coming 28:17 29:4 183:21 component 181:13 138:18 153:13 167:16 170:3,22 69:17 99:4,17,18 20:22:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 22:211,15 237:11 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4			1 0		
69:17 99:4,17,18 comparative 26:2,7 222:11,15 237:11 154:16,25 155:1,2 172:15 174:19,20 100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
100:13 112:1 27:20 28:4 59:16 components 225:7 155:14 156:23 175:5,24 176:4,17 123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 68:4 76:5 87:20 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4	O		_		1
123:6 124:2 59:23 60:3,20 compound 122:25 157:6,7,11 179:25 177:6,8 186:10 125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 concept 34:16 56:5 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4		_	*	· / /	•
125:12 130:2,4,12 67:20,24,25 68:8 186:7 180:3 201:19 209:9 130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 concept 34:16 56:5 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4			_		
130:21 140:6 68:20 117:11 computer 15:2 conjunction 59:25 210:8 212:9,21 159:19 176:18 119:12,21 165:16 concept 34:16 56:5 238:13 213:1,9 214:3,10 179:13 215:3 165:22 166:3,8 68:4 76:5 87:20 conjunctive 40:9 214:10,16 216:2,4		1	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
159:19 176:18					
179:13 215:3			_	•	
		· ·	_		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

[263]

235:1 239:1,8	139:19 140:3,8,16	71:18 111:11	238:17 255:10	243:3,8 244:3,13
243:8,23,24	142:13 144:3	113:13 117:16	256:22	246:20 247:8,24
Contact's 130:13	146:12,12,13,19	119:9,17 120:12	correction 61:20	create 69:21,22
contacted 157:23	148:17,18,19,22	120:16 121:24	62:10	122:12 128:8
contactlens.com	148:23 149:5,7	200:9 201:6 203:4	corrections 256:7	136:2 154:8
167:12,19	152:14,18 153:10	220:8 225:13	256:18	239:21 240:8
contacts 3:5 4:8	159:7,18 160:16	contextual 243:21	correctly 54:8 62:8	created 36:7,17
5:17 7:8,10,14	164:15 167:11	continuation 246:9	67:4	125:24 163:14,15
10:10,15,18,25	169:16 170:4	Continued 2:1	corresponding	creates 231:7
11:8,12 13:20,20	171:4,9 172:16	contract 105:20	124:4,4 196:18	CSR 255:21
13:21 18:10,11	174:18 176:15	162:18 222:12	cost 224:12 225:8	current 10:17,21
21:9,13,18,21,24	178:7 180:1 186:2	230:6	costs 202:24	currently 10:22
24:2 25:24 26:3,5	186:18 190:13	contrary 177:22	counsel 5:6,15 7:7	192:19
26:6 29:14 30:8,8	193:23 194:5	control 29:6,18,20	8:23 9:10,17 10:1	cut 239:25 240:4,5
30:22,24 31:4,7,8	197:3 204:2	47:19 105:14,15	12:13 26:25 28:2,3	240:20,24 241:7,8
31:9,11 33:17,17	206:23 214:10,16	106:14 107:19	43:14 44:10 49:22	241:11
33:18 36:9,25 37:5	215:23,23 218:5	160:2,2 177:20	50:1,13 86:5,10	cut-and-paste
37:23,25 38:10,19	220:7 225:15	178:5,12,18,19	93:5,25 94:5 100:8	240:19
38:22 39:1,6,10,14	226:11,12,20,21	179:3 180:7	100:25 104:3	cut-and-pasted
39:16,16,22 40:5	227:6 228:6,14,20	controlled 14:9	139:7 145:19,22	239:17
40:13,24 41:6,19	229:8 231:20	61:17 104:18	156:8 177:16	CX 150:6
42:4 43:25 44:2,9	233:2 237:16,20	105:9	188:4 189:3 199:7	CX 49:10
45:1,22 46:8,11	238:5 241:19	controls 105:22	203:6,22 207:21	CX003 24:24
47:17,17,22 48:2	242:11 245:12	conversation 12:12	209:9 216:14	CX0311 166:11
48:17 49:15,21	246:23 256:1	48:15 96:25	232:14 236:25	197:6
50:2,7,12,21 52:18	Contacts' 8:23	108:12 202:22	242:3	CX0311-004 166:14
52:23 53:4 54:18	28:12 38:5 89:14	243:21	counsel's 11:7 115:6	197:19
55:11 63:21 65:17	93:17 95:3,15 96:6	conversations 155:5	169:3	CX0314 251:23
68:9,10,11 69:14	112:17 115:6	170:12 202:5,14	country 157:12	252:1 253:14,19
70:20,23 73:17,19	117:6 143:24	239:5 243:2,19	County 255:3,22	CX0314-004 233:8
80:8 81:21 83:9,10	199:7 200:11	245:2	couple 76:13	CX0314-009 234:12
83:20 85:18 89:18	219:6 220:12	convey 176:8	coupon 144:18	CX0314-011 235:3
90:13 91:16 95:2,8	239:9 253:23	convince 178:9	course 21:8 65:23	CX0314-16 253:8
95:11 96:17 97:12	contain 82:12	cookies 135:22	123:15 185:24	CX0315 168:1
97:13,17,18 99:23	containing 115:19	cooperated 222:19	court 183:15 207:12	171:25 175:11
100:19 101:20,22	116:5,15	coordinate 100:5	234:17 252:2,13	CX0319 208:17
108:23 111:7,21	contemplate 230:14	coordinating 99:12	courts 253:1	CX0320 182:10
112:13,13 113:16	contemplated	copied 182:2 183:19	cover 173:18	212:16,21 213:3
114:6 115:14,19	117:25	241:15,18,19	covered 247:9	CX0320-005 182:12
115:23 116:6,7,15	contending 122:17	copy 183:16 188:5	Craven 99:23	CX0323 212:22
117:10,17 121:3	content 36:16 53:9	232:15 255:11	100:15,16 101:5	213:10 214:3
122:11,21,22,22	85:3 131:16,18	copying 246:21	124:23 138:6	CX0709 183:14,17
123:14 125:1,24	148:24	copyright 11:3	143:15 167:11	183:18 188:6
126:15,20 127:7	contention 177:22	corner 36:24	170:3,8 172:15	CX0796 160:9,11
127:14 130:4,19	contents 256:7	correct 32:23 39:17	176:25 177:6	CX0827 138:23,25
130:22 136:14,18	context 42:1,14 55:5	67:11 87:17 97:5	182:19 238:10	CX0828 138:25
137:12,24 139:17	58:15 63:13 66:16	99:20 129:3 149:6	239:1,8 242:25	CX1071 2:8 124:18
	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1

[264]

CX1347 19 13-6;	-	I	I	I	I
CX141 1:19 227:9 CX48 150.5 CX42 2:12:12:13:15 CX1429 2:9 7:18,19 139:12 Davis 77:13 Davis 77:13 delivering 41:7,9 delivering 41	124:19 134:7	101:17 181:8	dates 229:3	140:9	designating 159:21
225:12 CX342 2:97:18,19 CX1430 2:10 36:45, CX643 2:51 44:18 14:18,12 2:11 12:19 CX1430 2:10 115:5 CX790 2:6 160:20 CX790 2:6 160:20 CX96 2:0 160	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			U
251:22			*	*	
CX1429 :9 7:18,19 46:65 52:17 CX6432 :5 141:14 141:15,19 149:8 141:15,19 149:8 CX709 :2:6 CX709 :2:6 160:20 151:51 CX709 :2:7 149:22 163:8,11 12:19 12:20 CX1468 :11 149:20 149:21 CX1470 :2:12 141:17 CX2470	,	CX638 2:5 120:24	*	· ·	O
CX1430 2:10 36:4,5 46:65:2:17 CX6432:5 141:14 46:65:2:17 CX790 2:6 160:20 CX1442 2:11 12:19 day 12:16 108:4 255:16 days 153:20 demand 113:9 114:8 detailed 192:20 detailed 182:20 detailed 192:20 detailed 192:20 detailed 192:20 detailed 192:20 de		121:1 133:15	50:20 91:5 243:9		desist 153:10 156:13
Accident	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Davis 77:13	16:17 53:8,12	218:11 219:21
CX1441 2:10 115:5 CX790 2:6 160:20 163:8,11 2:20 255:16 days 153:20 demand 113:9 114:8 details 124:14 deal 65:17 114:18,142:12 149:21 CX1470 2:12 141:17 141:18 143:10 CX21470 0:12 141:17 12:15 CX254 2:46:25 CX1469 2:11 120 13:9;12 CX254 2:46:25 CX316 1:20 13:9;12 13:14 CX310 0:02 14:1 CX310 0:02 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9;12 23:23 24:21 229:4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX312 1:23 2:13 23:12 33:13 33:14 CX311 1:20 13:9;12 23:23 24:21 229:4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX311 1:20 13:9;12 23:23 24:22 25 CX315 1:22 42:24 42:25 174:16 CX311 1:20 13:9;12 CX317 1:22 19:17 23:23 24:22 20:17 23:23 24:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 24:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:17 23:23 20:12 20:10 20:17	CX1430 2:10 36:4,5	CX643 2:5 141:14	day 12:16 108:4	56:20	
CX1442 2:11 12:19	46:6 52:17	141:15,19 149:8	121:10 131:10	Delta 19:12,13,14	detail 124:9 161:24
CX1442 2:11 12:19 163:8,11 CX799 2:7 149:22 149:21 149:21 149:23 CX827 2:7 CX1470 2:12 141:17 149:23 CX827 2:7 CX2470 0:12 141:17 139:3 CX3470 007 147:12 CX1470 0.006 143:16 CX1470 0.007 147:12 CX254 246:25 CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 CX310 0.02 14:1 CX310 0.02 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:21 229:4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:22 225:2	CX1441 2:10 115:5	CX709 2:6		,	detailed 192:20
CX1468 2:11 149:20 149:23 149:23 149:23 149:23 149:23 149:23 149:23 149:24 141:18 143:10 CX27 CX1470 007 147:12 CX1470 007 147:12 CX254 246:25 139:14 CX310 -002 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 235:12,13 D. C 4:5 8:16 decision 50:17 177:23 235:12 133:14 CX311 1:22 3:23 24:21 29:4 225:2 225:2 225:2 226:10,19 145:4,14 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,14 148:4,15 148:4,1	115:10	CX796 2:6 160:20	days 153:20		details 124:14
CX1468 2:11 149:20 149:23 dealt \$1:25 218:1 222:14,17 243:25 246:16 determined 7:6 decided 7:14:12 decided 7:14:12 decided 7:14	CX1442 2:11 12:19	163:8,11		114:18,21,22	128:13 135:3
	12:20	CX799 2:7 149:22	dealing 76:21 81:24	121:2 153:9,19	190:17,18 232:4
CX1470 2:12 141:17 141:18 143:10 CX1470-006 143:16 CX1470-007 147:12 CX254 246:25 13:14 CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 CX310-002 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:21 229+4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX314 1:21 232:13 232:12 42:24 42:25 174:16 CX315 1:22 70:17 70:18 118:11 CX317 1:22 70:17 70:18 118:11 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX320 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,2 21:20 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,2 21:20 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,2 21:20 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 80:3,2 21:22 140:9 date 14:6 21:12 49:16 61:14 78:13 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 232:20 233:3 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 232:20 233:3 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:11 12:11 12:11 18:14 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 CX828 2:8 138:24 16ccided 165:7 4deciding 14::24 decision 50:17 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 deciding 14::24 decision 50:17 177:19 demonstrating 59:19 demonstrating 59:19 demonstrating 59:19 demonstrating 59:19 demonstrate 248:22 demonstrate 248:22 demo	CX1468 2:11 149:20	149:23	dealt 81:25	218:1 222:14,17	243:25 246:16
Table 1	149:21	CX827 2:7	decade 109:25	222:21,22 223:6	determine 47:6
CX1470-006 143:16 CX1470-007 147:12 CX254 246:25 CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 CX310-002 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:21 229:4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX314 1:21 232:13 33:14 CX314 1:21 232:13 172:18 173:5,10 232:16 235:8 CX315 1:22 42:24 42:25 174:16 CX317 1:22 70:17 70:18 118:11 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX320 1:23 78:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 CX323 2:38 33:15,16 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 CX323 2:38 33:15,16 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 CX323 2:38 33:15,16 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 decided 50:14,15 94:12,22 decisin 50:17 177:23 235:17 236:2 declaratory 114:19 215:2 DECLARE 256:5 256:20 decided 50:14,15 236:2 decisin 50:17 177:129 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrating 59:10 235:18 236:2 department 99:11 99:11,22 138:6 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 decision 50:17 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 decison 50:17 department 99:11 99:11,22 138:6 8:24 9:18,20 11:8 59:20 10:21,31 10:3:20,21 decided 10:3: 160:22.12 decided 10:14:24 decision 50:17 department 99:11 99:11,22 138:6 255:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 decisno 50:17 177:19 demonstrated 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 decisno 50:17 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 department 99:11 92:13:18 59:19 describe 31:3 16:22 deposed 5:22 9:24 describe 31:3 103:20,21 different 13:10 describe 31:3 103:20,21 different 13:10 40:21 13:18 125:14 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:21 describe 16:	CX1470 2:12 141:17	CX828 2:8 138:24	decades-old 151:15	223:18 225:25,25	128:15 129:6
CX1470-007 147:12 CX254 246:25 CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 D d 1:2 17:7 22:19 197:17,18,22,23 235:12,13 94:1,22 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:23 235:17 218:25 demonstrated 225:24 40:1,12 determining 146:3 225:24 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 demonstrated demonstrated 177:19 40:1,12 determining 146:3 225:24 determining 146:3 225:24 decision 50:17 determonstrated 177:19 determining 146:3 demonstrated developed 26:14 determining 146:3 225:24 decision 50:17 determonstrated 177:19 determining 146:3 demonstrated developed 26:14 developed 26:14	141:18 143:10	139:3	December 168:4	226:10,19	145:4,14
CX254 246:25 CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 CX310-002 14:1 CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:21 229:4 CX313 1:21 33:13 33:14 CX314 1:21 232:13 232:16 235:8 CX314 1:21 232:13 232:16 235:8 CX315 1:22 42:24 42:25 174:16 CX317 1:22 70:17 70:18 118:11 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 CX310 1:20 73:17 80:34 246:22 247:4,20 CX311 1:24 81:17 81:18 CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 CX323 2:38 83:15,16 162:24 2:09 CX323 2:38 83:15,16 162:24 2:09 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 CX325 2 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:23 235:17 236:2 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:23 235:17 236:2 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:29 demonstrate 248:22 demonstrate 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 demonstrate 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:29 335:17 236:2 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:29 325:17 236:2 DECLARE 256:5 DECLARE 256:5 department 99:11 deposed 36:14 developed 26:14 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:29 325:17 demonstrate 248:22 decision 50:17 177:19 demonstrate 248:22 deciding 144:24 decision 50:17 177:29 325:17 demonstrate 248:22 decianory 114:19 59:19 136:22 device 16:21 difference 79:19,23 30:14,16 31:20 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:29:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:29:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:29:24 deposed 5:22 9:24	CX1470-006 143:16		decided 50:14,15	demanded 154:5	determined 39:8,20
CX310 1:20 13:9,12 13:14 197:17,18,22,23 235:12,13 decision 50:17 177:23 235:17 236:2 demonstrated 177:19 dewonstrating 23:23 24:21 29:4 225:24 device 16:21 device 16:21 different 29:11 36:22 device 16:21 different 13:10 36:22 device 235:19 236:3 define 14:22 196:11 defined 20:11 23:15 describe 36:21 117:18 8:24 29:24 49:25 174:16 205:10 207:17,21 data 47:20 81:21,24 97:8 121:3 126:10 130:8 131:1,8,22,23 133:5,13 135:23 135:14 273:14 205:10 207:17,21 data 47:20 81:21,24 81:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20	CX1470-007 147:12		94:1,22	218:25	40:1,12
13:14	CX254 246:25		deciding 144:24	demonstrate 248:22	determining 146:3
CX310-002 14:1 D.C 4:5 8:16 d/b/a 126:11 236:2 declaratory 114:19 demonstrating developed 26:14 136:22 23:23 24:21 229:4 23:23 24:21 229:4 225:2 225:2 Dan 169:3,4,6,8 115:2 DECLARE 256:5 department 99:11 difference 79:19,23 33:14 232:16 235:8 172:18 173:5,10 decree 235:19 236:3 define 14:22 196:11 deposed 5:22 9:24 different 13:10 CX315 1:22 42:24 225:2 data 47:20 81:2,124 define 20:11 23:15 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposition 3:15 5:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed	CX310 1:20 13:9,12		decision 50:17	demonstrated	225:24
CX311 1:20 13:9,12 23:23 24:21 229:4 d/b/a 126:11 damages 224:22 22:52:2 declaratory 114:19 15:2 59:19 denies 116:7 department 99:11 difference 79:19,23 derice 16:21 difference 79:19,23 derice 16:21 difference 79:19,23 define 14:22 196:11 defined 20:11 23:15 define 14:22 196:11 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposition 3:15 5:24 deposition 3:15	13:14	· ·	177:23 235:17	177:19	detrimental 204:11
23:23 24:21 229:4			236:2	demonstrating	developed 26:14
CX313 1:21 33:13 225:2 Dan 169:3,4,6,8 DECLARE 256:5 department 99:11 difference 79:19,23 33:14 CX314 1:21 232:18 172:18 173:5,10 decree 235:19 236:2 department 99:11 99:11,22 103:20,21 difference 79:19,23 CX315 1:22 42:24 185:23 204:20 define 14:22 196:11 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposed 5:22 9:24 40:22 44:25 59:20 60:19 64:3 103:20,21 define nt 13:10 30:14,16 31:10 30:14,16 31:20 40:22 44:25 59:20 60:19 64:3 59:20 60:19 64:3 75:6,20 77:15 75:6,20 77:15 78:14 49:13:11 235:24 definitely 172:6 described 13:3 110:3114:20 110:3114:20 110:3114	CX311 1:20 13:9,12		declaratory 114:19	59:19	136:22
Dan 169:3,4,6,8 172:18 173:5,10 173:16 180:13,22 185:23 204:20 205:10 207:17,21 data 47:20 81:21,24 97:8 121:3 126:10 126:11 130:8 73:14 131:1,8,22,23 133:5,13 135:23 139:7,17 155:9 Data's 122: 2 14:09 CX321 1:24 85:14 81:18 81:18 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:23 203:20 205:10 207:17 205:10 207:17,21 data 47:20 81:21,24 97:8 121:3 126:10 defining 198:8 235:24 deposition 3:15 5:24 deposition	23:23 24:21 229:4	_	115:2	denies 116:7	device 16:21
CX314 1:21 232:13 172:18 173:5,10 decree 235:19 236:3 define 14:22 196:11 define 14:22 196:11 deposed 5:22 9:24 different 13:10 CX315 1:22 42:24 185:23 204:20 205:10 207:17,21 define 20:11 23:15 deposed 5:22 9:24 deposition 3:15 5:24	CX313 1:21 33:13		DECLARE 256:5	department 99:11	difference 79:19,23
232:16 235:8 CX315 1:22 42:24 42:25 174:16 CX317 1:22 70:17 70:18 118:11 CX319 1:23 73:13 73:14 73:14 131:1,8,22,23 133:5,13 135:23 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 102:20 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 162:24 210:9 214:12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 173:16 180:13,22 185:23 204:20 205:10 207:17,21 defined 20:11 23:15 68:21 117:18 68:21 117:18 253:4,14 254 deposed 5:22 9:24 40:22 44:25 59:20 40:22 44:25 59:20 40:20 44:25 59:20 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40escribed 13:3 110:3 114:20 41:12 59:13 44:24 58:21 253:17 46initively 143:25 46initively 143:25 46initively 143:25 46initively 143:25 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40:21 12:11 18:14 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40escribe 36:3 118:14 158:3 159:17 158:12221:122 118:14 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40escribe 36:6,18 57:20 40escribe 36:4 40:22 44:25 59:20 40escribe 36:4 40:20 44:10:2 40escribe 36:3 40escribe 36:4 40escribe 36:4 40escri	33:14		256:20	99:11,22 138:6	103:20,21
CX315 1:22 42:24 42:24 42:25 174:16 185:23 204:20 205:10 207:17,21 data 47:20 81:21,24 97:8 121:3 126:10 defined 20:11 23:15 68:21 117:18 deposition 3:15 5:24 8:24 9:18,20 11:8 59:20 60:19 64:3 75:6,20 77:15 78:1 4 253:4,14 253:4,14 defining 198:8 235:24 definitely 172:6 definitely 172:6 definition 20:17 80:3,4 246:22 133:5,13 135:23 139:7,17 155:9 Data's 122:2 140:9 date 14:6 21:12 49:16 61:14 78:13 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 102:20 CX321 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 102:20 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 162:24 210:9 214:12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 Definition 20:17 definitively 143:25 159:13 18:14 describes 61:3 18:14 18:14 19:19 200:22 deleted 165:7 deliver 32:13,19 Definition 20:17 describing 221:9 describing 221:9 describing 221:9 describing 221:9 describing 12:14 describing 22:14 describing 22:15 19:11 22:13:1,18 200:22 deleted 165:7 deliver 32:13,19 Definitively 16:10 2:12 21:14 describing 22:14 describing 22:15 22:15:11 designated 12:14 describing 22:14 describing 22:15 22:15:11 describing 22:14 describing	CX314 1:21 232:13	1	decree 235:19 236:3	235:18 236:2	different 13:10
42:25 174:16 205:10 207:17,21 68:21 117:18 8:24 9:18,20 11:8 59:20 60:19 64:3 CX317 1:22 70:17 data 47:20 81:21,24 253:4,14 254:4 75:6,20 77:15 78:1 70:18 118:11 97:8 121:3 126:10 defining 198:8 depresses 231:14 49:6,11,21 88:3 CX319 1:23 73:13 126:11 130:8 235:24 describe 36:6,18 93:4 103:14,18 73:14 131:1,8,22,23 definitely 172:6 definition 20:17 described 13:3 110:3 114:20 EX320 1:23 78:17 133:5,13 135:23 44:24 58:21 14:18 58:11 68:15 123:17 133:1 80:3,4 246:22 Data's 122:2 140:9 date 14:6 21:12 definitively 143:25 14:18 58:11 68:15 123:17 133:1 EX321 1:24 81:17 82:24 110:23 Delaney 107:24 describes 61:3 15:8:15:21,22 EX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 125:16 178:3 describing 221:9 173:11 194:9 EX323 2:3 83:15,16 162:24 210:9 232:20 233:3 177:12,16 178:3 description 218:9 205:2 245:8 162:24 210:9 214:12 200:22 214:20,222,32,25	232:16 235:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	define 14:22 196:11	deposed 5:22 9:24	30:14,16 31:20
CX317 1:22 70:17 data 47:20 81:2,24 253:4,14 254:4 75:6,20 77:15 78:1 70:18 118:11 97:8 121:3 126:10 defining 198:8 235:24 depresses 231:14 79:6,11,21 88:3 CX319 1:23 73:13 131:1,8,22,23 definitely 172:6 definition 20:17 describe 36:6,18 93:4 103:14,18 CX320 1:23 78:17 33:5,13 135:23 139:7,17 155:9 44:24 58:21 44:24 58:21 104:1 106:19 CX321 1:24 81:17 B1:18 49:16 61:14 78:13 42:34 10:23 253:17 describes 61:3 15:18 152:11,22 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 Delaney 107:24 describing 221:9 158:3 159:17 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 232:20 233:3 177:12,16 178:3 description 218:9 205:2 245:8 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 42:27 250:17 48:11,12 200:18 60:21,23 214:9,17 74:9 75:19 76:15 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 42:27 250:17 42:27 250:17 42:27 250:17 43:25 44:24 58:21 44:24 58:21 44:24 58:21 45:18 45:18 45:18 45:18 15:18 15:18 15:2:17 15:8:15:211,22	CX315 1:22 42:24		defined 20:11 23:15	deposition 3:15 5:24	40:22 44:25 59:20
70:18 118:11 97:8 121:3 126:10 defining 198:8 depresses 231:14 79:6,11,21 88:3 CX319 1:23 73:13 13:1,8,22,23 definitely 172:6 describe 36:6,18 93:4 103:14,18 CX320 1:23 78:17 133:5,13 135:23 139:7,17 155:9 definitely 172:6 described 13:3 104:1 106:19 EX321 1:24 81:17 Data's 122:2 140:9 definitively 143:25 described 13:3 123:17 133:1 EX322 1:24 85:14 49:16 61:14 78:13 82:24 110:23 Delaney 107:24 describes 61:3 158:3 159:17 EX323 2:3 83:15,16 232:20 233:3 125:16 168:2,5,9 125:16 178:3 Delaney 107:24 description 218:9 description 218:9 205:2 245:8 EX324 2:0:9 182:15 192:11 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 EX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 deleted 165:7 215:2 215:21 125:11 designated 12:14 deights 137:13	42:25 174:16	· ·	68:21 117:18	8:24 9:18,20 11:8	59:20 60:19 64:3
CX319 1:23 73:13 126:11 130:8 235:24 describe 36:6,18 93:4 103:14,18 CX320 1:23 78:17 133:5,13 135:23 definitely 172:6 describe 36:6,18 93:4 103:14,18 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 Data's 122:2 140:9 described 13:3 110:3 114:20 CX321 1:24 81:17 date 14:6 21:12 definition 20:17 described 13:3 110:3 114:20 CX322 1:24 81:17 date 14:18 58:11 68:15 123:17 133:1 13:18 152:15,25,25 153:7 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 159:13 118:14 describes 61:3 152:25,25 153:7 EX323 2:3 83:15,16 168:25,5,9 232:20 233:3 177:12,16 178:3 describes 60:21,23 14:18 58:15 158:3 159:17 </td <td>CX317 1:22 70:17</td> <td></td> <td>253:4,14</td> <td>254:4</td> <td>75:6,20 77:15 78:1</td>	CX317 1:22 70:17		253:4,14	254:4	75:6,20 77:15 78:1
73:14 CX320 1:23 78:17 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 102:20 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 162:24 210:9 214:12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 131:1,8,22,23 133:5,13 135:23 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 139:7,17 155:9 144:24 58:21 253:17 253:22 14:18 58:11 68:15 123:17 133:1 253:22 151:8 152:11,22 152:25,25 153:7 180:11,12 20:18 118:14 158:3 159:17 180:21 13:1,18 180:11,12 200:18 177:12,16 178:3 177:12,16 178:3 178:18 178:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18	70:18 118:11		defining 198:8	depresses 231:14	79:6,11,21 88:3
CX320 1:23 78:17 133:5,13 135:23 definition 20:17 described 13:3 110:3 114:20 80:3,4 246:22 247:4,20 Data's 122:2 140:9 44:24 58:21 14:18 58:11 68:15 123:17 133:1 CX321 1:24 81:17 date 14:6 21:12 definitively 143:25 describes 61:3 152:25,25 153:7 81:18 49:16 61:14 78:13 59:13 18:14 158:3 159:17 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 Delaney 107:24 describing 221:9 173:11 194:9 85:15 93:20 125:16 168:2,5,9 123:20 233:3 177:12,16 178:3 description 218:9 descriptive 17:12,20 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 252:8 256:2,24 dated 13:11 24:1 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 182:15 192:11 182:15 192:11 deleted 165:7 215:2 215:11 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 deliver 32:13,19 designated 12:14 digits 137:13	CX319 1:23 73:13		235:24	describe 36:6,18	93:4 103:14,18
80:3,4 246:22 139:7,17 155:9 44:24 58:21 123:17 133:1 247:4,20 247:4,20 253:17 253:22 151:8 152:11,22 CX321 1:24 81:17 49:16 61:14 78:13 49:16 61:14 78:13 49:16 61:14 78:13 159:13 18:14 158:3 159:17 CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 125:16 168:2,5,9 125:16 168:2,5,9 125:16 17:12,16 178:3 180:11,12 200:18 177:12,16 178:3 180:11,12 200:18 177:12,20 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 123:17 133:1 152:25,25 153:7 158:3 159:17 158:3 159:17 158:3 159:17 158:3 159:17 173:11 194:9 173:11 194:9 205:2 245:8 177:12,16 178:3 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:18 180:11,12 200:22 14:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 74:9 75:19 76:15 79:11 87:5 171:11 181:14 <td< td=""><td>73:14</td><td></td><td>definitely 172:6</td><td>57:20</td><td>104:1 106:19</td></td<>	73:14		definitely 172:6	57:20	104:1 106:19
247:4,20 Data's 122:2 140:9 253:17 253:22 151:8 152:11,22 CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 49:16 61:14 78:13 definitively 143:25 describes 61:3 152:25,25 153:7 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 Delaney 107:24 12:2 113:1,18 describing 221:9 describing 221:9 describing 221:9 description 218:9 description 218:9 description 218:9 descriptive 17:12,20 differently 58:2 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 162:24 210:9 214:12 dated 13:11 24:1 182:15 192:11 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 deliver 32:13,19 designated 12:14 digits 137:13	CX320 1:23 78:17		definition 20:17	described 13:3	110:3 114:20
CX321 1:24 81:17 81:18 date 14:6 21:12 49:16 61:14 78:13 82:24 110:23 definitively 143:25 159:13 describes 61:3 118:14 152:25,25 153:7 158:3 159:17 CX322 1:24 85:14 85:15 93:20 82:24 110:23 125:16 168:2,5,9 232:20 233:3 252:8 256:2,24 162:24 210:9 Delaney 107:24 232:20 233:3 252:8 256:2,24 180:11,12 200:18 200:22 describing 221:9 4 description 218:9 4 description 218:9 60:21,23 214:9,17 214:20,22,23,25 214:20,22,23,25 215:2 differently 58:2 79:11 87:5 171:11 215:11 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 182:15 192:11 222:7 250:17 200:22 215:2 215:2 215:2 215:2 215:11 215:11 deleted 165:7 deliver 32:13,19 215:2 215:2 215:11 215:11	80:3,4 246:22	,	44:24 58:21	14:18 58:11 68:15	123:17 133:1
81:18	247:4,20		253:17	253:22	151:8 152:11,22
CX322 1:24 85:14 82:24 110:23 Delaney 107:24 describing 221:9 173:11 194:9 85:15 93:20 125:16 168:2,5,9 112:2 113:1,18 description 218:9 205:2 245:8 102:20 232:20 233:3 177:12,16 178:3 descriptive 17:12,20 differently 58:2 CX323 2:3 83:15,16 252:8 256:2,24 180:11,12 200:18 60:21,23 214:9,17 74:9 75:19 76:15 162:24 210:9 dated 13:11 24:1 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 214:12 182:15 192:11 deleted 165:7 215:2 215:11 CX324 2:3 49:11,12 222:7 250:17 deliver 32:13,19 designated 12:14 digits 137:13	CX321 1:24 81:17		definitively 143:25	describes 61:3	152:25,25 153:7
85:15 93:20	81:18		159:13	118:14	158:3 159:17
102:20	CX322 1:24 85:14	82:24 110:23	Delaney 107:24	describing 221:9	173:11 194:9
CX323 2:3 83:15,16 252:8 256:2,24 180:11,12 200:18 60:21,23 214:9,17 74:9 75:19 76:15 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 215:11 222:7 250:17 deliver 32:13,19 designated 12:14 digits 137:13	85:15 93:20	125:16 168:2,5,9	112:2 113:1,18	description 218:9	205:2 245:8
CX323 2:3 83:15,16 252:8 256:2,24 dated 13:11 180:11,12 60:21,23 214:9,17 214:20,22,23,25 74:9 75:19 76:15 162:24 210:9 182:15 192:11 200:22 214:20,22,23,25 79:11 87:5 171:11 24:12 182:15 192:11 192:11 192:11 215:2 215:11 </td <td>102:20</td> <td></td> <td>177:12,16 178:3</td> <td>_</td> <td>differently 58:2</td>	102:20		177:12,16 178:3	_	differently 58:2
214:12	CX323 2:3 83:15,16		180:11,12 200:18	60:21,23 214:9,17	•
214:12	162:24 210:9		200:22	214:20,22,23,25	79:11 87:5 171:11
deliver series is in the designation of the designa	214:12		deleted 165:7		215:11
CX325 2:4 101:16 251:2 41:20 56:16 94:11 32:3 37:16 164:19 diligence 74:18	CX324 2:3 49:11,12		deliver 32:13,19	designated 12:14	digits 137:13
	CX325 2:4 101:16	251:2	41:20 56:16 94:11	32:3 37:16 164:19	diligence 74:18
		l	l	l	l

[265]

dilution 136:23 discussions 9:17 20:21,22,24 21:27 113:15 117:9 167:8,11 168:6,7 carliest 194:13 4direct 18:10 20:5,7 24:3 25:25 26:3 7:15 32:21 45:1 169:10 178:14 173:10:10 171:19 172:10,14 173:19 192:10 14 173:19 173:10,17 174:25 175:10,17 174:25 76:14 199:41,82 11 23:18.10:17 76:14 199:41,82 11 175:10,17 174:25 76:14 199:41,82 11 <	75 14 200 1	204 11 21 6 20	100 22 111 10	165016601	250 10 252 2
direct 18:10 20:5.7 20:21,22,42 21:2,7 121:8 137:2 143:8 169:25 170:10 195:9 209:12 195:9 209:12 early 22:18 23:6 20:71:13 23:24 177:10 151:13 172:10,14 173:1,9 173:10,17 174:25 76:14,19 94:18,21 137:10 199:24 173:10,17 174:25 76:14,19 94:18,21 139:18 171:8 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1 139:18 171:1	75:14 208:1	204:11 216:20	109:23 111:10	165:9 166:21	250:19 253:2
243: 25:25 26:3 27:15 32:21 45:1 166:14 25:165:4 166:27 190:12 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 224: 7230:24 225: 18 195: 18 196: 8, 15 196: 23 197: 10 199: 8,21,23 200: 1 200: 2227: 6228: 6 231: 5 232: 7,21 233: 2 324: 24 235: 5 252: 10,16 233: 2 34: 24 235: 5 252: 10,16 253: 13 Direct's 28: 2,11 266: 8 198: 17 260: 2 197: 13 26irected 170:8 177: 7 201: 25 directed 170:8 177: 7 201: 25 directed 170:8 177: 7 201: 25 directed 170:8 177: 7 201: 25 discounters 219: 3 142: 5,6,10,14 150: 25 151: 2,4,21 150: 25 151:				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
27:15 32:21 45:1	•				
166:27, 190:12			,		
166:27, 190:12				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
191:6 192:10	•				
194:4,24 195:18 dismissal 43:6 205:12 207:9 188:23 189:2 easier 246:18 easier 246:	,				
195:18 196:78,15 196:23 197:10					
196:23 197:10	*				
199:8,21,23 200:1 106:4 118:17 119:1 199:8,21,23 290:6 128:13 20 229:6 128:13 20 20:14 22:24 129:22 129:23 129:22 129:22 129:22 129:22 129:22 129:22 129:23 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:22 129:12 129:					
200: 227:6 228:6				· ·	
228:13,20 229:6 231:5 232:7,21 53:25 66:25 67:8 53:25 66:25 67:8 73:21 86:9 133:17 200:27,71,620 224:12,18,19 225:15 233:2 234:24 102:6,17 104:12 106:9 107:2 136:4 179:4 184:4,11 166:8 198:17 234:8 251:8 179:24 184:4,11 166:8 198:17 235:5 252:10,16 235:5 252:10,16 255:13 230:5,19 231:22 24					
231:5 232:7,21 233:2 34:24 100:9 100:9 107:2 136:4 233:5 252:10,16 253:13 Direct's 28:2,11 166:8 198:17 230:5,19 231:22 directed 170:8 177:7 201:25 directed 170:8 177:7 201:25 directed 170:8 177:7 201:25 directed 170:8 177:7 201:25 distinction 131:13 distinctive 130:20 divert 180:2 diverted 224:24 diverting 179:25 disclose 121:25 Discounters 219:3 219:22 discovery 44:11 132:17 discovery 44:11 132:17 141:20 149:24 discovery 44:11 133:20:25 53:14 discovery 44:11 133:217 discovered 40:1 discovery 44:11 135:217 discovery 44:11 135:217 discovers 91:0,17,21 9:25 10:9 90:25 discussed 10:5,14 181:18 draft 31:2 52:4 drafted 86:11 181:18 210:24 213:11,20 216:22 115:6,8 220:1 222:2,6 223:8 227:25 directle 216:10 228:12,23 229:12 249:6 250:21 228:12,23 229:12 249:6 250:21 228:12,23 229:12 249:6 250:21 228:12,23 229:12 240:6 250:21 228:12,23 229:12 240:6 250:21 228:12,23 229:12 240:6 250:21 240:2 141:16 driven 20:14 driver 20:14 driver 20:14 driver 20:14 driver 20:14 driver 180:2 driven 20:14 driver 180:2 driven 20:14 driver 180:2 driven 20:14 driver 190:2 driven 20:14 driven 20:14 driver 190:2 driven 20:14 driver 190:2 driven 20:14 driven					
233:2 234:24 102:6,17 104:12 235:5 252:10,16 234:8 251:8 235:15				· ·	ECCA 77:12,17
235:5 252:10,16 253:13 179:4 184:4,11 displays 14:12 displays 14:13 52:7 230:5,19 231:22 directed 170:8 distinct 75:16 121:8 distinctive 130:20 directly 127:13 distinctive 130:20 divert 180:2 diverted 224:24 diverted 224:24 diverting 179:2 diverting 179:39 discovered 40:1 discovered 40:1 discovered 40:1 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 225:5 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 discovery 44:11 13:20:25 53:14 discovery 14:10 13:20:25 53:14 discovery 199:025 discovery 199:025 discovery 199:025 discovery 199:025 discovery 199:025 discovery 199:03,16 205:1 discoversy 199:3 165:13 166:14 dusy 255:7 dusy 15:14 169:19 discoversy 12:8 227:16 228:18 227:12 228:13 dusy 255:7 dusy 15:14 169:19 dusy 255:7 dusy 15:14 169:19 dusy 255:7 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:25 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:25 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:24 dusy 15:24 d	•				economic 223:19
253:13	233:2 234:24	102:6,17 104:12	73:21 86:9 133:17	207:7,16,20	224:12,18,19
Direct's 28:2,11 displays 14:12 dispute 44:3 156:8 181:18 210:24 213:11,20 edits 104:4 educational 7:25 8:2 edicational 7:25 8:2 edicationa	235:5 252:10,16	106:9 107:2 136:4	234:8 251:8	208:12,25 209:6,8	225:5
dispute 44:3 156:8 190:14 190:14 130:20 120:125 130:1519 132:17 132:17 132:17 141:20 149:24 132:17 133:20 15:146 150:15 146:9 169:2 150:15 146:9 169:2 150:15 146:9 169:2 150:15 146:9 169:2 150:15 146:9 169:19 160:15 115:2 150:15 146:9 169:19 160:15 115:2 150:15 146:19 150:15 146:9 169:19 160:15 115:2 150:15 146:19	253:13	179:4 184:4,11	drafted 86:11	209:17,23 210:18	edited 104:5
230:5,19 231:22	Direct's 28:2,11	displays 14:12	181:18	210:24 213:11,20	edits 104:4
directed 170:8 distinct 75:16 121:8 draw 12:22 115:8 220:1 222:2,6 233:23 effective 14:6 61:14 177:7 201:25 distinction 131:13 distinctive 130:20 distinctive 130:20 distinctive 130:20 divert 180:2 229:6 250:21 223:8 227:25 effective 14:6 61:14 150:25 151:2,4,21 diverted 224:24 diverting 179:25 diverting 179:25 diverting 179:25 diverted 224:24 251:2,6 239:13,20 240:6 effort 195:2 effort 195:2 efforts 22:18 189:25 discose 121:25 document 11:9 13:9 Drucker 139:7 Drugstore.com 244:66,10,12,20 either 18:17 112:21 219:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 235:5 250:20 251:1,14 eight 223:12 discovered 40:1 60:15 115:3 125:3 due 74:18 75:14 244:7 248:3 158:5 174:2 discuss 9:10,17,21 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 emails 164:11 194:22 204:1,12 discusses 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 Duer 228:1 earlier 20:20 53:15 due 34:15 29:13 41:3:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 dusk 156:17 dusk 156:17	166:8 198:17	dispute 44:3 156:8	drafting 44:13 52:7	214:1 215:6,8	educational 7:25 8:2
177:7 201:25 distinction 131:13 189:5 223:8 227:25 228:12,23 229:12 10:23 168:2,9 232:0 233:3 230:20 23:20 233:3 230:20 23:20 23:20 23:20 23:20 23:20:20 23:10 230:20 23:20	230:5,19 231:22	190:14	73:22	216:9,13 217:16	effect 202:7 233:16
directly 127:13 distinctive 130:20 Dreitler 216:10 228:12,23 229:12 110:23 168:2,9 142:5,6,10,14 150:25 151:2,4,21 diverted 224:24 249:6 250:21 238:10,16,22 232:20 233:3 255:12 diverting 179:25 diverting 179:22 diverting 179:22 diverting 179:22 diverting 179:22 diverting 179:22 diverting 179:22 divert	directed 170:8	distinct 75:16 121:8	draw 12:22 115:8	220:1 222:2,6	233:23
142:5,6,10,14 150:25 151:2,4,21 255:12 251:2,6 239:13,20 240:6 240:21 241:16 240:21 241:16 240:21 241:16 240:21 241:16 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 251:2,6 247:7 248:3 247:7 248:3 247:7 248:3 250:20 251:1,14 247:7 248:3 250:20 251:1,14 247:7 248:3 250:20 251:1,14 257:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 23:55 250:20 251:1,14 194:22 204:1,12 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:10 251:16 250:13 166:14 242:2 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 242:2 243:9 250:13 240:15 209:13 250:16 250:13 166:14 240:21 241:16 240:21 241:16 240:21 241:10 240:22 204:1,12 240:21 24:19 240:21 24:19 240:21 25:19 250:20 251:1,14 240:22 204:1,12 240:21 24:19 240:21 24:19 240:21 24:19 240:21 24:3 247:7 248:3 250:20 251:1,14 240:22 204:1,12 240:22 243:9 250:20 251:1,14 240:22 204:1,12 240:22 243:9 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13 250:13 204:15 209:13	177:7 201:25	distinction 131:13	189:5	223:8 227:25	effective 14:6 61:14
150:25 151:2,4,21 diverted 224:24 diverting 179:25 document 11:9 13:9 Discounters 219:3 13:16 15:4 16:2 20:14 32:24 49:11 125:7,10 139:22 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 13:217 141:20 149:24 207:25 225:9 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 earlier 20:20 53:15 discussed 10:5,14 167:10,14 177:14 13:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 doing 26:4 28:14 doing 26:4 28:14 33:25 36:7 37:4 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 documents 45:3 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 documents 45:3 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 documents 45:3 doing 26:4 28:14 doing 26:4 28:18 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 documents 45:3 doing 26:4 28:14 doing 26:4 2	directly 127:13	distinctive 130:20	Dreitler 216:10	228:12,23 229:12	110:23 168:2,9
255:12 diverting 179:25 driven 220:14 240:21 241:16 efforts 22:18 189:25 Discounters 219:3 13:16 15:4 16:2 Drucker 139:7 Drugstore.com 244:13 245:19 eight 223:12 eight 223:12 219:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 60:15 115:3 125:3 Drugstore.com 192:17 234:24 247:7 248:3 158:5 174:2 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 e-mails 164:11 194:22 204:1,12 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 g:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 dues 8:18 424:12 64:18 85:23 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 duly 255:7 dunk 156:17 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 229:1 22:19 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 employing 17:11 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 </td <td>142:5,6,10,14</td> <td>divert 180:2</td> <td>249:6 250:21</td> <td>238:10,16,22</td> <td>232:20 233:3</td>	142:5,6,10,14	divert 180:2	249:6 250:21	238:10,16,22	232:20 233:3
disclose 121:25 document 11:9 13:9 Drucker 139:7 244:13 245:19 eight 223:12 Discounters 219:3 13:16 15:4 16:2 20:14 32:24 49:11 192:17 234:24 246:6,10,12,20 either 18:17 112:21 219:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 192:17 234:24 247:7 248:3 158:5 174:2 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 e-mails 164:11 242:2 243:9 132:17 141:20 149:24 207:25 225:9 194:13 199:5 252:16 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 eemils 164:11 242:2 243:9 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 due 8:18 204:15 209:13 eement 211:23 discussed 10:5,14 167:10,14 177:14 dues 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 74:10,11,13 75:14 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 77:12,18 78:19 4 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 e:12,9 2:1 22:19 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 1	150:25 151:2,4,21	diverted 224:24	251:2,6	239:13,20 240:6	effort 195:2
Discounters 219:3 13:16 15:4 16:2 Drugstore.com 246:6,10,12,20 either 18:17 112:21 219:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 192:17 234:24 247:7 248:3 158:5 174:2 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 e-mails 164:11 242:2 243:9 132:17 141:20 149:24 207:25 225:9 194:13 199:5 252:16 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 dues 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 E E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 211:13 212:2,8 employing 17:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 47:8,18 59:19	255:12	diverting 179:25	driven 220:14	240:21 241:16	efforts 22:18 189:25
219:22 20:14 32:24 49:11 192:17 234:24 247:7 248:3 158:5 174:2 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 250:20 251:1,14 194:22 204:1,12 discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 e-mails 164:11 242:2 243:9 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 dus 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 77:12,18 78:19 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 E 197:22 198:2 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 employing 17:11 enacted 21:12 enacted 21:12 enail 79:7 124:22 211:13 212:2,8 encompass 30:7 48:13 54:19 55:18	disclose 121:25	document 11:9 13:9	Drucker 139:7	244:13 245:19	eight 223:12
discovered 40:1 60:15 115:3 125:3 235:5 250:20 251:1,14 194:22 204:1,12 discovery 44:11 132:17 141:20 149:24 207:25 225:9 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 dues 8:18 earlier 20:20 53:15 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 dunk 156:17 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 54:12 76:4 79:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 197:1,8,16 199:25 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 25:7 28:9 33:4 197:22 198:2 211:13 212:2,8 encompass 30:7 <t< td=""><td>Discounters 219:3</td><td>13:16 15:4 16:2</td><td>Drugstore.com</td><td>246:6,10,12,20</td><td>either 18:17 112:21</td></t<>	Discounters 219:3	13:16 15:4 16:2	Drugstore.com	246:6,10,12,20	either 18:17 112:21
discovery 44:11 125:7,10 139:22 due 74:18 75:14 e-mails 164:11 242:2 243:9 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 dues 8:18 earlier 20:20 53:15 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 duly 255:7 duly 255:7 duly 255:7 duly 255:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 Employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 Employees 10:9 14:8 61:16 104:17 54:12 76:4 79:3 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 47:8,18 59:19 197:22 198:2 21:13 212:2,8 employing 17:11 encompass 30:7 48:13 54:19 55:18	219:22	20:14 32:24 49:11	192:17 234:24	247:7 248:3	158:5 174:2
132:17 141:20 149:24 207:25 225:9 194:13 199:5 252:16 discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 earlier 20:20 53:15 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duly 255:7 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 207:19 216:12,18 154:12 76:479:3 154:12 176:25 80:18 81:10 82:7 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 employing 17:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discovered 40:1	60:15 115:3 125:3	235:5	250:20 251:1,14	194:22 204:1,12
discuss 9:10,17,21 150:4 160:10 226:2 243:15,18 204:15 209:13 element 211:23 9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 earlier 20:20 53:15 Empire 73:17 74:4 13:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 74:10,11,13 75:14 81:5 146:9 169:2 195:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 employing 17:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discovery 44:11	125:7,10 139:22	due 74:18 75:14	e-mails 164:11	242:2 243:9
9:25 10:9 90:25 165:13 166:14 Duerr 228:1 earlier 20:20 53:15 Empire 73:17 74:4 discussed 10:5,14 167:10,14 177:14 dues 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 74:10,11,13 75:14 13:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 E 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 E 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 197:1,8,16 199:25 encompass 30:7 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	132:17	141:20 149:24	207:25 225:9	194:13 199:5	252:16
discussed 10:5,14 167:10,14 177:14 dues 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 74:10,11,13 75:14 13:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 195:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 227:16 228:18 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 discussion 12:11 238:13 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 encompass 30:7 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discuss 9:10,17,21	150:4 160:10	226:2 243:15,18	204:15 209:13	element 211:23
discussed 10:5,14 167:10,14 177:14 dues 8:18 54:12 64:18 85:23 74:10,11,13 75:14 13:3 20:25 53:14 190:8 193:21 duly 255:7 115:12 116:18 77:12,18 78:19 81:5 146:9 169:2 195:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 227:16 228:18 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 discussion 12:11 238:13 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 encompass 30:7 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	9:25 10:9 90:25	165:13 166:14	Duerr 228:1	earlier 20:20 53:15	Empire 73:17 74:4
81:5 146:9 169:2 195:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 250:12 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 employing 17:11 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discussed 10:5,14	167:10,14 177:14	dues 8:18	54:12 64:18 85:23	_
81:5 146:9 169:2 195:8 198:25 dunk 156:17 154:23 155:9 80:18 81:10 82:7 245:9 199:3,16 205:1 duplicative 59:5 164:13 166:11 84:4 207:21 discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 227:16 228:18 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 250:12 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 27:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	13:3 20:25 53:14	190:8 193:21	duly 255:7	115:12 116:18	77:12,18 78:19
discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 137:22,23 138:5 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 e1:2,9 2:1 22:19 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	81:5 146:9 169:2	195:8 198:25		154:23 155:9	80:18 81:10 82:7
discusses 211:4 207:19 216:12,18 E 173:12 176:25 employees 10:9 14:8 discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 137:22,23 138:5 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 e1:2,9 2:1 22:19 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18		199:3,16 205:1	duplicative 59:5	164:13 166:11	84:4 207:21
discussing 12:8 227:16 228:18 E 180:14 188:19 61:16 104:17 54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discusses 211:4	· ·			emplovees 10:9 14:8
54:12 76:4 79:3 250:12 e 1:2,9 2:1 22:19 189:17 191:7,23 137:22,23 138:5 169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	discussing 12:8	The state of the s	E		2 0
169:19 documents 45:3 25:7 28:9 33:4 192:22 193:12 employing 17:11 discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 e-mail 79:7 124:22 211:13 212:2,8 encompass 30:7 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18	C		e 1:2,9 2:1 22:19		
discussion 12:11 238:13 197:22 198:2 197:1,8,16 199:25 enacted 21:12 33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 e-mail 79:7 124:22 211:13 212:2,8 encompass 30:7 70:15 149:10 47:8,18 59:19 124:22 125:7 227:4 230:24 48:13 54:19 55:18			25:7 28:9 33:4	· ·	· ·
33:25 36:7 37:4 doing 26:4 28:14 e-mail 79:7 124:22 211:13 212:2,8 48:13 54:19 55:18			197:22 198:2		1 0
70:15 149:10			e-mail 79:7 124:22	' '	
107.0.140.10		C	124:22 125:7	· ·	_
1/1:/ 1/2:18 /6:24 108:24 127.5 145.15 242:/ 246:/ 193:13	171:7 172:18	76:24 108:24	127:3 143:13	242:7 246:7	193:13
					1

[266]

encompassed 29:11	47:10 102:22	30:21,22 31:12,17	exchange 204:15	175:25 176:10,15
30:5,12 31:11 56:1	184:16 198:11	31:22 32:6,7,9,11	238:16,22 241:16	177:13 178:23
encompassing 55:24	229:10 252:2,13	32:18 49:5 56:2	exclude 176:12	181:3,8,24,25
encountered 69:13	entering 252:18	65:11 66:8,15	excluded 119:13,21	182:1 183:17,18
69:14 246:4	Enterprises 77:17	76:17 108:9,9,18	exclusive 131:23	184:23 188:6,24
ended 175:2	entire 84:11 119:9	108:22 120:3	execute 242:4	190:7 191:22
ends 240:15 241:2	120:16 133:15	142:13 151:13,14	executed 115:15,24	193:20 198:24
enforceability 207:6	196:24 247:14	151:14 157:1	190:22 212:22	200:19,20 204:17
enforcement 127:20	entities 14:8 61:17	168:15 169:14,21	252:7,10	205:18 206:12
136:13 213:8	74:18 75:6 77:15	170:9 174:2,19	exhaustive 131:23	207:18 209:7,18
222:20,21 225:8	104:18 105:8	175:5,23 176:21	exhibit 7:17,19 11:5	209:21 212:16
enforcing 83:7	entitled 12:21	176:22 180:15,15	11:6 12:19,20	215:10 216:11
121:4	entity 14:10 78:1,2,9	180:24 183:3,4,9	14:16 15:18 21:20	217:6,18,25
engage 14:11 102:15	entries 194:9	184:5,12 186:10	21:20 23:6,18,22	227:11 229:21
223:18	entry 234:17 252:12	240:16 241:4	33:13,14 36:3,5,6	232:13,16 234:12
engaged 51:19	equally 249:23	244:15 245:5	38:25 39:5 40:21	234:14,21 235:4,9
engaging 51:5 71:4	equates 220:1	247:25 248:7	41:1,13 42:10,24	238:1,9 247:20
102:4 103:6,13,22	essentially 179:18	exact-matched	42:25 43:2,4,5,6	250:18 253:3,14
139:18 210:17,18	establish 26:21	239:19	43:10,16,23 49:12	253:19
211:14 212:3	established 185:3	exactly 19:3 30:20	51:10 52:16 53:7	exhibits 13:12 40:17
213:4,9	207:13	32:12,14,19 55:23	54:3 57:17 58:4,23	40:18 92:25
engine 18:13 25:10	et 103:9 165:17	56:7 94:25 95:22	59:1 61:23 62:7	116:19 117:19,20
47:11 51:17,19	evaluate 111:14	123:20 134:3	63:9 65:7,8,20	138:25 172:19
53:22 63:20 64:4	123:18,25 124:6	149:18 152:19	66:4,22 67:10	existed 228:19
70:11 86:24 87:14	127:22 133:6	153:6 154:11	70:12,17,18 73:14	exists 218:16 253:13
88:17,20,20 92:2	evaluated 74:19	182:8 208:13	77:25 80:4 81:18	expand 189:25
92:18 103:7,23	150:24	210:5 243:19	83:15,16 85:14,15	experience 47:1,23
104:10 105:11,13	evaluating 129:12	245:18	86:21 87:13 88:8	47:25
105:16 108:3,4	132:12 151:6	examination 1:4,5,6	88:16,23 90:9	expert 31:1 100:24
116:4,13 122:9	154:23 155:10	1:7 5:3,6 164:8	91:13 92:1,17	225:11
131:4 135:23	evaluation 22:16	221:24 250:14	93:15,15,20,21	expertise 32:2 46:16
158:21 159:5,11	129:16 134:4	255:8	94:8,10 95:5 96:16	46:17
162:1,7 184:17	event 253:9	examine 32:1	98:7,15,21 99:3,4	experts 242:6
194:1 198:5,9,12	eventually 252:13	examined 5:4 255:6	101:17 102:9,18	expiration 82:24
engine' 211:7	everybody 76:9	example 29:12	102:20 104:13,20	expire 83:2,8
engines 19:14,18	111:12 157:11	44:25 45:22 47:7	105:5 106:6	expired 111:19
29:2 42:8 62:15,19	208:10 220:4	47:18 54:18 65:5	107:11 108:21	explain 52:3 69:3
63:14 64:7,8 92:19	everybody's 187:23	68:8 98:22 99:23	115:10 119:15,24	76:17 89:6 103:11
93:9 115:18	evidence 132:18,23	122:2 136:6 138:3	120:4,6,9,24 121:1	143:7,8 188:2
121:15 142:2	152:5 154:14,16	170:21 175:23	124:18,19 133:15	209:15 211:20
150:16 157:15,20	154:25 155:13,22	176:13 189:17	133:17 138:1	explained 60:25
ensure 153:13	156:1,2,4 157:7,11	190:24 247:25	141:14,15,18	142:23 155:24
198:14 247:10	157:20 180:7	examples 69:3	149:21,23 160:11	158:2 205:14
enter 18:22 27:5	244:8	134:12,14 169:14	162:22 163:8	206:22 212:1
30:1 36:20	evolved 64:9	169:15 240:23	164:7 165:5 167:9	explaining 135:20
entered 23:11 25:19 26:21 33:19 36:19	evolving 22:17	Excel 128:11	168:11 171:21,22	169:20 176:20
20.21 33:19 30:19	exact 29:23 30:15,18	exception 233:24	173:1,6 174:17	205:10,10,25
-	-	=	-	-

[267]

207:8 217:3	factor 109:9 130:8	fight 113:22	210:23 211:23	143:23 155:17
246:14 249:19	158:13	figure 23:21 76:25	215:7,18 225:17	156:7 157:21
explains 184:2	factors 129:20,21	97:8 156:20 172:9	229:1 232:24	158:15 176:6
185:13 193:7	132:10 151:5,16	file 3:4 113:11	253:18	177:2 186:6 212:7
explanation 92:11	155:8,9 157:5	114:12,19,21	Fishman 50:14	226:5,15,24
173:20 193:11	159:16	115:1 256:1	five 96:17 130:4	228:22 230:21
240:25	facts 121:23 132:22	filed 44:8 114:5,6,11	flip 38:7 125:3	232:3 242:21
explicitly 197:13,14	157:19 244:7	122:8 133:7	Floor 4:11	formal 100:18
express 41:11 99:15	faded 162:13	files 156:15 188:11	focus 13:14 22:20	112:10 113:11
expressed 170:17	failed 67:23	filing 158:11	43:10 46:2 49:2	218:21
180:13 196:23	fair 31:21 48:4 61:3	filled 52:15	65:7,10 89:8	formally 99:7,8
211:19	68:7,16,19,22	final 193:7 223:12	136:16 137:3	forms 144:7
expressing 108:21	84:19 97:23	finalize 77:10	184:9,13,15,19	formulating 128:22
170:17 173:22	119:21 138:9	finalized 208:20	185:10 187:12,24	129:12
expression 113:17	219:20 239:2	financial 204:1,7,12	202:19	forth 54:4 60:10
expressly 35:14	faith 113:17 132:16	find 19:12 55:24	focused 117:23	72:16 188:23
41:18 42:17 66:7	236:24	69:16 146:15	186:25	230:7 233:11
116:7 181:20	fall 182:24	167:23 171:8,13	focusing 172:19	234:6 247:22
extensive 130:14	falls 60:3	178:11 180:1	213:17	255:10
extent 62:2,12 63:15	familiar 56:5,12	208:2,6,15	folder 127:3	forward 195:14
81:14 82:10 84:12	202:16 227:18	finding 235:19	follow 9:14 95:16	198:21 216:24
84:18,21 85:6	familiarize 216:15	236:4	105:23	223:1 234:5
93:12 104:24	far 20:12 34:9 49:19	fine 43:8,18 109:6	followed 178:2	forwarding 194:20
112:16,20 121:22	106:19 139:2	168:14 215:8	following 91:10	found 175:3 199:19
122:1	179:1 211:11	219:16 244:14	122:19 142:3	foundation 26:22
extra 181:3	220:23 222:11	finish 6:9,10	152:13 153:22	37:14 50:9 145:6
Eye 49:15 51:14	fast 191:17	firm 10:19 50:8 77:4	215:10 237:5	155:15 161:13
71:10,23 72:5,9,19	fault 109:2	105:12 255:12	240:11,14 242:2	165:25 166:24
73:6 74:2,4 77:13	feature 17:2 62:17	first 7:20 11:16	follows 5:5 193:5	167:17 168:23
77:13 79:12 80:17	February 3:9	13:13 14:5 16:7	footnote 153:25	191:18 192:6
81:10 82:7 84:3	101:20 150:11	33:15 34:4,5 36:8	175:3,10,12	199:15 218:15
91:16 92:9 93:5,15	233:4 255:9,16	37:1,20 46:8 61:13	245:24	219:24 225:16
93:24 95:16,20	256:2	69:5 70:25 71:3	force 233:15	226:14,24 230:9
96:2 97:4,24 98:17	federal 1:1 3:2 4:2,4	76:20,22,22 78:13	foreclose 59:22	230:20 232:2
106:1 141:21	5:12 11:13 94:15	78:23 91:14,24	foregoing 256:6,22	237:22 242:21
142:11 143:23	94:16,17 95:2	95:6 96:17 102:3	foregone 226:2,12	244:8,25 252:5,21
148:8 149:13	203:7,21 205:15	106:15 110:17,21	226:17	four 72:24 96:16
Eye's 94:5	federally 186:3	114:21 115:7	form 19:1 27:8	130:4 162:10
EZ 33:17 37:23	205:12	122:20 124:20	31:24 38:15 45:3	163:8
38:19,22 39:1	feel 79:20 159:19,22	126:1 133:2	45:20 48:9 51:24	fourth 52:9
40:13 41:6 45:1	feeling 37:9	137:11 139:16	56:22 61:6 64:20	frame 14:25 22:16
	fees 253:24	142:9 143:11,17	68:25 79:14 81:12	71:16 84:15 85:25
F	felt 78:15,15 110:13	144:8,16 150:4	93:2 98:3 101:13	113:5
facilitate 36:7 37:4	114:10 141:5	152:13 161:3,5	106:23 109:18	framed 128:25
fact 9:19,21 65:14	196:16 211:12	165:5 168:7	122:25 123:11	free 170:3 174:2
150:1 159:10	217:6	171:10 174:7	128:15,25 129:5	239:23 240:4,16
163:5 243:22	fifth 72:24 125:9	184:8 189:6,9,10	132:23 138:13	241:4
	1	l	l	

[268]

				[]
frequency 129:18	generate 127:19,24	133:1 135:12	113:2,17 132:15	182:24 207:22
129:24 130:9	128:3,10 138:8	136:2 137:8	159:22 216:23	247:14
131:1 132:1	generated 90:15	139:24 143:18	236:24	groups 182:23
159:17	91:6 128:15 129:5	144:2,17 167:22	goods 151:7	247:10
front 46:7,8 125:7	137:23	170:11 174:16,16	goodwill 26:14 35:6	guaranteed 147:25
134:8	generating 138:10	179:16 181:17	110:15 136:21	156:17 159:23
fruitful 179:2	138:15	182:13 187:25	137:1	guess 22:19 23:8,21
FTC 5:6 10:14	generic 17:12,20	188:13 197:4,5,17	Google 19:6 27:2	83:11 87:20 95:14
fulfillment 130:23	48:16 53:21 55:3,4	201:9,19 207:3	29:15,15,19 31:1	99:9 112:1 123:7
full 29:20 233:15	55:6,10 56:14,24	208:1,15 209:12	36:8,22,25 37:6,19	136:23 144:7
fullest 62:2,12 63:15	58:7,9,10,12 59:7	210:3 213:3,20	42:3 46:2 47:11	158:23 161:2
104:24	60:22,24 72:2,14	215:6,7 219:5	55:23 56:8,16	223:7
fully 14:19 131:12	136:23 196:17	220:8,12 223:11	62:22,23 63:5 66:1	guessing 217:11
131:13	198:15 205:21	233:11 234:22	87:21 100:24	guidance 100:12
further 1:6,7 45:7	206:4 214:15,18	242:12 248:24	108:1,2,3,25 110:2	guise 187:9
112:24 153:10,13	214:20,21,25	goal 28:8 31:20 35:2	121:14 125:11	guru 47:24
190:15 218:24	215:22	35:10,18,24	126:1 131:12	Gus 5:11
221:21,24 250:14	generic-looking	goes 19:6 27:2 90:9	142:2 144:12	GUSTAV 4:3
254:1 255:14	148:14	93:7 96:21 151:12	146:25 147:10,14	guy 86:13,15 99:24
future 14:10 112:14	genericized 136:24	192:12 193:11	150:15 158:1,8	99:25 170:8
113:20	genericness 136:24	201:16 231:12	161:24,25 169:25	guys 29:19 81:7
	genuine 208:16	246:13	170:5 172:11,12	85:24 86:3 148:18
G	geographic 57:7	going 7:17 13:8,13	175:19 178:8,18	151:13 218:21
G 169:5	gestures 6:3	26:16 30:7 34:7	178:21 194:1,4,25	220:23
G-a-r-r-i-o-t-t	getting 126:22	37:22 42:4 43:10	202:10,15 231:15	
173:15	gist 172:17	45:19 48:25 51:18	240:22 243:6	Н
Garriott 173:2,5,10	give 7:17 11:23 13:8	54:15,17,19 55:9	244:17 245:11,13	H 1:9 2:1
173:16 180:14	20:16 29:13 109:9	55:13,17,18 56:1	245:17,21 246:11	half 72:8
185:23 204:16,20	141:5 145:22	57:13,15 59:13	Google's 29:1 47:24	halfway 125:12
238:16,25 239:5	153:24 159:2,14	60:22 73:10 83:2,9	173:20 178:6	157:4
Garrity 169:6,7,8	159:19 169:15	84:13 90:22 92:8	185:12 239:17	hand 101:16 188:7
170:13 172:18	173:3 207:5	93:23 95:9 104:11	240:19,24 242:5,6	255:16
173:5,13	216:24 231:1	108:15 112:6,8	gotcha 24:23	handed 49:10 70:16
gchiarello@ftc.gov	240:23 245:14	113:19,24 114:18	gotten 127:15	83:15 85:13
4:6	give-and-take 96:1	126:10 129:9	grab 250:12	120:23 139:1
general 10:3,4 26:18	gives 60:18 111:13	149:8 151:23,25	Grand 4:11	150:5 204:18
27:19,20 74:6 93:4	162:18	156:22,22 160:3	gray 147:21	handing 11:5 12:18
113:3 128:6 129:8	giving 74:19 135:18	160:10 169:17	great 6:12 221:15	33:13 36:3 42:23
143:7 149:17	251:19	170:19,23 187:17	green 148:3	91:12 115:5
184:9,15,18,24	Glasses.com 22:7	193:12,13 196:18	Greg 7:5 9:2,8 61:21	124:18 138:23
201:20 219:20	go 12:9 19:12 26:21	198:14 201:21	Gregory 4:9 5:16	141:14,17 149:20
224:7,11 232:5	29:15 30:4,10	206:25 209:16	Gregory.Stone@	160:8 227:9
244:4	33:22 40:10,18	216:3 232:25	4:12	232:12
generalized 225:14	43:3 46:6 54:13	233:23 235:4	grounds 9:13	handle 194:18
generally 19:19	67:19 78:19 95:6	236:1 244:11	154:20	handled 242:1
26:13 73:9 132:19	102:19 107:14	246:22 247:22	group 30:3 95:7	handles 239:9
224:23	118:10 120:19,22	good 98:12 107:14	125:25 145:25	handling 10:21
	1	I	I	1

[269]

hands 178:21,21	hig
hanging 112:10	hir
happen 97:15	his
113:24 180:6	hit
happened 78:4 79:8	hol
91:4 170:7 182:25	Ho
186:9,20,21,23	Ho
happening 47:21	7
117:24 138:16	5
159:3	ho
happens 42:2 100:1	2
253:9	Ho
happy 65:18	Ho
Harkins 207:17,21	1
harm 156:22 224:19	ho
Hart 3:11 7:15,23	hou
10:20 44:9 50:15	hu
255:12	8
hassle 31:19	$\frac{0}{2}$
head 19:4,23 20:1	hu
21:4 231:3	hy
header 15:14	hy]
heading 148:15	4
health 201:11	l'
hear 203:10	
heard 56:6 203:20	ide
hearing 6:2	1
held 12:11 33:25	ide
70:15 77:15,16	2
help 36:7 78:14	ide
111:8,8 136:6	1
148:19 170:2	3
172:16 174:1	7
182:11 210:7	8
234:8 239:10	8
240:19 243:6	9
helped 143:22 170:9	1
helpful 65:25 94:7	1
204:12	1
helps 110:4	1
hereto 14:16 15:18	1
102:9 104:20	1
hesitate 188:15	2
hey 152:8	2
high 97:11 130:5	ide
higher 131:8,18	4
highest 137:12	2
6	

highlighted 104:7
highlighted 194:7
hire 50:7 105:12
history 135:23
hit 36:19 147:1
hold 27:25 205:15
Holdings 77:14
Holland 3:11 7:15
7:22 10:19 44:9
50:15 255:12
honestly 175:16
243:17
Hong 94:5
Hopkin 4:4 5:14,14
150:7 188:8,16
horrible 86:12
house 125:24
huge 75:7 81:23
86:16 137:15
245:24
hundreds 136:19
hyphen 230:13,15
hypothetical 41:22
41:25 48:9 158:16
_

Ι ea 37:21 147:11 59:14 entical 80:16 84:2 205:1 entified 7:19 11:6 1:15 12:20 13:12 3:14 42:25 49:12 0:18 73:14 80:4 31:18 83:16 85:15 8:19 91:13 98:7 8:14 101:7,17 02:9 104:20 13:7 115:10 21:1 124:19 38:25 141:15 49:21,23 181:12 83:17 205:20 27:11 232:16 39:16 entifies 12:24 8:25 68:3 171:23 37:10

identify 90:8 110:8 117:3 137:9 177:14 184:22
205:19,20 identifying 48:2 119:18 141:4 ii 12:23 13:4 60:24
214:8 241:12 iii 60:25 illegal 237:3
immediately 153:9 impact 135:25 138:17 204:7 223:19 224:19
impactful 144:25 145:5 implement 16:19 17:1 29:1 33:10
35:12 63:2,7,8,9 65:19,21 66:4 69:25 70:3 88:7
92:14,16 100:10 104:10 105:18 107:13 108:8 112:6 113:10
146:25 153:12 163:1 180:11 220:20 234:19,25 235:6 236:19
242:9,12 246:1 248:4,13 implementation
28:7 61:4 92:20 99:9 100:21 118:15 170:13 228:4 230:14 231:6 237:4 239:2 243:1
implemented 41:10 120:17 124:17 153:21 170:15 174:8 185:14,19 191:9 194:25 195:11 196:15,16 implementing 39:5
53:15 61:9 146:17 146:20 153:24

168:25 191:14
241:25
implements 179:2
implicate 117:13
imply 100:24
import 56:23 57:8
_
57:11 74:3 79:18
85:8 109:25
119:17 120:14
198:19 220:19
important 74:23
83:12 95:25 97:15
144:24 211:20
impression 170:19
_
improper 19:1 27:7
31:23 38:15 45:2
48:9 51:23 56:22
61:5 64:19 68:24
79:13 81:11 93:1
98:2 101:13
106:22 109:17
122:24 123:10
132:23 138:12
155:17 156:6
157:21 158:15
226:4,15,24
228:22 230:21
232:3 242:21
in-house 28:2,3 50:1
86:13 164:24
199:7 242:2
Inc.'s 96:18
include 15:15 22:11
23:1 24:18 25:1
26:4 28:19 34:2,15
44:18 51:4 52:18
52:23 53:21 64:17
71:4 74:17 75:12
90:11 98:9 118:3,8
119:20 133:20
170:24 171:4
175:9 186:1 187:3
201:10,13 202:1
208:23 217:13
240:15 241:3
included 39:16 40:8
64:25 65:9 66:17

```
97:13 119:7 134:2
 134:5 144:21
 166:2 172:7 199:6
 201:9 208:4,24
 213:22 238:6
 251:20
includes 51:9,22
 52:8,10,12 54:1
 55:14 62:5 64:16
 64:23,24 65:4
 102:7 105:3
 118:19 119:2
 120:13
including 30:8,8,9
 51:7 53:24 71:6
 102:5 103:8 166:5
 209:2 215:23
 240:8
inclusion 215:19
incomplete 41:22
 48:8 150:2 158:15
incorporate 234:4
independent 123:24
indicate 23:2 65:15
 146:11,15 163:6
indicated 125:7
indicates 12:13
 28:16 47:2 228:13
indicating 95:10
 228:13
indication 25:20
 39:21
indications 39:9
 40:3
individual 176:3,11
 181:13 185:15,20
individually 31:19
individuals 10:15
industry 27:20
 201:25
infer 19:25 20:8
inferences 152:5
informal 99:1,3,16
 100:7
information 13:7
 90:23 91:1 116:3
 127:18 152:3
```

[270]

153:24 154:22	180:3 223:6	interact 19:19 82:2	192:25	Jordan 124:23
155:10 164:17	initiated 233:4	interacted 44:10	interrupted 167:18	138:6 143:14
172:13 200:3	injunction 234:16	85:24 100:15	intuitive 19:5,9,15	Judd 124:23 138:6
224:4,8	234:17,18,23	101:4	invested 136:19	143:14
informed 149:11	235:3,4 251:25	interacting 86:14	137:1	judge 252:17
179:1	252:12,14,18	interactions 224:1	investigating 156:12	judgment 114:19
infringe 90:4 249:22	injunctive 187:14	interacts 17:3	Invite 120:24	115:2 156:17
infringed 137:19	187:17 222:25	interest 113:14,20	involved 21:10 27:1	235:18 236:3
156:2	inquire 146:2	179:25 180:3	44:13 52:6 74:14	judicial 235:17
infringement 11:2	inquiry 220:16	interested 30:16	74:15 85:25 86:7	236:2
35:4,19 59:15 60:5	inside 50:17	31:18 89:9 111:9	99:14 195:14	Julian 4:10 5:19 7:6
68:2,4,6 75:2	installed 15:2	169:20 187:1	227:19	9:2
76:24 86:1 110:14	instance 63:20	189:20 255:15	IP 11:1 225:13	Julian.Beach@mt
112:14,24 113:22	189:24	interfere 6:23	issue 29:14 48:23	4:13
114:7,11 117:2	instances 195:10	internal 134:16	63:25 75:2 79:2	July 114:5
122:13 129:13	instruct 9:12 90:22	138:19 153:4	90:16 114:16	jump 191:17
130:10 132:13,16	244:3	internally 137:16	117:22 133:2	jumping 72:15
136:25 138:18	instruction 9:15	internet 14:11 17:8	169:18,19 184:20	June 24:1 85:18
140:14,16,23	242:9,11	17:9,17,23 18:5	199:9 206:24	102:23 139:18
141:1,6 142:18	instructions 242:2	22:23 25:10 34:10	207:23 209:11	229:10
149:3 151:6,12,16	insurance 201:20	34:10,11 40:14,15	213:14 217:7,11	jurisdiction 114:15
151:18 153:13	intellectual 136:17	44:21,22,23 45:13	220:4	114:20,24
154:15,17,24	140:9 207:1,3,4	45:13,23,24 46:13	issued 235:19 236:4	Justice 235:18 236:3
155:13,21,22,25	intended 22:20	51:5,9,19,21 52:10	issues 23:13 35:4,15	justify 187:15
157:9,14 175:18	68:17 109:7	53:22 54:1,5 61:25	44:11 60:5 114:1	215:18
187:13 201:8,17	114:13 116:25	62:2,4,5,12,19	121:22 154:19	
201:24 202:3,20	120:15 181:11	64:14,15 65:2,2,4	156:8 169:11	K
204:9,13 211:22	219:25	66:19,21,23 67:2	171:9 174:24	keep 84:6 94:23
211:23 213:17	intending 28:21	67:14,15 69:9 71:5	184:2 204:7,10	112:7 172:7 202:2
218:3 220:3	180:1	72:3,25 73:2 87:14	211:22 215:17	211:3,5,24
222:15,24 223:3	intent 23:7 26:8	88:9 92:2,18,19	217:8 228:25	keeping 47:22
223:18,20 224:12	27:10,12 28:16	93:8 102:4,7,15	241:22,22,24	kept 213:18
224:13,20,22	35:2,11,24 41:11	103:6,13,22	246:3	Kevin 139:6
225:3,9 226:2,13	42:12 45:6 59:19	104:22,24 105:2,3	It's 196:12	key 103:15
226:22	63:6 65:10 71:12	106:3,4,7,10,13	item 235:2	keyword 28:11,24
infringers 157:16	76:18 79:18 86:22	107:6,18 118:18	iterations 42:11	28:25 29:5,5,21
224:18	102:14 107:11,15	118:19 119:1,2	195:1	30:10 32:13,14,16
infringing 119:12	108:7,7 109:25	192:14 197:24,25		32:18,20 33:3
121:13,19 126:15	110:6,16 119:22	198:5 210:18	<u>J</u>	35:15 45:22 46:3,3
128:16 129:6	120:1 171:14	213:5 214:9	January 168:7	47:4,12,14,21 48:3
132:4 141:25	172:3 175:19	234:25	238:17,23	48:12,16,18,20,24
143:24 145:15	181:19 184:9,13	interposing 226:6	Jenkins 189:3	49:2,5 54:20 55:10
148:8 149:14	184:15,18 185:9	interpretation 79:21	Joe 194:13 199:7,18	55:16,20 56:2,5,17
150:14,20 159:6	195:15 196:1	120:10 230:6	John 107:24 112:2	56:20,25 57:4,8
250:1,2	201:16 219:21	interpreted 180:21	113:1,18 177:15	58:13,20,25 59:4
initial 164:14 178:3	220:18	interpreting 231:19	178:10 200:21	61:25 63:7,10
178:5 179:25	intentionally 249:10	interrupt 188:4,14	joined 77:3	65:22 66:5,5,7,11
	1	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

[271]

69:8,11,23,23 76:8	67:1,9,10 69:7,7	243:7 244:15	43:11 45:7 46:1	169:15 172:14,15
76:23 86:24 87:1	69:15,25 70:1,3,5	245:15 246:18	47:15,18 48:19	173:23 174:15
87:21,22,23 88:6	72:2,8,15,16 73:1	247:11,13 248:5,6	49:22 50:18 52:2,6	179:18,23 186:21
88:19 98:11	74:16 76:2,7,14	248:8,11,14,18,19	54:11 56:4,6,7,8	187:20 189:11
104:22 106:20	77:2 82:13,14	keywords' 181:10	56:10 57:3,6 59:14	191:13 193:13
107:14 108:10,10	86:21,23 87:14,21	219:9	59:21 60:24 62:15	199:21 201:3,18
108:19 110:9,10	88:9,17,25 92:2,17	keywords/search	62:22 63:7,24 64:1	202:20,23 206:22
110:11,12 119:7	92:20 93:10,17	142:4	64:9,22 65:13,15	207:1 208:14
123:16,19 124:1,1	95:4 96:14,17,18	kin 255:14	65:19 68:10 75:15	211:18 212:13,18
124:3,7,12,13	97:1,22 98:7,10,10	kind 66:5 77:22 90:3	76:13,14,20,25	218:3 220:9,21,25
127:10,16,19,25	98:14 102:8	92:12 93:7 94:22	78:12 79:1 81:2,4	222:15,18 223:8
128:7,9,14 129:4	104:19,21,23	96:1 105:19	83:3 85:23 86:12	223:12,13,25
129:15 131:25	105:4,18 106:4,5,7	110:11 112:4	87:23 88:3 89:11	224:24 225:4,7,15
153:3 154:2 158:4	106:9 107:1,5	114:12 132:18	90:16,20,21 93:5,8	225:18 226:25
159:22 163:2,4	108:9,14 109:2	147:21 148:13	94:18 95:10 97:8	227:3 228:23
170:9,19,20,23	112:7 113:10	160:25 169:18,22	97:19 98:5,24	230:22,22,24,25
171:12 173:20	117:21 118:15,20	172:11 174:18	99:13 100:15,23	231:2 232:4,10
174:10,23 175:7,9	119:6 122:19	175:16,17 178:16	101:4 104:2,3,4,6	236:5 237:11,13
176:1,11 178:7	133:9 142:17,19	178:21 180:13	104:10 105:15	237:18 240:21
180:25 183:10	152:13 153:12,22	181:16 184:1,2	106:18 108:6	242:1,25 243:5,9
184:17 185:12,16	153:23 154:4,9	192:10 201:5,16	109:22,24 110:8	243:10,23 244:1
185:21 186:18	168:14 169:1,10	216:15 232:5	112:25 113:8,21	244:17 245:1,9,18
189:7,11,18 192:1	169:17,21 170:1,2	kinds 148:20	114:1,2 119:16,25	246:16,16 247:12
194:23,24 195:1,2	170:4 171:11,23	king 83:20 150:10	120:11 121:8	247:14,24 249:15
196:17,18 199:9	172:12 173:11,24	160:13 162:22	122:7,12 123:3,5	256:6
199:13 201:8	174:8 176:19	186:10,15,17	123:24 124:1,5,11	knowledge 13:5
202:18,24 205:7	177:9 178:8 179:3	209:10 210:8	124:14,15,16,16	76:9 159:23
207:24 218:3	179:12,15,21	212:9,22 213:1,9	124:21 127:2	241:20 251:25
228:4 234:6,25	180:11,19 182:19	214:4 215:10,21	128:8,9 129:18,20	252:15 253:12
239:21 245:5	182:22 183:3	216:1,2,3,4 224:17	130:3,8 131:9	knows 54:14 130:25
247:25,25	184:22 185:15,20	King's 151:20	134:3,20,22,25	207:2
keywords 28:9,21	190:1,24 191:10	154:13	135:8,23 136:12	
30:16 31:16 32:23	193:8 195:11,19	kings 215:11,21	138:7 139:10,20	L
33:9,12 35:16 39:2	195:22 196:11,16	knew 64:1,7,10	140:8 142:14	labeled 125:6
39:5,13 47:5 48:7	197:13 198:13	113:4 158:22	143:12,22 144:9	Lack 166:24 252:4
48:12,23,23 51:22	199:23 200:10	know 6:1,14,17 12:5	144:10,14,16,21	252:21
53:7,17,22 54:2,3	202:9,19 203:1,2	13:5 16:20,20	145:2,3,9,16,18,20	lacking 43:16
54:6 55:7,12 56:14	204:3 206:9,14	17:16,17,19 18:21	146:7,8 147:7,9	Lacks 37:14 50:9
56:14,15,24 57:5	215:3 218:6,23	19:3,15,22 20:2,3	148:20,21 149:4	145:6 155:15
57:16 58:4,7,10,11	219:15 220:20	20:4,4,5,19 21:16	151:5,8,11 153:2,2	161:13 165:25
58:16,19,23 59:1,8	221:4 228:19	22:5,8,15 24:20	153:5,6 154:24	167:17 192:5
60:8,10,23,25 61:4	230:15,18 231:7	26:1,16,19,25 27:2	155:3,8,18 156:11	218:14 219:23
61:10,18,23,24	231:15,23 233:17	27:15,24 28:8 31:1	158:17 159:6	226:14,24 230:9
62:1,6,16,16,20,21	233:25 234:5,19	31:10,13 33:2	161:12,22,23	230:20 232:2
63:1,4,8,15,16,22	234:23 235:6	35:14 36:17 37:13	162:5 163:15,18	237:22 242:21
64:3,11,16 65:18	239:2,7,11,11,14	37:18,20 38:20	163:22,25,25	244:8,24
65:20 66:20,22,24	239:18,19 241:13	40:11 41:23 42:18	164:23 169:12,14	Lake 3:12 255:3,12

[272]

255:22 122:13 131:21 148:15 151:9 178:16 190:6,11 172:11 173:19 landing 124:5 148:7 149:3 174:20 175:5,24 190:20 192:20,21 185:12 196:9 language 45:5 52:5 leads 26:15 68:13 176:4 182:7,8,14 217:23 218:2,11 198:3 225:22 52:7 53:14 60:2 learned 126:14 183:23,25 184:14 218:15 219:21 240:20,23 63:25 68:1 71:12 learning 76:23 189:4 201:19 220:24 221:9 links 62:4 64:14 71:17,24 72:4 73:7 175:16 212:16,21 213:3 226:1 227:9,14 66:21,25 67:8 7	⁷ 3:2
landing 124:5 148:7 149:3 174:20 175:5,24 190:20 192:20,21 185:12 196:9 language 45:5 52:5 leads 26:15 68:13 176:4 182:7,8,14 217:23 218:2,11 198:3 225:22 52:7 53:14 60:2 learned 126:14 183:23,25 184:14 218:15 219:21 240:20,23 63:25 68:1 71:12 learning 76:23 189:4 201:19 220:24 221:9 links 62:4 64:14	13:2
language 45:5 52:5 leads 26:15 68:13 176:4 182:7,8,14 217:23 218:2,11 198:3 225:22 52:7 53:14 60:2 learned 126:14 183:23,25 184:14 218:15 219:21 240:20,23 63:25 68:1 71:12 learning 76:23 189:4 201:19 220:24 221:9 links 62:4 64:14	73:2
52:7 53:14 60:2 learned 126:14 183:23,25 184:14 218:15 219:21 240:20,23 63:25 68:1 71:12 learning 76:23 189:4 201:19 220:24 221:9 links 62:4 64:14	73:2
63:25 68:1 71:12 learning 76:23 189:4 201:19 220:24 221:9 links 62:4 64:14	73:2
	73:2
	73.2
74:5 79:6,17 80:1 led 228:25 214:10,16 235:1 229:21,25 230:7 105:2 106:5,8	
81:1,15 82:11 left 8:3 144:8 246:24 247:5 230:11,23 231:3 116:2,3,13,22	
84:13,18,22 85:7 left-hand 36:24 37:7 248:20 232:1 235:13 118:18 119:1	
86:17 90:7 104:5,7 93:16 Lensfast 43:25 250:17 251:2,6,11 122:10 136:2	
105:20 107:7,22 legal 49:22 89:22 44:10,20 46:10 letters 141:12 140:5 142:5	
109:5 110:1,3 90:3 128:18 84:2 106:1 167:20 150:23 152:23 147:22 178:19	
119:25 120:12	
148:14 165:19,21).12
166:1,19,20,21 242:3 174:16 175:12 226:10,19 55:24 74:16 75	
167:1 168:17 legally 232:10 176:10,15 185:23 level 245:20,22 78:7 86:21 88:1	
179:7 181:18 legitimate 75:1,8 245:23 246:4 246:6,18 247:11 88:24 89:14 90	
191:3 192:9,13 78:6 Lensfast's 205:7 248:5,13,23 90:14 91:6 93:1	
195:20 196:1,8,10 lens 70:20 73:12 Lensking 215:11 levers 29:20 94:14,20 95:3	
210:21 211:5,24 74:2 80:17 81:10 lessen 97:20 licensing 224:25 96:14 97:18 98	·1 9
212:3 213:2 214:4 82:8 83:20 84:4 lessening 97:23 likelihood 113:19 110:9 122:21	.1,7
214:6 221:8 253:3 108:15,16 118:11 let's 6:7 12:9 42:12 122:12 130:17 142:16 144:7,9	
Lanham 143:9 150:10 151:20,22 47:7 49:7 84:9 132:15 155:1 149:9 152:12,1	
211:4 152:8 154:13 85:9 87:2 96:14,15 156:23 157:5 152:22 153:1,2	
large 78:16 160:13 162:22 115:3 120:19 limit 27:12,18 111:3 154:4,9 189:7,1	
largely 164:23 174:19 183:6 135:12 139:3 217:15 189:25 205:8,1	
largest 108:15 186:10 209:9 143:11 160:5 limitation 38:17 206:8 207:24	,
174:19 210:8 212:9,21 167:22 182:11 82:20 208:5,18,25	
law 50:8 68:2,15,22 213:1,9 214:4,10 183:13 201:4 limitations 57:7 209:20,21 215:	10
75:11 76:25 90:2 214:10 216:1,2,3,4 204:8 210:3 limited 47:1 64:10 217:9,17,21	10
143:8 151:16	
157:6 207:11,13	7
214:19 255:12	
lawful 165:16 248:15 53:6,19 57:15 59:9 96:25 40:20 41:13 51	
laws 187:11 256:21 lens.com 183:7 72:2,12,13,21 limits 82:15 93:10 53:7 54:2 57:17	
256:21 248:10 78:13 99:2 113:9 line 14:4 52:9 72:24 58:4,23 59:1 61	
lawsuit 114:6,6,11	
114:13 158:11	:8
lawsuits 22:17 76:24 LensDiscounters 122:7 123:7 192:2 92:1,17 96:17	
lawyer 89:25 241:19 217:24 218:2 126:10 127:22,22 link 25:8 34:10 102:18 105:4	
252:16,17 Lenseking 215:11 133:5,12,21 139:6 35:21 44:21 45:13 106:6 119:15	
lawyers 99:14 122:2 lenses 26:18 27:19 139:8 140:17,20 45:23 51:8 53:25 133:21 142:17	
164:24 202:6 30:7,13 31:9,18 141:4,6,21 142:21 65:3 102:6,16 162:22 180:16	
203:17,25 241:24	
242:5 54:18 55:11 80:8 154:14 155:13,25 130:2 136:4,8 listen 111:4 217:	19
lay 94:8 225:16 80:22,23 82:7 84:3 160:12,15 162:19 148:3,13,16 149:1 listing 23:18 25:9	
lead 39:11,23 137:9 142:12 163:7 177:11,15 161:5 169:25 33:6 190:25 19	

[273]

205:16 Sitings 116:3 233:14 227:10,20 Issings 116:3 Issis 39:1 133:9 239:2,3.4 234:22 235:12 238:11 Interest 225:13 235:12 238:11 Interest 225:13 251:5,11 Iooked 124:9 139:11 Iooked 124:9 139:13 Iooking 15:6,10 19:13 249:20 Iower 53:5,19 Iower 13:5,10 Iower 53:5,10 Iower 53:5,10 Iower 53:5,19 Iower 13:5,10					
Isistings 116:3 223:14 227:10,20 132:10 158:3 42:24 49:11 70:17 76:15 171:11 18:18:13 123:12 235:12 238:11 238:11 241:17,21 247:19 207:25 208:14 124:18 159:9 matching 32:18 170:25 208:14 141:18 160:11 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 167:19 173:6 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 172:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 173:14 18:13 126:12 18:12 183:14 171:12 173:14 18:13 126:12 171:14 18:13 126:12 171:18 173:12 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 173:14 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 18:13 126:12 271:18 271:18 171:12 271:18 171:12 271:18 271:18 171:12 271:18 271:18 171:12 271:18 271	205:16	213:2 214:2	85:24 99:8,20	13:9 33:13 36:3,5	matched 32:20
Isist 59:1 133:9 229:2,3 A 234:22 178:12 182:25 189:19 237:9 235:12 238:11 241:17,21 247:19 247:17 247:19 235:41 247:17,21 247:19 235:41 247:17,21 233:4 147:18 165:25 235:51	listings 116:3	223:14 227:10,20	,		76:15 171:11
189:19 237:9 235:12 238:11 241:17,21 247:19 277:25 208:14 141:18 160:11 47:12,17 54:17 232:18 149:10 182:8 147:25 197:17,22 181:25 183:14 174:1181:16 167:9 173:6 167:9 173:6 167:9 173:6 176:25 177:13 174:1181:16 183:125 183:14 193:200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:23 23:18 200:19 204:17,19 200:19 204:17,19 200:23 23:18 200:19 204:17,19 200:23 23:18 200:19 204:17,19 200:23 23:18 200:19 204:17,19 200:23 23:18 200:19 204:17,19 200:	0	,		85:14 120:24	
Itigation		· ·			The state of the s
190-15 225-13 251-5.11 100-15 225-13 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:10 1852-8 149:22 199:18 147:25 197:17, 22 181:25 183:14 174:1 181:16 181:25 173:39:14 191:3 249:20 191:3 249:20 181:2, 9 201:21 42:3, 5, 6, 14, 17 211:16 214:8 52:17 53:5 70:11 121-10 129-11 190-7 191:22 228:5, 14 240:25 181:29 190:29 191:8 137:22 146:10, 11 134:8 131:26:12 137:22 146:10, 11 139:99-3 22:14 6:19 139:11 134-8 139:29 191:24 139:21 133:1 137:24 146:10, 11 149:13, 121 139:13 139:51 133:1 139:51 133:1 139:51 133:1 139:51 133:1 139:51 125 219:4 139:11 134:8 139:24 139:11 134:8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24 139:11 134-8 139:24					
	0	· ·			
litigator 207:2		*	1		
147:25 197:17,22 181:25 183:14 174:1 181:16 185:13 195:1 195:1 195:2 195:20 195:20 195:					
61:13 81:25 84:7 93:3 125:12 locky 37:10 188:24 189:1 190:7 191:22 materially 36:1 mater	8		· /		
193:3 125:12 190:3 129:14 191:3 24:9,20 191:3 24:9,20 191:14 191:3 24:9,20 191:14 191:3 24:9,20 191:16 214:8 207:18 209:7 216:16 214:8 255:12 216:11 217:25 10g 127:19 128:7 181:13 126:12 181			,		
135:17 139:14 19:13 249:20 M					
166:20 169:24 38:24,25 41:19 Main 3:12 77:18 200:19 204:17,19 207:18 209:7 201:21 128:7 72:12 76:6 87:8 255:12 maintain 136:21 238:9 250:18 238:9 250:18 238:19 133:1 138:3 128:13 138:14 238:19 133:1 138:6 132:19 133:1 138:6 132:19 133:1 138:6 132:12 132:12 138:24 137:22 149:10 138:6 135:24 138:24 138:21 138:3 138:24 49:14 174:18,21 175:4,4 175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 215:2 219:4 243:13 133:24 139:6 141:21 245:25 246:2 143:13 133:24 160:12,20,22,25 160:12,20,20,20,20 177:15,189;2 173:13,177:11 181:24,183:15 183:24,183:15 183:14,181:20 183:24,181:30:11:20 238:9250:18 market 132:7155:8 220:18 market 132:7155:8 220:18 220:16 market 132:7155:8 220:18 220:16 market 132:7155:8 220:18 220:16 11:12,24:25 220:16 13:16 13:60 13:10 13					*
181:2,9 201:21 21:16 214:8 52:17 53:5 70:11 72:12 76:6 87:8		,	M		
211:16 214:8 52:17 53:5 70:11 72:12 76:6 87:8 maintain 136:21 maintain 136:21 maintain 136:21 maintain 136:21 maintain 136:21 23:89 250:18 market 132:7 155:8 220:23 23:23 22:16 43:16 131:6 23:19 132:11 134:8 137:22 146:10,11 10ng 9:9 32:14 60:9 146:13,16 148:16 75:7 76:17 77:10 77:18 78:12,15 83:8 88:18 93:69 98:1 206:10 220:7,11 220:12,17 238:12 132:19 133:1 10oks 7:22 13:19 133:1 12:2 124:22 132:19 133:1 12:2 124:22 132:19 133:1 137:6 173:24 70:19 73:16 80:7 121:2 124:22 135:2 219:4 143:13 153:24 125:2 219:4 143:13 153:24 160k 7:24 17:7 23:23 24:63:64:15 160k 7:24 17:7 23:23 24:63:64:15 161:7 162:12,13 161:7 162:12,13 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 177:7 160k 7:24 17:5 29:22 58:20 59:9 88:23 89:13 91:0 191:1 94:37,21 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 191:20 193:18 193:25 205:14 10t 191:6 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16:20 126:17 144:11:10 113:13 177:11 10st 224:23,24,25 133:31 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 10t 191:6 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16:20 126:17 144:11:11 10st 224:23;24 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16:20 126:17 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:10 144:16:21:16 144:16:21:16 144:16:21:16 144:16:21:16		,	main 3:12 77:18	,	
LLP 4:10	· ·		255:12		*
log 127:19 128:7 log-in/password 118:13 126:12 213:16 maintaining 111:24 132:11 134:8 maintaining 111:24 making 6:1 35:18 market 132:7 155:8 market 132:7 156:8 market 132:7 156:2 market 132:7 156			maintain 136:21		*
18:13 126:12 133:11 134:8 137:22 146:10,11 137:22 146:10,11 139:6 148:16 162:24 174:15 162:24 174:15 162:24 174:15 162:24 174:15 162:24 174:15 175:7 76:17 77:10 177:18 178:17 244:15 108:5,24 128:5 120:10 196:5 manage 105:13,14 manuer 119:11 manuer 119:11 manufacturer 131:12 132:10 133:1 136:6 173:24 133:16 43:24 49:14 174:18,21 175:4,4 175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 160:1,20,22,22:5 140:1,21 133:1 160:1,20,22,22:5 161:7 162:12,13 246:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 163:10,13 177:7 177:15 189:2 177:15 189:1 177:11 188:24 183:15 177:10 188:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 177:10 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 177:24 183:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15 178:24 183:15					
Table	_				
Dojstics 112:4 137:22 146:10,11 146:13,16 148:16 162:24 174:15 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:18 192:10 196:5 192:10 193:10 192:15 20:12,23 192:10 193:16 80:7 193:16 43:24 49:14 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:6 141:21 193:15 5:2 161:7 162:12,13 160:12,20,22,25 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 177:7 173:1,3 177:11 173:1,3 177:11 193:25 20:14 193:15 20:17 251:2 193:15 20:19 193:18 193:15 194:6 193:15 194:6 193:15 194:6 193:15 194:6 193:15 194:6 193:15 194:1 193:15 20:19 193:18 193:15 194:19 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 193:15 194:19 194:3,7,21 188:22 190:6 193:18 193:15 194:19 194:3,7,21 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 194:3,7,21 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:15 20:18 193:15 194:19 193:			maintaining 111:24		· ·
146:13,16 148:16 63:9 66:6 74:16 75:7 76:17 77:10 77:18 178:17 78:12,15 83:8 192:10 196:5 manage 105:13,14 manner 119:11 man					
63:9 66:6 74:16 162:24 174:15 180:14 218:11 244:15 marketplace 111:13 256:2 mean 10:3,5 15:24 75:7 76:17 77:10 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 177:18 178:17 180:14 218:11 244:15 marketplace 111:13 16:9,22 17:15 18:8 88:18 93:6 98:1 206:10 220:7,11 manage 105:13,14 market 19:11 market 19:11 19:15 20:12,23 132:19 133:1 looks 7:22 13:19 33:16 43:24 49:14 March 70:21 80:8 181:12 239:22 25:15 29:13 30:18 175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 212:23 222:7 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 39:23,25 40:11 46:48:21 51:19 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 31:17,22,22 32:67 55:4 57:18 59:11 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 166:12,20,22,25 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 66:14 62:11 64:18 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 120 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:1	0	,	<u> </u>	_	
75:7 76:17 77:10 177:18 178:17 244:15 manage 105:13,14 marketplace 111:13 mean 10:3,5 15:24 78:12,15 83:8 192:10 196:5 manage 105:13,14 maner 119:11 marks 153:10 16:9,22 17:15 18:8 88:18 93:6 98:1 206:10 220:7,11 manner 119:11 marks 153:10 19:15 20:12,23 132:19 133:1 looks 7:22 13:19 33:16 43:24 49:14 March 70:21 80:8 83:20 182:16 29:24,24 30:5,6,12 39:23,25 40:11 175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 212:23 222:7 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 52:2,8,13 54:10 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 31:7,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 48:21 52:4 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 160:12,20,22,25 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 55:4 48:21 524 19:12 0 96:14 125:9 160:12,20,22,25 5:10 48:22 68:16 47:22 48:21,24 65:10 71:9,22 73:5 19:12 0 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,48:19:23 19:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,48:9:29:1 13:31 17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 2	O	*	180:14 218:11		
78:12,15 83:8 192:10 196:5 manage 105:13,14 manner 119:11 marks 153:10 16:9,22 17:15 18:8 88:18 93:6 98:1 206:10 220:7,11 220:12,17 238:12 1manufacturer 181:12 239:22 25:15 29:13 30:18 132:19 133:1 looks 7:22 13:19 March 70:21 80:8 29:24,24 30:5,6,12 32:7 36:17 38:1 174:18.21 175:4,4 70:19 73:16 80:7 212:23 222:7 212:23 222:7 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 52:2,8,13 54:10 215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 250:17 251:2,6 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:47,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 longer 112:10 160:12,20,22,25 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 209:5 214:21 198:13 200:17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>244:15</td> <td></td> <td></td>			244:15		
88:18 93:6 98:1 108:5,24 128:5 132:19 133:1 137:6 173:24 174:18,21 175:4,4 175:7 203:10 215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 160:12,20,22,25 161:7 162:12,13 248:22 58:20 248:22 58:20 29:24 28:23 89:13 91:20 96:14 125:9 91:20 96:14 125:9 120:21 219:4 231:19 133:1 148:6 152:5 160:10 19:15 20:12,23 20:12,17 238:12 181:12 239:22 216:13 227:25 181:10 manhacturer 151:10 March 70:21 80:8 83:20 182:16 30:15,18,22,25 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 52:2,8,13 54:10 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 32:9 124:24 80:15,19 32:16 48:22 68:16 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 48:22 52:22 58:20 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 177:15 189:2 177:11 66:16,74 177:11 66:16,74 177:15 189:2 177:15 189:2 177:11 88:21 160:18 177:11 108:18 177:11 108:18 177:11 108:18 178:11 474:13 181:12 239:12 188:12 160:1 181:12 239:12 188:12 160:1 188:12 14:16 188:12			manage 105:13,14	_	· ·
108:5,24 128:5 132:19 133:1 137:6 173:24 133:16 43:24 49:14 174:18,21 175:4,4 175:7 203:10 215:2 219:4 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 160:12,20,22,25 25:10 48:22 52:22 58:20 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 163:0 141:25:9 122:22 59:8.20 59:9 88:23 89:13 91:20 96:14 125:9 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 130:3 132:5 134:7 147:13 148:6 152:5 146:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 160:20 166:10,16 168:10 182:12 183:13 190:19	*		<u> </u>		*
132:19 133:1			manufacturer		
137:6 173:24 33:16 43:24 49:14 March 70:21 80:8 29:24,24 30:5,6,12 39:23,25 40:11 174:18,21 175:4,4 70:19 73:16 80:7 121:2 124:22 121:2 124:22 30:15,18,22,25 41:6 48:21 51:19 215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 250:17 251:2,6 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:4,7,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 longer 112:10 160:12,20,22,25 5:10 48:22 68:16 47:22 48:21,24 65:10 71:9,22 73:5 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 17:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 177:15 189:2 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 188:22 190:6 66:8,8,11 69:23 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 226:13 227:25 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15		*			
174:18,21 175:4,4 70:19 73:16 80:7 83:20 182:16 30:15,18,22,25 41:6 48:21 51:19 175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 212:23 222:7 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 52:2,8,13 54:10 215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 250:17 251:2,6 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:4,7,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23<			March 70:21 80:8		
175:7 203:10 121:2 124:22 212:23 222:7 31:3,6,12,13,13,14 52:2,8,13 54:10 215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 250:17 251:2,6 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:4,7,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:			83:20 182:16		
215:2 219:4 139:6 141:21 250:17 251:2,6 31:17,22,22 32:6,7 55:4 57:18 59:11 245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:4,7,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 78:5,25 79:4,10 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 81:9 82:17 84:6 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 10st 224:23,24,25 215:8 216:9 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 177:3					
245:25 246:2 143:13 153:24 mark 1:3 3:15 5:2 32:9,12 47:4,7,14 60:14 62:11 64:18 longer 112:10 160:12,20,22,25 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 47:22 48:21,24 65:10 71:9,22 73:5 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247			250:17 251:2,6		
longer 112:10 160:12,20,22,25 5:10 48:22 68:16 47:22 48:21,24 65:10 71:9,22 73:5 look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 193:25 205:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
look 7:24 17:7 23:23 161:7 162:12,13 90:5 120:23 137:2 49:5 55:17 56:3 73:8,25 76:4,8,19 24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			5:10 48:22 68:16		
24:6 36:14,15 163:10,13 177:7 158:2 164:6 167:8 57:5,14 65:21 66:6 78:5,25 79:4,10 48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			90:5 120:23 137:2		
48:22 52:22 58:20 177:15 189:2 173:1,3 177:11 66:8,8,11 69:23 81:9 82:17 84:6 59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17		, and the second	158:2 164:6 167:8		
59:9 88:23 89:13 190:11 194:3,7,21 181:24 183:15 76:17 108:9 158:4 86:17 87:4,18,19 91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17	,	· ·	173:1,3 177:11	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
91:20 96:14 125:9 200:21 206:12 188:22 190:6 168:15 170:10 88:1,14 89:22 90:1 127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 168:10 182:12 16st 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 10t 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			181:24 183:15	′ ′	
127:2 128:22 216:13 227:25 191:20 193:18 173:11,20 174:2,3 92:6,8,24 96:5 130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			188:22 190:6		
130:3 132:5 134:7 229:25 230:4 198:23 200:17 176:21 180:24,24 98:5,6,20 99:17 143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			191:20 193:18		· ·
143:17 147:13 234:15 238:10 204:15 207:16 183:3,4,10 193:12 102:12 104:13 148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17			198:23 200:17		
148:6 152:5 247:8 248:16 209:5 214:21 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 198:15 202:18 105:9,18 106:18 107:8,21 113:7,18 107:8,21 113:7,18 250:17 255:7 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 117:3 119:9 121:7 198:15 202:18 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 117:3 119:9 121:7 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 124:16,22 126:17			204:15 207:16	,	
160:20 166:10,16 Los 4:11 215:8 216:9 240:18 241:5 107:8,21 113:7,18 168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17				<i>''</i>	
168:10 182:12 lost 224:23,24,25 217:22 225:1 244:15,19,23,23 114:14 116:10 183:13 190:19 225:8,16 226:21 250:17 255:7 245:5,8,15,15 117:3 119:9 121:7 193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17					· ·
183:13 190:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
193:25 205:14 lot 19:16 30:14 64:3 256:5,20,24 247:25 248:7,17 124:16,22 126:17		1 ' '		1 ' ' '	
130120 20011 12013011 12013011 12013011		,			
Material 211.10				,	· ·
	210.0 212.10,10	, 5.11 , , .11 , 52.2			120.20 120.1

[274]

				[2/4]
129:25 131:4	92:9 93:5,15,24	89:17	117:11	necessarily 31:8
132:5 136:15	94:5 95:16,20 96:2	misspelling 97:14	Munger 4:10 5:16	38:21 41:3,8 79:6
137:4,5 138:19	97:4,24 98:17	186:14	5:19 7:5	117:10 172:21
140:4,14 142:9,21	memory 27:25	misspellings 23:1,1	mutually 14:9 61:17	necessary 97:22
144:10,23 145:21	86:13 224:5	89:16,19,20 90:10	69:6 104:18	need 6:16 11:24
146:5,10 151:2,23	243:18	95:9,9 96:7,8 97:9	07.0 104.10	54:20 55:19 76:18
155:19,23 158:17	mention 77:7	97:14,18 130:6,13	N	90:25 97:17
160:2 161:1,18	203:25	134:1,3 152:18	N 1:2	117:21 121:21
171:2 200:9	mentioned 9:24	154:10 159:17	name 5:8,11 15:17	135:9 160:18
216:21 222:13	10:6 124:12 127:9	185:5,5 187:4	16:10,12,16 17:10	172:13 173:3
225:21 226:16	131:3,24	189:23 206:2	18:12,14,19 20:12	180:25 189:21
232:6 233:21	mentions 23:19	misstate 19:24	22:22 23:3,20 25:8	208:9 220:22
235:22 237:13	251:8	misstated 40:6	25:12,17,23 26:10	221:12 243:6
240:3,4,7 242:16	met 9:8	Misstates 57:21	26:17,19 27:5	needed 127:21
240.3,4,7 242.10	met 9.8 method 199:24	228:9,21	28:10,18,20,20	needs 170:2 189:12
	metrics 224:16	mistakenly 148:21	34:12,19 35:20,23	negative 28:9,11,21
meaning 20:25 34:21 35:2,13	MICHAEL 4:10	148:25	36:22 37:24 38:4	28:24,25 29:5
•	Microsoft 231:16	misuse 187:8	38:13 40:16 44:23	30:10 31:16 32:23
64:24 71:13,25			45:25 123:23	
72:6 74:6 81:16	microwave 20:4,7	misused 137:19	124:10 136:19,20	33:3,9,11 35:15,15
82:11 84:13,18,24	mid-August 179:5	misusing 156:19	137:8,18 147:14	39:5 47:5,21 48:6
85:7 89:22 93:4	middle 14:14 69:5	modification 21:17	169:3 173:15	48:12 54:3,6,20
245:14	Miller 1:3 3:15 5:2	modifications 21:10	198:1,2,6 206:1	55:19 57:5 60:9,25
meaningful 42:12	5:10,11,21 12:13	249:16	208:8	61:4,9,24 62:1,15
134:2	12:24 43:22 48:22	modified 98:19	named 230:1 238:11	62:16,19,21 63:1,4
means 14:22 16:11	121:22 129:2	110:2	255:9	63:7,8,10,14,16,22
17:24 18:15 30:19	164:10 177:11	modify 14:17 99:8	names 22:11,21	64:3,11 65:18,20
31:22 37:13 52:13	188:23 189:1	249:7	23:15 30:9,11 33:7	65:22 66:5,5,7,9
52:13 54:11,22	191:23 200:18	moment 12:10	35:6 75:16 86:12	66:10,24,25 67:8,9
64:23 67:17 72:8	222:1 249:3	70:14	189:13 205:12	69:7,15,23,25 70:1
81:5 88:15,18	250:19 255:7	monetary 104:25	207:9 209:3	70:3,5 72:7,16
102:13 105:10	256:5,20,24	222:11,14 225:6	narrow 172:7	76:2,7,8,10,14
106:20 163:22	millions 136:20	money 202:8 222:17	180:23 221:12	77:1 82:14 87:1
225:19 233:22	mind 112:22,22	monitor 111:22	narrowly 117:18	88:9,17,19 92:17
235:23	129:2 135:19	monitored 66:14	118:5 120:7	92:20 93:10 97:21
meant 27:17 34:9	177:25 221:1	120:3	175:20 202:2	98:10 99:5 104:21
36:12,18 51:14	minds 79:24	monitoring 123:13	Nate 5:14	104:23 105:18
53:10 63:16 67:15	mindset 23:7 42:9	124:24,25 125:23	NATHANIEL 4:4	106:7,9,20 107:1,5
67:23 69:10	54:25 196:22,24	129:17 132:1	nature 60:3 101:5	107:14 108:9,10
154:24 155:1	minimum 52:14	134:16,19 143:14	129:19 132:7,8	108:10,14,16,19
197:1,2 241:23	misappropriating	153:4 179:17	151:6 155:8	109:1 110:11,12
242:17	137:1	moot 83:12	187:12,13 201:21	112:6 113:10
mechanism 39:11	missing 43:2 217:20	move 115:3 216:24	202:3 211:17	117:21 118:15
40:25	232:22	226:5		119:6,7 153:12,23
medication 6:22	misspeaking 173:13	moving 195:14	navigating 220:13 navigational 42:14	163:2,4 168:14,25
meet 8:23 9:1,4	173:14	222:25 234:5	Neal 209:9 223:9	169:10,17,17,21
meeting 79:24	misspell 97:12	MSN 27:3	near 197:6 212:22	169:25 170:2,4,9
Memorial 91:16	misspelled 23:4	multiple 74:17,17	11 Cai 171.0 212.22	170:18,20,23
	1	1	1	1

1-800 Contacts

[275]

2/8/2017

PUBLIC

				[_ ; 0]
171:11 172:12	75:25 79:2,3,5	numbered 166:14	objective 221:10	84:11,19 85:5,9,19
173:11,20 174:1,8	80:24 109:13	189:6	objectives 245:3,4	87:11,18 88:7
173:11,20 174:1,8	179:1 185:25	numbers 43:15	obligated 63:17	90:12 91:9,12
174.10,23 173.7,9	237:14	137:6 233:9	obligation 234:4	93:18 97:2 107:16
170.1,11,19 177.9	neither 140:13	numeral 12:23 13:4	obligations 51:1	112:16 115:11
180:11,18,25	226:11,20	60:24 214:8	71:1 75:23 80:12	118:12 120:19
182:19 183:10	network 201:20	235:13 241:12	82:5 83:24 84:22	122:6 125:17
	never 30:23 46:2,10			
185:12,15,16,20	,	numerically 62:20	87:9 91:21 101:1	126:1 134:10
185:21 186:18	63:24 82:24 110:7	0	101:10,15,25	135:12,16 139:4
190:24 191:10	113:11 157:25	oath 6:20	103:1 105:23	141:12 147:24
193:8 194:24,25	202:13,16,22	object 45:16,20	233:16,24 234:6	149:8 150:3 153:8
195:10,19 196:16	203:2,3,13,14	46:17 154:20	241:23,25 242:4,5	154:13 161:3,8
196:18 197:13	204:10 218:25	195:15 242:14	249:11	163:18 164:5
198:13 199:8,13	225:12 253:15	objected 115:21	obstructs 15:20	165:4 166:12,15
199:23 200:6,8,10	new 69:19 70:4,7	U	obtained 94:15,17	167:5,22,24 168:5
202:19 203:1,1	100:3 170:20	objection 16:2 18:25	obviously 100:16	168:10,12 170:11
206:9,14 215:3	182:23	20:14 27:7 31:23	199:23 234:1	172:2,23 173:17
218:6,23 219:9,15	nhopkin@ftc.gov	32:24 34:23 37:14	249:18	175:14 177:10
220:20 221:4	4:7	38:14 41:21 45:2	occur 153:14 198:14	182:4,17 189:10
228:4,14,19	nodding 6:3	46:15,24 48:8 50:9	occurred 238:17	189:24 190:3,14
230:14,17 231:7	non-infringing 68:7	51:23 52:25 56:19	October 21:12	190:19 193:17,25
231:22 233:17,24	68:16 69:3 167:3	56:21 57:21 60:15	192:11	194:5,9,12 197:21
234:4,6,19,23	172:6	61:5 64:19 68:24	offer 187:19 250:6	198:22 200:15
235:6 239:2,6,11	non-trademark	79:13 81:11 93:1	offers 157:3	201:5 204:14
239:11,14,18,18	56:14	98:2 101:12	officers 14:8 61:16	207:15 208:22
239:21 240:9,17	non-trademarked	106:22 109:10,17	104:17	209:4,8,14 211:10
241:5,13 243:7	53:21 55:3,4,7	122:24 123:10	Official 161:9,16	215:6,19 216:1,5,7
244:15 245:5,15	56:24 58:10,11	132:22 138:12	oh 8:3 24:20 38:20	216:19,23 217:22
245:25 246:1,17	72:2,14	139:22 140:21	49:24 69:19 70:7	218:1 219:17
247:11,13,25	non-use 203:1	145:6 155:15	72:13 73:4 93:22	221:18 222:4,9
248:4,6,11,18	normal 123:15	156:6 157:19	96:7 133:14 143:2	226:8 227:12,23
negatively 138:17	notary 5:4 255:5,22	158:14 161:13	173:5,9 183:25	229:7,18 233:10
negatives 59:7 171:7	note 185:18 219:14	162:2 165:1,12,24	189:10 201:5	233:12,13 234:11
193:14 244:18,22	240:11 253:18	166:23 167:13	232:17,22 238:1	235:7,14 236:16
245:20	noted 43:8,21 256:7	168:20 176:6	238:20	238:1,8,14,22
neglect 193:8	notice 3:16 11:7	177:2 181:20	okay 6:19 8:5 13:13	239:13,20 241:9
negotiate 83:3	93:19 99:19	186:6 191:17	13:15 16:15 23:22	242:19 244:1,12
negotiated 74:8	210:16 236:19	192:5 199:15	23:24 24:6,8,16,24	246:15,19,25
164:23 203:17	noticed 22:10 43:12	202:11 204:5	25:4,6 32:21 34:6	247:2,6,21 248:24
223:15	94:10 179:11	212:5,7 218:14	34:16 36:3,23 38:8	249:5 250:3,11,24
negotiating 75:20	notices 182:12	219:23 226:4,6,23	39:15,19 46:9	251:22,24 253:18
86:6 167:21	notify 236:19	228:9,21 230:9,20	50:24 51:4 53:19	254:1
209:10 228:24,25	November 91:16	231:24 232:2	54:23 55:8 57:2,10	Olson 4:10 5:17,20
negotiation 13:24	230:7 231:2	237:22 242:20	58:24 59:9 61:2,12	on-screen 15:21
76:4 78:21,23	number 1:10 2:2	244:7 252:4,20	67:13 71:2,14 73:4	once 8:14 130:7
80:21 95:24 166:7	11:3 43:14 131:20	objections 19:10	73:12 77:6 78:18	195:14
negotiations 21:6	167:25 183:16	115:23 158:25	80:3,5 83:17 84:11	one's 17:16

[276]

				[270]
one-off 130:6	206:16	77:6,11 80:11 82:4	33:21 34:1,14	222:24 223:17
one-page 181:24	order 62:3 64:13	83:23 87:6 91:20	44:17 53:19 59:10	228:5 230:18,24
200:17 216:9	96:2 105:1 118:17	101:25 104:15	59:11,12 60:6 61:3	236:7,10,12 238:1
one-sided 249:19	118:25 176:22	110:17,21 115:18	67:19 69:4 71:7,9	241:6,8 243:14
251:8	191:10 195:19	116:17,21 115:16	71:19 72:1,3,22	252:24
one-sided.' 249:18	228:15 234:18	119:10 121:11	75:22 78:21 80:12	partial 253:23
ones 29:18 42:12	235:18 236:3	122:20 124:5	82:4 85:2,2 87:9	partially 85:21
82:6 84:11,14	240:16,17 241:4,4	125:10 129:19	87:11 91:24 92:14	participate 26:22
95:12 106:1	ordinary 131:9,11	131:3,4,4,6 132:2	92:15 102:1	44:1,5 165:16
112:11 137:25	organic 116:2	132:11 133:9,11	104:15 110:18	participating 71:4
166:5 183:4,5	131:13 163:25	133:12,16 134:9	118:13,21,23,23	211:14,15 212:4
199:19 208:3,15	origin 166:9	134:11 141:23,24	122:20 139:16	213:2,4,6
208:16,23 216:1	original 229:2	143:10,11,18	166:17 174:6	particular 43:1 54:5
online 137:10 142:1	originally 44:8	144:13 147:1	177:18 184:9	137:25 138:2
142:12 151:22	other's 28:10 110:14	148:7 150:12	189:7 221:3	145:25 190:23
operate 176:12	204:8 249:21,22	153:8 158:20,21	222:10 233:13	197:9 201:2 204:3
operates 109:1	outcome 237:4	159:5,11,13	235:2,12 240:5,8	223:5
operating 75:19	255:15	160:20,24 162:1,7	240:15 249:9	particularly 14:22
84:16 109:10	outside 9:17 32:2	160:20,24 102:1,7	paragraphs 80:15	73:24 75:24
174:9 185:16,21	46:16 50:13 100:8	165:5 166:13	81:8 82:5 84:1,7	parties 13:10 20:22
operation 42:8	132:11 236:24	168:11 175:10	84:23 87:4	23:12 33:10 35:3
75:20 110:6	ovens 20:4,8	178:23 181:9	paraphrasing 184:8	60:7 62:11 65:14
opinion 128:18	overall 109:25 117:4	185:12,18 189:6,8	paren 237:9	69:6,15 79:24
140:22 231:1	overarching 33:8	189:9,10 190:19	parent 61:15 104:16	99:12 101:10
235:24 236:1,18	overbroad 158:16	192:12,12 193:1,2	parentheses 14:14	106:12 107:17
236:20,25 253:5	oversaw 242:25	192.12,12 193.1,2	14:20	110:3 111:4 113:7
253:10,14,16	owned 78:1,2	197:17,19 198:4	Park 182:5,13	117:12 120:15,17
Opinion' 235:16	189:13 201:13	197:17,19 198:4	246:20 247:8	123:25 132:8
opinions 140:24	209:3	213:21 214:12	parlance 87:24	186:1,10 190:22
opportunity 117:20	owns 78:7	215:7 222:7,10	pariance 87.24 parodies 59:16 68:1	191:9 201:23
opposed 25:25	OWIIS / O. /	227:25 229:18	68:21 119:13	202:6 203:17,25
116:2	P	230:2 233:6,9,13	165:17,23	218:19 231:6,21
opposing 65:14	P 4:3,9	234:13,20 235:3,8	Parsons 107:24	234:16,18 244:6
104:3 242:13,17	p.m 120:21 135:14	236:14 237:8	part 13:23 14:24	245:6 249:20
Optical 83:5 101:20	135:14 160:6,6	240:19 244:13	20:20 23:6 31:4	252:8,10,11
103:12 104:3	190:4,4 221:20,20	240.19 244.13	34:4 35:17 40:25	252.8,10,11
103.12 104.3	249:2,2 250:13,13	247:19 253:7,19	44:7 53:4 58:6,18	parties' 22:20
110:20 111:2	254:4	PAGE/LINE 256:9	67:1,13,15,18	191:12,14 200:13
110.20 111.2	page 1:3,10 2:2 12:3	pages 116:4 134:12	78:10 86:3 95:23	202:8 233:16
112.23 113.2	12:23 13:25 15:13	160:9 163:8	106:9 107:5	242:1
181:5,7 201:1,14	23:19 24:6,15,17	234:12 252:1	123:14 126:24	Partner's 115:17
Optical,' 177:24	24:21,21,22 25:4,5	254:12 252:1	135:5 141:10	
- 1	25:10 34:3 36:8,8	paid 29:4 116:2,12	145:8 147:4	partners 9:23 10:7 parts 181:13
Opticare 201:12 options 240:11	36:13 37:1 43:13	131:14 136:7	156:13 164:17	party 14:7,9 18:17
Optiport 201:12	44:16 46:8 49:20	225:1 253:20	165:9 167:21	18:21 25:21,21
optometrist 206:19	50:25 53:20 70:25		205:21 207:22	26:11,13 33:4
optometrists 206:4	72:11,23,23 73:23	paragraph 13:4 14:1 15:14 16:7	203:21 207:22 211:12,22 222:16	34:18 45:11 61:15
optometi 18t8 200:4	72.11,23,23 73.23	14.1 13.14 10./	211.12,22 222.10	34.10 43.11 01.13
	-	-	-	-

[277]

	_	_	_	_
61:17,19 63:2	pasted 239:25 240:5	173:21	played 123:20	150:16
66:20 69:18,19	240:6,20,24	phrase 18:2 28:19	player 153:7	potential 60:4 95:20
72:25 77:24 87:13	patent 11:2 86:1	29:23 30:25 31:3,4	please 5:8 6:2,3,8,14	98:25 101:6
88:8 92:1,16,21	patterned 92:12	31:13 39:13,15	6:16,17 13:25	129:12 132:13
97:21 99:2,10,10	pausing 8:12	40:13 51:15 53:10	21:19 23:23 24:6	154:23 155:10,21
101:7 104:16,18	pay 8:18 56:8,9,10	57:11 71:20 94:11	24:16 33:21 34:1	155:22 245:12
105:9,16,21,21	66:1 87:21 222:15	102:3 103:12	44:16 50:25 70:25	potentially 31:10
106:3,14 107:20	paying 7:11,12	172:21 174:3,9,22	78:17 81:17 88:23	38:20 119:20
111:3 114:18	174:21 175:6,25	175:5,23 176:3,9	93:14 99:2 101:24	141:6 144:24
116:1,12 128:16	payment 223:3,10	179:19 181:12,14	104:14 118:10	148:10 149:3
129:6 132:4 136:7	223:11,15	181:17 185:21	120:25 125:8	156:1,3 187:8
157:2 159:6	penalties 249:12	214:15 240:18	139:3 143:16	213:7 243:10,12
171:22 179:11	256:21	241:5,6 244:18,23	185:18 194:18	practice 11:13
184:21 189:17	pending 6:17 237:4	245:15,25 248:17	195:4 227:20	103:19 105:11
190:25 191:2,24	people 27:1 70:2,6	phrase-matched	233:6 234:11	111:20 114:12
208:10 222:17,18	87:23 131:16,19	239:14,18,21	235:7 236:14	157:15 191:8,14
236:18,20,23	136:25 138:6	240:9 241:13	238:11 246:19	223:17 247:9
237:3,18 242:13	148:20,25 178:11	phrased 58:1	249:4	practices 100:5,6,9
242:17 243:8	180:4 242:2	phraseology 103:21	plugging 129:21	114:17 182:21
party's 14:13,18	percent 137:7,11,14	phrases 169:21	point 26:20 40:12	202:15 218:4
15:17,21 16:9,16	percentage 131:11	173:24 174:8,18	48:16 62:25 79:19	Pratt 10:6 50:13,14
17:8,10,19 18:12	perfectly 84:9	174:21 176:10,12	127:17 130:8	77:3 85:23 86:2
18:19 20:12 23:19	performed 39:8,20	180:15 185:14,19	131:1,2,8,22,23	145:19 183:20
25:8,9,12,16,23	40:2 67:1,13,15	pick 29:21,22 32:11	153:18,21 220:2,4	190:6,11 191:20
26:9,12 27:17 33:7	106:10 107:5	47:4,4 55:10,16	223:4	227:15
34:9,12,19 35:8,10	123:4,9,14	69:22 74:24 178:8	pointing 140:11	preceding 158:25
37:24 38:3,12	period 14:19 83:1	picking 113:21	172:4	255:10
40:16 44:21,23	109:23 110:22	134:4	points 155:9 175:17	precise 96:15
45:12,23,24 51:10	126:21,23 191:15	picture 8:3	policies 29:17 93:9	preclude 39:7 42:15
51:22 52:11 53:6	212:17	pictures 152:9	pop 15:5	42:19 118:2,7
53:11,17 54:2,19	PERJURY 256:21	piece 239:25	pop-up 14:15,21,23	169:18 187:10
54:21 55:14,19,20	permanent 234:15	Pinney 164:11	15:7,19 16:18,21	precluded 41:3,5,7
57:16,19 58:3,15	234:17,18 235:2	165:10 166:21	16:23 17:2 51:7	41:9 116:21
58:17,19,22,24	permit 54:5	194:14 199:8,11	53:24 71:6	precludes 171:3
59:1 61:18,22 62:6	person 170:2 219:25	228:1	portion 83:14 135:7	precluding 42:17
64:16 65:4 66:21	225:22 228:1	place 47:22 223:9	135:7 234:2	preferences 245:7
69:6 71:20,21	personally 100:20	255:9	portions 239:17	Preliminary 12:22
102:8 104:19	166:6	placement 35:21	poses 249:11	premarked 7:17
105:3 106:5	perspective 65:24	129:19 130:5	position 131:20	preparation 193:22
107:12 118:20	66:17 110:7	131:3,6,9 132:2	163:22,24 230:5	prepared 11:19,24
119:3,11,14	136:16 204:12	placing 116:22	231:22	13:2 115:12
184:12 192:13,15	230:19	130:1 144:15	possess 154:14	164:18 199:11
193:13 196:21	pertained 244:5	plain 52:13 53:13	possibility 178:25	preparing 85:25
197:24 198:1,2,3,6	pertains 38:18	60:2	possible 22:3,4	157:14
245:4	peruse 120:24	plan 201:20 250:3	174:23 246:11	present 10:14 186:3
passively 45:14	phone 79:1 99:3	plan/network	possibly 94:16	222:12
paste 241:7,8,12	137:10 172:15	201:11	121:15 142:2	presented 117:22
	I	I	I	I

[278]

251.12	00.16 22 01.1 11	22.0 11 24.2 15	104.22 25 106.2 7	
251:12	90:16,23 91:1,11	33:9,11 34:2,15	104:23,25 106:3,7	push 29:21 186:11
presenting 116:1,12	94:2 100:15 101:2	38:22 44:17 51:1,4	provider's 67:2,14	189:21 217:14
presently 8:18	112:18,20 121:24	53:20 59:13,25	67:16 106:10,13	221:13
preserve 114:24	122:4 128:20	60:1,8,19 68:17	107:6,18	pushback 95:24
pretty 16:13 17:16	135:1,1 223:25	71:1,4,22 73:23	provides 111:13	pushed 93:25
19:5,15 20:17 52:1	probably 10:11,12	75:19,23 76:1	117:20 142:13	215:20
52:12 64:24 65:14	22:25 42:2 49:24	80:12 82:5 83:24	providing 118:2,7	pushing 79:5
70:6 102:13 113:2	79:18 94:2 112:7	84:23 87:9 91:21	provision 18:16	put 35:14 42:10,12
118:5 158:7	152:10,20 217:11	101:25 102:19,25	63:6 119:10 120:5	57:7 63:10,14,25
175:20 214:14	240:18 243:18	103:1,18 117:2,4	166:3,4 172:5	64:2,12 65:7 70:3
235:23	problem 41:24	120:13 167:4	197:15 210:23	87:5,25 97:21
prevent 28:17 29:3	120:8 180:22	190:1,23 191:9	213:12 237:14	108:13,14,20
35:5 62:3 64:13	199:22 211:19	192:16 197:23	provisions 22:18	111:1 123:6 124:2
65:11 68:5,6 105:1	213:13 215:1	198:9	32:23 79:4,10	127:3 128:12
110:13 115:16	218:22 245:25	proper 215:3	104:9 197:22	131:15 137:17
116:22 117:8,18	problematic 117:1	property 136:17	199:25 200:13	152:9 154:10
118:17,25 176:2	179:24 180:4	140:9 207:1,3,4	228:15	156:8 167:5
176:18 179:3	187:6 206:24	propose 184:21	Public 255:5,22	170:21 181:19
184:3,10 215:3	207:6	251:16	pull 181:5 197:6	206:8 222:19
218:7 219:10,18	problems 35:5	proposed 43:5 86:15	213:8 251:22	223:9
220:5 221:5	146:22 188:1	104:5,6 189:18	purchase 121:14	puts 178:21
prevented 190:24	218:24 244:17	205:7,23 206:12	150:15	putting 120:7 136:9
preventing 34:17	245:11,13,17	207:24 208:2,14	purchased 159:7	168:15 176:2
202:20	Procedure 236:19	209:19 213:22	purchases 66:20	244:15,22
previous 44:25	procedures 16:20	proposing 251:19	73:1 106:3	
152:23	Proceeding 231:4	protect 110:14	purchasing 87:12	Q
previously 183:13	process 134:20	134:1 187:18,22	87:19,23 88:1,2	qualify 253:16
227:21	135:3 147:4 153:6	protected 128:18	91:25 103:2,14	queries 41:13 47:13
price 202:24,25	175:17 202:16	137:18	192:1	95:20 106:21
231:15	produce 128:9	protecting 97:16	purpose 18:16 25:18	115:19 116:5,5,10
prices 147:25 148:1	produced 43:20	172:8 186:25	61:10 86:19,20,22	116:14,15,16,18
primary 50:20 57:6	product 121:25	187:23	120:5,6 135:18	117:4
principals 113:4	128:19 129:10	protection 42:20	136:5,13,20	query 18:23 27:5
print 147:19	151:14 157:2	136:15	137:20 138:21	31:22 32:9,16 36:9
prior 57:22 123:4,9	products 59:20	prove 132:18	184:3 198:19	36:19 37:3,25 38:7
155:21 178:2	201:10,20	proven 156:16	200:12 202:7	38:9 39:16 40:22
192:21 199:6	Professional 255:4	207:12	211:25 213:16	40:24 41:2,5,15,17
216:22 228:22	profits 224:24	provide 6:2 100:12	218:9,12,18	41:18,20 45:14
255:6	program 29:2 46:4	100:18 122:4	219:21 226:6	46:7,10,13,13 47:9
priority 63:19,21	136:13,21	153:19	248:2,3 249:20	48:2,6 52:15,17,18
privacy 136:4,5,11	progress 109:22	provided 66:23 81:2	purposes 43:17 63:3	52:19,22,23 53:4
privilege 9:13	progressed 22:24	106:6,11 107:17	235:25 245:7	56:17 116:18,24
121:22 135:6	prohibit 59:14	152:12 185:11,23	pursuant 3:16 11:12	119:7
141:10 154:19	116:1,11 117:15	212:24 242:9,10	252:11,14 253:17	question 6:9,11,13
223:22 224:4	prohibited 14:2	provider 54:5 62:1,3	pursue 207:1	6:17,18 7:21 33:15
243:16 244:1,11	15:15 18:16 24:10	62:13 63:21 66:19	pursued 203:16	34:8 38:18 45:17
privileged 10:12	24:17 25:1 28:5	66:23 69:9 72:25	pursuing 94:23	45:20 91:14 93:23
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

[279]

94:8 97:6 103:17	read 11:24 14:4	112:9 114:4,8,9	recited 22:11	192:21 196:4,6
105:8 116:9	17:13,25 19:16	127:20 134:2	recognition 26:14	197:15 210:10,13
124:20 128:21,24	21:16 22:20 27:10	256:9	137:15	231:2 233:8 246:6
157:24 158:25	27:22 41:11 54:8	reasonable 218:5	recognize 164:10	refers 90:4
232:24 247:19	62:8 67:3 73:24	219:8	167:10 173:8	reflect 146:14
questioned 250:20	105:6 106:1	recall 8:16 9:8 12:7	182:1 183:18	reflecting 253:23
questioning 192:2	115:13 119:8	49:19 52:6 74:8,12	188:25 190:8	reflects 256:8
questions 6:3 13:13	139:21 140:1	75:18,20,24 76:3,4	200:20 207:19	refrain 14:9 87:12
43:9 51:13 81:6	158:8 164:1	79:2,3,5 80:21,24	216:12	87:19 91:25 103:2
191:24 221:22	199:25 201:4	81:3,4 86:4 93:24	recollection 8:13	103:14
239:1,7 247:15	210:6 214:13	94:3 95:22 101:15	49:18 62:23 79:8	refresh 249:13
254:2,3	216:15 219:16	104:7 107:24	81:6,24 86:11 94:6	refreshes 126:13
quick 82:1 85:9	221:2,3,15 236:13	109:14,20 126:18	98:24 109:13	149:11
160:5	248:2 255:11	127:5 134:4,24	121:8 123:3	regard 74:10,11
quickly 218:19	256:6	135:3,8 136:11	126:13 149:12,15	154:23 184:17
quiz 27:25	reading 18:2 28:23	139:8 143:15	249:13 251:18	231:6 241:22
quotation 181:12	28:23 34:4,13	144:1 145:24	recommend 247:10	regarding 170:13
239:22	61:13 193:1	146:6 152:17,19	recommended	227:25 230:6
quote 177:23,24	230:15 251:11	154:6,11 155:3,4	195:18	239:1 244:4
190:23 192:9	reads 15:16 24:17	163:17 164:15	record 5:9 6:1,7	regards 142:23
194:24,25 221:5,7	38:9 53:6,20 60:6	169:2 170:12,16	12:9,11,13,15 14:5	registered 74:20
239:23 245:13	66:18 69:5 71:19	171:6 172:3,4	33:22,25 49:9	75:9 89:7,11,12,14
248:15,15	72:24 87:12 92:15	180:10 182:7	70:14,15 120:20	89:18 90:9 95:8,13
quotes 108:23	105:25 106:16	183:21 186:10	120:22 135:13,15	174:17 184:5,12
219:15	121:12 141:24	190:17 192:2	160:7 221:3 226:7	185:1,7 186:4,13
quoting 185:17	150:13 162:8	201:5 210:5	248:25	186:14 189:12
	174:7 185:18	214:14 216:20	record's 95:1	205:12 206:1
R	229:19 231:5	217:8 219:1	recorded 6:4	207:9 208:6,8
Rader 50:13	233:14 235:16	220:23 223:5	redactions 227:22	215:20 217:15
raise 220:2,4	236:17,18 241:3	224:9,9,15,21	redline 251:16	255:4
raised 169:11,18	247:7	225:4 227:1,7,24	redlined 217:1	registration 94:16
174:24 175:15	ready 120:25	238:7 239:5 249:6	reduced 223:10	94:17,18,21
176:13 180:5	real 36:15 86:15,15	250:21,25 251:3	redundant 59:6	registrations 205:15
203:2,3,15 204:6	117:24	253:4,10	214:14	registry 95:2
217:12	real-world 42:1,7	recalled 147:5	refer 57:13 88:4,5	regroup 111:16
Randy 238:11	really 37:20 39:6	recalling 211:11	168:19 179:9	regular 126:19,22
244:14 245:2,9	43:4 55:22 64:22	receive 124:25	203:7,11,18 222:1	130:14
246:12	65:16,25 74:16,22	126:19 127:4	235:17 236:2	reimbursement
Randy's 245:3	76:22 77:14 82:1	received 192:20	reference 116:24	253:23
246:9	98:12 103:20	205:7 220:24	129:9,23 182:4	relate 154:9 201:3
rate 97:11	111:5 133:1 135:3	221:2 251:2	210:17 211:8	205:16 225:7
reach 74:13	171:10 175:4,4	Recess 49:8 85:12	230:11 251:1	related 21:2 27:22
reached 182:18	178:20 201:24	120:21 135:14	referred 147:2	90:11 166:20
217:4,7 251:15	202:15 222:19	160:6 190:4	179:10 188:19	182:6,14 185:4
reaching 27:12 44:6	reason 7:1 68:14	221:20 249:2	203:20	226:11,20 228:4
178:25 218:18	75:1 82:21 83:13	250:13	referring 139:20,25	237:1 248:20
reaction 178:4,5	83:14 95:19 97:20	reciprocity 8:14,16	168:25 171:20,21	relates 31:7,9
	l	l	<u> </u>	l

[280]

167:19 205:5	126:12 140:7	116:8 158:8 214:7	217:9 233:17	206:8
208:18	report 47:9 123:16	218:10 220:20	249:21	result 51:9,21 52:10
relating 15:6 21:7	123:17,22 124:2	requested 22:7 81:2	respective 61:24	54:1 60:4 62:5
27:11 31:18	127:9,20 128:3,8,9	81:4 112:11 127:6	69:8,11 104:21	64:15 65:3 102:7
182:20 184:1	128:11,14 129:5	236:8 237:17,19	106:14 107:19	105:2 118:19
200:10 201:14	136:4,5 143:22	237:21 238:5	respects 136:19	119:2 131:4
234:4 243:23	144:8 146:3	251:17	respond 174:25	138:17 158:21
relation 42:13	149:10 153:3,3,5	requesting 56:17,18	184:25 200:3	159:5,11 162:1,7
131:17 225:24	163:13,16,18	238:7	221:11 244:11	177:23
226:10,13,19,22	225:11	requests 115:7	responded 152:8	results 25:10 115:18
245:13	reporter 164:6	218:12	209:22,23 215:8	116:4,13 131:14
relationship 10:17	167:7 172:25	require 82:14 98:8	Respondent's 12:21	131:14 132:11
50:16 113:2,3	177:10 181:23	113:11 206:23	respondents 115:21	144:13 147:1
relative 101:10	183:15 188:22,25	232:10 234:24	response 15:10	148:7 159:13
106:20 154:15	190:5 191:19	235:5	16:22,23 17:9 33:6	163:24,25 193:9
242:13	193:18 198:22	required 65:20	34:11 40:15 41:7	194:2 198:4
relatively 79:17	200:16 204:14,18	66:12 105:17	44:22 45:13,24	retail 137:13 142:11
relevance 154:20	207:15 209:5	163:1 232:9	46:12 47:16 48:1,5	151:13,15 201:9
relevant 84:22	216:8 217:22	235:21 237:1,2	51:8,21 53:25	201:14,19
131:19 134:5	250:16 255:5	requirement 66:3	55:13 57:13 62:4	retailer 26:19 132:9
209:2	256:2	72:7,18 181:16	64:15 65:3,16	142:12 151:10,23
relied 153:4	REPORTER'S	192:16	90:23 102:6 105:2	152:9
relief 187:15,17	255:1	requirements 75:25	114:20 115:18,22	retailers 30:9 59:20
222:25	reports 123:14	76:2 243:1,12	116:4,14 118:18	148:15
rely 155:7	124:9,10,11,24	requiring 199:12,22	122:10 137:11,12	retain 165:15
remain 233:15,23	125:1,24 126:19	research 76:25	138:11 146:18	return 238:9
remainder 221:22	127:10,12,15,25	178:16 184:25	150:23 176:3	reveal 90:23 91:8,11
remedy 101:8	129:17,18 131:24	220:16	179:4,13 184:11	94:2 101:3 112:19
remember 21:17	131:25 132:1	reserve 164:3	186:2 187:22	123:5 145:23
63:13 75:9,13	134:16,19 136:11	221:22 250:9	191:1 192:14	155:5 243:20
77:10,17 78:14	143:14 146:8	Residing 255:22	193:9,16 194:6	revealing 135:17
83:4 87:7 93:5	164:1	resisted 189:25	195:25 196:9,20	242:22
94:19,25 108:12	represent 7:8,13	resolution 44:2	197:25 205:9	review 7:20 127:1
121:5 123:23	10:20,24 13:21	222:16	215:4,15 218:1,4	reviewed 21:7
124:8,9,10 125:21	33:18 50:8 79:22	resolve 12:16	220:9 251:14	164:18 193:22
127:24 128:6,12	231:13	114:23	Responses 115:6	195:8 198:25
135:19 137:4	representation 21:9	resolved 175:21	rest 250:9	reviewing 195:16
164:1 167:20	representative	217:6	restraint 231:13	228:18
169:19 172:13,18	167:12	resolving 44:12	restrict 25:20 26:10	reviews 216:18
174:15 181:4	represented 107:25	201:8 213:17	restricted 14:18	revised 209:22,25
190:17 207:25	113:14	233:3	21:21,23 25:9	211:6 215:9
208:13 224:6,6	representing 7:4,25	resources 137:17	190:1 208:19	revisions 99:15
removed 95:23	10:22 27:1 44:1	respect 92:19	restriction 196:19	right 6:6 9:3 21:5,25
Replace 126:14	197:2 253:1	141:19 154:13	restrictions 22:2	36:21 37:12 39:17
Replacemycontacts	represents 36:8	160:17 164:19	74:6 82:13 249:11	41:18 47:19 54:7
126:5	request 22:5 39:12	171:4 195:8	restrictive 97:25	58:8,13 67:22 77:8
ReplaceMyConta	76:8 115:8,14	198:10 211:2	98:6,12 198:3	80:1,19 84:7 85:4

•				
88:11 95:5 96:22	roll 15:4	RX0264 1:18 216:9	21:20,23 23:16	253:19
102:23,24 103:10	rolled 15:3	216:11 250:23,25	25:1,7,8 26:8	scale 151:17
118:24 125:5,13	Roman 12:23 13:4	RX0265 1:18 217:23	36:24,25 37:3,6,9	scheduled 6:15
126:4,6 129:10	60:24 214:8	217:25	40:13 41:18 42:3	scholarly 19:16
130:19 133:19,22	235:13 241:12	RX0266 1:19 251:5	45:6,6 49:21 51:1	scientific 225:10
134:8 137:6	room 63:16	RX251 238:10	51:5,15 52:2,2,9	scope 100:25 108:6
139:15 147:18,20	rope 198:15	241:15	55:2,6,12 57:15	156:7 191:6
152:24 154:1	route 206:22	RX252 238:12	58:3,3,6,20,22	228:24
160:21 161:17	row 144:17	239:13	60:20,21,22 61:14	Scott 230:1
162:24 165:15	royalties 224:25	RX254 247:1,2,7	67:6,7,17 71:1,3	screen 15:9 17:5
166:12 171:15,19	Rules 11:13	RX258 227:21	71:23 72:14 80:1,2	screenshot 36:12,13
190:2 192:22,24	run 64:4 135:20	RX263 222:2	80:12 82:5 83:23	36:16 147:13
194:8 197:10,11	runs 161:24 178:8	RX264 249:4	86:16,21 87:2 88:2	160:20 162:8
210:8,9,20,24	RX 173:7	RX266 250:17,18	88:7,15,25 91:20	247:12,16 248:3
219:1 223:8	RX0250 1:11 164:6		91:24 92:14 93:16	248:22
239:15,24 246:11	164:7 165:5	S	99:2 102:3,13	screenshots 129:16
248:16 253:6	RX0251 1:11 167:8	S 1:9 2:1 255:12	103:2,6 104:15	129:17 132:2
right-hand 88:25	167:9 168:11	S.W 4:5	105:10 106:25	134:13,15 137:22
162:7	176:25	safer 247:12	107:4,16 110:21	140:4
rights 74:24 75:5,8	RX0252 1:12 173:1	sake 241:2 244:1	115:14,22 118:13	search 17:9,11,21
75:11,17 77:16	173:6,8 175:1,25	sale 201:19 235:1	118:17,25 119:5	17:22 18:4,13,23
78:2 82:23 83:2,7	177:1 238:20	sales 31:9 201:10	119:10,14 120:11	19:14,18 25:10,10
83:7 97:16 110:15	RX0253 1:12 177:11	224:24,24 225:9	125:11,16 126:2,5	25:24,25 26:2,4,6
117:14 121:4,13	177:13 178:24	Salt 3:12 255:3,12	126:11 140:12	26:7 27:3,18 29:2
121:20 126:16	RX0254 1:13 181:24	255:22	143:18,19 144:2	30:20,24 31:7
128:16 133:25,25	181:25 182:1	sample 248:6,12	144:17 147:13,22	32:19 34:11 35:9
138:17 141:25	246:19	satisfy 158:13	147:25 148:3	36:8 37:6,24 38:3
143:24 145:1,5,12	RX0255 1:13 188:22	save 94:11 202:8	150:25 152:13,14	38:5,12,21 39:7,8
145:15,21 146:23	188:24 189:1	saw 78:12 86:15	152:15 153:8,21	39:20 40:2,16,19
148:9 150:14,21	RX0256 1:14 190:6	113:8 114:10	153:23 157:8	40:20,21,22,24
156:19,22,24	190:7 192:23	132:1 152:23	161:9,15 162:13	41:2,5,10,12 42:8
172:8 185:3	RX0257 1:14 191:20	158:20 166:21	163:21 165:6,15	44:23 45:14,24
186:16,19,25	191:22	180:6 195:10	168:13 171:1	46:12 47:9,11,13
187:9,18,22,23	RX0258 1:15 193:18	saying 32:16 41:6	172:5 183:23	48:1,5,13,19,25
205:24 206:15,19	193:20 194:1	54:16 55:9 56:16	185:7 189:7,11	51:5,9,16,19,21
206:25 207:4,9,11	198:18 199:6	58:24,25 62:17	190:20 192:15	52:10,14,15 53:16
207:13 208:3,7	RX0259 1:15 198:23	84:6 96:4 98:13	193:6 194:21	53:22 54:1,5 55:14
209:2 215:18,20	198:24	104:1,9 108:13	197:23 198:2	56:1 59:19 60:4
216:6 217:14	RX0260 1:16 200:17	111:12 112:3	209:24 210:18	61:25 62:2,5,12,15
249:21,22,25	200:19,20	140:5,14 141:7,8	213:4 219:6,17	62:19 63:13,20
250:1	RX0261 1:16 204:15	155:1 181:10	222:10 224:9	64:4,15 65:4,6,9
ripen 190:14	204:17,19	186:9 215:8 220:1	229:9 235:8	65:10,17 66:19,23
rise 141:5 162:18	RX0262 1:17 207:16	224:14 234:5	236:23 237:15,15	67:1,2,13,14,15,16
risk 231:7	207:18	235:25 245:20	239:20,23 240:11	67:18 69:9,17
RMR 255:21	RX0263 1:17 209:5	246:10,13 251:13 says 14:1,6,14,17	240:22 244:14	70:11 72:25 82:13
road 207:6 227:2	209:7 213:21	15:14 16:11 17:7	245:10 247:7	82:14 86:24 87:14
role 100:9 231:19	215:7	13.14 10.11 1/./	248:14 249:17	88:9,17,20 92:2,18
	•			•

[282]

92:19 93:8 102:4,7	27:18 28:18 29:5	240:18 252:18	seeing 35:21 125:21	163:7 169:24
102:17 103:7,13	29:25 30:1,3 31:15	sections 75:22 211:3	137:4 143:15	172:10 173:10,19
103:23 104:10,12	34:19 35:7 41:12	see 8:1 11:17 12:4	165:19	177:5,15 190:12
104:22,24 105:3	42:16 47:10 54:14	12:25 15:22 17:13	seek 95:2	194:21 208:5,25
105:11,13,16	54:19 55:18,21,23	21:20 24:4,11,14	seeks 35:25	216:25 218:2
106:3,7,10,10,13	55:25 65:11,12	24:15,19 25:2,13	seen 11:8,10 13:16	221:10 250:20
107:2,5,6,11,18	66:15 76:11 97:11	33:12 34:3 36:10	82:24 124:21	255:12
108:3,4,22,23	111:7,21 113:15	36:22 37:1,5,11,25	125:20 130:7	sentence 14:5 42:5
115:18 116:3,13	116:23 117:16,19	38:9 51:2,11 60:12	164:12 182:3	55:2 56:13 60:6
116:18,20 117:7,9	119:18,19,24	67:3 71:7 73:3	190:9 204:21	61:14 66:18 67:7
117:10,11,11	120:4 122:11	77:12 79:20,23	227:16,17 237:24	69:5 72:22 73:3,5
118:3,4,8,9,19	123:4,9 130:4,5,13	82:9 87:16 88:12	238:2	73:6 105:25
119:2,23 121:15	130:18 134:23	91:22 92:4,22	sees 148:17	106:16 107:16
122:9 123:19	135:20 136:14	96:23 97:19	select 57:9,14 70:1	115:22 118:6
129:20 130:12,22	140:6 146:11,15	102:10 103:2,4	86:23 98:11	139:16 174:7,14
131:4 132:11	146:19 147:10	105:6 110:24	196:12 202:17,18	184:7,8 185:18
135:23,25,25	152:20 160:3	117:24 121:16	202:19	219:5 221:2
138:5,11 140:9	169:14 171:3,16	124:1 125:4,14,18	selected 32:20 63:23	222:13 230:15
142:2 144:22	176:12 178:17	126:1,8 133:10,18	89:20 146:1	236:23 240:8
146:25 147:1,6	184:11 193:10	135:8 138:10,16	selecting 46:3 56:25	241:1,3,11,14
148:6 149:5	195:3,25 198:6	142:7 143:20	57:18 58:12,16	249:17
150:16 157:15,17	199:13 220:13	144:5,19 146:18	59:4 88:5 90:8	separate 40:8
157:20 158:1,20	searching 18:14,22	146:21 147:15,17	self-explanatory	145:18 230:5
158:21 159:5,11	19:7 20:2,3,5,6,12	147:21,24 150:17	237:15	separately 206:5
159:12 160:23	23:3 25:21,23 26:1	152:6 153:15	sell 148:19 152:10	September 49:17
162:1,7 163:24	26:11,17,18 27:4	161:1,8,10,20	selling 151:8,9,9	sequence 43:13
169:12 170:5	33:6 35:20 39:10	162:9,15 165:6,8	sells 137:9 142:11	139:2
172:20 176:22	39:22 40:3,4 42:13	165:14 166:18,20	157:2	sequentially 43:13
177:21 179:4	95:10 117:5,7,25	168:13,17 174:11	semicolon 106:11,16	series 164:11
180:8 184:4,17	146:1 218:8	179:7 182:11	send 99:19 113:9	servants 14:8 61:16
191:1,12 192:1,14	219:11,19 221:6	183:2,23 188:12	114:18,22 127:22	104:17
193:16 194:1,3,6	second 11:22,23	189:15 191:3	155:12,25 158:9	serve 41:15 114:13
196:10 198:1,4,5,9	33:23 37:9 50:25	194:1,5,15,17	158:10 223:17	114:22
198:11,11 199:20	72:8 80:11 115:22	195:5 201:4	sending 121:5	Service 70:21
210:18 211:7	118:16 133:9,12	206:11 209:24	173:25 247:24	216:14
214:9 215:2,4	141:23 147:2,24	210:21 211:8	sense 19:5,9 26:2	services 142:5,10,13
220:6,6,15 231:15	150:12 177:18	213:21,24 214:4	113:25 121:9	142:15 151:1,3,5,7
245:14	184:9 185:17	215:7,13 219:12	137:16 159:20,21	151:14,20 157:3
searched 35:23	188:5 189:6,8	222:8 229:8,11,23	195:22 202:4	set 13:8 23:22 54:4
95:25 96:5 131:15	190:19 193:25	230:1,8,10,12,12	224:7	60:10 70:12 72:16
131:18 144:12	201:4 209:17	231:10,17 233:13	sent 78:13 104:7	73:12 115:7
145:10 148:22	213:21 221:2	233:19 235:10,15	114:8 121:2	127:17 141:12
searcher 27:4	248:14 249:9	236:1,21 237:6	126:11 127:13	230:6 234:6 238:8
searches 17:18,21	section 11:14 50:25	240:10 241:17	128:1 133:4,13	248:14 255:10
18:3,7,8,19 22:22	72:8 118:23	244:16,20 245:10	139:6,9 140:17,20	setting 55:11 57:1
25:11,16,20,22	209:24 213:4,23	247:11,17 250:7	141:7,21 146:4	settings 29:11 53:16
26:9,11 27:14,17	214:16 231:8	253:19,22	150:10 160:12,19	54:14,17 59:22
	•			1

[283]

				[203]
69:23 104:10	212:12,25 214:3	showing 84:15	sits 42:3	86:17 90:1 92:15
128:12 195:24	215:5 217:1,12,20	157:5 183:2 195:3	sitting 135:2 164:1	93:16 110:20
196:12 198:10	218:10,13,21,25	shown 191:7 193:9	situation 182:25	118:22 143:2
settle 22:16 96:2	219:2 220:19,22	shows 41:16 47:10	situation 102:23	156:3 158:24
222:12 223:2	222:24,25 223:11	158:1 165:21	220:11	167:18 173:5
settled 92:9	224:10 225:13,25	170:10 195:17,17	six 133:9	188:10,13,21
settlement 13:10,19	228:16 229:5,9,14	196:14,22 248:7	sixth 163:21	191:16 196:3
13:22 21:3,11,13	229:15 232:21	sic 115:15 117:22	skipped 14:19	222:2,5 232:23
21:18 22:2,6,13	233:1,14,15,18,22	side 37:7 76:8 88:25	slam 156:16	234:13 238:19
23:10,25 25:19	234:1,3,7 235:21	93:16 94:9,9	Slifkin 209:6,9,18	240:3 242:15
26:22 27:23 33:16	237:2,21,25 238:2	147:18 162:7	222:2,6 223:9	247:2
37:23 38:19 43:24	238:3,6 241:22	167:6 194:8	slightly 23:4 40:7	sort 8:13 16:21 17:1
44:3,6,12,14 49:14	242:10,12,18	204:12	small 51:5 72:1,12	26:13 46:15
70:19,22 71:15	243:2,3,11,24	sides 249:12	72:13 135:7	123:25 145:14
73:16,18 80:7,9,16	244:5,6 247:4	sign 78:8 255:12	147:18 222:23	209:15
81:3,9,20,22 82:12	248:1 249:7	signatories 74:17	smaller 223:15	sound 78:24
82:24 83:6,11,19	251:15 252:7,9,11	201:23	software 15:1 16:20	sounded 145:12
83:21 84:4,21 85:1	252:14 253:20	signatory 77:11	124:15	Sounds 6:12
85:17,19 91:15,17	settling 202:6	78:3 208:11	sole 136:16 137:3	source 17:22 18:3
101:19 107:8	203:24 207:2	signature 49:21,22	233:16	18:14 25:22 117:6
109:23 113:12	211:21	signatures 77:19,21	somebody 16:22,23	117:10 146:12
114:9 115:15,16	seven 78:25	77:23	16:24 17:18,21	148:14 152:25
115:17,25,25	sham 156:9	signed 210:11,14	18:3,7,13 19:6	220:17
116:1,11,12,19	share 29:12 112:22	significant 131:11	20:2 25:23 26:1,4	sources 154:22
117:18 118:1	134:17 140:24	160:2	27:13 29:4 39:9,21	South 3:12 4:11
133:17 143:4	shared 122:1,3	signify 183:8,9	40:3,4 41:12 42:3	space 96:20,20
156:11 160:13,14	140:25	similar 23:13 34:7	62:24 76:11 89:16	144:3,18,18
160:17 162:23	Sher 230:1,4,16,25	51:13 53:14 54:12	95:10 111:7,21	152:13,14,14,15
164:14,19,22	231:25 252:16	71:17 74:5 79:17	117:5 130:1,11,18	152:15 170:22,22
165:22 166:5	Sherman 231:9	89:15,19,21 90:6	140:6 148:16	176:16,17 219:7
167:1 168:3 169:1	252:19	92:7 97:7 102:14	149:7 156:19	223:9
169:2 170:14	ShipMyContacts	105:25 106:16	157:1 169:13	spawned 95:14
171:22 178:25	130:20 136:7,10	109:15 111:4	171:15 179:18	speak 45:3 192:4
181:4 182:15,20	shit 86:17	113:6 124:7 141:6	183:21 187:10	225:5
184:3,10,16	short 90:18 190:3	153:11 166:25	195:10 220:7	speaks 16:3 20:15
185:10,25 187:16	221:18 250:11	180:12,13 185:8	242:3 243:5,23	32:25 60:16 61:8
187:25 190:13,21	shorter 93:20,22	185:12,22 205:2,3	somebody's 19:6	139:23 143:5
191:15 195:9,13	show 75:10 79:7	206:2 207:23	35:20 117:9	165:13 167:14
195:16,20,21,23	124:3 130:14,16	209:11 217:11	189:25 216:3	199:16 218:16
196:2,4,8,25 197:2	136:3 144:13,14	235:20	someone's 19:23	specific 21:6 22:25
197:5 198:20	151:16 168:15	simple 87:6,8	20:1,1	26:5,18 29:14 76:4
200:13,25 201:7	185:2,13 207:10	simplified 92:11	Sonsini 227:14	79:19 81:6 94:6
201:17 202:1	208:17 214:25	110:3	229:22	98:23 101:1 108:6
203:5,7,16 205:8	219:2 222:23	simplify 94:1 97:20	sophisticated 35:13	123:2 149:15,16
205:17 207:5,22	244:16 248:3,6,12	103:17 109:16	sorry 18:1 24:21,22	155:5 185:3 211:6
208:18,20 209:10	showed 46:6 152:3	single 137:13	34:14 51:16 56:21	224:6,8 225:5
209:19 211:21	208:5	site 161:9,16 239:17	70:7 78:19 84:3	242:22 243:19,20
	1	1	1	1

[284]

243:21	spent 133:2	starts 192:11 246:12	161:13 162:2	182:13 246:21
specifically 11:14	spoke 94:4 178:24	state 5:8 8:8,11,19	164:5,9 165:4,14	248:4
15:15 20:25 23:9	sponsored 35:23	8:21 66:8 122:7,7	166:10 167:5,7,22	studies 225:16
23:18 25:11,16,22	51:7 53:24 102:5	192:16 255:2,5	169:5 172:25	stuff 30:7 76:21
26:9 27:4,16 33:6	102:16 103:8	256:21	176:24 177:10	86:13 94:2 110:1
39:10,22 40:4	116:2,13,22 118:3	statement 181:10	181:23 183:15	119:22 128:2
41:14 66:19 72:24	118:8 121:14	219:20 230:12	187:2 188:7,10,13	161:24 169:18
76:3 80:23 94:3	122:10 130:1	235:19 236:4	188:21 190:3,5	178:17 181:3
102:9 104:20	136:8 140:5	243:20	191:19,23 192:8	sub 34:14 52:9
105:4 106:2,18	147:22 148:16	statements 232:6	193:2,4,17,21	sub 60:24
107:1 119:18	149:1 150:15	states 149:25 250:4	197:4 198:22	sub-entities 77:7
124:8 126:18	161:5 178:19	256:21	200:2,16 203:6	subject 115:22
146:7,12 153:18	184:4,10 196:9	statute 211:6 224:23	204:14 205:4	183:23 200:23
154:6 190:20	sponsored' 211:6	stayed 137:18	207:15 209:4	subparagraph
192:17 198:5	sponsorchip 149:1	stenotype 255:9	210:12,16 212:10	57:20 88:7 166:16
217:10 218:8	spreadsheet 125:12	step 199:12	212:15 216:8	166:19
219:11,19 220:7	128:11	steps 151:19 237:5,9	219:17 221:18,21	subpoena 158:10,10
221:6 224:17	spring 84:15 212:18	237:10	223:21 226:4,14	subsection 28:9 33:4
245:2 246:3	Spy 123:16 124:7,12	stick 205:25	226:23 227:4	35:25 40:14 54:4
248:20	127:10,16,19,25	stick 203.23 stipulated 252:12	228:9,21 230:9,20	60:11 72:10,17
specified 214:17	128:7,14 129:4,15	Stone 1:5,7 4:9 5:16	231:24 232:2,17	119:10
246:17	131:25 153:3	5:16 7:9 9:2,12	231:24 232:2,17	subsections 22:19
specify 219:9	square 119:4	12:5 16:2 18:25	240:3 242:14,20	73:24 78:21
spectrum 214:20	square 113.4 ss 255:2	19:10 20:14 27:7	244:7,24 249:1	subsequent 94:23
speculation 19:2	stack 197:4	31:23 32:24 33:22	250:6,11,15,16	134:12 177:1
27:8 37:15 38:15	stage 195:17	34:23 37:14 38:14	250:0,11,13,10	211:3 212:13
41:22 46:18 50:10	stage 193.17 stamped 183:16	40:6 41:21 43:1,8	254:1	234:12
53:1 123:11	standard 83:5	43:11,18,21 45:2	Stone's 62:10	Subsequently 23:12
138:13 145:7	101:20 103:12	45:16 46:15,24	stop 47:20 67:9 83:9	subset 29:25
155:16 156:7	104:3 105:20	48:8 50:9 51:23	179:16	subsidiaries 14:7
161:14 162:3	104.3 103.20	52:25 56:19,21	stopped 179:6	61:15 74:14
165:2,13,25	110:20 111:2	57:21 60:15 61:5	Store 108:15 174:19	104:16 105:22
166:24 167:14	110.20 111.2	61:19 64:19 68:24		subsidiary 208:9
168:21 177:3	112.23 113.1	79:13 81:11 82:17	story 152:11 straight 43:4	substantially 235:20
192:6 202:12	177:24 181:5,7	85:11 90:18,21	strategically 198:13	substantively 9:20
218:15 219:24	200:25 201:14	91:7 93:1 96:9	strategically 198.13 strategy 195:1 228:5	73:25 79:11
226:5,15 230:21	212:19,25	98:2 101:12	Street 3:12 4:5	103:19
232:3 237:23	start 6:10 100:3	106:22 109:17	255:12	successful 195:2
	139:3 143:11	112:16 121:21		suddenly 69:16
242:21 244:9,24 252:5,21	159.5 145.11	12:10 121.21	strength 214:21 strike 39:24 45:10	sufficiently 237:1
•		128:17 132:22	122:16 226:5	•
speculative 155:14 155:19	179:13 188:18 205:9 212:25	135:10,12 137:25	strong 50:16 132:8	suggest 109:14 244:22
		′	C	
spelled 215:11	started 22:25 23:5,8	138:12 139:12,22 140:21 143:1	137:18 159:24 struck 87:3	suggested 182:21 238:25
spellings 96:5	37:20 69:19 70:4,7			
spells 161:18 spend 202:9	76:20,23 205:10 212:20 222:6	145:6 154:18	structure 56:11 structured 29:16	suggesting 110:3 221:14
spend 202:9 spending 156:12		155:15 156:6	Studebaker 182:5	
spending 130.12	starting 241:12	157:19 158:14,24	Studenaker 102.3	suggestion 28:11

[285]

		I		I
166:8	187:16	26:10 29:8,9 30:4	112:3 115:19	198:9,15 201:6,9
suggestive 214:20	tailored 118:5	31:14 53:8 57:17	116:5,15 119:14	201:13 205:16,21
suit 225:3	175:20 202:2	58:5 158:5	123:19 126:1	206:4,15,21
sum 253:20	205:11 249:23	targeting 30:19	130:15,20 131:18	208:13,19 209:20
summary 68:23	take 6:15,16,18	48:20 55:21	144:22 145:11,12	209:21 214:15,22
supervised 100:16	12:15 19:21 30:11	130:15	155:21 160:23	214:23 215:2,4,19
supervisory 100:9	42:4 49:7 59:24	teaches 55:23	162:20 163:1,4	216:25 217:2,5,13
supplant 63:22	78:11 85:9 88:15	technical 16:21 17:2	170:24 171:16	217:17,21 231:14
supplement 117:20	90:18 97:20	46:16	175:4 187:1 192:1	233:18,25 235:4
120:6	102:25 115:4	technically 246:2	193:13 198:11	249:6 251:15
supplied 89:2 90:14	119:13 120:11,15	Tel 4:6	203:22 206:19,19	test 129:21
162:1	132:7,12,20	telephone 178:3	211:2,4 219:15	testified 5:4 20:20
supply 148:19	151:19 160:5	186:23 239:4	225:18,19 235:16	64:18,23 71:10,23
supposed 33:5	166:10 182:12	tell 73:25 120:25	235:24 236:5,11	142:20 227:5
sure 22:14,14 28:14	190:3,19 193:25	121:7,9 123:19	236:13 239:14,22	238:15 246:5
29:17 35:18 37:2	199:12 210:3	145:23 149:18	242:8 253:4,14	252:23
39:18 43:14 46:1	221:18 250:11	151:23 157:20	terms 17:12 21:3	testify 6:23 7:2
59:3 74:20 75:4,15	251:5	158:9 159:13	22:12,25 31:17	11:12,19,25 12:3
77:24 85:11 93:6	taken 3:15 65:23	170:11,12 173:7	44:2 48:25 52:15	12:14 13:2,6
93:11 94:4 95:1	68:2 190:22 255:8	179:18 204:19	56:1 60:21,22,23	115:13 164:18
100:1 107:2	256:2	205:4 225:12	60:24 65:6,8,11	193:23
108:22 112:4	talk 65:15 90:1	230:16 231:21	66:4 68:14,20	testifying 11:23
119:23 127:25	98:25 99:24	238:25 243:5	69:16 74:8,19,21	84:20
137:17 144:23	111:16 152:2	255:7	75:4 76:6 78:22	testimony 19:24
167:2 170:25	178:10,10 197:12	telling 56:16 172:3	80:22,25 81:8	40:6 57:22 68:20
177:8 180:14,17 181:15 188:16	220:4 243:11,12 245:12	176:1 178:12,15 201:15 217:19	82:13 84:1,16 87:13 88:3,8,19	164:22 193:22 227:24 228:7,10
209:1 216:17	talked 28:4,6,7	template 109:21	91:25 92:16 95:3	228:22 238:24
223:8 226:16	44:25 64:17 74:1	temporal 82:20	95:14,19 98:11,20	239:3 255:10
236:8 245:17,21	116:17 146:7	83:14	102:17 103:3,15	256:8
249:1	169:24 176:17	ten 55:25,25 153:20	104:12 107:11	text 67:21 69:22,24
surgically 29:9	178:4 202:7,23	term 15:3,24 17:20	104.12 107.11	124:4 165:9
30:11 31:15	203:13	20:10,11,22 25:15	109:15 116:19,20	Thank 61:21 181:22
surrounding 239:22	talking 6:8 23:10	28:23 29:1 30:20	118:4,9 119:20	200:7
survey 137:8	37:23 70:9 72:11	30:21 31:7,15	120:4,13 123:5	thanks 209:4
surveys 137:5	80:17 84:8 96:7	44:21 45:9 46:11	142:4 144:7,9,11	theories 187:15
suspend 237:3	105:21 107:24	47:7 48:16,19	144:15,24 145:10	theory 187:14
sworn 5:4 255:7	134:13 139:14	51:18 52:13,14	146:13,17,25	There's 105:11
system 128:6 242:5	163:10 180:15,18	55:10 57:13 58:22	153:22 162:22	thereof 153:11
242:6	180:19 189:16	66:10,16 67:20,25	170:14,22 171:15	154:10 218:6
	212:8 224:22	68:1,11 71:3,3	171:19,23 172:19	219:8 255:15
T	228:24 229:1,13	74:25 76:16 82:15	176:11 179:14	256:7
T 1:9 2:1 160:24	245:18,19	87:23 89:8,21 90:3	180:8,16,19,24	they'd 69:18 70:8
162:21	talks 33:4 57:12	90:4 94:12,18,19	184:24 186:12	113:21 122:3
table 125:20 126:13	61:9 210:17	94:20 98:13	187:3 189:18,19	135:21,24 137:1
133:20 134:8	214:15	103:24 104:15	189:20 192:18	179:16,16 222:21
tailor 120:7 174:5	target 15:18 16:16	110:21,22 111:1,3	195:7 196:11	thing 22:17 28:13
-	l	I	I	I

[286]

				[200]
30:15,23 34:20	104:13 105:10	115:1 117:1	title 23:25 49:21	18:9,12,13,20,22
49:3 64:18 66:12	106:25 107:22	188:17,18 211:15	today 6:15,24 7:2,4	19:7 20:13 22:16
69:18 70:6 71:22	111:19,19,20	221:15 227:2	7:11,24 9:24 11:20	22:22,25 23:2 35:4
72:9 74:12,22	112:23 116:17	232:8,9 246:7	11:25 13:2 36:7	35:19 38:13 42:13
75:13 86:16 88:1,5	117:23 120:14	249:18	37:5 64:8 115:12	42:20 51:22 52:11
92:9,24 98:9 104:1	122:6 125:23	thoughts 94:3	135:2 164:2,13,18	53:7,17 54:2,21
104:9 107:8 109:1	127:8,16 128:7,17	thousand 30:16	173:12 191:7,23	55:19,20 57:13,16
112:4 113:4	128:21,23 129:8	thousands 42:10	193:22 204:21	58:4,7,23,25 59:1
114:14 120:2	130:21 131:10,16	threatening 114:14	212:3 227:16,17	59:4,15 60:5 61:23
135:21,24 142:21	131:19,20 135:16	114:15	242:7	62:6 64:16 65:5
150:22 151:4	138:5 139:1,10,21	three 57:4,6 60:18	today's 6:1 8:24	66:22 68:2,3,4,5
170:20 187:21	141:11 142:20,21	72:23 80:16 96:16	told 147:3,4 171:13	68:22 69:7 74:16
217:20 242:11	143:1,5 146:20	134:12 190:21	172:14 175:21	74:24 75:2,5,8,11
things 11:4 30:4	147:2 148:16,17	three-page 167:8	177:6 208:7 243:7	75:17 76:24 77:15
31:10 37:6 59:16	150:7 155:24	209:6	Tolles 4:10 5:17,19	77:24 78:2,7 82:23
64:9 75:19 87:6,8	150.7 155.24	throw 74:21 76:6	7:5	82:25 83:1,2,7,7,9
90:11 97:22 99:14	161:25 167:15	184:24	tons 78:5,5	83:10,13 88:25
108:3 110:4	168:13 169:24		tool 30:10 33:9	89:7,25 90:2,12
121:24 122:1,2		throwing 175:2 tilt 151:17	35:16 191:10	93:17 94:22 95:4,8
121.24 122.1,2	171:1,2,10 178:9 186:10 187:15	time 6:16 14:25 22:6	tools 47:3 57:4,6	95:11,15 96:18
149:17 155:23	188:8,9 190:16,16	22:15,24 27:3	123:18 129:9,11	97:16,17 102:8
158:7 225:1,9	191:8 197:8	35:13 42:4 65:13	129:14,22,22,23	104:19 105:4
240:25	201:18 202:23	65:13 71:16,17	149:17 154:22	106:5 110:9,14,15
think 8:17 10:13	201.18 202.25 203:10 204:25	77:10 78:15 82:17	224:16	113:21 114:10
11:10 16:11,13	203.10 204.23	82:18 83:1,4 84:15		115:20 116:6,16
· ·		85:25 86:3 87:21	top 14:12 15:5,9,19 21:4 36:24 47:10	*
17:16 19:4,11,15 19:19 20:17 21:4,6	211:18 212:6,11 212:17 214:13,18			116:20 117:1,6,13
22:24 27:6,16 28:7	216:22 217:3,10	97:9,14 110:5 112:5 113:5	49:16 55:25,25 72:23 108:20	118:4,9,20 119:3 121:4,13,19
28:10 33:4 34:20	· ·	112.3 113.3		121.4,13,19
35:10,22 36:1	218:20,21 223:13 224:1 231:1	*	118:16,22 121:11	123:18 124:23,25
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	235:23 237:14	125:2,2 126:21,23 127:16,16,17	125:11 130:3,3 141:23 143:17	125:23 126:15
38:21,22 39:4,6			147:13 160:23	
40:7,7 41:2,8,10	239:16 240:2,10 240:18 241:21	128:5 130:3,5,18		128:16 129:7,12
44:17 45:5,6,17 49:24 52:1,12,13	244:14 246:10	146:8,8 155:2 156:12 157:12	162:9 181:10 183:5 193:4,7	129:17 130:10 131:25 132:4,8,16
			· ·	133:24,25 134:16
53:13 54:11,24	248:2,19 250:23 251:14 252:17	164:3,4 166:2	227:22 231:3 235:8 246:7 247:7	134:19 136:13,15
55:6 56:11 58:2 61:8 63:25 66:3	thinking 8:15 19:20	177:1,17 182:23		136:25 137:4
	20:9 63:20 96:11	184:20,21 186:9,9 186:20,20 194:13	topic 11:20,22,25	
67:17 71:11,12,24		196:24 198:20	12:2,8 32:3 37:15	138:17 140:13,16
73:8,8 79:18 80:1	96:12 130:17		192:4	140:22 141:1,5,25
80:19 84:6,14	214:14 216:4	203:10 209:12	topics 11:15 13:3	142:18 143:14,24
85:23 86:2,10,10	230:25	212:17,23 219:4	totally 109:6	145:1,5,12,15,18
86:14 88:4 89:17	third 12:2 14:1,4	221:22,23 225:6	trade 1:1 3:2 4:2,4	145:21 146:21,23
91:7,10 92:7,9,11	139:16 153:8,17	227:19 231:23,25	5:12 11:13 157:4	148:8 149:3
93:4 94:17 96:9	153:21	250:10	203:7,21 206:7	150:14,21 151:6
98:7,12 100:14	third-party 105:12	times 9:7 76:13	trademark 11:2	151:12,16,17
101:14 102:13	thought 42:2 76:15	98:24 99:21	16:12,16,24,25	152:19 153:4
103:19,20,25	110:4 114:19	186:21 243:4	17:4,19,21 18:3,7	156:15,18,19,21
	•	•		

[287]

	1	l	Ī	i
156:25 157:1,8,10	191:1,13 192:15	triggers 87:22	215:12	uncommon 114:12
157:17 159:8,25	193:10 198:1,7	135:19	two-page 198:23	underneath 14:13
171:23 172:8	201:11,21 204:8	true 71:14 98:6	two-thirds 165:6	15:20 36:25 37:5
175:18 180:2	205:11,12 208:16	255:9 256:22	two-year 112:3	161:3 239:23
184:18 185:3,6,7	214:19 217:15	truly 256:7	113:6,16,22	understand 6:5,13
186:15,16,19,25	250:2 251:20	truth 255:7,7,7	type 17:5 18:9,9,10	6:20 11:22 12:2
187:8,9,11,13,18	trades 151:11	truthfully 6:23 7:2	18:11 19:13 25:19	16:12 32:6,15
187:22 189:19,22	traffic 179:25 180:2	try 6:9 59:21 111:6	26:16 29:22,24	47:13 61:12 77:1
191:2 192:17	train 138:7	217:13 221:13	30:5 42:5 47:4	79:23 106:12
193:14,15,16	training 100:19,23	246:1	48:24 49:5 57:5,14	107:17 131:12,13
194:23 196:21	138:20 242:8	trying 16:13 26:10	66:11 69:23 117:7	136:6 144:11
198:16 200:11	Tram 81:21,24	32:6,15 42:20,21	119:22,23 128:11	145:20 174:1
201:8,17,24 202:3	121:3 122:2	68:5 79:23 172:7	135:24 150:24	200:8 220:25
202:20,21 204:9	126:10,11 133:5	172:20 174:13	156:18 160:15	221:8 249:17
204:13 205:24	133:13 139:7,17	176:8 178:9	169:12 171:7	understanding
206:1,3,15,18,25	140:9	186:17 187:9	175:18 184:17	11:11 13:6 14:24
207:9 208:3,6,8	transcribed 255:9	190:16 212:18	185:13 187:14,17	14:25 15:7 16:5
209:2 211:4,21,23	transcript 256:6,6,7	243:17,20,25	187:20 201:11,25	27:20 28:22,25
213:17,18 214:19	transcription	246:17 249:7	202:18 214:22	31:1,3,12,21 32:8
215:18,20 216:4,6	255:10	turn 13:25 21:19	220:6,16 224:9	32:18 35:1 40:19
216:25 217:5,14	treat 76:1	25:4 33:21 34:1	225:2,11,11	40:23 42:1 46:20
217:17 218:3	trial 86:1,8 239:23	44:16 50:25 70:25	239:10 242:11,11	46:21 48:14 56:9
220:3 223:3	240:4,16 241:4	77:6 78:17 80:11	typed 20:7 32:15	57:6 62:14 63:12
224:22 225:3	tricky 224:5	81:17 82:4 83:11	42:6 148:12	63:18 66:13 68:23
249:21,22,24	tried 35:3 71:16	83:23 93:15	types 10:24 18:13	69:12 91:3,4 94:14
trademarked 56:15	187:24 215:17	101:24 104:14	19:6 20:2 27:14	105:19 110:2
58:16,19,22 59:8	222:22	110:17 118:10	30:21 32:12 41:12	111:18 112:17
61:18 94:11 215:4	trigger 15:18 16:17	125:8 134:9	173:21	120:3 136:5
trademarks 15:17	16:25 17:22 18:4	141:23 143:10,16	typing 15:11 16:24	137:20,21 138:4
16:9 17:10 22:12	18:17 30:2 47:14	147:12 150:12	89:16	138:18,22 141:8
22:21 23:15,20,20	49:1,4 53:8,11,17	166:13 179:19,19		146:24 163:2,3
28:12 33:7 34:12	54:15 57:17 58:5	179:21 180:4,5	U	165:3,20 166:6
34:20 35:6 40:16	59:2 88:6 103:25	184:22 194:12	uh-huh 11:18 13:1	168:24 175:19
44:24 51:10 53:11	108:24 119:24	233:6 234:11,20	14:3 15:23 37:8	183:9 184:13
54:20 55:15 57:19	142:4 146:21	235:7 236:14	38:11 39:3 44:19	191:5,13 197:1
71:20,21 74:20	159:25 160:3	246:19 249:4	51:12 67:5 71:8	198:18,19 200:11
75:6,10 78:5,6,9	180:8 202:21	turning 241:15	80:14 85:4 89:1	220:14 226:10,19
89:11,12,15,18	204:8	two 13:10 14:20	95:18 96:19	228:18 229:17
90:10 95:13,24	triggered 35:9 47:11	34:8 37:6 40:8	102:11,21 110:25	230:17 239:10
96:4,6 116:25	60:8 122:10,19	49:1 72:23 87:6,8	125:15 126:3,9	243:6 252:6
119:11,14 134:6	177:21 191:12,25	94:8 96:16 99:11	127:11 147:16	understands 170:5
149:14 154:9	199:20	103:18,25 104:8,8	148:2 213:25	understood 33:10
167:3 174:17	triggering 22:21,23	109:16 110:22	229:24	35:17 120:18
177:22 184:5,12	86:24 87:14 92:1	111:15 112:11,14	Ultimately 190:14	177:8 196:15
185:2,2 186:4,13	107:12 110:10	113:23 134:12	umbrella 77:16	202:17
187:4,4 188:3	113:15 123:19	141:12 147:17	unacceptable 231:7	undertake 133:4
189:12 190:1	152:21 215:1	184:2 194:9	unaided 137:7	undertaking 78:16
	I	I	I	1
		,		

[288]

	 	 	1	<u> </u>
undertook 13:22	179:12 186:12,15	101:12 155:16	190:12 191:6	250:12 251:8,9,13
226:12,21	186:17 191:10	158:14 202:11	192:10 194:3	wanted 35:4 40:24
unfair 186:19	192:17 195:19	204:5 210:1	195:18,18 196:7,8	41:1 50:15 70:8
unfortunately 125:6	197:12 198:13,13	211:12 226:23	196:14,23 197:10	74:15,21 77:22,23
125:8	199:12,22 202:20	vaguely 171:6	198:17 199:8,13	77:25 78:1 87:5
unitary 181:12	202:25 206:8	216:21	199:21,23 200:1,2	92:10 93:5,11
United 250:4 256:21	207:14 211:22	validly 208:4	201:10,14 207:21	94:23 107:23
universe 31:14	212:18 213:17,18	valuable 136:17,18	227:6 228:6,13,20	108:4 117:8,14
55:21	214:9,23,24	valuing 249:24	229:5 230:5,18	127:20 170:25
unlimited 63:4 64:8	215:25 216:3	variation 186:14	231:5,20,22 232:7	174:3,4,4 180:17
Unraveling 18:6	221:4 224:16	variations 152:18	232:21 233:2	181:2,8,15 184:23
unrelated 186:19	225:1,18 233:8,17	153:11 176:14	234:24 235:5	189:17 206:13,16
231:13	243:7 245:4,24	185:8 189:13,22	252:10,16 253:12	206:21 209:21
URL 16:16 17:10	use.' 211:4	191:2 206:2 218:6	vision' 194:23	211:16,24 213:15
89:7 124:4 162:13	user 17:3 18:18,21	218:23 219:8	Viva 77:13	214:11,16,24
URLs 15:17 16:9	23:2 25:11,21,22	varied 123:13		228:14 239:10
34:12 44:24 51:10	26:11,15 27:2	variety 178:20	W	251:17,20
53:7 54:2 57:16	29:25 30:21 31:22	various 185:25	wait 6:8,10 217:16	wants 112:3 211:2,3
58:4,23 61:23 62:6	32:9,12,15,19 33:6	verbal 6:2	252:8	Washington 4:5
66:22 69:7 71:20	34:19 35:7 36:19	verified 208:24	waiting 217:21	wasn't 14:24 23:6
71:21 102:8	37:3 46:10,13	verify 78:6 157:15	waiving 115:23	44:7 65:24 74:24
104:20 105:4	47:10 48:2,5 52:17	versa 99:22	Walgreen 89:10	75:1 76:9 86:1
106:5 184:5,12	52:19,22 53:9	version 43:1 205:3	95:21 97:25	94:22,24 114:13
186:4 187:5 198:2	54:13 55:23 59:18	versus 26:3	109:21	123:12 137:19
198:7 205:12	106:20 115:18	viable 152:6	Walgreens 20:6,7	138:19 145:8
215:12	116:4,5,14 146:11	vice 99:22	85:18 89:10 92:8	147:4,7 201:24
use 16:9,11,15,25	146:15 148:12	Vicky 255:4,21	92:10,12,25 93:21	213:13 220:22
17:6 27:16 35:15	149:4 198:5,11	256:2	94:9,10 95:5 96:16	225:10 227:19
47:5,16 48:25 49:3	218:7 219:10,19	view 15:21 47:23	98:17 102:20	230:23 236:7
54:6,15,17,20 55:4	221:6 240:15	74:7 75:18 107:15	103:16 109:15	252:23
55:12,19 59:3,5	241:2,3	130:11 180:23	Walgreens' 88:25	watches 151:9
60:23,25 61:18	user's 19:4,20	195:13,15 232:7	want 19:12,12,23	way 12:16 15:20
62:1,20,21 63:1,15	users 19:18 117:25	232:11	26:2 29:10 30:5,12	28:8,13 29:6 35:12
63:17 64:11 68:7	220:11	violate 252:18	31:16 34:17 42:15	44:5 46:4,5 48:4
68:16 69:6,15	uses 37:19 53:16	violating 231:8	42:18 67:19 68:6	53:16 54:25 55:12
71:17 81:14 83:1	68:3,7 69:3 167:3	violation 231:8	69:22 74:25 78:8	56:16 59:3,4,6
86:25 87:23 88:16	196:8	232:6 235:20	89:5 90:11 94:8	66:13 68:11 97:12
93:11,13 104:19	using' 211:2	236:4	97:13 99:20	99:25 100:1
104:23 107:1	usually 55:25	vision 18:10 20:5,7	101:18 106:15,18	104:11 105:16
119:6,10,21,25	223:25	24:2 25:25 26:3	108:17 109:8	108:21 122:9,15
123:18 124:1,13	Utah 3:12 8:6,21	27:15 28:2,10	111:6,14,16 112:9	133:2 157:8 158:5
128:14,23,24,25	255:2,5,13	32:21 45:1 73:17	112:9 119:23	158:5 165:6 178:9
129:4,11,15,23	V	74:5,10,11,13	134:1 135:8,10	198:12 241:10
142:3 149:17		75:14 77:12,18	169:22 180:17,20	244:2
153:10 158:3,5,13	vague 8:12 18:25	78:19 80:18 81:10	181:19 187:16	ways 16:15 30:14
170:9 171:8 174:2	34:23 45:18 56:21	82:7 84:4 164:14	202:1 211:5,15	31:20 56:10 104:1
174:3 176:21	62:23,23 98:3	164:24 166:2,7,7	221:13 245:8	144:23 148:20
	I	I	1	I

[289]

				[200]
158:3 173:11	67:16 71:6 89:7	withholding 141:9	126:12 134:11	world's 108:15
178:20	102:5,6 103:7,14	224:3,8 243:15	145:13 174:22	174:19
we'll 12:15 64:6	106:11 107:6	witness 4:8 5:18,20	word 15:4,6,11,11	worried 176:8
99:19 110:8	131:16 142:6	9:12,14 12:7,22	16:6,7 20:17,25	worry 135:9
113:10 115:4	148:23 151:1,3,24	16:6 19:3,11 20:16	31:8 33:2 37:9	wouldn't 35:9,18
216:24,25 217:16	152:1,9 153:25	27:10 32:1 33:2	52:8 64:17 87:5	60:4 63:2 70:5
223:11 233:11	171:24 192:13	35:1 37:16,18	100:23 147:21	89:4 95:20 114:22
250:7	197:24 205:7,13	40:11 41:24 43:3	174:9 185:4,15,20	155:5 159:13
we're 6:1 28:11,14	206:1,17 218:8	45:5 46:21 47:1	211:20 214:24	222:17
42:17 49:9 64:5	219:11,20 220:8	48:11 50:12 52:1	236:1	write 199:11 219:25
65:18 68:5,9 70:9	220:12 221:7	53:3 56:23 58:1	worded 55:1 93:3	writes 139:16
70:11 76:6 84:19	225:23 240:19	60:18 61:8 64:22	195:21,23 211:13	194:17 247:8
90:8,8 95:4 108:24	websites 14:13,18	69:2 79:16 81:14	words 31:5 53:21	writing 230:4
108:25 109:2	15:21 21:21,24	82:19 91:3 93:3	55:3,4,7,16 56:15	written 19:17 21:6
111:9,23 113:17	22:11 25:9 33:5	96:11 98:5 101:14	56:24 58:7,10,11	32:22 41:17,25
120:7 137:22	41:13 86:23 136:1	106:25 109:20	59:18 65:8 89:2,16	56:15 67:21 112:5
152:8 156:11	184:22 185:4	112:23 122:6	107:23 121:10	153:19 186:22
169:20 172:7,18	198:3 201:13	123:2,12 132:25	133:21 145:9,14	236:19
172:19 178:6	205:18,19,22	135:16 138:4,15	161:18 176:3,4,19	wrong 111:22 219:3
180:14 186:12	217:16	139:13,25 141:3	186:2,3 206:7	wrote 122:20 221:1
188:11 201:12	websites' 75:16	145:8 150:8	214:9,17 215:17	232:1 241:6 249:9
209:2,10 216:23	181:11	154:21 155:18	240:16 241:4	249:10
220:11 221:16	Wednesday 3:9	156:15 157:25	249:24	www.1-800contac
249:23,24 250:1	weed 209:1	158:17 159:1	wordy 87:4	122:23
we've 36:7 37:3 74:1	weekly 127:4	161:15 162:5	work 19:15,18 50:3	WWW.ContactL
80:17 109:10	weeks 168:8	164:19 165:3	70:22 73:18 80:9	162:14
116:17 132:15	welcome 32:1 36:14	166:1,25 167:15	81:22 83:21 85:19	www.webeyecare
146:15 149:9	well-founded 159:2	167:18 168:22,24	91:17 101:21	142:6 148:4
180:23 204:18	went 75:3 134:20	176:7 177:4 186:8	108:3,14 110:2	
207:8 217:4	149:19 205:8,23	192:7 193:5 196:6	121:25 128:19	X
221:16	206:22 208:2	199:17 202:13	129:10 169:11,23	X 1:2,9 2:1
weakest 214:22	211:1 214:18	204:6 205:2	170:1,3,23 173:21	
weather 121:10	215:17 217:13	210:15 212:6,11	176:20 233:7	<u>Y</u>
Web 49:15 51:14	weren't 20:20 43:10	216:18 218:17	234:8 239:7,11,19	Yahoo 62:24 147:10
71:10,23 72:5,9,19	43:11 99:14,14	220:2 223:24	240:25	202:10 231:16
73:6 74:2,4 79:12	188:16 201:23	226:8,16,25	worked 77:1 82:3	yeah 10:11 11:10
80:17 81:10 82:7	208:16 242:6	228:12,23 230:22	85:22 128:13	13:5,17 16:8 17:14
84:3 106:1 141:21	whichever 174:3	232:4,20 237:24	138:8 166:6 177:9	21:22 24:5,12,25
142:11 143:23	willing 99:5 113:17	240:10 242:19,22	202:17	29:13 32:11 33:24
148:7 149:13	187:19,21 199:14	244:10 245:1	working 171:9	34:4,20 35:1 36:11
Webeyecare.com	201:13 222:12	250:7 252:6,22,25	196:24	40:11 41:8 43:3,5
143:19 144:4	223:2	255:6,11,12,16	works 46:2 47:24	45:5 52:20 60:13
website 7:23 15:3	Wilson 227:14	256:4,6	56:7,11 128:6	67:5,12 71:11
17:8 22:5,7 34:10	229:22	witness's 46:17	131:12 173:25	72:20 73:4,8,22
35:21 44:21 45:12	win 156:17	57:22 228:10,22	174:2 178:10	77:12,21 79:19
45:23 51:6,8,16,17	wish 94:6	wondering 14:21	243:6	80:19 82:10 83:25
53:23,25 67:2,14	withheld 135:5	56:13 76:12	world 117:24	84:5,12 85:6 89:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l

[290]

89:24 93:22 96:11	199:10 200:3	52:23 53:4 63:21	241:19 242:11	160 2:6
96:24 100:4,21	242:24,25 243:9	64:2,2 65:17 68:9	245:12 246:23	164 1:5,11
102:2 103:5	246:21	68:10,11 69:14	247:23 248:6,7,11	167 1:3,11
106:25 107:10	240.21	70:20,23 73:17,19	252:10 253:23	17 96:17 144:17
112:23 114:8	0	76:21 80:8 81:21	256:1	237:8 253:8
121:17 125:2,19	002 160:20	83:9,10,20 85:18	1-800' 200:6	173 1:12
125:21 126:21,22	004 233:13	89:14 90:13 91:16	1-800 200.0 1-800's 28:2 126:15	173 1.12 177 1:12
131:5 132:5,25	010 234:13	93:17 94:15 95:2,3	128:16 129:6	1800 122:21 144:3
131.3 132.3,23	010 23 4:13 012 234:13	95:8,11,15 96:6,8	132:4 133:25	153:10 160:25
135:7,11 139:13	013 234:13	96:17 97:12,13,17	132.4 133.23	195:2 206:13,19
140:4 142:8 143:5	013 234:13 014 234:13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	219:6
	024 133:16	97:18 99:23	149:14 153:4	
143:21 144:6,20	024 133.10	100:19 101:20,22	157:17 179:17	1800-optometrists
148:5,10 149:2	1	108:23 111:7,21	248:17	206:18
153:16 155:18	1 11:14,16 12:23	112:13,13,17	1-800-contacts	1800contacs 160:24
157:10 160:18	13:4 37:3 43:2,5,6	113:1,16 114:2,6	122:22	162:21
161:7,21 162:16	43:10,16 46:10,13	115:6,14,19,23	1-800CONTACTS'	1800contacts 20:3
162:25 163:12	48:2,6 52:18,19	116:6,7,15 117:6	219:7	27:14 126:2
165:8 166:18	89:16,17 96:20	117:10,17 121:3	1-day 162:8	143:18 144:18
167:15 175:15	119:15 120:4,6,9	122:11,22 123:14	1:16 120:21	147:14 148:13
177:4,15 182:3,10	122:21 137:14	125:1,24 126:20	1:38 135:14	161:4,19
182:13 186:8	152:13,14,15	127:7,14 130:4,12	1:39 135:14	1800contacts.com
189:16 191:8	168:11 175:12	130:12,19,22	10 252:1	122:23 195:3
192:7 194:3 197:7	176:16 178:23	136:14,18 137:12	10,000 223:7,10	181 1:13
199:17 205:6	183:7 192:12	137:24 139:17,19	10:02 49:8	183 2:6
207:20 208:20	193:8 199:11	140:3,8,16 142:13	10:55 85:12	1877 206:13
209:8 210:2,5	217:18 231:8	143:24 146:12,13	10:57 222:7	188 1:13
216:13,21 217:10		146:19 148:17,18	101 2:4	18th 168:4
218:17 223:24	234:12,14 246:12	148:19,22,23	10th 141:22	190 1:14
225:20 230:12	248:8,9,10,15	149:5,7 152:18	11 2:9 252:1	191 1:14
235:11 236:22	250:17 251:2,6	159:7,18 160:16	11:07 85:12	193 1:15
237:7,10 240:2	252:18	164:15,24 167:11	11:56 120:21	198 1:15
244:21 250:5,7,8	1-800 3:5 4:8 5:17	169:16 170:4	115 2:10	
251:7	7:8,10,14 8:23	171:4,9 172:16	12 2:11 126:25	2
years 10:11 19:17	10:10,15,18,25	174:18 176:15	181:9 252:1	2 11:23 12:3 14:16
34:22 36:2 78:25	11:8,12 13:20,21	178:7 180:1 183:6	121 2:5	15:18 21:20 43:4
110:23 111:16	18:10 21:9,21 24:2	186:2 190:13	124 2:8	51:10 52:16 53:7
112:11,15 113:23	25:19,24 26:3,5,6	193:23 194:5	13 1:20,20 109:24	54:3 57:17 58:4,23
190:21 191:15	26:25 27:1 28:12	197:3 199:7	234:20	59:1 61:23 62:7
yesterday 9:6,7,11	29:14 30:8 33:17	200:11 201:18	138 2:7,8	63:9 65:7,8,20
Yup 173:9	33:18 36:9,25 37:5	204:2 206:4	13th 114:5	66:4,22 67:10
	37:25 38:5,10 39:6	215:23,24 218:5	141 2:5,12 229:21	72:11 73:23 75:22
Z	39:10,14,15,16,22	220:7,12 225:15	141-0200 3:4 256:1	77:25 78:21 82:4
Zeidner 49:24,25	40:5,24 41:19 42:4	226:11,12,20,21	1468 150:7,8,9	83:23 85:2 86:21
50:19,20 91:5	43:25 44:2,9 45:22	227:6 228:6,14,20	149 2:7,11	87:6,13 88:8,16,23
100:16 101:5	46:8,11 47:17,22	229:8 231:20	14th 255:16	90:9 91:20 92:1,17
164:11 165:10	48:2 49:15,21 50:2	233:2 237:16,20	15 235:8	93:15,20 94:8 98:7
194:13,18 199:7	50:7,12,21 52:18	238:5 239:9	16 11:13 236:14	98:15,21 99:3,4
				1

	1	1	1
101:25 102:9,18	2013 91:16	3rd 49:17	7
104:13,20 105:5	2016 3:9		7 2:9 163:11
106:6 107:11	2017 250:4 255:9,17	4	70 1:22
108:21 110:18	256:2	4 12:23 24:6,9,15,17	73 1:23
118:13,23 119:10	202 4:6	24:21,21,22 40:17	75 1.23 7th 4:5 168:7
121:11 122:20	204 1:16	40:18 41:13 50:25	/tf 4.3 108.7
126:4 133:17	207 1:17	80:12 84:6,9 85:3	8
137:14 162:22	209 1:17	115:9 166:17	8 3:9 49:20 77:6
171:21 172:19	213 4:12	175:10 209:24	233:3 255:9 256:2
174:17 176:10,15	216 1:18	233:6,13	8,000 223:2,13,14
181:3,8 189:7	217 1:18	4(A) 59:25 60:2 81:8	8:46 3:16
192:12 193:2,3	2200 255:12	84:1,6 210:3,6,15	80 1:23 152:13
205:18 209:17,21	220 0 233.12 221 1:6	4(A)a 210:17 211:12	
215:10 235:2,2,9	221 1.0 222 3:12 255:12	213:4	800 89:17,18 95:7
238:1 246:13	222 3.12 233.12 227 1:19	4(B) 59:25 60:18	96:20 122:21
247:20 253:3	22 nd 192:11	4(B)(ii) 213:23	152:14,16 170:22
		4(C) 72:21,22	176:16 183:7
2:15 160:6	232 1:21	4/16/2010 125:16	248:8,9,10,15
2:26 160:6	23rd 182:16	4:10 221:20	800contacts 96:21
20 62:21	24th 24:1 229:10	4:17 221:20	81 1:24
200 1:16	250 1:7,19	4:55 249:2	83 2:3
20024 4:5	254 247:23	4:57 249:2	84101 255:13
2004 13:11,20 21:12	258 227:23		85 1:24
24:1 28:1 29:16	25th 222:7	4:59 250:13	8K 222:12
109:24 190:12	29th 21:12	40 137:7,11	8th 232:20 252:9
193:19 196:8,23	3	400 4:5	
229:10,15 233:14		42 1:22	9
233:22 234:3	3 38:25 39:5 40:17	475,000 253:20	9 77:6
2007 230:7 231:3	40:18,21 41:1,13	49 2:3	9:55 49:8
2008 232:7 233:5	42:10 44:16 72:23	4th 238:17,23	90071 4:11
234:7	82:4 87:9,11 91:20	5	91 2:4
2009 44:8 126:25	91:24 104:15		
139:18 168:4	118:16,22 143:19	5 1:4 12:3,8,14 24:7	
232:21 233:3	144:3 171:22	24:9 33:21 38:7	
252:9	172:19 214:12	41:15,18 52:22	
2010 49:17 70:21	3.33(a) 11:14	102:1 166:13	
73:17 80:8 81:21	3:12 190:4	197:17,19 230:7	
83:20 84:15 85:18	3:19 190:4	5(A) 34:1,5	
102:23 114:5	317 118:11	5,000 31:17	
126:24,25 141:22	319 78:20	5:06 250:13	
150:11 163:14	320 182:10 212:15	5:10 254:4	
168:8 179:5	326-2435 4:6	5th 231:2	
182:16 183:11	33 1:21		
212:18,23 232:18	355 4:11	6	
238:17,23 250:17	35th 4:11	6 143:10	
251:3,6	36 2:10	683-9255 4:12	
2011 101:20 200:22	3a 91:24	6th 139:8	
2012 109:24	3b 92:15		

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of

NON-PUBLIC

1-800 CONTACTS, INC., a corporation

Docket No. 9372

AMENDED RESPONSES OF RESPONDENT 1-800 CONTACTS, INC. TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to Rule 3.35 of the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice,
Respondent 1-800 Contacts, Inc. ("1-800 Contacts") hereby provides amended responses to
Complaint Counsel's First Set of Interrogatories ("First Set of Interrogatories"). The full text of
each Interrogatory is set out below, followed by 1-800 Contacts' respective specific objections
and responses. 1-800 Contacts has endeavored to offer a good faith response to the First Set of
Interrogatories, but reserves the right to supplement its responses after the close of discovery,
especially insofar as Complaint Counsel and various third parties may produce additional
documents and information before the close of discovery, the review of which may alter the
responses herein. Further, 1-800 Contacts' provision of a response to any Interrogatory shall not
constitute a waiver of any applicable objection, privilege, or other right.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following General Objections, except as otherwise indicated, apply to each Interrogatory in the First Set of Interrogatories, are incorporated by reference into each response, and are in addition to specific objections as applicable. The assertion of the same, similar, or

additional objections, or partial answers in response to an individual Interrogatory does not waive any of 1-800 Contacts' General Objections as to the other Interrogatories.

- 1. 1-800 Contacts objects to Complaint Counsel's definition of the terms "1-800 Contacts," "1-800," "Company," "Respondent" or "You" as overly broad, lacking in the reasonable specificity required by law, vague, and ambiguous, and seeking to impose obligations on 1-800 Contacts in excess of the obligations imposed on 1-800 Contacts by the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.35. For purposes of responding to these Interrogatories, 1-800 Contacts interprets these terms to mean Respondent 1-800 Contacts, Inc., its directors, officers, and employees, and its subsidiaries, and their directors, officers, and employees.
- 2. 1-800 Contacts objects to Complaint Counsel's definition of the terms "Settlement Agreement" and "Settlement Agreements" on the ground that the definition is overbroad, vague, and ambiguous to the extent it includes the phrases "informal," "allegation," and/or "or other matter." 1-800 Contacts interprets these terms as if such phrases were not part of the definition. Thus, 1-800 Contacts interprets the terms "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement Agreements" to mean any agreement, including oral and written agreements, entered into by or between 1-800 Contacts and a Competitor to resolve any dispute or litigation concerning use of 1-800's trademarks as Keywords.
- 3. 1-800 Contacts objects to Complaint Counsel's definition of the term "Settlement Partner" on the same ground set forth in the preceding paragraph. 1-800 Contacts further objects on the ground that "Lensworld.com, Inc." is not an entity identified in the Complaint in this action as an entity with which 1-800 Contacts is alleged to have entered into a Settlement Agreement and to that extent the definition of "Settlement Partner" is overbroad, vague, and

ambiguous. 1-800 Contacts will exclude "Lensworld.com, Inc." from the definition of "Settlement Partner" in responding to the First Set of Interrogatories. 1-800 Contacts further objects to the term "Settlement Partner" on the ground that it is argumentative and misleading. The entities identified by Complaint Counsel in paragraph 20 of its "Definitions" were litigation adversaries and, with the exception of Lensworld.com, Inc., were parties to trademark settlement agreements with 1-800 Contacts. Thus, they are more accurately described as "settlement parties," rather than "settlement partners." In responding to the First Set of Interrogatories, 1-800 Contacts will, for these reasons and so as not to perpetuate the use of an inaccurate, misleading, and argumentative term, use the terms "Settlement Party" and "Settlement Parties" to refer to the entities identified in Paragraph 20 of the Definitions.

- 4. 1-800 Contacts objects to the Instructions to the extent they seek to impose obligations on 1-800 Contacts that go beyond the obligations set forth in the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. §§ 3.31 and 3.35.
- 5. 1-800 Contacts objects to Paragraphs 3, 4, 6, and 7 of the Instructions on the ground that they seek to impose obligations on 1-800 Contacts in excess of the obligations imposed on 1-800 Contacts by the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.35.
- 6. 1-800 Contacts objects to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 13 of the Instructions on the ground that interpreting certain of the Requests as "instructed" renders the Requests vague, ambiguous, and largely unintelligible.
- 7. 1-800 Contacts objects to the First Set of Interrogatories as premature to the extent the Interrogatories seek information that relates to expert testimony prior to the dates prescribed by the September 7, 2016 Scheduling Order.

- 8. 1-800 Contacts objects to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that the Interrogatories, including all distinct subparts, exceed the limit of 25 interrogatories allowed in Paragraph 11 of the September 7, 2016 Scheduling Order.
- 9. To the extent 1-800 Contacts responds to specific Interrogatories to which it has objected, 1-800 Contacts reserves the right to maintain such objections with respect to any additional information and such objections are not waived by the furnishing of such information.
- 10. 1-800 Contacts does not, by any response to any Interrogatory, admit to the validity of any legal or factual contention asserted in the text of any Interrogatory except as expressly set forth.
- 11. 1-800 Contacts objects to the First Set of Interrogatories to the extent that they call for the disclosure of material protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product protection or work product doctrine.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

Subject to the foregoing General Objections, which are incorporated by reference in each of the specific responses set forth below, 1-800 Contacts responds to Complaint Counsel's First Set of Interrogatories as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Identify each benefit that 1-800 Contacts received as a result of a Settlement Agreement, and identify each Settlement Agreement that resulted in 1-800 Contacts receiving such a benefit.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous and

lacking in the reasonable particularity required by law, including because it does not define the term "benefit." 1-800 Contacts also objects to this Interrogatory as premature because (a) it calls for analysis of information such as search analytic data that is exclusively within the custody or control of third parties, such as Search Engine providers and Settlement Parties, (b) it calls for and will otherwise require expert analysis, and (c) disclosure of any such expert analysis will be provided in accordance with the dates and other provisions prescribed by the September 7, 2016 Scheduling Order. Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that it has not conducted a study or analysis the purpose of which was to determine each "benefit" that it actually received from each Settlement Agreement. Thus, its response to this Interrogatory is necessarily general in scope, limited in depth, and not comprehensive.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, each Settlement Agreement benefited 1-800 Contacts in that: it protected 1-800 Contacts' trademarks from infringement and dilution; it protected 1-800 Contacts from a diminution or loss of its trademark rights as a result of attempts to render usage of its trademarks as "generic"; it prevented consumer confusion and deception; it reduced the costs and/or time for consumers to navigate to 1-800 Contacts' website; it prevented other Persons from free-riding on 1-800 Contacts' significant investments in promoting and advertising its trademarks and its goods and services, and in otherwise communicating to consumers that 1-800 Contacts' trademarks serve as indicators of quality products and customer service; it protected 1-800 Contacts from being damaged as a result of deception, false advertising or unfair competition by other Persons; and it prevented other Persons from being unjustly enriched at 1-800 Contacts' expense.

Each Settlement Agreement further benefited 1-800 Contacts in that: it resolved litigation; vindicated 1-800 Contacts' legal rights; established clear, enforceable, and manageable

terms that the parties to each Settlement Agreement would follow in a relatively new and constantly changing advertising environment and medium; avoided the time and costs of litigation (including the litigation costs that 1-800 Contacts otherwise would have incurred had it been required to proceed through trial and appeals in order to obtain the relief provided for by each Settlement Agreement); and avoided the time and costs of further complicating future marketing decisions.

Each Settlement Agreement further benefited 1-800 Contacts in that it enabled 1-800 Contacts to invest additional funds in expanding its business, generating additional goodwill, and providing better service and lower prices to consumers, which funds otherwise might have been spent on further litigation to vindicate its legal rights in its trademarks, or administering a complex settlement agreement that was not clear, enforceable, and manageable. Each Settlement Agreement further benefited 1-800 Contacts in that it reduced confusion. frustration, dissatisfaction, and other negative reactions that some consumers would otherwise have experienced by entering as a search term in a Search Engine a trademarked term of 1-800 Contacts and being distracted, confused, misled, or diverted by paid advertisements of other Persons. Each Settlement Agreement further benefited 1-800 Contacts in that it enabled consumers seeking to navigate to 1-800 Contacts' website by entering a search term that was a trademark of 1-800 Contacts or substantially similar to such a trademark to more easily and quickly find and navigate to that website, without being distracted, confused, delayed, diverted, or frustrated, leading to increased consumer satisfaction and welfare, and increasing the likelihood that consumers would be able to purchase the contact lenses that they sought to purchase from 1-800 Contacts and increasing the likelihood of sales, and particularly repeat sales, to such consumer as a result.

1-800 Contacts expects that each Settlement Agreement provided some or all of these "benefits" to some and varying extents, but it does not at this time have data or information sufficient to enable it to make a specific quantification of benefits that it realized from each Settlement Agreement.

If Complaint Counsel's methodology for counting subparts of interrogatories is ultimately adopted or endorsed by the Court, then this interrogatory consists of at least two distinct subparts.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Identify each Settlement Partner which, prior to the execution of the relevant Settlement Agreement, communicated to 1-800 Contacts that the Settlement Partner did not use as a Keyword any term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is overbroad. Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that the first of the Settlement Agreements was entered into in 2004, and thus a request for information regarding communications prior to that date seeks information that is more than a decade old. It is unreasonable to expect individuals to recall events from that long ago. Furthermore, many of the persons who might have then worked at 1-800 Contacts and had such communications, if any such communications occurred, are no longer employed there. Thus, to the extent Complaint Counsel is seeking information about oral communications that have not been memorialized in written form, the request is unduly burdensome and overbroad. To the extent that Complaint Counsel seek information about oral communications that were memorialized in Documents or

written communications that were in the form of Documents, for instance emails or letters, that were sent to 1-800 Contacts, those Documents, to the extent they are in the possession, custody and control of 1-800 Contacts and can be located through a reasonable search, have been or will be included in the Documents that 1-800 Contacts has produced and is producing in connection with this matter and the investigation that proceeded it. Subject to and without waiving its objections, and recognizing that discovery and investigation are ongoing, 1-800 Contacts responds that it is not aware of any oral communication by which a Settlement Party, prior to the execution of the relevant Settlement Agreement, communicated to 1-800 Contacts that the Settlement Party did not use as a Keyword any term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. In this regard, Respondent notes that, prior to the filing of the Complaint in this matter, counsel for the Federal Trade Commission conducted lengthy depositions or Investigational Hearings of nine persons who are current or former employees of 1-800 Contacts. Those transcripts are equally available to Complaint Counsel as they are to counsel for 1-800 Contacts and it is possible that in those transcripts some information responsive to this Interrogatory may have been provided. However, because the burden of deriving or ascertaining information responsive to this Interrogatory from such transcripts is the same, or perhaps even less for Complaint Counsel than for Respondent and its counsel, Respondent has not undertaken to review those transcripts for the purpose of ascertaining whether any information responsive to this Interrogatory is contained therein.

With regard to any such written communications, that is, written communications by which a Settlement Party, prior to the execution of the relevant Settlement Agreement, communicated to 1-800 Contacts that the Settlement Party did not use as a Keyword any term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark, 1-800 Contacts is aware of one such communication

made on May 21, 2010, in the form of an email from Cary Pumphrey at Walgreen Co. ("Walgreens") to Dave Zeidner at 1-800 Contacts, 1-800F_00046715 (CONFIDENTIAL). In addition, although the communications were not made directly to 1-800 Contacts, 1-800 Contacts believes that Memorial Eye, P.A., in connection with litigation between it and 1-800 Contacts, communicated generally that it did not use as a Keyword any term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. In each instance just described, the fact that such communication was made does not mean that the statement communicated was true.

In addition to Walgreens and Memorial Eye, P.A., and recognizing that discovery and investigation are ongoing, it is possible that there were other such Settlement Parties who had responsive communications, although no such Settlement Parties are currently known to Respondent. If any such communications were memorialized in writing, and if those writings were maintained by Respondent and located in the course of 1-800 Contacts' efforts to compile documents requested by the FTC in the course of its investigation and by Complaint Counsel in the course of discovery in this matter, the identity of each such Settlement Party should be ascertainable from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts that memorialize or constitute such communications. Such Documents, which Respondent expects would be in the form of an email or letter from such Settlement Party to an employee of 1-800 Contacts, are equally available to Complaint Counsel and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews (including as memorialized in notes and/or interview memoranda) with, and Investigational Hearings of, all or some of the Settlement Parties and current and former employees of Respondent. On this basis and for these

reasons, 1-800 Contacts additionally responds by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

[1-800 Contacts further responds, by way of example, that the data produced by Google to the FTC in this matter shows that sponsored links for Memorial Eye appeared over 2 million times during the course of just one year, 2012, in response to search queries on Google that contained or constituted 1-800 Contacts' trademarks. See GOOG-LENSE-00000861_HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – FOIA CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUESTED.xlsx.]

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Identify each Person, other than 1-800 Contacts or an Affiliate of 1-800 Contacts, that used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is overbroad and seeks information that is not in the possession of 1-800 Contacts. Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that discovery and investigation are ongoing and that it is not aware of the identity of all Persons who have used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. 1-800 Contacts further responds that, based on the information currently available to it, among the Persons who have used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark are at least some of the Settlement Parties and/or their affiliates, Lens.com and/or some of its affiliates, Walmart and/or some of its affiliates, Luxottica Group S.p.A and/or some of its affiliates, various manufacturers of contact lenses, and numerous other Persons.

In addition, all of the Settlement Parties and/or their affiliates, Lens.com and/or some of its affiliates, Walmart and/or some of its affiliates, Luxottica Group S.p.A. and/or some of its affiliates, various manufacturers of contact lenses, and numerous other Persons caused paid advertisements and/or sponsored links of the Settlement Parties, Lens.com, Walmart, Luxottica Group S.p.A., various manufacturers of contact lenses, and numerous other Persons to appear in response to or be triggered by search queries for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks from time to time and in varying degrees of frequency, as shown by Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts, such as weekly or other periodic trademark monitoring reports such as those previously identified by Complaint Counsel as CX238, CX243, CX253, and CX256. Such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same, if not less, for Complaint Counsel as it would be for 1-800 Contacts.

In addition, as discovery and investigation continue, it is possible that the identities of additional such Persons will be obtained, including from Search Engine providers as a result of discovery in this case initiated by Complaint Counsel and/or Respondent. Such information is or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer from any such additional discovery will be substantially the same for Complaint Counsel as it would be for 1-800 Contacts and it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

[In addition, and by way of example, 1-800 Contacts further responds that the data already produced by Google to the FTC in this matter shows, among other things, that the

following entities directly used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks or variants thereof as Keywords at some time during the period February 2002 to August 2016: Empire Vision Center/Lens123/Visionworks [GOOG-LENSE-00000006 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; Lenses for Less [GOOG-LENSE-000000019 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; Lensfast LLC [GOOG-LENSE-000000024 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; Replacemycontacts.com [GOOG-LENSE-000000046 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; Coastal Contacts [GOOG-LENSE-000000050 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; AC Lens [GOOG-LENSE-000000055 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]; and Walgreens/Vision Direct Inc. [GOOG-LENSE-000000060 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.xlsx]. Thousands more Persons also have recently been identified in Documents produced by Google to the FTC and provided by Complaint Counsel to Respondent's counsel. See, e.g., Letter dated October 10, 2016, from Sara Ciarelli Walsh of Google to Stephanie Langley and Charlotte Slaiman of the Federal Trade Commission and the attachments and enclosures thereto, including GOOG-LENSE-00001036-1109 [HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL] In addition, the data produced by Walmart to the FTC shows that Walmart used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords. [WM2016-022541C000003 - 34 Native.xlsx (CONFIDENTIAL); WM2016-022541C000040 - 69 Native.xlsx (CONFIDENTIAL).]

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For each Person identified in response to Interrogatory 3, identify the period during which the Person used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is overbroad and seeks

information that is not in the possession of 1-800 Contacts. Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts incorporates hereat by reference its Response to Interrogatory No. 3 and further responds that it is not aware of the identity of all Persons who have used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark or the time periods during which they have done so, although discovery and investigation are ongoing. 1-800 Contacts further responds that it does not currently have information that would allow it to identify the exact "period" during which these other Persons used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords, except as may have been provided through discovery in litigation from those other Persons and that has been or will be produced in this proceeding. 1-800 Contacts further responds that the time period during which the Persons it has identified in response to Interrogatory No. 3 engaged in the conduct therein described is at least at times during the period from February 2002 until August 2016.

Subject to the responses in the preceding paragraph, 1-800 Contacts responds that at least some of the Settlement Parties and/or their affiliates used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords prior to the date on which each of the Settlement Parties entered into their respective Settlement Agreement or, in the case of Lensworld.com, Inc., the date on which a default judgment was entered, and may have done so thereafter. The district court in *1-800 Contacts*, *Inc. v. Lens.com*, 755 F. Supp. 2d 1151 (D. Utah 2010), found, based on the evidence presented, that Lens.com and/or some of its affiliates used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords during the course of 2007. Lens.com and/or some of its affiliates may have done so at other times as well.

In addition, and subject to the responses in the preceding paragraph, all of the Settlement Parties and/or their affiliates, Lens.com and/or some of its affiliates, Walmart and/or

some of its affiliates, Luxottica Group S.p.A. and/or some of its affiliates, various manufacturers of contact lenses, and numerous other Persons caused paid advertisements and/or sponsored links of the Settlement Parties, Lens.com, Walmart, Luxottica Group S.p.A., various manufacturers of contact lenses, and numerous other Persons to appear in response to or be triggered by search queries for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks at least at times during the time period from February 2002 to August 2016 and in varying degrees of frequency.

In addition to the examples given above and in response to Interrogatory No. 3, and subject to the responses in the preceding paragraph, [Walmart and/or some of its affiliates have used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords at certain points during the course of 2015 and 2016, and may have done so at other times as well. See, e.g., WM2016-022541C000003 to 34 Native.xlsx (CONFIDENTIAL).]

1-800 Contacts further states that Documents and other discovery currently being sought by both Complaint Counsel and 1-800 Contacts from some of the Settlement Parties as well as certain Search Engine providers and other Persons may provide further information regarding the time period or periods during which other Persons used 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords. The burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer to this Interrogatory from the Documents or other discovery provided or to be provided is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel as it would be for 1-800 Contacts and it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Identify each Person other than an Affiliate which, at the request of 1-800 Contacts, informed 1-800 Contacts that the Person stopped using as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous, including because it cannot be ascertained whether Complaint Counsel are asking whether the Person stopped at the request of 1-800 Contacts or informed 1-800 Contacts at the request of 1-800 Contacts. Given the placement of the phrase "at the request of 1-800 Contacts" immediately prior to "informed 1-800 Contacts" in the Interrogatory, 1-800 Contacts interprets this Interrogatory to ask for the Identity of each Person who was requested by 1-800 Contacts to inform 1-800 Contacts when that Person stopped using as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. Subject to and without waiving its objections, and as so interpreted and construed, 1-800 Contacts responds that the Settlement Agreements might be interpreted to constitute such a request to "inform" 1-800 Contacts and, if so construed, then each of the Settlement Parties that entered into a Settlement Agreement that should be so construed or interpreted did so inform 1-800 Contacts, although some claimed not to have used as a Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark, and thus in those cases the Settlement Agreement might be interpreted to mean not that they had informed 1-800 Contacts that they had "stopped," but rather that they would not do so in the future.

1-800 Contacts further responds that the answer to this Interrogatory, to the extent the responsive information is reasonably ascertainable from information that was in the

possession, custody or control of 1-800 Contacts prior to the commencement of discovery in this action or the preceding investigation, can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts that memorialize or constitute such communications, that such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds further by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

In addition, discovery and investigation are ongoing and further responsive information may be obtained by Respondent's counsel and by Complaint Counsel during the course of such discovery and investigation. However, it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

1-800 Contacts further responds by referring to previously-produced Documents reflecting 1-800 Contacts' communications with contact lens manufacturers relating to their use of 1-800 Contacts' trademarks as Keywords for Internet search advertising. *See, e.g.*, Email from J. Park to L. Schmidt, "Re: Other Organizations' Ads on our Trademarks" (Jul. 30, 2011) [1-800F_00033564-67 (CONFIDENTIAL)] (discussions with Johnson & Johnson and Ciba/Alcon); Email from L. Schmidt to B. Craven, "RE: Other Organizations' Ads on Our Trademarks" (June 21, 2011) [1-800F-00030492-94 (CONFIDENTIAL)] (same); Email from B.

Dansie to A. Guymon, "FW: J&J Search Activity" (Jun. 16, 2006) [1-800F_00025067 (CONFIDENTIAL)] (noting Johnson & Johnson's implementation of negative keywords).

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify each Person other than an Affiliate which, at the request of 1-800 Contacts, informed 1-800 Contacts that the Person implemented as a Negative Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference. 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous, including because it cannot be ascertained whether Complaint Counsel are asking whether the Person implemented as a Negative Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark at the request of 1-800 Contacts or informed 1-800 Contacts at the request of 1-800 Contacts. Given the placement of the phrase "at the request of 1-800 Contacts" immediately prior to "informed 1-800 Contacts" in the Interrogatory, 1-800 Contacts interprets this Interrogatory to ask for the Identity of each Person who was requested by 1-800 Contacts to inform 1-800 Contacts when that Person implemented as a Negative Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. Subject to and without waiving its objections, and as so interpreted and construed, 1-800 Contacts responds that execution of a Settlement Agreements might be interpreted to constitute a communication in response to such a request to the effect that the Settlement Party implemented as a Negative Keyword a term on which 1-800 Contacts owned a trademark. Subject to and without waiving its objections, and as so interpreted and construed, 1-800 Contacts further responds that the answer to this Interrogatory can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-

800 Contacts that memorialize or constitute such communications, that such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds by referring Complaint Counsel to the Documents that constitute such communications to 1-800 Contacts, an example of which is 1-800F 00078087 (CONFIDENTIAL). Other Documents, including Documents produced by Persons other than 1-800 Contacts, also evidence that various Persons were implementing Negative Keywords and notifying others that they were doing so, including, for example, 1-800F 00080758 (CONFIDENTIAL), 1-800F 00020910 (CONFIDENTIAL), 1-800F 00020913 (CONFIDENTIAL), 1-800F 00020968 (CONFIDENTIAL), 1-800F 00078087 (CONFIDENTIAL), [FTC-PROD-0006089 (CONFIDENTIAL)], a Letter from Neal L. Slifkin of Harris Beach PLLC to Mark A. Miller of Holland & Hart LLP (Apr. 29, 2010) [1-800F 00020341-365 (CONFIDENTIAL)], Email of Pete Wilson of Walgreen to Mark Miller of Holland & Hart, (Apr 12, 2012) [1-800F 00024263 (CONFIDENTIAL)], Email exchange between Randy Weigner of LensFast and Bryce Craven of 1-800 Contacts, (Jan 7, 2010) [1-800F 00045162 (CONFIDENTIAL)], [Email of Andrea Kaduk of Walgreen to Rick Galan of 1-800 Contacts (July 12, 2013) [WAG-00000104 (CONFIDENTIAL)]], Email exchange of Alesia Pinney of Drugstore.com and Joe Zeidner of 1-800 Contacts, (Oct 21, 2004) [1-800F 00037005 (CONFIDENTIAL)], and [Email from Glen Hamilton of Walgreens to Rick Galan of 1-800 Contacts (July 24, 2013) [WAG-00000097 (CONFIDENTIAL)]]. 1-800 Contacts further responds by referring to previously-produced Documents reflecting 1-800 Contacts' communications with contact lens manufacturers relating to their implementation of negative

keywords. *See, e.g.*, Email from B. Dansie to A. Guymon, "FW: J&J Search Activity" (Jun. 16, 2006) [1-800F_00025067 (CONFIDENTIAL)] (noting Johnson & Johnson's implementation of negative keywords).

In addition, discovery and investigation are ongoing and further responsive information may be obtained by Respondent's counsel and by Complaint Counsel during the course of such discovery and investigation. However, it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Identify each Negative Keyword 1-800 Contacts has used on any search engine, and for each Negative Keyword identify: (a) the search engine 1-800 Contacts instructed to implement each Negative Keyword, and (b) the first date on which 1-800 Contacts instructed each such search engine to implement each Negative Keyword.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. For example, this Interrogatory is not limited to Keywords that are, or are substantially similar to, trademarks of other Persons. Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts agrees to provide Documents sufficient to show the requested information, to the extent such Documents are in its possession, custody or control, and reasonably available.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Identify each advertisement that 1-800 Contacts has identified to a Settlement Partner as an advertisement that infringes 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights, and for each advertisement: (a) describe the process used by 1-800 Contacts to determine that the advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights; and (b) identify the factual basis for 1-800 Contacts' conclusion that the advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is overbroad and seeks information protected from the discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product protection or work product doctrine. 1-800 Contacts further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for 1-800 Contacts to recall and retrace the results of Search Engine searches that were seen and considered but not recorded contemporaneously many years ago, and to the extent records of such searches and advertisements still exist, they are or may be within the custody or control of third parties, such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that information responsive to this Interrogatory, to the extent that the information is not privileged, can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts that constitute, mention, describe, discuss, or identify an advertisement that 1-800 Contacts has identified to a Settlement Party as an advertisement that infringes 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights, including any such advertisements attached to correspondence or emails between 1-800 Contacts and one or more Settlement Parties or to pleadings filed in connection with any litigation between 1-800 Contacts and one or

more Settlement Parties. Such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties and current and former employees of Respondent, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

Except as described in such Documents and in Investigational Hearings of 1-800 Contacts' current and former employees, the process and thought processes used by 1-800 Contacts and its attorneys to determine that any particular advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights and the factual basis for 1-800 Contacts' conclusion or determination that the advertisement infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights are protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product protection or work product doctrine and, on that basis, will not be disclosed in response to this Interrogatory.

If Complaint Counsel's methodology for counting subparts of interrogatories is ultimately adopted or endorsed by the Court, then this interrogatory consists of at least three distinct subparts.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify each advertisement of a Settlement Partner from 2002 to the present that 1-800 Contacts contends was likely to cause Consumer Confusion.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts further objects to Complaint Counsel's definition of Consumer Confusion as not fully describing the conduct that is addressed in 15 U.S.C. § 1125. However,

for purposes of responding to this Interrogatory, 1-800 Contacts will use Complaint Counsel's definition as it understands it, reserving its position that it does not agree with the definition. 1-800 Contacts incorporates hereat by reference as though fully set forth its Response to Interrogatory No. 8. 1-800 Contacts further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for 1-800 Contacts to recall and retrace the results of Search Engine searches that were seen and considered but not recorded contemporaneously many years ago, and to the extent records of such searches and advertisements still exist, they are or may be within the custody or control of third parties, such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that the advertisements identified in response to Interrogatory No. 8 are ones that were likely to cause and/or capable of causing Consumer Confusion, depending at times on the search term that caused them to be displayed or that triggered their display, and thus infringed 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights. 1-800 Contacts further responds that the advertisements identified in its Response to Interrogatory No. 8 reflect only certain representative examples of advertisements by the Settlement Parties that were likely to cause Consumer Confusion. There were many other such advertisements by the Settlement Parties during this time period that 1-800 Contacts did not record or otherwise document in a complete and systematic fashion given, among other things, the volume of such advertisements and the constantly changing nature of Search Engine results, but some of which are reflected in the Documents that have been or will be produced.

1-800 Contacts further responds that Search Engines frequently used terms in conjunction with paid or sponsored advertisements and/or formatted the results page or pages that displayed paid or sponsored advertisements in ways that could cause, increase, or enhance Consumer Confusion, including when a consumer entered as a search term a trademark, such as

trademarks of 1-800 Contacts. For example, some Search Engines posted their paid or sponsored advertisements in a color, typeface, and/or font size that was not sufficiently different from the format in which they reported the results that their "natural" or "organic" search systems generated so as to enable consumers easily to differentiate between the two sets of results. To the extent that consumers did not detect a difference, they also may have believed that the paid or sponsored advertisements, often featured above or alongside genuine links to 1-800 Contacts' website, were objectively identified by the search engine's unprejudiced system to be the most relevant to their search and/or to be of, by, or affiliated with 1-800 Contacts. This further heightened the likelihood of Consumer Confusion caused by advertisements of the Settlement Parties from 2002 to the present.

1-800 Contacts further responds that third parties such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties are or were in possession of the overwhelming majority of evidence that documents how advertisements of the Settlement Parties were likely to cause Consumer Confusion, including but not limited to: images of the relevant paid or sponsored advertisements as they appeared on search results pages; information concerning the placement of the paid or sponsored advertisements on search pages; the precise terms that were used to trigger the publication of such paid or sponsored advertisements; the proximity of such paid or sponsored advertisements to 1-800 Contacts' trademarks, authorized advertisements for 1-800 Contacts, or links to 1-800 Contacts' website; and information concerning the goods and services offered and/or sold to consumers who reached websites of the Settlement Parties as a result of the publication of the paid or sponsored advertisements.

1-800 Contacts further responds that the information requested by this

Interrogatory, to the extent such information is available at the current time, can be derived or

Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts, that such Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts, that such Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the information requested in this Interrogatory is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties and Search Engine providers, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds further by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents. To the extent information responsive to this Interrogatory is obtained as a result of discovery and investigation, and discovery and investigation are ongoing, such information is equally available to Complaint Counsel and it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

1-800 Contacts further responds that when a paid advertisement or sponsored link of another Person appears in response to or is triggered by a search term that is a trademark of 1-800 Contacts or a variant thereof, including particularly when such search term is being used to conduct a navigational search, Consumer Confusion often is likely to result.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify each advertisement of a Settlement Partner from 2002 to the present that 1-800 Contacts contends was likely to cause Trademark Dilution.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts incorporates hereat by reference as though fully set forth its Responses

to Interrogatory Nos. 8 and 9. 1-800 Contacts further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for 1-800 Contacts to recall and retrace the results of Search Engine searches that were seen and considered but not recorded contemporaneously many years ago, and to the extent records of such searches and advertisements still exist, they are or may be within the custody or control of third parties, such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, 1-800 Contacts responds that the advertisements identified in response to Interrogatory Nos. 8 and 9, as well as other advertisements by the Settlement Parties that appeared in response to an Internet search for 1-800 Contacts' trademarks, are ones that were likely to cause and/or were capable of causing Trademark Dilution with respect to 1-800 Contacts' trademark rights.

1-800 Contacts further responds that third parties such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties are or were in possession of the overwhelming majority of evidence that documents how advertisements of the Settlement Parties were likely to cause Trademark Dilution, including but not limited to: images of the relevant paid or sponsored advertisements as they appeared on search results pages; information concerning the placement of the paid or sponsored advertisements on search pages; the precise terms that were used to trigger the publication of such paid or sponsored advertisements; the proximity of such paid or sponsored advertisements to 1-800 Contacts' trademarks, authorized advertisements for 1-800 Contacts, or links to 1-800 Contacts' website; and information concerning the goods and services offered and/or sold to consumers who reached websites of the Settlement Parties as a result of the publication of the paid or sponsored advertisements.

1-800 Contacts further responds that the information requested by this

Interrogatory, to the extent such information is available at the current time, can be derived or

ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents described above, including Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts, that such Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the information requested in this Interrogatory is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties and Search Engine providers, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds further by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents. To the extent information responsive to this Interrogatory is obtained as a result of discovery and investigation, and discovery and investigation are ongoing, such information is equally available to Complaint Counsel and it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information.

1-800 Contacts further responds that when a paid advertisement or sponsored link of another Person appears in response to or is triggered by a search term that is a trademark of 1-800 Contacts or a variant thereof, including particularly when such search term is being used to conduct a navigational search, Trademark Dilution often is likely to result.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Identify each of the "procompetitive benefits" referred to in the Fourth

Affirmative Defense contained in 1-800 Contacts' Answer to the Complaint in this matter.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts incorporates hereat by reference as though fully set forth its Response to

Interrogatory No. 1. 1-800 Contacts further responds that many of the "benefits" that it described as accruing to it also accrued to some or all of the Settlement Parties, such as the resolution of litigation, the elimination of uncertainty associated with litigation, savings of litigation costs that otherwise would have been occurred, and the resolution of litigation with clear and enforceable terms with respect to future conduct in a relatively new and constantly changing advertising environment and medium. These benefits were and are procompetitive benefits. 1-800 Contacts further responds that other procompetitive benefits of the trademark settlement agreements (and the sourcing and fulfillment agreement) referenced in the Fourth Affirmative Defense include increased competition on the merits, increased output of retail sales of contact lenses, increased consumer welfare, increased investments in and utilization of different forms of marketing and advertising, greater product differentiation, savings of time needed for consumers to conduct internet searches and to navigate to their intended internet destination, avoidance of consumer confusion and deception, increased consumer satisfaction, greater numbers of consumers using or continuing to use lower-priced channels of distribution for the purchase of contact lenses (such as online distribution channels as opposed to purchasing from an ophthalmologist), greater consumer awareness of the alternatives to purchasing contact lenses from their eye care professionals, and the various procompetitive effects described in the March 17, 2016 submission of Daniel L. Rubinfeld, "An Analysis of the Competitive Effects of the Settlements at Issue," including at pages 22-28 [FTC-PROD-0001393 to 1427].

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Identify each Settlement Partner that has been informed by 1-800 Contacts that the Negative Keywords identified in the Settlement Partner's Settlement Agreement should be implemented as Exact-Matched Negative Keywords, and the date of such communication.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts further objects to the phrase "should be implemented" as vague and ambiguous, including as to the source, reason, or derivation for or of the imperative "should." 1-800 Contacts responds that the answer to this Interrogatory can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts that memorialize or constitute such communications, that such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties and nine current and former employees of Respondent, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents. Examples of such Documents are CX234 (CONFIDENTIAL) (January 10, 2010) (a communication with Lensfast/ContactLens.com), 1-800F 00078087-088 (CONFIDENTIAL) (January 10, 2010) (a communication with Lensfast/ContactLens.com), 1-800F 00020910 (CONFIDENTIAL), and 1-800F 00020968 (CONFIDENTIAL) (August 6, 2010) (a communication with Park Studebaker). Bryce Craven also testified regarding such communications during his September 3, 2015 Investigational Hearing, including at 137:20 – 138:25 and 171:11 – 172:24, including with regard to communications with Coastal Contacts. During his testimony he was shown CX236, dated March 31, 2011, which constitutes such a communication.

1-800 Contacts further responds that any requirement in the Settlement Agreements to implement negative keywords, to the extent such a requirement exists, is

generally limited to implementation of those negative keywords as "exact" matches. See, e.g., Email from B. Craven to Contactlens.com (Lensfast), "Re: Contactlens.com – 1800Contacts Negative Keyword Questions – Yahoo/Bing? (Jan. 7, 2010) [1-800F 00045162-63 (CONFIDENTIAL)] ("I think you should be fine with making the negative keywords exact match Exact match will restrict your ads from showing up only if the user types in exactly what you've set as the negative keyword."). Thus, many communications that did not specifically use the words "exact match" but did refer to negative keywords should be understood to refer to exact match negative keywords. CX236 identified earlier is an example of such a communication. The identity of the Settlement Party participating in such communication, and the date of the communication, can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of the Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts that memorialize or constitute such communications. Such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties and with current and former employees of Respondent, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts further responds by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

Other communications regarding the use of exact match negative keywords were made or received by outside counsel for 1-800 Contacts at various times, often in connection with the Settlement Agreements and the disputes and litigation that preceded them. To the extent information responsive to this Interrogatory is obtained as a result of discovery and investigation, and discovery and investigation are ongoing, such information, including information contained in Documents produced by outside counsel for 1-800 Contacts in connection with the Settlement

Agreements and related matters, is equally available to Complaint Counsel and it is improper, unduly burdensome, and invasive of the work product protection for Complaint Counsel to demand that Respondent undertake the efforts of reviewing this discovery and obtaining for Complaint Counsel the requested information. 1-800 Contacts further responds by identifying, by way of example, the following Documents obtained during the course of discovery and investigation that may be responsive to this Interrogatory: Email from Bryan G. Pratt to Matt Jenkins (law@jmj.biz) at Lenses for Less (January 25, 2010) [1-800F_00020910 (CONFIDENTIAL)]; Letter from Mark A. Miller to Anthony Hong, Re: Supplemental Discovery Responses (July 29, 2010) [1-800F_00023004 at 9-10 (CONFIDENTIAL)].

If Complaint Counsel's methodology for counting subparts of interrogatories is ultimately adopted or endorsed by the Court, then this interrogatory consists of at least two distinct subparts.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Identify the factual basis for the assertion in 1-800 Contacts' Answer to the Complaint in this matter, and in its Submission to the Federal Trade Commission dated March 1, 2016, that each litigation that resulted in each Settlement Agreement constituted "a bona fide trademark litigation."

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts incorporates hereat by reference its Responses to Interrogatory Nos. 1, 8, 9, 10, and 11 above. 1-800 Contacts further responds that the use of a trademark as a Search Engine Keyword that triggers the display of a competitor's advertisement is a use in commerce under the Lanham Act. *See, e.g., Network Automation, Inc. v. Advanced Sys. Concepts, Inc.*, 638 F.3d

1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2011); Rescuecom Corp. v. Google Inc., 562 F.3d 123, 128-41 (2d Cir. 2009). 1-800 Contacts further responds that such uses, depending on the specific facts and a number of different factors which need to be balanced (and which may differ by jurisdiction). may give rise to bona fide trademark litigation, including trademark infringement and trademark dilution. For example, as stated by the District Court in 1-800Contacts, Inc. v. Memorial Eye, P.A., No. 2:08-CV-983 TS, 2010 WL 988524 (D. Utah Mar. 15, 2010), "the Tenth Circuit has held that the purchase of another's trademark through a search engine for the purpose of diverting internet traffic and using goodwill associated with that trademark, as alleged here, violates the Lanham Act." Id. at *6 (footnote omitted); see also MasterCard Int'l Inc. v. Trehan, 629 F. Supp. 2d 824, 833 (N.D. Ill. 2009) (enjoining defendant from using "any names, words, designations or symbols," either directly or "in any buried code, metatags, search terms, keywords, key terms, hit generating pages, or any other devices" which are "used, intended, or likely to cause any web site or web sites of Trehan to be listed by any Internet search engines in response to any searches that include any terms identical with or confusingly similar to the [plaintiff's] Marks").

Factors that are balanced in evaluating trademark infringement include the likelihood of confusion, which includes initial interest confusion, source confusion, purchasing confusion, and sponsorship confusion. *See, e.g., Rosetta Stone Ltd. v. Google, Inc.*, 676 F.3d 144, 157 (4th Cir. 2012) (holding that "[m]ore than just source confusion is at issue in an infringement claim" and emphasized that confusion as to "sponsorship of the goods" can give rise to a claim under the Lanham Act). This is precisely why numerous courts have recognized the *bona fides* of trademark infringement and trademark dilution claims in analogous circumstances to those asserted by 1-800 Contacts. *See, e.g., Edible Arrangements, LLC v.*

Provide Commerce, Inc., No. 3:14-CV-00250 (VLB), 2016 WL 4074121 (D. Conn. 2016) (denying summary judgment where "the strength of [plaintiff's] mark, the similarity of the competing marks, the similarity of the competing products and the defendant's bad faith each strongly suggest a likelihood of confusion from" defendant's use of plaintiff's mark in keyword advertising); Glob. Tel-Link Corp. v. Jail Call Servs., LLC, No. 1:14-cv-1557, 2015 WL 1936502, at *9 (E.D. Va. Apr. 28, 2015) (making permanent an injunction ordering defendant not to purchase search terms directly referencing plaintiff's marks, which would serve the public interest "by prohibiting [defendant] from engaging in conduct that is likely to cause confusion or mistake as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or approval of [plaintiff's] services."); LBF Travel v. Fareportal, Inc., No. 13 Civ. 9143 (LAK) (GWG), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 156583, at *27 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 5, 2014) (denying motion to dismiss because plaintiff's "allegations raise the reasonable inference that Internet users have likely believed [plaintiff] sponsored, endorsed, or otherwise approved of [defendants'] use of the [plaintiff's] mark") (internal quotation marks omitted); Gravity Defver Corp. v. Under Armour, Inc., No. LA CV13-01842 JAK (JCGx), 2014 WL 3766724, at *9 (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2014) (finding "a triable issue as to whether the appearance of the online advertisements and surrounding context would cause an ordinary consumer erroneously to conclude that there is an association between Plaintiffs and Defendants").

1-800 Contacts further responds that the factual bases for its claims in each litigation can be found in the complaints and other pleadings in those litigations, as well as in correspondence and other communications relating to those litigations, all of which Documents are equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the information requested by this Interrogatory is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and

perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds further by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

1-800 Contacts further responds that in both the Lens.com and Memorial Eye litigations the courts rejected arguments that the cases were not bona fide trademark litigations, as set forth in 1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Lens.com, Inc., 722 F.3d 1229, 1256 (10th Cir. 2013); Order, Lens.com, Inc. v. 1-800 Contacts, Inc., No. 2:12CV00352 DS, (D. Utah Mar. 3, 2014), ECF No. 91; 1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Lens.com, No. 2:07-CV-591 CW, 2012 WL 113812, at *3 (D. Utah Jan. 13, 2012); and 1-800Contacts, Inc. v. Memorial Eye, P.A., No. 2:08-CV-983 TS, 2010 WL 988524, *1 (D. Utah, Mar. 15, 2010).

1-800 Contacts further responds that it has described the *bona fides* of its trademark litigations that resulted in Settlement Agreements in its White Paper dated March 1, 2016 to FTC staff, including pages 25-31 thereof, its letter to Gustav P. Chiarello dated May 2, 2015, including pages 4-9 thereof, and its letters to Hon. E. Ramirez, Hon. M. Ohlhausen and Hon. T. McSweeny, each dated July 8, 2016, including pages 3-4 thereof.

1-800 Contacts further responds that in the trademark litigations that resulted in Settlement Agreements, the fact of settlement meant that certain evidence of actionable conduct was not fully developed, and for a number of reasons it is not possible now to discover evidence that might have been available during those trademark litigations to the same extent as it would have been at the time those trademark litigations were ongoing. For example, consumer surveys are often conducted and offered as evidence in trademark litigation. Internet search has evolved since the time of the allegedly infringing conduct at issue, and consumers' understanding regarding Internet search has also evolved during this time period. This is especially significant

here where the conduct at issue involved a retailer's purchasing of Keywords used by consumers as search terms; in this situation, the point at which confusion would be relevant would be the point at which the consumer had, based on her circumstances, decided to enter those Keywords – a situation whose re-creation years after-the-fact for purposes of assessing confusion faces significant obstacles.

1-800 Contacts further responds that third parties such as Search Engine providers and the Settlement Parties are or were in possession of the overwhelming majority of evidence that documents how advertisements of the Settlement Parties were likely to cause or constitute consumer confusion, trademark dilution, false advertising, unfair competition, or unjust enrichment of Settlement Parties, including but not limited to: images of the relevant paid or sponsored advertisements as they appeared on search results pages; information concerning the placement of the paid or sponsored advertisements on search pages; the precise terms that were used to trigger the publication of such paid or sponsored advertisements; the proximity of such paid or sponsored advertisements to 1-800 Contacts' trademarks, authorized advertisements for 1-800 Contacts, or links to 1-800 Contacts' website; and information concerning the goods and services offered and/or sold to consumers who reached websites of the Settlement Parties as a result of the publication of the paid or sponsored advertisements.

1-800 Contacts further responds that the information requested by this

Interrogatory, to the extent such information is available at the current time, can be derived or ascertained from an examination or inspection of Documents that have been or will be produced by 1-800 Contacts, that such Documents are or will be equally available to Complaint Counsel, and that the burden of deriving or ascertaining the information requested in this Interrogatory is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews

with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties, Search Engine providers, and current and former employees of Respondent, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts responds further by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

If Complaint Counsel's methodology for counting subparts of interrogatories is ultimately adopted or endorsed by the Court, then this interrogatory consists of at least two distinct subparts.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Identify the manner in which each of the "online sellers of contact lenses" referred to in Paragraph 2 of 1-800 Contacts' Answer to the Complaint in this matter "were using 1-800 Contacts' trademarks in commerce," and provide the factual basis for 1-800 Contacts' belief that such "use" occurred.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated hereat by reference, 1-800 Contacts responds that in Paragraph 2 of its Answer to the Complaint, 1-800 Contacts was referring to the purchase of Keywords that were, or were substantially similar to, trademarks owned by 1-800 Contacts and which were used, following their purchase, to trigger a display of a paid advertisement or sponsored link from such online seller of contact lenses in response to the entry of a trademark owned by 1-800 Contacts as a search term. 1-800 Contacts further responds that the use of a trademark as a Search Engine Keyword that triggers the display of a competitor's advertisement is a use in commerce under the Lanham Act. See, e.g., Network Automation, Inc. v. Advanced Sys. Concepts, Inc., 638 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2011); Rescuecom Corp. v. Google Inc., 562 F.3d 123, 128-41 (2d Cir. 2009). 1-800 Contacts further

responds that the purchase of Keywords (whether or not trademarks owned by 1-800 Contacts or variants thereof) that were used, following their purchase, to trigger a display of a paid advertisement or sponsored link from a seller of contact lenses in response to the entry of a trademark owned by 1-800 Contacts as a search term constitutes a "use in commerce." That such uses occurred can be observed by entering search terms that constitute trademarks of 1-800 Contacts or variants thereof and observing the results. Further information also can be obtained from third parties such as Brand Verity and Keyword Spy, which investigate such uses as reflected in Documents produced by or to be produced by 1-800 Contacts. That such uses occurred also is described, inter alia, in the complaints and other pleadings in the litigations referred to in Paragraph 2 of 1-800 Contacts' Answer to the Complaint, as well as in correspondence and other communications relating to those litigations, and in Investigational Hearings, and also in weekly and other periodic trademark monitoring reports prepared by employees of 1-800 Contacts, such as Documents previously identified by Complaint Counsel as CX238, CX243, CX253, and CX256, all of which Documents are equally available to Complaint Counsel, and the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Complaint Counsel, and perhaps even less because of their interviews with and Investigational Hearings of all or some of the Settlement Parties, Search Engine providers, and current and former employees of Respondent, as it is for Respondent. On this basis and for these reasons, 1-800 Contacts further responds by referring Complaint Counsel to these Documents.

If Complaint Counsel's methodology for counting subparts of interrogatories is ultimately adopted or endorsed by the Court, then this interrogatory consists of at least two distinct subparts. Applying Complaint Counsel's methodology, with which Respondent's

counsel does not agree as reflected in an October 25, 2016 letter to Daniel Matheson, Complaint Counsel's First Set of Interrogatories consists of 20 interrogatories or distinct subparts.

DATED: October 31, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory P. Stone, Esq. (gregory.stone@mto.com)
Steven M. Perry, Esq. (steven.perry@mto.com)
Garth T. Vincent, Esq. (garth.vincent@mto.com)
Stuart N. Senator, Esq. (stuart.senator@mto.com)
Gregory M. Sergi, Esq. (gregory.sergi@mto.com)
Justin P. Raphael, Esq. (justin.raphael@mto.com)

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071

Phone: (213) 683-9100 Fax: (213) 687-3702

Counsel for 1-800 Contacts, Inc.

VERIFICATION

Ι,	, declare:
I am	of 1-800 Contacts, Inc., which is the Respondent in
the above-entitled action, and I have	e been authorized to make this verification on its behalf.
I have read the forego	oing document, except for those portions that on my copy
have been redacted, entitled Amend	ed Responses of Respondent 1-800 Contacts, Inc. to
Complaint Counsel's First Set of Ir	nterrogatories, and am informed and believe that the
information contained therein is acc	urate and true.
I declare under penal	ty of perjury under the laws of the State of Utah that the
foregoing is true and correct.	
Executed at Draper,	Utah on November, 2016.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 31, 2016, I served a copy of **AMENDED RESPONSES OF RESPONDENT 1-800 CONTACTS, INC. TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES** via electronic mail on the following counsel supporting the Complaint:

Thomas H. Brock, tbrock@ftc.gov
Barbara Blank, bblank@ftc.gov
Gustav Chiarello, gchiarello@ftc.gov
Kathleen Clair, kclair@ftc.gov
Joshua B. Gray, jbgray@ftc.gov
Geoffrey Green, ggreen@ftc.gov
Nathanial Hopkin, nhopkin@ftc.gov
Charles A. Loughlin, cloughlin@ftc.gov
Daniel Matheson, dmatheson@ftc.gov
Charlotte Slaiman, cslaiman@ftc.gov
Mark Taylor, mtaylor@ftc.gov
BC-1040-1800-SearchAdTeam-DL@ftc.gov

DATED: October 31, 2016	Ву:
	Gregory P. Stone

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 29, 2017, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113 Washington, DC 20580

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I delivered via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document to:

Gregory P. Stone
Steven M. Perry
Garth T. Vincent
Stuart N. Senator
Gregory M. Sergi
Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
gregory.stone@mto.com
steven.perry@mto.com
garth.vincent@mto.com
stuart.senator@mto.com
gregory.sergi@mto.com

Justin P. Raphael Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 justin.raphael@mto.com

Sean Gates Charis Lex P.C. 16 N. Marengo Ave. Suite 300 Pasadena, CA 91101 sgates@charislex.com

CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

March 29, 2017 By: s/ Daniel J. Matheson