

08/26/2009 WED 14:59 [TX/RX NO 5933] 2002

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), for its Complaint alleges:

1. The FTC brings this action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade
 Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to obtain temporary,
 preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts,
 restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other
 equitable relief for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the
 FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b).

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

PLAINTIFF

4. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.

5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including restitution and disgorgement. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A).

DEFENDANTS

 Defendant Infinity Group Services ("Infinity"), also doing business as IGS, Hope to Homeowners, ASKIGS, and ASKIGS, Inc., is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 163 Technology Drive West, 1st

Floor, Irvine, California 92618. Infinity transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, Infinity has advertised, marketed, or sold mortgage loan modification services and mortgage loan refinancing services to consumers throughout the United States.

7. Defendant Kahram Zamani ("Zamani") is the CEO, President, Secretary, Director, and majority owner of Infinity. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Zamani resides in this District and in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

COMMERCE

8. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

9. During the period November 2008 to February 2009, Defendants engaged in a course of conduct to advertise, market, offer to sell, and sell purported mortgage loan modification services to consumers. Defendants touted the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Hope for Homeowners mortgage modification program. Defendants marketed their services to homeowners who were in financial distress, delinquent on their mortgage loans, or in danger of losing their homes to foreclosure. Defendants charged consumers an up-front fee of \$995 for their mortgage loan modification services.

1

2

3

Defendants conducted an extensive advertising campaign using radio 10. advertisements to promote their \$995 mortgage loan modification services. These advertisements directed homeowners who were struggling to pay their mortgages to call Defendants' toll-free telephone number or to visit Defendants' Web site at www.hopetohomeowners.com. The Web site urged consumers to call the toll-free number for a consultation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

11. During the sales calls, Defendants' representatives collected information from consumers, including details about the consumers' mortgages and financial situation. Defendants' representatives typically promised consumers that Defendants would help modify consumers' mortgage loans to make their payments more affordable.

12 12. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives told consumers that Defendants had a very high success rate in obtaining loan modifications.

13. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives told consumers that if Defendants did not obtain a loan modification, consumers were entitled to a full refund of the \$995 up-front fee.

17 14. Consumers were required to pay the up-front fee of \$995 before 18 Defendants began the loan modification process. Consumers were also required to fill out an application and return it to Defendants. 19

In numerous instances, after consumers paid Defendants' up-front fee, 15. Defendants failed to answer or return consumers' telephone calls or provide updates about the status of consumers' loan modifications. In other instances, Defendants misrepresented to consumers that negotiations were proceeding 24 smoothly or that lenders were the cause for delay.

25 16. In numerous instances, Defendants failed to obtain mortgage loan 26 modifications. Some consumers who paid for Defendants' services have been able 27 to obtain mortgage loan modifications and avoid foreclosure only through their 28 own efforts and not because of any service provided, or promised, by Defendants.

17. In numerous instances, consumers who did not obtain loan modifications encountered difficulty in obtaining promised refunds. In many instances, consumers who received refunds did so only after making repeated requests or complaining to entities such as the Better Business Bureau of the Southland, the California Attorney General, or the FTC. In many instances, Defendants have not provided refunds to consumers.

7 18. In February 2009, Defendants ceased offering loan modification services. However, Defendants' discontinuance of mortgage loan modification services may be temporary in nature. Recently, one of Defendants' representatives stated that Defendants may resume offering loan modification services in the near future.

12 19. When they ceased promoting mortgage loan modifications. Defendants began focusing their marketing efforts on mortgage refinancing. In radio advertisements and on a Web site at www.askigs.com, Defendants offer mortgage loan refinancing for a "flat fee" of \$995. Consumers are provided with a toll free number to call if they are interested in refinancing their mortgage loans.

20. Defendants' sale pitch is similar to the one they used for their mortgage modification services. During the sales calls, Defendants' representatives collect information from consumers, including details about the consumers' existing mortgages and financial status.

21. In numerous instances, Defendants' representatives tell consumers that the \$995 up-front fee is the only charge for the consumers' refinancing.

In numerous instances, after consumers pay Defendants' up-front fee, 22. Defendants fail to answer or return consumers' telephone calls or provide updates about the status of consumers' mortgage refinancing. In other instances, Defendants misrepresent to consumers that the refinancing is proceeding smoothly.

5

23. In numerous instances, Defendants fail to obtain mortgage loan refinancing for consumers for the promised \$995 flat fee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

24. In some instances, consumers are contacted by Defendants and informed that there are substantial fees, ranging from \$2,000 to \$15,000, in addition to the \$995 flat fee. In other instances, consumers actually "close" on their loans, but are later told by Defendants that the loan will not be funded.

1

2

3

4

15

Nevertheless, Defendants continue to advertise flat fee loans on their 5 25. 6 Web site.

7 26. Consumers who have not obtained mortgage refinancing for the promised \$995 up-front fee encounter difficulty in obtaining refunds. In many 8 9 instances, consumers who received refunds did so only after making repeated 10 requests or complaining to entities such as the Better Business Bureau, the 11 California Attorney General, or the FTC. In many instances, Defendants have not 12 provided refunds to consumers.

13 27. Defendants' Internet Web site contains a disclaimer that is of limited relevance to Defendants' misrepresentations. The bulk of consumer complainants 14 heard about Defendants' refinancing offer on a radio commercial that does not contain a disclaimer. In any event, the Web site disclaimer is ineffective. 16

17 Defendants inserted an asterisk near the prominently displayed \$995 28. flat fee mortgage loan refinancing offer on their Web site. Consumers who 18 19 examine the remainder of the Web site page will first see Defendants' representation that: "IGS has no HIDDEN FEE COSTS. We've waived all the fees 20 you have been accustomed to paying on a refinance." [Emphasis in original.] 21 Consumers who view this statement are unlikely to read further. However, if they 22 23 do, they encounter a multi-line footnote in a much smaller font. At the end of the 24 footnote, the Web site states: "Rates, Fees and Terms are subject to change. 25 Finance terms subject to underwriting approval and restrictions do apply."

29. 26 The vague disclaimer is ineffective and does not remedy the 27 misrepresentations made in Defendants' Web site. It is not presented in a clear and 28 prominent manner, and it is inconsistent with the core elements of the ad.

30. Defendants have further reinforced their deceptive representations throughout the marketing process.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

31. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

32. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

<u>Count I</u>

33. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of mortgage loan modification services to consumers throughout the United States, Defendants have represented to consumers, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Defendants will obtain a mortgage loan modification in all, or virtually all, instances.

34. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representation set forth in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendants did not obtain a mortgage loan modification in all, or virtually all, instances.

35. Therefore, Defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 33 of this Complaint is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S. C. § 45(a).

<u>Count II</u>

36. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of mortgage loan modification services to consumers throughout the United States, Defendants have represented to consumers, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Defendants will give full refunds to consumers if Defendants fail to obtain modifications of their loans.

37. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have
 made the representation set forth in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Defendants did
 not give full refunds to consumers when Defendants did not obtain modifications
 of their loans.

38. Therefore, Defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 36 of this Complaint is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Count III

9 39. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing,
10 promotion, offering for sale, or sale of mortgage refinancing services to consumers
11 throughout the United States, Defendants represent to consumers, directly or
12 indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Defendants will obtain refinancing for
13 consumers' mortgage loans for an up-front flat fee of \$995.

40. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have
made the representation set forth in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Defendants do
not obtain refinancing for consumers' mortgage loans for an up-front flat fee of
\$995.

18 41. Therefore, Defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 39 of
19 this Complaint is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in
20 violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

42. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury
as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act. In addition, Defendants have
been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent
injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure
consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

28

21

22

5

6

7

8

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

43. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court
to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt
and redress violations of the FTC Act. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable
jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of
contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten
monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by
the FTC.

9 10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 13(b) of
the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests
that the Court:

- A. Award Plaintiff such temporary and preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and an order freezing assets;
- B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC
 Act by Defendants;
- C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including but not limited to rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refunds of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains; and

D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional equitable relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel

DEANYA T. KUECKELHAN Regional Director

Dated: August 25, 2009

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Gary D. Kennedy, Attorney-in-Charge gkennedy@ftc.gov Oklahoma Bar Number 4961

Oklahoma Bar Number 4961 Eliseo N. Padilla, Attorney epadilla@ftc.gov Florida Bar Number192929 Federal Trade Commission 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 979-9373 (Mr. Kennedy) (214) 979-9381 (Mr. Padilla) (214) 979-9350 (Office) (214) 953-3079 (Facsimile)

John D. Jacobs (Local Counsel) jjacobs@ftc.gov California Bar No. 134154 Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, California 90024 (310) 824-4343 (Voice) (310) 824-4380 (Fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Case 8:09-cv-00977-DOC-MLG Document 1 Filed 08/26/2009 Page 11 of 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check bo Federal Trade Commissi	D	DEFENDANTS See Attachment		<u> </u>			
yourself, provide same.) Gary D. Kennedy gkennedy@ftc.gov	ddress and Telephone Number. If you a	re representing A	ttorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)		IP OF PRINCIPAL PAR in one box for plaintiff and			Only	
🗹 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This Sta	PT	FDEF	ncorporated or Pr	•	PTF DEF □4 □4
2 U.S. Government Defendar	t Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi) of Parties in Item III)	p Citizen of Anothe	r State		ncorporated and l of Business in An		□5 □5
	1 1 1	Citizen or Subject	of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3 F	Foreign Nation		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Co							
-	AINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes						
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C			ONEY DEMANDED IN (
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	u are filing and write	a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite	jurisdictional stat	tutes unless dive	rsity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	e an X in one box only.)						
OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fce Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 120 Marine □ 31 □ 130 Miller Act □ 31 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 32 □ 150 Recovery of □ 32 Overpayment & □ 33 □ 151 Medicare Act □ 34 □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ 34 Student Loan (Excl. □ 35 □ Vetrans) □ 35 □ 153 Recovery of □ 36 ○ Vetran's Benefits □ 36 □ 190 Other Contract □ 36 □ 195 Contract Product □ 36 □ 196 Franchise □ 36 ■ 210 Land Condemnation □ 36 □ 220 Foreclosure □ 36 □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 46 □ 240 Torts to Land □ 46 □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 46 □ 240 All Other Real Property □ 46	 Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability IMMIGRATION 	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Acco- mmodations 444 Welfare 445 American with Disabilities - Employment 446 American with Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PE 5 10 M V 5 10 M V 6 530 G 6 530 G 6 535 D 6 555 Pr FORI PE 6 10 A 6 10 A 6 25 Di 5 20 0 10 A 1 555 Pr FORI PE 6 30 G 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	iotions to acate Sentence abeas Corpus eneral eath Penalty andamus/ inther ivil Rights ison Condition FEITURE / NALTY griculture ther Food & rug rug Related izure of operty 21 USC il quor Laws R. & Truck rine Regs ccupational fety /Health her	LABC 710 Fair Lab Act 720 Labor/M Relation 730 Labor/M Reportin Disclosu 740 Railway 790 Other La Litigatio 791 Empl. Re Security PROPERTY 820 Copyrigl 820	or Standards gmt. s gmt. g & g & re Act Labor Act bor n t. Inc. Act RIGHTS tts rk CURITY 95ff) ing (923) IWW le XVI (g)) xX SUITS S. Plaintiff dant) d Party 26

SACV09-00977 DOC (MLGx)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Cas

Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? If No Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? \mathbf{V} No \Box Yes If yes, list case number(s):

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

(Check all boxes that apply) 🛛 A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or

- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country

b/List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Orange	

(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

Totel in land condemnation cubes, and included of the fract of land in of	
County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Vnited State	

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tradi of land involved

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Date

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel GARY D. KENNEDY gkennedy@ftc.gov Oklahoma Bar No. 4961 ELISEO N. PADILLA epadilla@ftc.gov Florida Bar No. 192929 Federal Trade Commission 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 979-9379 (Mr. Kennedy) (214) 979-9382 (Mr. Padilla) (214) 953-3079 (Fax) JOHN D. JACOBS jjacobs@ftc.gov California Bar No. 134154 Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, California 90024 (310) 824-4343 (Voice) (310) 824-4380 (Fax) Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA** SOUTHERN DIVISION FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff Case No. v. **COMPLAINT FOR** INFINITY GROUP SERVICES, **INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE** a corporation, also d/b/a IGS, Hope to Homeowners, ASKIGS, and RELIEF ASKIGS, Inc; and KAHRAM ZAMANI, individually and as an officer of Infinity Group Services, Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge David O. Carter and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV09- 977 DOC (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Use the second s

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 L Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

GARY D. KENNEDY gkennedy@ftc.gov; Oklahoma Bar No. 4961 Federal Trade Commission 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 979-9373 (See attached for other counsel)	Iment 1 Filed 08/26/2009 Page 15 of 16
	CT OF CALIFORNIA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, PLAINTIFF(S)	ACV09-00977 DOC (MLGx)
v. INFINITY GROUP SERVICES, a corporation, also d/b/a IGS, Hope to (See attached for other Defendants)	Sermons
DEFENDANT(S).	SF ONLY
must serve on the plantimum answer to the attached \square \square counterclaim \square cross changes r a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the requintiff's attorney, <u>Ga</u>	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer ary D. Kennedy, whose address is 2150, Dallas, Texas 75201
Dated: <u>August 26</u> , 2009	Clerk, U.S. District Court ROLLS ROYCE By: Deputy Clerk 1144 (Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

.

