UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

SECRETARY

)	SECRETARY
In the matter of:)	ODICINIAL
)	UNIGINAL
Jerk, LLC, a limited liability company,)	DOCKET NO. 9361
)	
Also d/b/a JERK.COM, and)	
)	PUBLIC
John Fanning,)	
Individually and as a member of)	
Jerk, LLC,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
)	

RESPONDENT JOHN FANNING'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE OR LIMIT TESTIMONY BY DEPOSITION

Respondent John Fanning hereby moves this Court *in limine* to prohibit Complaint

Counsel from offering any testimony by deposition that does not meet the threshold standards of reliability and materiality. Although deposition transcripts in general are admissible despite constituting rank hearsay, the entire transcripts should not automatically be admitted in their entirety as substantive evidence at trial. The governing standards of 16 CFR §3.43(b) require hearsay evidence to be both reliable and material in order to be admissible. Much of the testimony in the deposition transcripts offered by Complaint Counsel as proposed trial exhibits is based on other inadmissible evidence, including other hearsay statements, information derived from various internet sources, or documents that are not independently admissible. All such testimony must be barred. Further, Complaint Counsel during questioning at deposition elicited inadmissible opinions, feelings, or impressions of witnesses. Similarly, any testimony predicated on speculation, surmise, or conjecture as opposed to personal knowledge of a witness also must

be barred. Finally, for the reasons set forth in Mr. Fanning's Motion In Limine to Exclude

Consumer Declarations, testimony that exceeds a claim for deception under Section 5 of the Act

and any defenses thereto is neither relevant nor material, and must be excluded.

Mr. Fanning objects to the whole-scale admission of deposition transcripts, and requests

an order directing Complaint Counsel to designate specific portions of testimony sought to be

admitted at trial so that Mr. Fanning may object to, and this Court may rule on, specific questions

and answers to ensure proper admissibility under law, with Mr. Fanning having the right to

counter-designate. Although the rules of evidence may be relaxed, they should not be ignored.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Respondent John Fanning requests this Court to exclude

Complaint Counsel from offering inadmissible testimony by deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN FANNING,

By his attorneys,

/s/ Peter F. Carr, II

Peter F. Carr, II

ECKERT, SEAMANS, CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

Two International Place, 16th Floor

Boston, MA 02110

617.342.6800

2

617.342.6899 (FAX)

Dated: March 5, 2015

{K0578395.1}

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 5, 2015, I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing to be served electronically through the FTC's e-filing system and I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing to be served as follows:

One electronic copy to the Office of the Secretary:

Donald S. Clark, Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Room H-159 Washington, DC 20580

Email: secretary@ftc.gov

One electronic copy to the Office of the Administrative Law Judge:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.E., Room H-110 Washington, DC 20580

Email: oalj@ftc.gov

One electronic copy to the Office of the Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission:

Sarah Schroeder Federal Trade Commission 901 Market Street, Suite 670 San Francisco, CA 94103 Email: sschroeder@ftc.gov

One electronic copy via email to Counsel for Jerk, LLC:

Alexandria B. Lynn 48 Dartmouth Street Watertown, MA 02472

Email: ab.lynn@outlook.com

/s/ Peter F. Carr, II Peter F. Carr. II

Dated: March 5, 2015

3 {K0578395.1}

Notice of Electronic Service for Public Filings

I hereby certify that on March 05, 2015, I filed via hand a paper original and electronic copy of the foregoing Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Complaint Counsel's Expert Witnesses, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Consumer Declarations, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude or Limit Testimony by Deposition, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on March 05, 2015, I filed via E-Service of the foregoing Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Complaint Counsel's Expert Witnesses, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Consumer Declarations, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude or Limit Testimony by Deposition, with:

Sarah Schroeder Attorney Federal Trade Commission sschroeder@ftc.gov Complaint

Yan Fang Attorney Federal Trade Commission yfang@ftc.gov Complaint

Kerry O'Brien Attorney Federal Trade Commission kobrien@ftc.gov Complaint

Maria Speth Attorney Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. mcs@jaburgwilk.com Respondent

Boris Yankilovich Attorney Federal Trade Commission byankilovich@ftc.gov Complaint

Kenneth H. Abbe Attorney Federal Trade Commission kabbe@ftc.gov Complaint I hereby certify that on March 05, 2015, I filed via other means, as provided in 4.4(b) of the foregoing Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Complaint Counsel's Expert Witnesses, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude Consumer Declarations, Respondent John Fanning's Motion in limine to Exclude or Limit Testimony by Deposition, with:

Alexandria Lynn Alexandria B. Lynn, Esq. alex.lynn@codelaw.com

Peter F. Carr, II Attorney Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC pcarr@eckertseamans.com Respondent

> Peter Carr Attorney